

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School PS 206 JOSEPH F LAMB District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #22 School ID 33-22-00-01-0206 Principal DEIRDRE KEYES Telephone (718) 743-5598 Grades PK-8, UE

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state

the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

# **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	70	68	64
Kindergarten	153	133	163
Grade 1	149	154	141
Grade 2	125	149	154
Grade 3	131	127	153
Grade 4	127	143	139
Grade 5	150	130	154
Grade 6	99	107	108
Ungraded Elementary	40	44	49
Grade 7	104	94	111
Grade 8	82	97	100
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	6	9	0
Total K–12	1166	1187	1272

## Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	24	24	25
Grade 8			
English	31	31	26
Mathematics	28	28	25
Science	28	28	22
Social Studies	31	31	24
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

# **Demographic Factors**

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	768	66%	736	62%	807	63%
Reduced-Price Lunch	154	13%	175	15%	187	15%
Student Stability*		96%		99%		98%
Limited English Proficient	181	16%	209	18%	208	16%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	76	7%	75	6%	83	7%
Hispanic or Latino	102	9%	101	9%	106	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	546	47%	547	46%	572	45%
White	436	37%	464	39%	511	40%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	40	4%	40	3%	8	1%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	79	80	88
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	5%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	8%	1%	8%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	14%	10%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	51%	50%	47%
Total Number of Core Classes	105	131	121
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	8%	2%	1%
Total Number of Classes	203	181	163
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	13%	1%	8%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	6%	0%	8%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	9%	8%

# **Staff Counts**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Other Professional Staff	9	0	10
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	0	2
Principals	1	0	1

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

# **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

# 2 School Accountability

School **PS 206 JOSEPH F LAMB** School ID **33-22-00-01-0206** 

### Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10			
	YES	YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>			•••••	
White	<	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>				
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 8 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1			

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		25
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (787:760)	<b>v</b>	V	100%	<b>V</b>	189	140		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (69:69)	~	~	100%	~	184	132	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (70:70)	<	~	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	187	133	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (348:328)	✓	✓	100%	~	186	138		
White (299:292)	<	<b>V</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	194	138	••••	••••
Multiracial (1:1)	_	_	-	_	-	-	•••••••••••••••	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (72:72)	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	154	133		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		••••	•••••••	••••	••••
(91:144)	<b>V</b>	V	100%	<b>V</b>	166	135		
Economically Disadvantaged (787:760)		<b>/</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	189	140		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accultance of the students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accultance.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion <sup>2</sup>	on <sup>2</sup> Test Performan		Performa	rformance Objectives		
Student Group	Chathar	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (791:782)		<u> </u>	100%	<b>V</b>	195	115			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (68:68)	~	~	100%	~	193	107	••••		
Hispanic or Latino (70:70)	<	~	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	191	108	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (350:344)	✓	~	100%	~	198	113	•••••••••••••••		
White (302:299)	<	<b>V</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	194	113	••••		
Multiracial (1:1)	_		-	–	-			_	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (73:72)	~	~	100%	V	175	108			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>			••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		
(93:167)	<b>v</b>	~	100%	~	189	111			
Economically Disadvantaged (791:782)		<b>/</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	195	115			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

	~	Made AYP
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
(2009–10)		
Accountability Status for This Subject		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008–09	Target 2009–10
All Students (248:245)	~	Qualified	~	100%	~	189	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (23:23)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (21:21)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (109:108)		Qualified	~	100%	~	191	100		
White (95:93)		Oualified	~	99%	~	189	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••		••••••	••••		••••			• •• • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (24:24)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (29:28)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (248:245)		Qualified	~	100%	~	189	100		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
		followed b students w	y the count of co ho were excuse	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r	ed tested stude medical reasons	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri	mance). For a n the enrollme	countability ent count.	y calculati
AYP Status Made AYP		the partici	pation criterion.	If the participation	n rate of a group	o fell below 80 perc the percent tested	ent in 2008–0	9, the enrol	lment

participation rates over those two years.

in the performance calculations.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

