

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 District PINE BUSH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 44-04-01-06-0000 Superintendent PHILIP STEINBERG Telephone (845) 744-2031 Grades PK-12, UE

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

### Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 44-04-01-06-0000

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
Pre-K	71	72	102
Kindergarten	405	395	383
Grade 1	393	412	397
Grade 2	433	420	427
Grade 3	439	444	420
Grade 4	417	443	452
Grade 5	452	442	442
Grade 6	503	471	448
Ungraded Elementary	48	2	16
Grade 7	480	513	461
Grade 8	484	512	490
Grade 9	580	561	547
Grade 10	507	546	517
Grade 11	500	435	496
Grade 12	462	472	388
Ungraded Secondary	12	0	0
Total K–12	6115	6068	5884

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	22	22	22
Grade 8			
English	23	22	21
Mathematics	22	22	21
Science	23	23	23
Social Studies	23	23	23
Grade 10			
English	19	24	20
Mathematics	22	23	21
Science	26	28	25
Social Studies	23	22	19

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2006-07		200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	965	16%	915	15%	1112	19%
Reduced-Price Lunch	471	8%	473	8%	622	11%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	86	1%	70	1%	74	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	24	0%	24	0%	15	0%
Black or African American	616	10%	700	12%	657	11%
Hispanic or Latino	675	11%	704	12%	708	12%
Asian or Native	102	2%	112	2%	126	2%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	4670	76%	4496	74%	4324	73%
Multiracial	28	0%	32	1%	54	1%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	448	7%	471	8%	442	7%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	441	461	464
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	9%	8%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	13%	14%
Total Number of Core Classes	1165	1196	1188
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1669	1711	1674
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	3%	1%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	12%	14%	15%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	11%	10%

### **Staff Counts**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	48	51	47
Total Paraprofessionals*	162	165	166
Assistant Principals	8	7	10
Principals	8	8	7

\* Not available at the school level.

District ID 44-04-01-06-0000

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort for English**

#### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.** 

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not b or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	peen identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a Distric in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District PINE BUSH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 44-04-01-06-0000

### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA	ELA A Good Standing		9	▲ Good Standing		
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Gradua	tion Rate	▲ Good Standing	••••	
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A F	unding			
	2007-	-08	2008-09		2009–10		
	YES		YES		YES		

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<ul> <li>V</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>V</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_					
Black or African American	✓	✓	••••	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	••••	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>		-	-		
White	~	<b>V</b>	••••	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	~	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	-	–	••••	–	–	••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	✓SH	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		<b>√</b> SH	X		
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	••••	–	-	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<	••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 8 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1	🖌 6 of 6	<b>X</b> 5 of 6	✔ 1 of 1	



# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students <sup>(2794:2746)</sup>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>v</b>	180	142		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (313:309)	<	~	100%	~	174	138	••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino (358:351)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	173	138		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (69:67)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	100%	~	190	132		
White (2027:1997)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	182	142	••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (22:17)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (421:407)	<b>✓</b> SH	~	98%	<b>✓</b> SH	130	139	118	137
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (34:54)	~	_	_	~	159	131	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (831:806)	<b>~</b>	~	99%	~	170	140		•••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### AYP Status

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 44-04-01-06-0000

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion <sup>2</sup>	n <sup>2</sup> Test Performa		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students <sup>(2799:2720)</sup>	<b>v</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	V	187	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (317:305)	<	<	100%	~	188	113	••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino (363:351)	✓	✓	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	184	113	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (69:67)	<b>~</b>	<b>v</b>	100%	~	196	107		
White (2019:1976)	<	✓	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	187	117	••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (26:16)	–	_	-	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (428:400)	~	<b>~</b>	98%	~	140	114		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (34:54)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	-	-	~	187	106		
Economically Disadvantaged (834:792)	<	~	100%	~	181	115		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 8 of 8	3						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 44-04-01-06-0000

