

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School IS 77 District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #24 School ID 34-24-00-01-0077 Principal JOSEPH MILLER Telephone (718) 366-7120 Grades 6-8, UE, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about

the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	359	334	327
Ungraded Elementary	24	47	39
Grade 7	389	358	336
Grade 8	402	364	356
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	91	82	93
Total K–12	1265	1185	1151

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	28	28	29
Mathematics	27	27	29
Science	28	28	28
Social Studies	28	28	28
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	891	70%	835	70%	811	70%
Reduced-Price Lunch	149	12%	140	12%	136	12%
Student Stability*		92%		94%		94%
Limited English Proficient	163	13%	184	16%	186	16%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	4	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	63	5%	56	5%	41	4%
Hispanic or Latino	887	70%	838	71%	843	73%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	117	9%	105	9%	100	9%
White	195	15%	182	15%	166	14%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		92%		93%	
Student Suspensions	36	3%	36	3%	23	2%	

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	86	83	78
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	2%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	5%	5%	6%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	9%	8%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	29%	37%	40%
Total Number of Core Classes	253	250	217
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	7%	6%	5%
Total Number of Classes	334	308	272
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	6%	5%	7%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	11%	17%	18%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	16%	18%

### **Staff Counts**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	11	0	12
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	5	0	4
Principals	1	0	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

# 2 School Accountability

School **IS 77** School ID **34-24-00-01-0077** 

### Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (2009 20,	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10			
	YES	YES	YES			

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Crowns	English			English				
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>			_		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_						
Black or African American	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	✓	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Hispanic or Latino	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>						
White	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>						
Limited English Proficient	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 8 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1			- 0 of 0		

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		es
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (1123:1059)			100%		167	141	2008-09	2009-10
Ethnicity			20070		201			
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (35:34)	✓	_	-	~	171	127		
Hispanic or Latino (821:780)	<	~	100%	~	165	140	••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (101:95)	~	~	99%	~	182	134	••••	
White (165:149)	<b>V</b>	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	168	135	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••		••••		••••		••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (160:151)	~	~	99%	~	136	136		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>			••••		••••	•••••••	••••	••••
(194:209)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>/</b>	99%	<b>~</b>	140	136		
Economically Disadvantaged (1123:1059)	<b>/</b>	<u> </u>	100%	-	167	141		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account administration of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the count of the cou
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2009-10
All Students (1127:1078)	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	186	116		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (35:34)	~	_	-	~	171	102	••••	
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(824:786)</sup>	✓	~	100%	~	186		••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (102:99)	✓	~	99%	~	193	109	••••	
White (165:158)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	187	111	· · · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···· •····
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (160:150)	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	~	99%	~	157	111		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (197:233)	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	~	175	112		
Economically Disadvantaged (1127:1078)		~	100%	~	186	116	··· ···	···· ·····
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account administration of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the count of the cou
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
(2009–10)		
for This Subject		see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Status		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor s Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008–09	Target 2009-10
All Students (384:367)	~	Qualified	~	99%	~	141	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native 1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American 12:12)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (274:263)		Qualified	~	100%	~	140	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific slander (35:34)		Qualified	_	-	~	162	100		
Vhite (62:57)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	132	100		••••••
1ultiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••		••••			
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities 43:41)		Qualified	~	98%	~	105	100		
.imited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> 60:68)		Did not qualify	<b>~</b>	98%	x	85	100	100	86
conomically Disadvantaged 384:367)		Qualified	~	99%	~	141	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	f 1							
AYP Status		followed by students wh <sup>2</sup> Groups with	the count of co o were excuse fewer than 40	ontinuously enrolle d from testing for r students enrolled	ed tested studer nedical reasons during the test	est administration p nts (used for Perforn are not included ir administration peri 9 fell below 80 perc	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec	ccountabilit ent count. juired to me	y calculatio

participation rates over those two years.

in the performance calculations.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

shown is the sum of 2007-08 and 2008-09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

1

Made AYP

**✓**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# 2 School Accountability

School **IS 77** School ID **34-24-00-01-0077** 

#### District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #24

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	_	

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count)	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Standard	2008-09	2009-10		
All Students (1)	_	_	-	-				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (0)								
Hispanic or Latino (0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)								
White (1)		-	-	-	+			
Multiracial (0)	•••••	•••••		•••••	••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (1)		_	-	_				
Limited English Proficient <sup>2</sup> (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (0)								
Final AYP Determination	- 0	of 0						