 $^{3}$  Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	88%	·	160
Grade 4	82%		141
Grade 5	91%		154
Grade 6	95%		103
Grade 7	98%		108
Grade 8	91%		99
Mathematics			
Grade 3	98%		167
Grade 4	90%		149
Grade 5	97%		162
Grade 6	98%		105
Grade 7	98%		109
Grade 8	93%		99
Science			
Grade 4	87%		148
Grade 8	93%		99

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #22

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 84

All schools in this group are K-8 schools in New York City.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This School					Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 682	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780						
2008 Mean Score: 672	100%	98% 96%	88%		96% 87%	78%				
2008-09						4070				
2007-08				16% <sub>12%</sub>			12% 4%			
Number of Tested Students:		156 125	141 98	26 15						

Poculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	160	98%	88%	16%	130	96%	75%	12%
Female	70	99%	96%	26%	66	98%	86%	17%
Male	90	97%	82%	9%	64	94%	64%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	83%	83%	17%	7	71%	57%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	15	100%	80%	0%	9	100%	56%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	85	98%	86%	16%	67	96%	78%	15%
White	54	98%	94%	20%	47	100%	79%	9%
Multiracial	••••••			•••••			•••••	
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••		•••••			•••••	
General-Education Students	138	100%	94%	19%	113	99%	84%	13%
Students with Disabilities	22	82%	50%	0%	17	76%	18%	0%
English Proficient	139	99%	95%	18%	102	99%	84%	15%
Limited English Proficient	21	90%	43%	5%	28	86%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	160	98%	88%	16%	101	96%	71%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	••••		•••••	29	97%	90%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	160	98%	88%	16%	130	96%	75%	12%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 705	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770				
2008 Mean Score: 709	100%	100% 99%	98% 94%	52%	99% 95%	95% 80%		
2008-09 2007-08				39%			30% 13%	
Number of Tested Students:		167 139	164 133	65 74				
					2007 09 5	chool Voor		

Poculte by	2008–09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		
All Students	167	100%	98%	39%	141	99%	94%	52%
Female	76	100%	99%	36%	72	100%	99%	60%
Male	91	100%	98%	42%	69	97%	90%	45%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	100%	83%	0%	7	86%	71%	29%
Hispanic or Latino	15	100%	93%	7%	10	100%	100%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	91	100%	100%	46%	74	100%	96%	65%
White	55	100%	98%	40%	50	98%	94%	46%
Multiracial			••••••	•••••	••••••			•••••
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	144	100%	100%	44%	123	99%	97%	57%
Students with Disabilities	23	100%	87%	9%	18	94%	78%	22%
English Proficient	141	100%	99%	44%	102	99%	98%	60%
Limited English Proficient	26	100%	96%	12%	39	97%	85%	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	167	100%	98%	39%	111	98%	95%	50%
Not Disadvantaged					30	100%	93%	60%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	167	100%	98%	39%	141	99%	94%	52%

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This School				Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 672	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775				
2008 Mean Score: 686	100%	98% 97%	82% 85%		97% 88%	77%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				23% 6%		49%	7% <sub>2%</sub>	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	138 136	116 119	8 32				

Doculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	141	98%	82%	6%	140	97%	85%	23%
Female	73	97%	82%	10%	74	96%	88%	23%
Male	68	99%	82%	1%	66	98%	82%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	80%	60%	0%	6	100%	33%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	56%	0%	13	100%	85%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	75	99%	87%	9%	65	97%	85%	32%
White	52	98%	83%	2%	56	96%	91%	20%
Multiracial	•••••	••••	•••••		•••••			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	121	99%	89%	7%	124	98%	90%	24%
Students with Disabilities	20	90%	40%	0%	16	88%	50%	13%
English Proficient	121	100%	88%	7%	125	98%	92%	26%
Limited English Proficient	20	85%	45%	0%	15	87%	27%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	141	98%	82%	6%	109	96%	83%	21%
Not Disadvantaged					31	100%	94%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	141	98%	82%	6%	140	97%	85%	23%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	9	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	pols		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 698	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800				
2008 Mean Score: 698	100%	99% 98%	90% 94%		97% 91%	88% 70%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				47% 48%			38% 14%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	148 148	134 142	70 72				

Poculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	149	99%	90%	47%	151	98%	94%	<b>48</b> %
Female	77	100%	90%	47%	83	98%	95%	47%
Male	72	99%	90%	47%	68	99%	93%	49%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	80%	0%	6	83%	83%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	100%	0%	14	93%	71%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	79	100%	94%	59%	74	100%	99%	53%
White	56	98%	84%	41%	57	98%	95%	53%
Multiracial	•••••			•••••				
Small Group Totals	•••••			•••••				
General-Education Students	129	99%	95%	53%	134	99%	99%	52%
Students with Disabilities	20	100%	60%	5%	17	88%	59%	12%
English Proficient	121	100%	95%	52%	126	98%	96%	56%
Limited English Proficient	28	96%	68%	25%	25	96%	84%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	149	99%	90%	47%	120	98%	93%	44%
Not Disadvantaged					31	97%	97%	61%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	149	99%	90%	47%	151	98%	94%	48%

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

# This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 83	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2008 Mean Score: 84	100%	99% 99%	87% 91%	58% <sup>62%</sup>	96% <sub>92%</sub>	83% 64%	50%	
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>							21%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	146 148	129 137	86 93				

Doculto by	2008–09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
 All Students	148	99%	87%	58%	150	99%	91%	62%
Female	76	97%	87%	58%	82	99%	93%	65%
Male	72	100%	88%	58%	68	99%	90%	59%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	40%	20%	6	83%	67%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	100%	44%	14	93%	79%	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	79	97%	91%	66%	73	100%	97%	66%
White	55	100%	84%	53%	57	100%	89%	70%
Multiracial	•••••		••••••	•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals							•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	128	98%	91%	64%	133	100%	96%	68%
Students with Disabilities	20	100%	60%	20%	17	88%	53%	12%
English Proficient	121	100%	95%	67%	127	99%	95%	71%
Limited English Proficient	27	93%	52%	19%	23	96%	70%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	148	99%	87%	58%	119	98%	92%	58%
Not Disadvantaged					31	100%	90%	77%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	148	99%	87%	58%	150	99%	91%	62%

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

# This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 688	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795				
2008 Mean Score: 682	100%	99% 99%	91% 92%		100% 97%	83%		
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>				25% 13%			13%	
Number of Tested Students:		153 132	140 122	38 17				

Poculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	154	99%	91%	25%	133	99%	92%	13%
Female	84	99%	89%	24%	62	98%	90%	10%
Male	70	100%	93%	26%	71	100%	93%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	100%	50%	0%	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	93%	14%	10	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	76	99%	87%	29%	71	100%	92%	18%
White	58	100%	100%	24%	51	98%	94%	6%
Multiracial								•••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				11	100%	82%	9%
General-Education Students	141	99%	92%	26%	116	100%	96%	14%
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	77%	8%	17	94%	65%	6%
English Proficient	136	100%	96%	28%	124	100%	94%	14%
Limited English Proficient	18	94%	50%	0%	9	89%	56%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	154	99%	91%	25%	109	99%	90%	14%
Not Disadvantaged					24	100%	100%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	154	99%	91%	25%	133	99%	92%	13%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 704	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780				
2008 Mean Score: 701 2008–09 2007–08	100%	100% 99%	97% <sub>92%</sub>	<sup>60%</sup> 51%	99% 93%	89%	40% 11%	
Number of Tested Students:		162 141	157 131	98 73				
		2008-00 Sch	ool Voar		2007-08 5	chool Voar		