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

			Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>		Qualification	_ Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (961:929)		Qualified	<u> </u>	98%		183	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (113:110)		Qualified	~	100%	~	176	100		
Hispanic or Latino (128:124)	••••••••	Qualified	~	98%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	178	100		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (23:22)		-	-	-	-	-	-		–
White (688:666)	••••••••	Qualified	<	98%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	185	100		•••••
Multiracial (5:3)	••••••••	-	-	-	–	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (164:153)		Qualified	~	96%	~	140	100		
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (12:11)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (273:257)		Qualified	~	97%	<	179	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status         ✓       Made AYP         ✓SH       Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ         X       Did Not Make AYP         —       Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	et	by the cou students w Groups wit participati is the sum rates over Groups wit For district were comb	nt of continuous tho were excuse h fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2007–08 and those two years h fewer than 30 as with fewer than bined to determi	sly enrolled tested d from testing for i students enrolled te participation rat 2008–09 enrollme continuously enro in 30 continuously ne counts and per	students (used medical reasons during the test te of a group fel ents and the per polled tested stud r enrolled tested formance indice	est administration p for Performance). F a are not included ir administration peri l below 80 percent ccent tested is the w dents are not requir d students in 2008– es. rmer LEP students i	or accountab in the enrollme iod are not ree in 2008–09, t veighted avera- ed to meet th- og, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the pa e performan po7–08 and a	ions, eet the nt shown articipation ce criterion.

District ID 44-04-01-06-0000

# Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance Index	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion		Criterion		AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (414:412)	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	<b>V</b>	185	166			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (47:47)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	98%	~	181	157			
Hispanic or Latino (37:39)	✓	_	-	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	172	155	••••	••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (321:315)	✓	✓	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	188	165	••••	•••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (2:1)	-	-	-	–	-	-	••••	-	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (54:70)	<b>✓</b> SH	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	96%	SH	144	160	138	150	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••	
(2:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (91:95)	~	<b>~</b>	100%	~	184	161			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of (	6							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 44-04-01-06-0000

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance Index	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion		Criterion		AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (414:412)	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	182	161		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (47:47)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	172	152		
Hispanic or Latino (37:39)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	_	-	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	190	150	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:10)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (321:315)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	183	160	••••	
Multiracial (2:1)	-	-	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (54:70)	x	<b>~</b>	98%	x	126	155	131	133
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••	••••••	••••		••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	
(2:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (91:95)	~	<b>~</b>	100%	~	180	156		
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 5 of 6	õ						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 44-04-01-06-0000

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	uation		Objectives			
Student Group (Cohort Count)	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate <sup>1</sup>	State Standard	Progress Target		
All Students (530)	~	<b>v</b>	86%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (66)		~		55%			
Hispanic or Latino (46)		<		55%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)							
White (416)	• • • • • • • • • •	<	88%	55%			
Multiracial (2)	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••		••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups		_	-	_			
Students with Disabilities (84)		~	61%	55%			
Limited English Proficient <sup>2</sup> (5)	• • • • • • • • • •	_	-	-			
Economically Disadvantaged (85)		✓	82%	55%			
Final AYP Determination	<b>v</b> 1 0	of 1					

NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

### Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at o	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	79%	·	422
Grade 4	84%		463
Grade 5	90%		450
Grade 6	88%		460
Grade 7	83%		470
Grade 8	66%		493
Mathematics			
Grade 3	95%		421
Grade 4	89%		462
Grade 5	90%		450
Grade 6	88%		460
Grade 7	94%		472
Grade 8	83%		492
Science			
Grade 4	94%		454
Grade 8	77%		483
	-	of students that	2005 Total
	scored at o	r above Level 3	Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%