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	75%		350
Grade 7	73%		359
Grade 8	54%		369
Mathematics			
Grade 6	89%		363
Grade 7	89%		372
Grade 8	80%		380
Science			
Grade 8	49%		378

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #24

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 73

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

### This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar S	Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage se	Percentage scoring at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:								
2008-09	100%								
2007-08									

Number of Tested Students:

Deculto by	2008–09	School Year			2007-08	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••				
Hispanic or Latino	•••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••		•••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••••••••••••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	••••••••••••••••••			••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••				
NOTES								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 \$	2008–09 <b>School Year</b>				2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Scho	ool		Similar Schools				
		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:								
	100%								
2008-09									
2007-08									

Number of Tested Students:

Deculto by	2008–09	School Year			2007-08	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant				•••••••				
NOTES								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2008-09 School Year 2007-08 School Year Other Total Total Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 1 (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent

### This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol –		Similar Sc	hools	
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	·	Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 661	Range:	598-785	650-785	696-785*			
2008 Mean Score: 650 2008–09 2007–08	100%	100% 97%	75% 53%	<u>3%</u> 0%	100% 97%	68% 46%	<u>3%</u> 1%
Number of Tested Students:		350 341	263 188	11 1			
Posults by		2008–09 S	chool Year		2007-08	School Year	
<b>Results by</b>		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):

Results by	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	350	100%	75%	3%	352	97%	53%	0%	
Female	157	100%	74%	4%	166	99%	57%	0%	
Male	193	100%	76%	2%	186	95%	51%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	14	100%	86%	0%	11	100%	73%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	258	100%	72%	2%	269	97%	52%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	34	100%	88%	12%	27	96%	52%	0%	
White	44	100%	77%	5%	45	93%	56%	0%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students	294	100%	81%	4%	300	98%	59%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	56	100%	45%	0%	52	88%	19%	0%	
English Proficient	304	100%	81%	4%	294	99%	61%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	46	100%	37%	0%	58	86%	17%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged	350	100%	75%	3%	352	97%	53%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	350	100%	75%	3%	352	97%	53%	0%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι		Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 684	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780			
2008 Mean Score: 679	100%	98% 96%	89% 85%		94% 92%	73% <sub>68%</sub>	
2008-09 2007-08				31% <sub>27%</sub>			15% 13%
Number of Tested Students:	·	356 342	323 305	111 97			
Poculte by		2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year		2007-08 \$	ichool Year	
Results by Student Group	)	Total Tested	Percentage scorii 2–4 3-	ng at level(s): -4 4	Total Tested	Percentage sco 2–4	oring at level(s): 3–4 4
		262	0.00%	0/ 240/	250	0.6%	E0/ 070/

Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	363	98%	89%	31%	358	96%	85%	27%
Female	165	97%	87%	28%	171	97%	88%	32%
Male	198	99%	90%	32%	187	94%	82%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	14	100%	93%	14%	11	100%	82%	27%
Hispanic or Latino	266	97%	86%	23%	270	96%	84%	24%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	35	100%	100%	74%	28	93%	93%	54%
White	48	100%	96%	44%	49	96%	86%	31%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				•••••
General-Education Students	307	99%	93%	36%	306	98%	91%	30%
Students with Disabilities	56	93%	68%	2%	52	79%	54%	12%
English Proficient	304	99%	91%	32%	294	97%	88%	29%
Limited English Proficient	59	92%	78%	22%	64	88%	73%	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	363	98%	89%	31%	358	96%	85%	27%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	363	98%	89%	31%	358	96%	85%	27%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	_	_	_	4	-	-	-	

## This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sc	hools	
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 660	Range:	600-790	650-790	705-790*			
2008 Mean Score: 655 2008-09 2007-08	100%	100% 99%	73% 59%	2% 0%	99% 97%	66% 54%	2% 0%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	358 366	263 220	6 0			
Poculto by		2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year		2007-08	School Year	
Results by		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):
Student Groun		Tested	2-4 3-	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4

