



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2008 – 09**

District **PORT JERVIS CITY SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **44-18-00-05-0000**

Superintendent **JOHN XANTHIS**

Telephone **(845) 858-3175**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District **PORT JERVIS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **44-18-00-05-0000**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Pre-K	0	0	200
Kindergarten	220	213	216
Grade 1	263	194	208
Grade 2	220	257	202
Grade 3	215	213	247
Grade 4	225	210	213
Grade 5	202	215	226
Grade 6	228	206	219
Ungraded Elementary	0	7	6
Grade 7	257	245	223
Grade 8	273	258	251
Grade 9	367	407	267
Grade 10	283	233	360
Grade 11	249	235	247
Grade 12	222	220	209
Ungraded Secondary	0	5	10
Total K-12	3224	3118	3104

Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Common Branch	22	21	21
Grade 8			
English	21	22	20
Mathematics	20	22	22
Science	14	23	22
Social Studies	22	23	22
Grade 10			
English	20	19	19
Mathematics	21	18	17
Science	14	20	17
Social Studies	23	18	21

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **PORT JERVIS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **44-18-00-05-0000**

Demographic Factors

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	976	30%	899	29%	1201	39%
Reduced-Price Lunch	329	10%	294	9%	358	12%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	38	1%	25	1%	26	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	14	0%	21	1%	20	1%
Black or African American	228	7%	235	8%	241	8%
Hispanic or Latino	262	8%	228	7%	222	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	1%	24	1%	32	1%
White	2677	83%	2610	84%	2557	82%
Multiracial	20	1%	0	0%	32	1%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		92%		92%
Student Suspensions	341	11%	294	9%	226	7%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District PORT JERVIS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 44-18-00-05-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Number of Teachers	220	239	247
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	2%	2%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	5%	6%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	19%	20%	18%
Total Number of Core Classes	661	695	659
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	3%	3%
Total Number of Classes	778	794	808
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	3%	4%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	17%	17%	17%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	8%	9%	8%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Other Professional Staff	25	27	20
Total Paraprofessionals*	34	41	37
Assistant Principals	5	5	5
Principals	4	4	4

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/>.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American			—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino			—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—	—	—	—	—
White			—			—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	SH		—			—
Limited English Proficient	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged			—			—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	6 of 6	6 of 6	1 of 1	1 of 4	1 of 4	1 of 1

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |




















Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (1418:1376)			99%		166	141	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (11:11)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (118:113)			99%		158	134	
Hispanic or Latino (94:92)			99%		163	134	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (17:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1178:1143)			99%		168	141	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (242:231)			98%		110	137	106 119
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (715:701)			100%		155	140	
Final AYP Determination		6 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status




















Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (1423:1354)			99%		178	116	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (11:11)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (121:113)			99%		173	109	
Hispanic or Latino (95:91)			100%		176	109	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (18:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1178:1122)			98%		179	116	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (246:228)			98%		126	112	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (7:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (709:680)			98%		170	115	
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 6						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status













Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (480:449)		Qualified		97%		172	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (44:41)		Qualified		93%		163	100	
Hispanic or Latino (29:28)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:11)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (388:362)		Qualified		97%		174	100	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (74:66)		Qualified		93%		130	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (2:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (236:226)		Qualified		97%		161	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status













Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09	2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹								
All Students (207:239)			95%		162	164	164	166
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (12:17)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (11:16)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (181:203)			95%		166	163		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (17:35)		–	–		54	155	77‡	69
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (1:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (102:71)			94%		123	160	160	131
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 4							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status













Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 4 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09	2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹								
All Students (207:239)			97%		156	159	159	160
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (12:17)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (11:16)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (181:203)			97%		162	158		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (17:35)		–	–		57	150	92‡	71
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (1:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (50:71)			98%		120	155	155	128
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 4							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.


⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status







Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count)	Graduation		Graduation Rate ¹	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10	
All Students (303)			68%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		–	–	–		
Black or African American (20)		–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (16)		–	–	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)						
White (264)			68%	55%		
Multiracial (1)						
Other Groups		–	–	–		
Students with Disabilities (53)			19%	55%	25%	20%
Limited English Proficient ² (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (73)			60%	55%		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

² If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

District **PORT JERVIS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **44-18-00-05-0000**

Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	70%			251
Grade 4	70%			215
Grade 5	79%			228
Grade 6	78%			217
Grade 7	67%			224
Grade 8	53%			258
Mathematics				
Grade 3	91%			251
Grade 4	79%			214
Grade 5	81%			226
Grade 6	82%			216
Grade 7	83%			223
Grade 8	70%			255
Science				
Grade 4	83%			215
Grade 8	68%			244

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2005 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
Secondary Level				
English	66%			289
Mathematics	62%			289

