

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School IS 250 THE ROBERT F KENNEDY District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #25 School ID 34-25-00-01-0250 Principal MARC ROSENBERG Telephone (718) 591-9000 Grades 6-8, UE, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about

the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006-07	2007–08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	25	0	0
Grade 6	56	139	131
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	3
Grade 7	46	148	131
Grade 8	46	142	148
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	11	16
Total K–12	173	440	429

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	25	25	
Grade 8			
English			30
Mathematics	23	23	29
Science	23	23	29
Social Studies	23	23	29
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	66	38%	258	59%	249	58%
Reduced-Price Lunch	27	16%	66	15%	68	16%
Student Stability*		94%		30%		93%
Limited English Proficient	2	1%	40	9%	50	12%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	37	21%	99	23%	100	23%
Hispanic or Latino	33	19%	102	23%	106	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	43	25%	159	36%	157	37%
White	60	35%	79	18%	64	15%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005	2005-06		2006-07		-08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		93%
Student Suspensions	0	0%	0	0%	25	6%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	14	27	33
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	7%	0%	3%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	7%	12%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	64%	44%	45%
Total Number of Core Classes	42	96	100
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	5%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	61	124	133
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	0%	5%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	0%	50%	50%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	14%	21%	22%

### **Staff Counts**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	1	0	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	0	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

# 2 School Accountability

School IS 250 THE ROBERT F KENNEDY School ID 34-25-00-01-0250

### Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (2009 20,	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10				
	YES	YES	YES				

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Crowns	English			English		
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>V</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_				
Black or African American	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	✓		••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>		••••••••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>				
White	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial	–	–	••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>				
Limited English Proficient	<	✓	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 8 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1			

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performa	Performance Objectives	
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (425:410)	Status		100%		177	139		2009 10
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (95:93)	✓	~	100%	~	177	134	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (106:102)	✓	~	100%	~	178	134	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (157:150)	✓	~	100%	~	175	136	••••	
White (64:62)	<b>~</b>	~	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	179	132	••••	••••
Multiracial (1:1)	–	_	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (60:60)	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	<b>~</b>	100%	~	152	132		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (52:62)	✓	✓	100%	~	148	132	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (315:302)		~	100%	~	176	138	·····	···· ·····
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account administration of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the count of the cou
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/</b> .
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (432:410)	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	183	114			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (96:93)	~	~	99%	~	169	109	••••		
Hispanic or Latino (108:104)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	182	109	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (160:149)	~	✓	99%	~	189	110	•••••••••••••••		
White (65:61)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	190	107	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial <sup>(1:1)</sup>	_		-	-	-			_	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (60:60)	~	~	100%	V	158	107			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (56:65)	<b>v</b>	✓	96%	~	178	107			
Economically Disadvantaged (322:303)		<b>/</b>	99%	~	181	113	·····	··· ····	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Measures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Made AYP
(2009–10) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
for This Subject		see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Status		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008–09	5 Target 2009–10
All Students (166:154)	~	Qualified	~	99%	~	148	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (32:31)		Qualified	-	-	~	119	100		
Hispanic or Latino (37:34)		Qualified	_	-	~	138	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (61:55)		Qualified	~	100%	~	167	100		
White (33:31)		Qualified	_	-	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	155	100	••••••	
Multiracial (1:1)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	–	-	-	-	-	-	•••••	-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (24:24)		_	_	_	-	-	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (21:17)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (122:113)		Qualified	~	98%	~	150	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	f 1							
		followed b students w	y the count of c ho were excuse	ontinuously enrollo d from testing for r	ed tested studer medical reasons	est administration p nts (used for Perfor ; are not included ir administration peri	mance). For a the enrollme	ccountabilit ent count.	y calculatio

participation rates over those two years.

in the performance calculations.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment

shown is the sum of 2007-08 and 2008-09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008-09, data for 2007-08 and 2008-09

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	76%		126
Grade 7	82%		137
Grade 8	73%		154
Mathematics			
Grade 6	82%		129
Grade 7	86%		141
Grade 8	81%		159
Science			
Grade 8	54%		164

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #25

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 72

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 661	Range:	598-785	650-785	696-785*				
2008 Mean Score: 655 2008-09 2007-08	100%	100% 99%	76%	<u>6%</u> 4%	100% 98%	77% 58%	<u>6%</u> 2%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	126 137	96 65	75				
Deculte hu		2008-09 Sch	ool Year		2007-08 \$	ichool Year		