-		Fercentage	e scornig at	level(s).	. o tut	Fercentag	e sconny ac	ievei(s).
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	359	100%	73%	2%	370	99%	59%	0%
Female	174	99%	82%	2%	178	98%	65%	0%
Male	185	100%	65%	2%	192	99%	54%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	9	100%	78%	0%	12	–	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	272	100%	72%	1%	268	99%	59%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	100%	81%	0%	33	100%	70%	0%
White	51	100%	75%	8%	56	98%	59%	0%
Multiracial	•••••	••••	••••••				•••••••	
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	•••••••••		13	100%	54%	0%
General-Education Students	305	100%	80%	2%	332	99%	64%	0%
Students with Disabilities	54	100%	37%	0%	38	95%	18%	0%
English Proficient	297	100%	81%	2%	318	99%	67%	0%
Limited English Proficient	62	98%	34%	0%	52	96%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	359	100%	73%	2%	370	99%	59%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	••••	•••••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	359	100%	73%	2%	370	99%	59%	0%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 680	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2008 Mean Score: 673	100%	99% 98%	<sup>89%</sup> 81%	22% 19%	98% 95%	78% 65%	
2001 00							13% 12%
Number of Tested Students:		369 371	330 304	81 73			
		2008-09 Sch	nool Year		2007–08 S	chool Year	

2008–09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
372	99%	89%	22%	377	98%	81%	<b>19</b> %	
178	99%	91%	25%	180	98%	82%	17%	
194	99%	87%	19%	197	98%	80%	21%	
				1	-	-	-	
9	100%	78%	22%	12	-	-	-	
278	99%	89%	17%	275	98%	79%	15%	
30	97%	87%	47%	32	100%	97%	56%	
55	100%	91%	35%	57	98%	82%	21%	
	••••		•••••		••••			
	••••		•••••	13	100%	69%	8%	
317	100%	93%	25%	339	99%	85%	22%	
55	96%	62%	4%	38	89%	39%	0%	
298	100%	94%	26%	320	99%	84%	21%	
74	97%	68%	5%	57	96%	60%	9%	
372	99%	89%	22%	377	98%	81%	19%	
	••••		•••••		••••			
372	99%	89%	22%	377	98%	81%	19%	
	Total           Tested           372           178           194           9           278           30           55           317           55           298           74           372	Total Tested         Percentage 2-4           372         99%           178         99%           194         99%           278         99%           30         97%           55         100%           55         96%           298         100%           372         99%	Tested         2-4         3-4           372         99%         89%           178         99%         91%           194         99%         87%           9         100%         78%           278         99%         89%           30         97%         87%           55         100%         91%           55         96%         62%           298         100%         94%           74         97%         68%           372         99%         89%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         3-4         4           372         99%         89%         22%           178         99%         91%         25%           194         99%         87%         19%           9         100%         78%         22%           278         99%         89%         17%           30         97%         87%         47%           55         100%         91%         35%           317         100%         93%         25%           55         96%         62%         4%           298         100%         94%         26%           372         99%         89%         22%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total 3-4         Total Tested           372         99%         89%         22%         377           178         99%         91%         25%         180           194         99%         87%         19%         197           9         100%         78%         22%         12           278         99%         89%         17%         275           30         97%         87%         47%         32           55         100%         91%         35%         57           30         97%         87%         47%         32           55         100%         91%         35%         57           317         100%         93%         25%         339           55         96%         62%         4%         38           298         100%         94%         26%         320           74         97%         68%         5%         57           372         99%         89%         22%         377	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total Tested         Percentage 2-4           372         99%         89%         22%         377         98%           178         99%         91%         25%         180         98%           194         99%         87%         19%         197         98%           194         99%         87%         19%         197         98%           194         99%         87%         19%         197         98%           194         99%         87%         19%         197         98%           194         99%         87%         19%         197         98%           194         99%         87%         12%         -         -           9         100%         78%         22%         12         -           278         99%         87%         47%         32         100%           30         97%         87%         47%         32         100%           55         100%         93%         25%         339         99%           55         96%         62%         4%         38         89% <tr< td=""><td>Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total 3-4         Percentage scoring at 2-4         Percentage scoring at</td></tr<>	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total 3-4         Percentage scoring at 2-4         Percentage scoring at	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

## This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 653	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790					
2008 Mean Score: 647	100%	99% 96%	54% 44%		97% <sub>93%</sub>	51%			
<b>2008–09</b> <b>2007–08</b>			44%	2% 1%		36%	1% 1%		
Number of Tested Students:		365 375	199 171	9 3					

