

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School IS 72 ROCCO LAURIE District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #31 School ID 35-31-00-01-0072 Principal PETER MACELLARI Telephone (718) 698-5757 Grades 6-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

Review an Overview of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics,

and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	539	618	486
Ungraded Elementary	25	50	62
Grade 7	560	544	627
Grade 8	579	566	568
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	73	69	86
Total K–12	1776	1847	1829

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	31	31	31
Mathematics	31	31	30
Science	30	30	30
Social Studies	30	30	30
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	806	45%	729	39%	803	44%
Reduced-Price Lunch	264	15%	236	13%	201	11%
Student Stability*		91%		92%		92%
Limited English Proficient	73	4%	88	5%	106	6%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	0%	2	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	283	16%	314	17%	308	17%
Hispanic or Latino	351	20%	381	21%	405	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	344	19%	341	18%	323	18%
White	792	45%	809	44%	790	43%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		91%		91%		92%
Student Suspensions	103	6%	103	6%	169	9%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	108	110	118
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	6%	2%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	16%	9%	9%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	9%	14%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	51%	48%	58%
Total Number of Core Classes	418	449	452
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	13%	8%	7%
Total Number of Classes	509	532	562
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	15%	9%	8%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	10%	9%	10%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	7%	15%	12%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	9	0	10
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	4	0	4
Principals	1	0	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #31

School IS 72 ROCCO LAURIE School ID 35-31-00-01-0072

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

2 School Accountability

School **IS 72 ROCCO LAURIE** School ID **35-31-00-01-0072**

Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English			English		
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	v	V	 			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_				
Black or African American	 	~				
Hispanic or Latino	 	 		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	 	 				
White	~	 		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial	_	–		•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	 	 				
Limited English Proficient	 	✓		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	 	 ✓ 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 8 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1			

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	
							2008-09	2009-10
All Students (1837:1755)	V		99%	v	170	141		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (313:286)	~	~	98%	~	155	138	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (411:387)	<	 	99%	 	163	138	••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (325:314)	~	~	100%	~	183	138		
White (784:764)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	173	140	••••	••••
Multiracial ^(1:1)	_	_	-	_	-			_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (370:349)	~	~	98%	x	133	138	121‡	140
Limited English Proficient ⁵	· · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	••••		••••	••••••	••••	••••
(111:129)	V	V	100%	V	136	135		
Economically Disadvantaged (1033:972)	~	~	99%	~	164	140		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- /SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2009-10
All Students (1844:1757)	~	<hr/>	99%	Image: A state of the state	181	116		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (313:287)	•	 	100%	~	167	113	••••	
Hispanic or Latino ^(412:387)	✓	~	100%	~	175	113	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (328:318)	✓	 	100%	~	193	113	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
White (787:761)	~	~	99%	 ✓ 	185	115	••••	••••
Multiracial (1:1)	_	_	-	–	-	_	••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (370:351)	 Image: A start of the start of	 Image: A start of the start of	100%	~	145	113		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (112:138)	✓	✓	100%	~	165	110	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (1034:975)		~	99%	~	178	115	··· ·	····
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
(2009–10) Accountability Moasuros	1 of 1	Student groups making AVD in science
for This Subject		see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Status		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008-09	Target 2009–10
All Students (608:559)	~	Qualified	 ✓ 	97%	~	158	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (97:83)		Qualified	<	95%	~	135	100		
Hispanic or Latino (134:117)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Qualified	<	97%	~	149	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (115:112)		Qualified	<	100%	~	187	100		
White (261:246)	•••••••	Qualified	<	97%	~	158	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••	•••••	•••••			••••			
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (94:84)		Did not qualify	~	97%	x	96	100	100	97
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (31:27)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (315:285)		Qualified	~	97%	~	148	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	f 1							
AYP Status		followed by students wh ² Groups with	the count of co to were excuse fewer than 40	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perco	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec	ccountabilit ent count. juired to me	y calculation et

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

1 Made AYP

SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

shown is the sum of 2007-08 and 2008-09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	69%		540
Grade 7	77%		670
Grade 8	61%		594
Mathematics			
Grade 6	80%		552
Grade 7	86%		679
Grade 8	78%		598
Science			
Grade 8	61%		590
	Percentage c scored at or	2005 Total Cohort	
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #31

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

1

1

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 72

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

English

Mathematics

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar S			
					Percentage	:		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range:							
■ 2008-09■ 2007-08	100%							

Number of Tested Students:

Deculto hy	2008–09	School Year		2007-08	School Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••		•••••	•••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Hispanic or Latino				••••••			•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••		•••••	•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant								
NOTES								

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Scho	ol		Similar Schools				
		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:								
	100%								
2008-09									
2007-08									

Number of Tested Students:

Beculte by	2008-09	School Year			2007-08	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant								
NOTES								