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	162	100%	97%	60%	143	99%	92%	51%
Female	90	100%	97%	61%	68	99%	90%	51%
Male	72	100%	97%	60%	75	99%	93%	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	100%	83%	0%	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	86%	29%	12	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	81	100%	99%	69%	78	99%	97%	58%
White	61	100%	98%	62%	52	100%	88%	48%
Multiracial		••••		•••••	••••••	••••		••••••
Small Group Totals				•••••	13	92%	69%	23%
General-Education Students	149	100%	99%	64%	126	99%	96%	55%
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	69%	23%	17	94%	59%	24%
English Proficient	137	100%	99%	65%	124	99%	94%	56%
Limited English Proficient	25	100%	88%	36%	19	95%	74%	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	162	100%	97%	60%	118	98%	90%	50%
Not Disadvantaged					25	100%	100%	56%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	162	100%	97%	60%	143	99%	92%	51%

Other Assessments	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 681	Range:	598-785	650-785	696-785*					
2008 Mean Score: 678	100%	100%100%	95% 93%		100% 97%	85%			
2008-09						43%			
2007-08				15% 12%			10% 0%		
Number of Tested Students:		103 107	98 100	15 13					

2008–09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Total Tested	5	5		Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	5-4	4	Tested	2-4	5-4	4
103	100%	95%	15%	107	100%	93%	12%
52	100%	96%	19%	61	100%	92%	15%
51	100%	94%	10%	46	100%	96%	9%
19	100%	100%	21%	14	100%	100%	21%
8	-	-	-	11	100%	100%	0%
36	100%	89%	14%	30	100%	90%	3%
39	100%	97%	13%	52	100%	92%	17%
1	-	-	-				•••••
9	100%	100%	11%				•••••
98	100%	95%	14%	99	100%	96%	13%
5	100%	100%	20%	8	100%	63%	0%
95	100%	99%	16%	103	-	_	-
8	100%	50%	0%	4	-	-	-
103	100%	95%	15%	77	100%	94%	10%
••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		•••••	30	100%	93%	17%
103	100%	95%	15%	107	100%	93%	12%
	Total           Tested           103           52           51           19           8           36           39           1           9           98           5           95           8           103	Total Tested         Percentag 2-4           103         100%           52         100%           51         100%           19         100%           8         -           36         100%           1         -           9         100%           55         100%           10         -           9         100%           10         -           9         100%           98         100%           5         100%           95         100%           103         100%	Tested         2-4         3-4           103         100%         95%           52         100%         96%           51         100%         94%           19         100%         100%           8         -         -           36         100%         89%           39         100%         97%           1         -         -           9         100%         100%           98         100%         95%           5         100%         99%           8         100%         95%           103         100%         95%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4           103         100%         95%         15%           52         100%         96%         19%           51         100%         94%         10%           19         100%         100%         21%           8         -         -         -           36         100%         89%         14%           39         100%         97%         13%           1         -         -         -           9         100%         100%         11%           98         100%         95%         14%           5         100%         100%         20%           95         100%         95%         15%           103         100%         95%         15%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total 3-4         Total Tested           103         100%         95%         15%         107           52         100%         96%         19%         61           51         100%         94%         10%         46           19         100%         100%         21%         14           8         -         -         -         11           36         100%         89%         14%         30           39         100%         97%         13%         52           1         -         -         -         11           36         100%         97%         13%         52           1         -         -         -         -           9         100%         100%         11%           98         100%         95%         14%         99           5         100%         99%         16%         103           8         100%         50%         0%         4           103         100%         95%         15%         77           30         30         30 <t< td=""><td>Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): <math>2-4</math>Total TestedPercentage <math>2-4</math>103100%95%15%107100%52100%96%19%61100%51100%94%10%46100%19100%100%21%14100%811100%36100%89%14%30100%39100%97%13%52100%19100%100%11%99100%5100%100%20%8100%95100%95%16%103-8100%50%0%4-103100%95%15%77100%30100%10%30100%</td><td>Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): <math>2-4</math>Total TestedPercentage scoring at <math>2-4</math>103100%95%15%107100%93%52100%96%19%61100%92%51100%94%10%46100%96%19100%100%21%14100%100%8<math>  -</math>11100%90%36100%89%14%30100%92%1<math>    -</math>9100%97%13%52100%92%1<math>    -</math>9100%95%14%99100%96%5100%100%20%8100%63%95100%95%15%77100%94%103100%95%15%77100%93%</td></t<>	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total TestedPercentage $2-4$ 103100%95%15%107100%52100%96%19%61100%51100%94%10%46100%19100%100%21%14100%811100%36100%89%14%30100%39100%97%13%52100%19100%100%11%99100%5100%100%20%8100%95100%95%16%103-8100%50%0%4-103100%95%15%77100%30100%10%30100%	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total TestedPercentage scoring at $2-4$ 103100%95%15%107100%93%52100%96%19%61100%92%51100%94%10%46100%96%19100%100%21%14100%100%8 $  -$ 11100%90%36100%89%14%30100%92%1 $    -$ 9100%97%13%52100%92%1 $    -$ 9100%95%14%99100%96%5100%100%20%8100%63%95100%95%15%77100%94%103100%95%15%77100%93%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 699	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780				
2008 Mean Score: 707	100%	99% 100%	98% 100%	50% 61%	98% 91%	88%	36%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	104 107	103 107	52 65	_			