80%

78%

District ID 44-04-01-06-0000

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Average Need Districts**

499

499

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

English

**Mathematics** 

# This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 673	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780					
2008 Mean Score: 673	100%	98% 97%	79% 77%		95% 94%	76% 70%			
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				10% 13%			11% 12%		
Number of Tested Students:		413 438	335 349	42 60					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	422	98%	79%	10%	451	97%	77%	13%	
Female	217	98%	84%	11%	196	98%	79%	13%	
Male	205	98%	75%	9%	255	96%	76%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	50	94%	72%	8%	58	97%	66%	14%	
Hispanic or Latino	58	98%	71%	7%	64	100%	81%	8%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	-	–	–	11	-	-	-	
White	298	98%	82%	11%	316	97%	78%	15%	
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	16	100%	88%	6%	13	100%	85%	0%	
General-Education Students	379	100%	85%	11%	395	99%	83%	15%	
Students with Disabilities	43	79%	26%	0%	56	80%	36%	4%	
English Proficient	411	98%	81%	10%	445	97%	77%	13%	
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	36%	0%	6	100%	100%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	139	98%	71%	9%	125	96%	66%	7%	
Not Disadvantaged	283	98%	84%	11%	326	98%	82%	16%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	422	98%	79%	10%	451	97%	77%	13%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	blic			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 696	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770					
2008 Mean Score: 692	100%	99% 99%	95% 93%		99% 98%	93% 90%			
2008-09 2007-08				30% 28%			27% 26%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	418 445	399 420	126 125					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	age scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	421	99%	95%	30%	451	99%	93%	28%	
Female	216	99%	94%	30%	195	99%	95%	25%	
Male	205	100%	95%	30%	256	98%	92%	30%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	50	100%	92%	22%	55	98%	87%	16%	
Hispanic or Latino	59	100%	93%	34%	66	97%	89%	21%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	
White	296	99%	96%	30%	317	99%	95%	32%	
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	16	100%	94%	31%	13	100%	100%	15%	
General-Education Students	376	100%	98%	33%	395	100%	97%	31%	
Students with Disabilities	45	93%	67%	2%	56	89%	64%	4%	
English Proficient	410	99%	95%	31%	445	99%	93%	28%	
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	82%	0%	6	100%	100%	17%	
Economically Disadvantaged	138	99%	92%	24%	126	98%	91%	16%	
Not Disadvantaged	283	100%	96%	33%	325	99%	94%	32%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	421	99%	95%	30%	451	99%	93%	28%	

					2007–08 School Year				
Other2000AssessmentsTested			Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	ring at level	(s):	
ASSESSMENTS Tested	1	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	_	-	-	2	-	-	_	

# This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	NY State Public			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage sc	oring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 674	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775					
2008 Mean Score: 669	100%	97% 95%	84% 80%		96% 93%	77% 71%			
2008-09									
2007-08				5% 4%			7% 8%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>1</u>	448 426	388 360	22 18					
Deculte by		2008-09 Sch	ool Year		2007-08 S	chool Year			

Results by	2008–09	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	463	97%	84%	5%	450	95%	80%	4%	
Female	200	98%	88%	7%	220	97%	86%	5%	
Male	263	96%	81%	3%	230	92%	74%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	55	96%	82%	7%	39	92%	67%	3%	
Hispanic or Latino	74	96%	81%	3%	60	93%	73%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	
White	319	97%	84%	5%	339	95%	83%	5%	
Multiracial	1	-		–	2	-		-	
Small Group Totals	15	100%	93%	0%	12	92%	83%	8%	
General-Education Students	396	100%	91%	6%	392	99%	88%	5%	
Students with Disabilities	67	78%	40%	0%	58	66%	29%	0%	
English Proficient	458	97%	84%	5%	445	95%	80%	4%	
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	60%	0%	5	100%	100%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	143	97%	75%	1%	131	89%	69%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged	320	97%	88%	6%	319	97%	85%	4%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	463	97%	84%	5%	450	95%	80%	4%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	7	7	6	3
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District	:		NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 687	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800			
2008 Mean Score: 685	100%	97% 97%	89% 87%		96% 95%	87% 84%	
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>				31% 28%			<sup>35%</sup> 29%
Number of Tested Students:		446 436	409 390	144 126			
<b>Results by</b>		2008–09 <b>Sc</b> ł	nool Year		2007–08 <b>S</b> o	hool Year	
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage scori 2–4 3-	ng at level(s): -4 4	Total Tested	Percentage sc 2–4	oring at level(s): 3–4 4

Student Oroup	resteu	2-4	3-4	4	resteu	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	462	97%	89%	31%	450	97%	87%	28%
Female	200	98%	88%	28%	221	98%	89%	26%
Male	262	95%	89%	34%	229	96%	85%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	55	95%	84%	27%	38	95%	79%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	75	95%	89%	23%	59	98%	83%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
White	316	97%	89%	34%	340	97%	88%	29%
Multiracial	2				3	-	-	
Small Group Totals	16	100%	100%	19%	13	100%	92%	54%
General-Education Students	397	100%	94%	34%	392	99%	91%	31%
Students with Disabilities	65	77%	55%	12%	58	83%	57%	10%
English Proficient	457	96%	88%	32%	445	97%	87%	28%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	100%	0%	5	100%	100%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	142	96%	83%	21%	128	91%	76%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	320	97%	91%	36%	322	99%	91%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	462	97%	89%	31%	450	97%	87%	28%