Doculto by	2008-09 \$	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	evel(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4
All Students	369	99%	54%	2%	390	96%	44%	1%
Female	176	99%	57%	3%	180	96%	50%	1%
Male	193	98%	51%	2%	210	97%	39%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	11	-	-	-	25	100%	28%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	269	99%	51%	2%	258	95%	40%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	32	97%	78%	9%	38	97%	63%	8%
White	56	98%	57%	0%	69	97%	55%	0%
Multiracial			••••••		••••••		••••••	
Small Group Totals	12	100%	50%	8%			••••••	
General-Education Students	330	99%	59%	3%	341	98%	49%	1%
Students with Disabilities	39	95%	10%	0%	49	84%	8%	0%
English Proficient	323	99%	61%	3%	347	99%	49%	1%
Limited English Proficient	46	96%	4%	0%	43	74%	2%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	369	99%	54%	2%	390	96%	44%	1%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	369	99%	54%	2%	390	96%	44%	1%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	9	9	6	6	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School		Similar Schools				
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 673	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775				
2008 Mean Score: 664	100%	98% 95%	80%		95% 90%	66% 55%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				15% <sub>9%</sub>			9% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		374 374	304 268	56 35				

Poculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4
All Students	380	98%	80%	15%	392	95%	68%	9%
Female	181	98%	82%	14%	183	95%	67%	4%
Male	199	99%	78%	16%	209	96%	70%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	11	-	-	-	24	96%	67%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	274	99%	80%	9%	259	95%	64%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	97%	94%	55%	38	97%	89%	34%
White	61	97%	79%	21%	71	97%	73%	14%
Multiracial	•••••	••••		•••••			•••••	
Small Group Totals	12	100%	42%	8%			•••••	
General-Education Students	342	99%	85%	16%	343	98%	75%	10%
Students with Disabilities	38	92%	39%	0%	49	80%	20%	0%
English Proficient	323	99%	81%	16%	343	96%	70%	7%
Limited English Proficient	57	95%	72%	7%	49	90%	59%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	380	98%	80%	15%	392	95%	68%	9%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	380	98%	80%	15%	392	95%	68%	9%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	2008–09 <b>School Year</b>				2007–08 School Year			
_	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	_	_	9	9	9	7	

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	J			Similar Schools				
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
	91% <sup>95%</sup>				86%				
			00/						
		49%	0%			42%			
2008-09 2007-08									
2007-08			8	<sub>%</sub> 12%			5%	Ď	
Number of Tested Students:	344 372	186 2	36 3	0 48					
Poculto by	2008–09 Se	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	378	91%	49%	8%	392	95%	60%	12%	
Female	179	93%	48%	5%	182	93%	59%	8%	
Male	199	89%	50%	11%	210	96%	61%	16%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	11	-	-	-	24	96%	54%	13%	
Hispanic or Latino	272	92%	48%	5%	258	95%	55%	7%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	33	91%	70%	30%	38	95%	700/	<b>7</b> 40/	
Pacific Islander		91%	10%	30%	38	95%	79%	34%	
White	61	87%	43%	11%	72	96%	69%	18%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	12	100%	50%	0%					
General-Education Students	340	93%	53%	9%	343	96%	65%	13%	
Students with Disabilities	38	76%	16%	0%	49	90%	27%	4%	
English Proficient	322	97%	57%	9%	345	97%	66%	14%	
Limited English Proficient	56	57%	4%	0%	47	77%	21%	2%	
Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged	378	91%	49%	8%	392	95%	60%	12%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	378	91%		8%	392	95%			
NOTES									

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	8	8	8	8
Regents Science	0				0			

# **3** Overview of School Performance

School IS 77 School ID 34-24-00-01-0077 District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #24

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort									

2005 <b>Coho</b> r	t			2004 Cohort**			
Number	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
·				1	-	-	-
				1	-	-	-
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••				
			••••••				
			••••••				
				1	-		
				1	-	-	-
				1	-	-	-
				1	-	-	-
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-
•••••••	••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-
	Number	of Students	Number Percentage scoring at le	Number Percentage scoring at level(s):	Number of Students       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Number of Students         1       1       1         1       1       1         1       1       1         1       1       1         1       1       1         1       1       1         1       1       1         1       1       1         1       1       1         1       1       1	Number of Students       Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4       Number of Students       Percentage 2-4         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -         1       -       1       -	Number of StudentsPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Number of StudentsPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Number $2-4$ Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Number 

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	t			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

# **3** Overview of School Performance

School IS 77 School ID 34-24-00-01-0077

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This School	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools				
	Percentage sco				Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
1009	%								
<ul> <li>2005 Cohort</li> <li>2004 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by Student Group	2005 <b>Coho</b> i	2004 Cohort**						
	Number of Students	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students					1	-	_	-
Female					1	-	-	-
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			••••••	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		•••••					
Pacific Islander								
White					1	-		
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities	••••••				1	-	-	-
English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			••••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				1	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			1	-	_	-

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the post smallest group(a) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual student.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.