Pocults by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
 All Students	105	<b>99</b> %	98%	50%	107	100%	100%	61%
Female	53	98%	98%	45%	61	100%	100%	54%
Male	52	100%	98%	54%	46	100%	100%	70%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	18	100%	100%	56%	14	100%	100%	64%
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	-	11	100%	100%	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	38	100%	97%	55%	30	100%	100%	63%
White	40	98%	98%	48%	52	100%	100%	63%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	22%				
General-Education Students	100	99%	98%	49%	99	100%	100%	62%
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	100%	60%	8	100%	100%	50%
English Proficient	94	100%	99%	49%	103	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	11	91%	91%	55%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	105	99%	98%	50%	77	100%	100%	60%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••			•••••	30	100%	100%	63%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	105	99%	98%	50%	107	100%	100%	61%

Other Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
	0	2-4	3-4	4	0	2-4	3-4	4	

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 687	Range:	600-790	650-790	705-790*					
2008 Mean Score: 674	100%	100%100%	98% <sub>93%</sub>	20%	100% 97%	83%	<u>7%</u> 0%		
Number of Tested Students:		108 96	106 89	22 2	_	_			
Posults by		2008–09 School Year			2007-08 S	ichool Year			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	108	100%	98%	20%	96	100%	93%	2%	
Female	60	100%	98%	17%	51	100%	96%	2%	
Male	48	100%	98%	25%	45	100%	89%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	15	100%	100%	27%	18	100%	94%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	100%	0%	11	100%	100%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	100%	93%	20%	29	100%	83%	3%	
White	51	100%	100%	24%	38	100%	97%	3%	
Multiracial	•••••		••••••						
Small Group Totals	••••••			•••••		•••••••••••			
General-Education Students	100	100%	99%	20%	91	100%	92%	2%	
Students with Disabilities	8	100%	88%	25%	5	100%	100%	0%	
English Proficient	107	-	-	-	94	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	108	100%	98%	20%	70	100%	90%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged					26	100%	100%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	108	100%	98%	20%	96	100%	93%	2%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	2008–09 <b>School Year</b>				2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 702	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800				
2008 Mean Score: 691 2008-09 2007-08	100%	100% 99%	98% 95%	57% 44%	99% 94%	91%	34% 8%	
Number of Tested Students:	·	109 96	107 92	62 43				
		2008–09 Scl	hool Year		2007–08 S	chool Year		

Results by	2008–09	School Yea	2007–08 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	109	100%	98%	57%	97	99%	95%	44%
Female	61	100%	97%	59%	52	98%	94%	37%
Male	48	100%	100%	54%	45	100%	96%	53%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	100%	100%	60%	18	94%	89%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	100%	33%	11	100%	91%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	100%	97%	58%	29	100%	100%	66%
White	51	100%	98%	61%	39	100%	95%	54%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	••••••							
General-Education Students	101	100%	99%	57%	92	99%	97%	47%
Students with Disabilities	8	100%	88%	50%	5	100%	60%	0%
English Proficient	107	-	-	-	95	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	109	100%	98%	57%	71	100%	96%	42%
Not Disadvantaged					26	96%	92%	50%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	109	100%	98%	57%	97	99%	95%	44%