Results by	2008–09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	126	100%	76%	6%	138	99%	47%	4%
Female	57	100%	77%	9%	73	99%	47%	5%
Male	69	100%	75%	3%	65	100%	48%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	28	100%	82%	7%	36	100%	44%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	38	100%	71%	0%	34	100%	56%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	53	100%	77%	9%	45	100%	51%	7%
White	7	100%	71%	0%	23	96%	30%	0%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	107	100%	79%	7%	119	99%	50%	4%
Students with Disabilities	19	100%	58%	0%	19	100%	26%	0%
English Proficient	105	100%	85%	7%	128	99%	50%	4%
Limited English Proficient	21	100%	33%	0%	10	100%	10%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	102	100%	77%	7%	98	99%	42%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	24	100%	71%	0%	40	100%	60%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	126	100%	76%	6%	138	99%	47%	4%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008-09 <b>So</b>	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 676	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2008 Mean Score: 673	100%	99% 96%	82% 79%		97% 95%	83% 79%			
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				20% 20%			26% 24%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	128 136	106 111	26 28	_				
			1.26			1 1 24			

Poculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
All Students	129	99%	82%	20%	141	96%	<b>79</b> %	20%
Female	58	100%	83%	26%	74	95%	78%	18%
Male	71	99%	82%	15%	67	99%	79%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	27	96%	70%	7%	36	92%	67%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	39	100%	82%	10%	35	97%	83%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	56	100%	89%	34%	47	98%	85%	34%
White	7	100%	71%	14%	23	100%	78%	26%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••••	••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	••••••					••••	•••••	
General-Education Students	110	99%	86%	23%	123	96%	80%	21%
Students with Disabilities	19	100%	58%	5%	18	100%	72%	11%
English Proficient	106	99%	83%	23%	130	97%	79%	20%
Limited English Proficient	23	100%	78%	9%	11	91%	73%	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	105	99%	81%	22%	100	95%	78%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	24	100%	88%	13%	41	100%	80%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	129	99%	82%	20%	141	96%	79%	20%

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				

## This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 666	Range:	600-790	650-790	705-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 660	100%	99% 99%	82% 72%		100% 99%	78% 67%		
2007-08				<sup>7%</sup> 1%			4% 1%	
Number of Tested Students:		136 151	112 109	9 2				

Poculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	137	99%	82%	7%	152	99%	72%	1%
Female	67	100%	91%	12%	56	100%	73%	2%
Male	70	99%	73%	1%	96	99%	71%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	37	100%	86%	5%	34	100%	65%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	31	100%	90%	3%	31	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	45	98%	73%	11%	54	98%	72%	2%
White	24	100%	79%	4%	31	100%	61%	0%
Multiracial	•••••		•••••	••••••	1	-		-
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••	33	100%	64%	0%
General-Education Students	120	99%	84%	8%	125	99%	77%	2%
Students with Disabilities	17	100%	65%	0%	27	100%	48%	0%
English Proficient	128	100%	84%	7%	142	100%	74%	1%
Limited English Proficient	9	89%	44%	0%	10	90%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	94	99%	77%	4%	115	99%	70%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	43	100%	93%	12%	37	100%	76%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	137	99%	82%	7%	152	99%	72%	1%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 681	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2008 Mean Score: 671	100%	99% 98%	86% <sub>82%</sub>		99% 97%	88%	
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				<sup>26%</sup> 19%			27% 20%
Number of Tested Students:		139 156	121 131	37 31			

Poculto by	2008–09	School Yea	r		2007–08 <b>S</b>	2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	141	99%	86%	26%	159	98%	82%	19%	
Female	68	99%	87%	28%	59	97%	85%	25%	
Male	73	99%	85%	25%	100	99%	81%	16%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	37	97%	78%	8%	34	97%	74%	15%	
Hispanic or Latino	33	97%	91%	24%	34	97%	74%	15%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	45	100%	89%	42%	55	98%	93%	31%	
White	26	100%	85%	27%	33	-	-	–	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	1	-	–	–	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	36	100%	83%	11%	
General-Education Students	124	99%	87%	27%	132	98%	87%	23%	
Students with Disabilities	17	94%	76%	18%	27	100%	59%	4%	
English Proficient	128	99%	88%	28%	143	99%	84%	20%	
Limited English Proficient	13	92%	69%	8%	16	88%	69%	13%	
Economically Disadvantaged	98	98%	83%	19%	120	98%	81%	18%	
Not Disadvantaged	43	100%	93%	42%	39	97%	87%	23%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	141	99%	86%	26%	159	98%	82%	19%	

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year		2007–08 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				

## This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scori	ing at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 661	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2008 Mean Score: 650	100%	99% 96%	73%		98% 95%	64% 51%	
2008-09 2007-08				4% 1%			3% 3%
Number of Tested Students:		153 131	112 66	6 2			

Pocults by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	154	99%	73%	4%	137	96%	<b>48</b> %	1%
Female	55	100%	76%	9%	70	96%	59%	1%
Male	99	99%	71%	1%	67	96%	37%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	30	-	-	-	26	100%	50%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	35	100%	74%	3%	32	100%	41%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	54	98%	76%	4%	55	95%	53%	2%
White	32	100%	78%	3%	24	88%	46%	0%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	33	100%	61%	6%			••••••	
General-Education Students	130	99%	79%	5%	114	96%	53%	2%
Students with Disabilities	24	100%	38%	0%	23	96%	26%	0%
English Proficient	140	100%	76%	4%	126	99%	52%	2%
Limited English Proficient	14	93%	36%	0%	11	55%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	113	99%	74%	4%	104	95%	49%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	41	100%	68%	5%	33	97%	45%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	154	99%	73%	4%	137	96%	48%	1%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	pols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 676	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775				
2008 Mean Score: 664	100%	98% 95%	81%		98% <sub>94%</sub>	78% 69%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				21% 14%			17% 16%	
Number of Tested Students:		156 134	129 96	34 20				