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	7	7	5	2	

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic					
		Percentage s	soring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         3-4       4       2-4       3-4         65-100       85-100       97% 97%       88% 85%         94% 93%       97% 97%       88% 85%       97% 97%         94% 93%       65% 60%       97% 97%       88% 85%         428 419       297 269       2007-08 School Year         Percentage scoring at level(s):       2007-08 School Year         7otal       Percentage sc         2-4       3-4       2-4				l(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
2009 Mean Score: 85	Range:	45-100	65-10	30 8	5-100			· ·				
2008 Mean Score: 84	100%	99% 99%	94% 9		<sup>5%</sup> 60%	97% 97%	88% 85	59	<sup>%</sup> 50%			
2008-09 2007-08									50%			
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	448 446	428 4	119 2	97 269							
Results by		2008-09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	Year				
		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		454	99%	94%	65%	450	99%	93%	60%			
emale		197	99%	95%	62%	222	99%	92%	58%			
1ale		257	98%	94%	68%	228	100%	94%	61%			
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve											
Black or African American		55	98%	91%	49%	40	98%	88%	43%			
Hispanic or Latino		71	99%	93%	59%	56	98%	88%	61%			
Asian or Native Hawaijan/Other		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••			

Hispanic or Latino	71	99%	93%	59%	56	98%	88%	61%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
White	312	99%	95%	69%	341	99%	95%	61%
Multiracial	2	-			3	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	16	100%	100%	75%	13	100%	92%	69%
General-Education Students	391	100%	98%	71%	392	100%	96%	65%
Students with Disabilities	63	90%	73%	29%	58	95%	74%	26%
English Proficient	449	99%	94%	66%	446	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	80%	20%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	139	99%	92%	50%	127	97%	86%	45%
Not Disadvantaged	315	98%	95%	72%	323	100%	96%	66%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	454	99%	94%	65%	450	99%	93%	60%

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	_	-	-	7	7	7	3	

# This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 682	Range:	608-795	650-7	795 7	11-795						
2008 Mean Score: 672			90% 8	5%		99% 98%	82% 78	1%			
2008-09											
2007-08				1	6%			149	6%		
Number of Tested Students:	1	448 440	407 3	378	72 27						
Results by		2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2007–08 School Year					
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		450	100%	90%	16%	445	99%	85%	6%		
Female		218	100%	95%	16%	215	100%	91%	6%		
Male		232	99%	86%	16%	230	98%	80%	6%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American		38	100%	92%	13%	58	100%	83%	2%		
lispanic or Latino 60		60	100%	88%	17%	53	100%	79%	2%		
Asian or Nativo Hawaijan (Othor	•••••	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••		

hispanic of Latino	00	10070	0070	T1 /0	55	10070	1370	270
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
White	334	99%	91%	16%	322	98%	86%	7%
Multiracial	4	-	_	–	3	-	-	
Small Group Totals	18	100%	83%	22%	12	100%	92%	17%
General-Education Students	388	100%	96%	18%	394	100%	92%	7%
Students with Disabilities	62	97%	55%	3%	51	90%	33%	2%
English Proficient	448	-	_	-	439	99%	85%	6%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	–	6	100%	50%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	137	99%	80%	13%	114	98%	74%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	313	100%	95%	17%	331	99%	89%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	450	100%	90%	16%	445	99%	85%	6%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	7	6	6	2	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Pu	NY State Public				
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s	;):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 685	Range:	619-780	650-780	) 69	9–780			· · ·			
2008 Mean Score: 681	100%	99% 99%	90% 89%			98% 96%	88% 83	%			
2008-09 2007-08				329	<sup>%</sup> 27%			36	<sup>%</sup> 27%		
Number of Tested Students:		445 438	407 396	14	5 119						
Results by		2008–09 Sc	hool Year			2007-08 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r			
-		Total	Percentage so	coring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		450	99%	90%	32%	444	99%	89%	27%		
Female		218	100%	91%	32%	215	100%	90%	24%		