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
_	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools					
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 660	Range:	598-785	650-785	696-785*						
2008 Mean Score: 655	100%	100% 99%	69% 54%		100% 98%	77%				
2007-08				4% 3%			6% 2%			
Number of Tested Students:		540 650	373 353	20 22						

Doculto by	2008–09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s 2–4 3–4		
All Students	540	100%	69%	4%	656	99%	54%	3%
Female	240	100%	75%	5%	331	99%	60%	4%
Male	300	100%	64%	2%	325	99%	48%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	90	-	-	-	114	100%	33%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	130	100%	58%	3%	135	99%	55%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	92	100%	84%	11%	110	-	-	-
White	227	100%	75%	3%	294	99%	53%	2%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	91	100%	55%	0%	113	99%	75%	10%
General-Education Students	410	100%	80%	5%	509	99%	65%	4%
Students with Disabilities	130	100%	33%	0%	147	99%	16%	0%
English Proficient	504	100%	72%	4%	628	100%	56%	4%
Limited English Proficient	36	100%	22%	0%	28	89%	7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	334	100%	66%	3%	386	99%	48%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	206	100%	74%	5%	270	99%	61%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	540	100%	69%	4%	656	99%	54%	3%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008-09 S e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 678	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2008 Mean Score: 672	100%	97% 95%	80% 76%		97% 95%	83% _{79%}			
2008-09 2007-08				27% _{24%}			26% 24%		
Number of Tested Students:		533 625	441 501	151 157					

Doculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	: level(s): 4
All Students	552	97%	80%	27%	657	95%	76%	24%
Female	240	97%	83%	30%	332	95%	77%	27%
Male	312	96%	77%	26%	325	95%	75%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	96	92%	69%	5%	110	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	131	95%	70%	16%	137	95%	74%	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	96	-	-	-	115	97%	87%	50%
White	228	99%	86%	31%	292	96%	79%	23%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	97	92%	69%	6%	113	92%	61%	8%
General-Education Students	421	99%	89%	36%	513	99%	87%	29%
Students with Disabilities	131	89%	50%	1%	144	83%	38%	4%
English Proficient	510	97%	82%	29%	624	96%	79%	25%
Limited English Proficient	42	90%	50%	5%	33	82%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	343	96%	77%	21%	387	93%	71%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	209	98%	84%	38%	270	98%	83%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	552	97%	80%	27%	657	95%	76%	24%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 665	Range:	600-790	650-790	705-790*					
2008 Mean Score: 661	100%	100% 99%	77% 70%		100% 99%	78% 67%			
2008-09 2007-08				7% 2%			4% 1%		
Number of Tested Students:		667 555	519 392	44 10					

Doculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	670	100%	77%	7%	560	99%	70%	2%
Female	338	100%	79%	9%	259	100%	75%	3%
Male	332	99%	76%	5%	301	99%	66%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	118	100%	62%	3%	87	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	142	99%	77%	6%	114	98%	65%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	114	-	-	-	106	100%	82%	5%
White	294	100%	79%	5%	252	100%	73%	2%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	116	99%	89%	15%	88	98%	55%	0%
General-Education Students	531	100%	87%	8%	472	100%	77%	2%
Students with Disabilities	139	99%	40%	0%	88	97%	33%	0%
English Proficient	636	100%	80%	7%	537	99%	72%	2%
Limited English Proficient	34	94%	29%	0%	23	96%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	370	99%	71%	5%	288	99%	65%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	300	100%	86%	9%	272	99%	75%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	670	100%	77%	7%	560	99%	70%	2%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008–09 So	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols			
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 677	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800					
2008 Mean Score: 674	100%	99% 97%	86% 78%		99% 97%	88% 78%			
2008-092007-08				25% 25%			27% 20%		
Number of Tested Students:		673 539	583 436	169 137					

Doculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	: level(s): 4
All Students	679	99%	86%	25%	558	97%	78%	25%
Female	343	100%	87%	26%	260	98%	78%	27%
Male	336	99%	85%	24%	298	96%	79%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	119	97%	76%	13%	87	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	146	99%	82%	13%	115	96%	66%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	118	-	-	-	106	100%	95%	49%
White	294	99%	89%	26%	249	97%	82%	24%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	–
Small Group Totals	120	100%	93%	50%	88	93%	64%	9%
General-Education Students	537	100%	93%	30%	471	99%	86%	29%
Students with Disabilities	142	96%	58%	4%	87	85%	38%	1%
English Proficient	640	99%	88%	26%	531	97%	79%	26%
Limited English Proficient	39	97%	56%	3%	27	89%	59%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	375	99%	83%	20%	285	96%	72%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	304	99%	89%	31%	273	97%	84%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	679	99%	86%	25%	558	97%	78%	25%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	_

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 656	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
2008 Mean Score: 649 2008-09 2007-08	100%	99% 95%	61% 45%	3% 2%	98% 95%	64% 51%	3% 3%	
Number of Tested Students:		589 564	360 266	17 14				

Deculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	594	99%	61%	3%	594	95%	45%	2%
Female	282	99%	63%	5%	292	95%	52%	2%
Male	312	99%	58%	1%	302	95%	37%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	94	-	-	-	103	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	132	98%	52%	2%	125	94%	38%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	113	100%	76%	5%	119	97%	66%	7%
White	254	100%	65%	3%	245	96%	46%	2%
Multiracial			••••••		1	-	–	-
Small Group Totals	95	99%	43%	1%	105	92%	27%	0%
General-Education Students	505	99%	68%	3%	497	97%	51%	3%
Students with Disabilities	89	98%	20%	0%	97	82%	11%	0%
English Proficient	568	99%	63%	3%	565	96%	47%	2%
Limited English Proficient	26	92%	15%	0%	29	66%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	309	99%	52%	2%	297	92%	32%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	285	100%	70%	4%	297	98%	57%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	594	99%	61%	3%	594	95%	45%	2%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			otal Number scoring		g at level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics - -

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 676	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775				
2008 Mean Score: 667	100%	98% 94%	78% 65%		98% _{94%}	78% 69%		
2008–09 2007–08				20% 17%			17% 16%	
Number of Tested Students:		589 565	467 393	117 102				

Poculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	598	98%	78%	20%	603	94%	65%	17%
Female	283	100%	81%	22%	296	93%	66%	18%
Male	315	97%	75%	17%	307	95%	64%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	95	-	-	-	105	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	133	99%	72%	11%	125	91%	54%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	114	100%	95%	39%	124	100%	94%	36%
White	255	98%	80%	21%	247	95%	66%	17%
Multiracial	•••••			•••••	1	-	-	–
Small Group Totals	96	96%	60%	6%	107	87%	42%	9%
General-Education Students	507	100%	85%	23%	506	97%	73%	20%
Students with Disabilities	91	91%	38%	1%	97	74%	26%	3%
English Proficient	567	99%	78%	21%	567	94%	66%	17%
Limited English Proficient	31	97%	74%	0%	36	89%	56%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	309	99%	72%	16%	307	91%	58%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	289	98%	84%	23%	296	97%	73%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	598	98%	78%	20%	603	94%	65%	17%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at leve			el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	_	_	_	1	-	-	-	

.

95%

585

.

70%

.

20%

School IS 72 ROCCO LAURIE School ID 35-31-00-01-0072

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level	(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
100%								
	95% 95%				92% 94%			
		61%	0%			58% 61	%	
2008-09						5670		
2007-08			1.	3% 20%				1.20/
			1.	5%			11	% 12%
Number of Tested Students:	558 558	361 4	11 7	75 117				
Beculte by	2008–09 School Year 2007–08 School Year							
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	:level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	590	95%	61%	13%	585	95%	70%	20%
Female	278	95%	62%	12%	290	95%	70%	20%
Male	312	94%	61%	13%	295	96%	71%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_			1	-		
Black or African American	91	-	-	_	100	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	130	92%	52%	7%	119	97%	64%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	115	100%	85%	28%	121	100%	93%	44%
Pacific Islander		100 %			121	100 %		44 <i>7</i> 0
White	253	94%	63%	12%	243	93%	70%	20%
Multiracial					1			
Small Group Totals	92	91%	40%	4%	102	94%	52%	7%
General-Education Students	501	98%	69%	15%	491	98%	77%	23%
Students with Disabilities	89	73%	18%	0%	94	81%	33%	3%
English Proficient	559	95%	63%	13%	553	96%	72%	21%
imited English Proficient	31	87%	35%	0%	32	84%	44%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	306	93%	52%	9%	296	93%	61%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	284	96%	71%	16%	289	98%	79%	27%

Migrant

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

590

Other	2008–09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	۷				±			
Regents Science	0				0			

61%

95%

.

13%

3 Overview of School Performance

School **IS 72 ROCCO LAURIE** School ID **35-31-00-01-0072**

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4 3-4 4		4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort 									

Results by	2005 Coho r	t			2004 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number	Percentage	e scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1	-	-	-				
Female								
Male	1	-	-	-				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino				••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	1	-						
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-				
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities	1	-	-	-				
English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	1	-	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1	-	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Coho r	t			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	ring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

³ Overview of School Performance

School **IS 72 ROCCO LAURIE** School ID **35-31-00-01-0072**

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4 3-4 4		4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort 									

Results by	2005 Coho i	rt			2004 Coho i	r t **		
	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1	-	-	-				
Female								
Male	1	-	-	-				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	••••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		• •••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• ••••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	1	_						
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-				
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities	1	-	–	-				
English Proficient	1	-	_	_				
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	• •••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	1	-	_	_	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1	-	-	_	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment								

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 ** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.