Other     Zoos-og school year     Zooy-os school year       Total     Number scoring at level(s):     Total     Number scoring at level(s):       Tested     2-4     3-4     4	evel(s):
ASSESSMENTS Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4	
	4
New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0	

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 675	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2008 Mean Score: 678	100%	100%100%	91% 82%		99% 93%	71%	
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				5% 12%	н.	34%	<u>5%</u> 1%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	99 99	90 81	5 12			

Poculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level			: level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	99	100%	91%	5%	99	100%	82%	12%
Female	53	100%	91%	4%	45	100%	93%	16%
Male	46	100%	91%	7%	54	100%	72%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	18	100%	83%	0%	20	100%	80%	15%
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	92%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	100%	90%	7%	37	100%	81%	8%
White	39	100%	95%	8%	37	100%	84%	16%
Multiracial	•••••••••••••••••		••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	••••••••••		••••••		••••••••••	•••••••••••	•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	95	-	_	-	93	100%	87%	13%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	–	6	100%	0%	0%
English Proficient	98	-	_	_	95	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–	4	-	-	–
Economically Disadvantaged	99	100%	91%	5%	75	100%	79%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	••••••••••••••••••				24	100%	92%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	99	100%	91%	5%	99	100%	82%	12%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 691	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2008 Mean Score: 694	100%	100%100%	93% 95%	41%	98% 89%	82%	
<ul> <li>2008–09</li> <li>2007–08</li> </ul>				26%			21% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		99 100	92 95	26 41			

Deculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 \$	2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Total Percentage scoring at leve			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	99	100%	93%	26%	100	100%	95%	41%	
Female	53	100%	94%	28%	45	100%	93%	44%	
Male	46	100%	91%	24%	55	100%	96%	38%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	18	100%	89%	6%	20	100%	90%	25%	
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	75%	25%	5	100%	100%	20%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	100%	100%	43%	37	100%	97%	46%	
White	39	100%	95%	23%	38	100%	95%	47%	
Multiracial	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		•••••		•••••••••••••••			
General-Education Students	95	-	-	-	94	100%	96%	41%	
Students with Disabilities	4			-	6	100%	83%	33%	
English Proficient	98	-	_	_	96	-	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	1			-	4	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	99	100%	93%	26%	76	100%	93%	34%	
Not Disadvantaged	••••••			•••••	24	100%	100%	63%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	99	100%	93%	26%	100	100%	95%	41%	

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	tal Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	l			Similar Sch	nools			
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100% 2008-09 2007-08	100%100%	93% <sub>E</sub>		1% 48%	95% 89%	65%	.%		
Number of Tested Students:	99 100	92	87 4	1 48				5%	
Poculto by	2008-09 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r		
Results by	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	99	100%	93%	41%	100	100%	87%	48%	
emale	53	100%	92%	28%	45	100%	84%	49%	
1ale	46	100%	93%	57%	55	100%	89%	47%	
merican Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	18	100%	89%	11%	20	100%	75%	35%	
lispanic or Latino	12	100%	75%	17%	5	100%	80%	20%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	100%	97%	50%	37	100%	95%	51%	
Vhite	39	100%	97%	56%	38	100%	87%	55%	
1ultiracial imall Group Totals	•••••		•••••••	••••••				••••••	
General-Education Students	95	-	_	-	94	100%	87%	51%	
tudents with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	0%	
nglish Proficient	98	_	-	-	96	-	-	-	
imited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
conomically Disadvantaged	99	100%	93%	41%	76	100%	84%	37%	
lot Disadvantaged	•••••		•••••	•••••	24	100%	96%	83%	
ligrant									
lot Migrant		100%		41%	100	100%		48%	

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
Regents Science	0				0				