Male	232	98%	90%	33%	229	98%	88%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	39	97%	85%	28%	57	98%	88%	21%
Hispanic or Latino	61	100%	90%	28%	53	96%	87%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
White	332	99%	91%	33%	323	99%	90%	29%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	18	100%	100%	56%	11	100%	82%	36%
General-Education Students	387	100%	95%	37%	393	100%	93%	30%
Students with Disabilities	63	92%	63%	5%	51	88%	61%	4%
English Proficient	448	-	_	-	438	99%	89%	27%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	–	6	100%	83%	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	138	99%	83%	23%	114	97%	82%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	312	99%	94%	37%	330	99%	92%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	450	99%	90%	32%	444	99%	89%	27%

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	7	7	6	2	3	-	-	-	

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct		NY State Pu	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 668	Range:	598-785	650-785	696-785*						
2008 Mean Score: 663	100%	100% 99%	88%		100% 98%	81% 679	%			
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				9% 3%			9%	5%		
Number of Tested Students:		459 462	405 343	40 13						
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Year		2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r			
-		Total	Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4 3	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		460	100% 88	9%	467	99%	73%	3%		

Female	223	100%	92%	11%	241	99%	76%	3%
Male	237	100%	84%	6%	226	99%	70%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	56	100%	82%	9%	53	100%	57%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	56	100%	84%	4%	54	100%	57%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	88%	25%	13	100%	62%	8%
White	330	100%	90%	9%	347	99%	79%	3%
Multiracial	10	100%	80%	10%		••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
General-Education Students	408	100%	93%	10%	397	100%	83%	3%
Students with Disabilities	52	98%	46%	0%	70	93%	17%	0%
English Proficient	455	100%	88%	9%	463	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	60%	0%	4	-	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	135	100%	81%	7%	144	97%	55%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	325	100%	91%	10%	323	100%	82%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	460	100%	88%	9%	467	99%	73%	3%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State P	ublic			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scorin $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ $2-4$ $616-780$ $650-780$ $696-780$ $96\%$ $94\%$ $96\%$ $95\%$ $88\%$ $79\%$ $96\%$ $94\%$ $443$ $403$ $367$ $83$ $69$ $696-780$ <b>2008-09 School Year 2007-08 School</b> Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ $465$ $79\%$ 460 $96\%$ $88\%$ $18\%$ $465$ $79\%$ $225$ $99\%$ $90\%$ $17\%$ $242$ $74\%$ $59$ $100\%$ $90\%$ $8\%$ $52$ $55$ $53$ $53$ $53$ $8$ $100\%$ $88\%$ $50\%$ $12$ $53$		coring at leve	l(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 676	Range:	616-780	650-7	780 6	96-780				
2008 Mean Score: 669	100%	96% 95%	<sup>88%</sup> 7	9%		96% 94%	83% 7g	%	
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>				1	8% 15%			289	% 26%
Number of Tested Students:		443 443	403 3	67 E	33 69				
Results by		2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		460	96%	88%	18%	465	95%	<b>79</b> %	15%
Female		225	99%	90%	17%	242	97%	81%	15%
Male		235	94%	86%	19%	223	94%	77%	15%
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American		59	100%	90%	8%	52	92%	65%	8%
Hispanic or Latino		56	95%	79%	13%	53	91%	72%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her	8	100%	88%	50%	12	100%	92%	25%
White		328	96%	89%	20%	348	96%	82%	17%
Multiracial		9	89%	67%	11%		••••	•••••	•••••••
Small Group Totals	•••••	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		•••••••••	•••••	••••••
General-Education Students		407	100%	94%	20%	395	99%	87%	17%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	53	70%	36%	2%	70	73%	31%	1%
English Proficient		455	96%	88%	18%	462	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••	5	100%	60%	0%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		135	96%	79%	10%	144	90%	66%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	325	97%	91%	21%	321	98%	85%	19%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		460	96%	88%	18%	465	95%	79%	15%