Poculto by	2008–09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	159	98%	81%	21%	141	95%	68%	14%
Female	57	96%	84%	30%	73	95%	60%	15%
Male	102	99%	79%	17%	68	96%	76%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	31	-	-	-	25	92%	48%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	36	97%	69%	6%	34	91%	65%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	57	98%	88%	42%	56	96%	84%	21%
White	32	100%	94%	13%	26	100%	58%	12%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	34	97%	71%	12%				
General-Education Students	135	99%	86%	24%	119	97%	73%	16%
Students with Disabilities	24	96%	54%	4%	22	86%	41%	5%
English Proficient	141	99%	84%	22%	126	95%	67%	16%
Limited English Proficient	18	89%	61%	17%	15	93%	73%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	116	98%	80%	22%	108	96%	69%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	43	98%	84%	21%	33	91%	64%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	159	98%	81%	21%	141	95%	68%	14%

Other Assessments	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year		2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

## **This School's Results in Grade 8 Science**

This Scho	ol			Similar Scl	hools		
Percentage	scoring at leve	el(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):	
2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
92% 96%				92% 94%			
					500/ 61	0/2	
	54% 5	5%			58% 01	. 70	
		1	1% 12%			11	% 12%
151 133	88	77 2	L8 17				
2008-09 \$	ichool Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	
Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
164	92%	54%	11%	139	96%	55%	12%
59	92%	54%	10%	71	97%	46%	11%
105	92%	53%	11%	68	94%	65%	13%
2	-	_	-				
<b>.</b>	•••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••••	••••	••••••	•••••
31	-	-	-	23	100%	35%	9%
	Percentage s 2-4 92% 96% 151 133 2008-09 S Total Tested 164 59 105	2-4 3-4 92% 96% 54% 5 54% 5 151 133 88 2008-09 Scbol Yea Total Percentage Total 2-4 164 92% 59 92%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         92%       96%       54%       55%         92%       96%       1         151       133       88       77       1         151       133       88       77       2         2008-09       School Year       1       1         Total       Percentage scoring at 2-4       3-4       1         Tested       2-4       3-4       2         164       92%       54%       54%         59       92%       54%       105	Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ 92%       96% $54\%$ $55\%$ 92%       96% $11\%$ $12\%$ 151       133       88       77       18 $17$ Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested         2-4 $3-4$ $4$ 164       92% $54\%$ $11\%$ 59       92%       54% $10\%$ 105       92%       53% $11\%$	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage s $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ $2-4$ $92\%$ $96\%$ $92\%$ $92\%$ $94\%$ $92\%$ $96\%$ $11\%$ $12\%$ $92\%$ $94\%$ $151$ $133$ $88$ $77$ $18$ $17$ <b>2008-og School Year 2007-08</b> Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total         Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ $3-9$ 105 $92\%$ $53\%$ $11\%$ $68$	Interventage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ $2-4$ $3-4$ $92\%$ $96\%$ $54\%$ $55\%$ $92\%$ $94\%$ $11\%$ $12\%$ $11\%$ $12\%$ $58\%$ $61$ $151$ $133$ $88$ $77$ $18$ $17$ <b>2008-0g School Year 2007-08 School Yea</b> Total Tested       Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ <b>164 92% 54% 11% 139 96%</b> 59       92% <b>54%</b> 10%       71       97%         105       92%       53%       11%       68       94%	Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ $92\%$ $96\%$ $54\%$ $55\%$ $92\%$ $94\%$ $58\%$ $61\%$ $11\%$ $12\%$ $11\%$ $12\%$ $58\%$ $61\%$ $11\%$ $151$ $133$ $88$ $77$ $18$ $17$ $707-08$ $707-08$ $707-08$ $2008-09$ $56\%$ $71$ $707-08$

Hispanic or Latino	36	89%	47%	8%	34	94%	56%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	61	92%	70%	18%	56	98%	68%	13%
White	33	100%	52%	9%	26	88%	46%	12%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	34	88%	32%	3%			•••••	••••••
General-Education Students	140	93%	56%	13%	117	95%	61%	13%
Students with Disabilities	24	88%	38%	0%	22	100%	27%	9%
English Proficient	143	94%	59%	13%	124	98%	60%	14%
Limited English Proficient	21	76%	19%	0%	15	80%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	120	90%	56%	8%	106	95%	54%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	44	98%	48%	18%	33	97%	61%	18%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	164	92%	54%	11%	139	96%	55%	12%

Other Assessments	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	U								
Regents Science	0				0				