NOTEC

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 <b>S</b> o	hool Year:		
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	_	-	2	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 666	Range:	600-790	650-790	705-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 659	100%	.00% 98%	83% 68%		100% 98%	80% 70%		
2008-09								
2007-08				3% 1%			7% <u>3</u> %	
Number of Tested Students:		469 488	392 336	14 5				

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	470	100%	83%	3%	497	98%	68%	1%	
Female	244	100%	86%	2%	231	99%	72%	1%	
Male	226	100%	81%	4%	266	98%	64%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					5	100%	100%	0%	
Black or African American	53	100%	68%	2%	59	100%	54%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	52	100%	71%	2%	54	98%	48%	6%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	-	-	-	7	100%	71%	14%	
White	351	100%	87%	3%	372	98%	72%	0%	
Multiracial	2							•••••	
Small Group Totals	14	100%	86%	0%		••••		•••••	
General-Education Students	393	100%	93%	4%	407	100%	78%	1%	
Students with Disabilities	77	99%	36%	0%	90	90%	20%	0%	
English Proficient	466	_	-	-	492	98%	68%	1%	
Limited English Proficient	4	-	_	-	5	100%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	139	100%	73%	0%	130	97%	49%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	331	100%	88%	4%	367	99%	74%	1%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	470	100%	83%	3%	497	98%	68%	1%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008–09 <b>So</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	6	6	4	2
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 683	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2008 Mean Score: 674	100%	99% 97%	94% 84%		99% 96%	<sup>87%</sup> 79%	
2008-09				29% 23%			30% 28%
2007-08				23%			
Number of Tested Students:		469 483	444 420	137 116			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	472	99%	94%	<b>29</b> %	500	97%	84%	23%	
Female	245	99%	95%	28%	233	96%	85%	24%	
Male	227	100%	93%	30%	267	97%	83%	22%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					5	100%	100%	60%	
Black or African American	53	98%	91%	13%	59	98%	83%	12%	
Hispanic or Latino	53	98%	96%	11%	54	91%	70%	9%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	-	-	-	8	100%	100%	50%	
White	350	100%	94%	33%	374	97%	86%	26%	
Multiracial	4	-	-	–					
Small Group Totals	16	100%	94%	44%			•••••		
General-Education Students	393	100%	99%	34%	410	99%	94%	28%	
Students with Disabilities	79	96%	70%	5%	90	84%	39%	3%	
English Proficient	468	-	-	-	494	97%	85%	23%	
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	6	83%	33%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	140	99%	89%	15%	129	95%	78%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged	332	100%	96%	35%	371	97%	86%	27%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	472	99%	94%	29%	500	97%	84%	23%	

Other	2008–09 <b>So</b>	hool Year			2007–08 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year		
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	6	6	5	2

# This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	·	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 655	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
2008 Mean Score: 656	100%	98% 95%	66% 57%		98% 95%	69% 56%		
2008-09								
2007-08				1% 4%			5% 6%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	485 485	323 293	4 20				

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 9	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	493	<b>98</b> %	66%	1%	513	95%	57%	4%		
Female	227	98%	73%	1%	247	98%	64%	4%		
Male	266	98%	59%	0%	266	91%	51%	4%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	_	-	2	-	-	-		
Black or African American	58	100%	62%	2%	61	97%	52%	0%		
Hispanic or Latino	52	96%	44%	0%	55	91%	47%	4%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	78%	11%	7	-	-	-		
White	368	98%	68%	1%	388	95%	59%	5%		
Multiracial	2	-	-	-		••••	••••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%	9	100%	78%	0%		
General-Education Students	405	100%	76%	1%	418	100%	68%	5%		
Students with Disabilities	88	91%	17%	0%	95	72%	11%	0%		
English Proficient	486	98%	66%	1%	512	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	0%	0%	1	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged	125	98%	50%	1%	140	89%	39%	0%		
Not Disadvantaged	368	99%	71%	1%	373	97%	64%	5%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	493	98%	66%	1%	513	95%	57%	4%		

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	4	1	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	blic			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 668	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775					
2008 Mean Score: 663	100%	95% 92%	83% 74%		96% 93%	80% 70%			
2008-09 2007-08				8% 12%			19% 17%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	468 469	408 378	40 60					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	492	95%	83%	8%	512	92%	74%	12%	
Female	228	95%	84%	9%	247	92%	73%	11%	
Male	264	95%	82%	7%	265	91%	74%	12%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	_	-	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	58	100%	91%	9%	60	93%	77%	13%	
Hispanic or Latino	53	92%	77%	8%	54	89%	56%	9%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	100%	22%	7	-	-	-	
White	365	95%	82%	7%	389	92%	75%	12%	
Multiracial	3					••••		••••••	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	29%	9	100%	100%	22%	
General-Education Students	404	100%	93%	10%	418	99%	85%	14%	
Students with Disabilities	88	74%	38%	0%	94	61%	24%	1%	
English Proficient	485	95%	83%	8%	510	-	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	86%	0%	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	129	94%	74%	5%	138	86%	63%	7%	
Not Disadvantaged	363	96%	86%	9%	374	94%	78%	13%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	492	95%	83%	8%	512	92%	74%	12%	

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	5	4	0	3	-	-	-	


## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This District			NY State Public				
	Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100%	97%	80%		95%				
2008-09					73%	2007		
2007-08			29%			30%		
Number of Tested Students:	- 481	- 397	- 143					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 <b>S</b>	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	483	97%	77%	18%	498	97%	80%	29%	
Female	222	96%	74%	16%	239	97%	74%	23%	
Male	261	98%	79%	21%	259	97%	85%	34%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	58	97%	67%	16%	59	98%	75%	25%	
Hispanic or Latino	51	94%	65%	6%	53	92%	64%	21%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	89%	22%	7	-	-	-	
White	358	97%	79%	20%	377	97%	82%	31%	
Multiracial	3		-	-		••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	29%	9	100%	100%	22%	
General-Education Students	397	100%	86%	22%	407	99%	87%	34%	
Students with Disabilities	86	85%	34%	2%	91	85%	45%	4%	
English Proficient	476	97%	77%	19%	497	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	29%	0%	1	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	125	96%	67%	6%	130	95%	65%	18%	
Not Disadvantaged	358	97%	80%	23%	368	97%	85%	33%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	483	97%	77%	18%	498	97%	80%	29%	

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	5	5	0	3	-	-	-	
Regents Science	1	-	-	-	0				

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	t			2004 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	499	83%	80%	58%	515	88%	84%	60%
Female	235	83%	81%	66%	250	91%	89%	71%
Male	264	82%	80%	50%	265	85%	80%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	52	79%	77%	42%	65	91%	88%	51%
Hispanic or Latino	53	72%	70%	42%	46	–	–	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	-	-	-				
White	380	85%	82%	63%	402	88%	85%	62%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	2	-	–	-
Small Group Totals	14	79%	79%	43%	48	83%	79%	52%
General-Education Students	397	88%	88%	70%	432	94%	92%	70%
Students with Disabilities	102	60%	49%	9%	83	57%	45%	10%
English Proficient	495	-	-	-	510	88%	85%	61%
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	5	80%	80%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	120	78%	76%	48%	83	84%	81%	45%
Not Disadvantaged	379	84%	82%	61%	432	89%	85%	63%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	499	83%	80%	58%	515	88%	84%	60%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> i	rt			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									


(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 $^{\ast\ast}$  2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 <b>Cohor</b>	t			2004 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	499	84%	78%	30%	515	90%	84%	32%
Female	235	86%	78%	28%	250	92%	88%	31%
Male	264	82%	78%	31%	265	88%	82%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	52	75%	67%	23%	65	91%	80%	22%
Hispanic or Latino	53	79%	74%	17%	46	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	-	-	-	•	••••••		
White	380	86%	80%	32%	402	90%	86%	34%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	2	–	–	–
Small Group Totals	14	86%	79%	36%	48	88%	81%	25%
General-Education Students	397	92%	89%	37%	432	97%	94%	37%
Students with Disabilities	102	51%	36%	2%	83	55%	37%	4%
English Proficient	495	-	_	-	510	90%	85%	32%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	–	-	5	80%	80%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	120	80%	73%	25%	83	88%	83%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	379	85%	80%	31%	432	90%	85%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	499	84%	78%	30%	515	90%	84%	32%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	ť			2004 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment										

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 $^{\ast\ast}$  2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.