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	251	95%	70%	8%	217	92%	69%	9%
Female	121	96%	76%	7%	109	94%	67%	7%
Male	130	94%	64%	9%	108	91%	70%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Black or African American	18	89%	56%	6%	28	86%	61%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	15	93%	47%	7%	17	100%	59%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	213	95%	73%	9%	165	92%	70%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	0%	7	100%	86%	0%
General-Education Students	214	99%	79%	10%	188	98%	76%	10%
Students with Disabilities	37	70%	16%	0%	29	55%	21%	0%
English Proficient	251	95%	70%	8%	217	92%	69%	9%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	123	92%	59%	2%	117	89%	58%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	128	98%	80%	15%	100	96%	81%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	251	95%	70%	8%	217	92%	69%	9%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	251	100%	91%	27%	220	97%	92%	30%
Female	122	100%	89%	25%	110	99%	94%	25%
Male	129	99%	92%	29%	110	95%	91%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Black or African American	19	100%	84%	11%	27	89%	81%	26%
Hispanic or Latino	16	100%	88%	19%	16	100%	94%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	211	100%	92%	29%	170	98%	94%	31%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%	7	100%	100%	43%
General-Education Students	215	100%	95%	31%	192	100%	98%	34%
Students with Disabilities	36	97%	67%	3%	28	75%	54%	7%
English Proficient	251	100%	91%	27%	220	97%	92%	30%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	121	99%	84%	17%	119	96%	91%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	130	100%	97%	36%	101	98%	94%	45%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	251	100%	91%	27%	220	97%	92%	30%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year			2007-08 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	215	92%	70%	6%	217	94%	65%	7%
Female	105	92%	71%	8%	109	96%	71%	6%
Male	110	92%	69%	5%	108	92%	60%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-				
Black or African American	25	92%	68%	0%	14	86%	64%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	15	93%	53%	0%	12	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	167	92%	71%	7%	188	95%	65%	6%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	13%	15	87%	73%	20%
General-Education Students	188	96%	77%	7%	190	97%	71%	8%
Students with Disabilities	27	63%	22%	0%	27	70%	26%	0%
English Proficient	215	92%	70%	6%	214	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	123	89%	56%	1%	102	91%	57%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	92	97%	89%	13%	115	97%	73%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	215	92%	70%	6%	217	94%	65%	7%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	214	93%	79%	19%	219	92%	77%	11%
Female	104	94%	80%	19%	109	94%	77%	9%
Male	110	93%	77%	18%	110	90%	77%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-				
Black or African American	26	92%	73%	8%	14	86%	86%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	14	93%	64%	0%	12	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	165	94%	80%	20%	190	93%	76%	12%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	9	89%	89%	56%	15	93%	87%	7%
General-Education Students	186	97%	84%	21%	191	97%	82%	13%
Students with Disabilities	28	71%	43%	4%	28	61%	43%	0%
English Proficient	213	-	-	-	216	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	116	91%	68%	8%	102	88%	69%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	98	97%	91%	32%	117	96%	85%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	214	93%	79%	19%	219	92%	77%	11%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year			2007-08 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	215	95%	83%	48%	215	98%	92%	55%
Female	107	93%	80%	46%	108	98%	92%	54%
Male	108	96%	86%	50%	107	98%	92%	56%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-				
Black or African American	24	88%	79%	29%	14	93%	79%	50%
Hispanic or Latino	14	86%	71%	21%	12	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	168	97%	85%	52%	186	99%	93%	56%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	67%	15	93%	87%	47%
General-Education Students	190	96%	86%	51%	186	99%	95%	60%
Students with Disabilities	25	84%	60%	24%	29	93%	69%	21%
English Proficient	214	-	-	-	212	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	115	93%	77%	32%	100	96%	84%	45%
Not Disadvantaged	100	97%	90%	66%	115	100%	98%	63%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	215	95%	83%	48%	215	98%	92%	55%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	228	100%	79%	9%	213	97%	71%	3%
Female	116	100%	81%	9%	104	99%	74%	2%
Male	112	100%	78%	9%	109	95%	69%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	-	-	-	12	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	93%	14%	14	100%	71%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	199	100%	78%	8%	184	98%	73%	2%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	100%	80%	13%	15	87%	53%	0%
General-Education Students	197	100%	87%	10%	175	99%	83%	3%
Students with Disabilities	31	100%	29%	0%	38	87%	16%	0%
English Proficient	226	-	-	-	212	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	115	100%	76%	10%	96	96%	59%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	113	100%	83%	8%	117	98%	81%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	228	100%	79%	9%	213	97%	71%	3%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	6	4	4	0
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	226	96%	81%	19%	216	92%	70%	15%
Female	115	98%	80%	15%	106	93%	70%	15%
Male	111	95%	83%	24%	110	91%	71%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	15	93%	87%	33%	14	93%	64%	29%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	196	97%	81%	19%	184	93%	72%	15%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	93%	87%	13%	18	78%	56%	6%
General-Education Students	194	99%	88%	22%	176	98%	81%	18%
Students with Disabilities	32	78%	41%	6%	40	65%	25%	0%
English Proficient	223	-	-	-	215	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	111	95%	79%	14%	98	88%	55%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	115	98%	83%	24%	118	96%	83%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	226	96%	81%	19%	216	92%	70%	15%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	6	5	1

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 663	598-785	650-785	696-785*			
2008 Mean Score: 657						
Number of Tested Students:	217	200	169	114	11	5

Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	217	100%	78%	5%	204	98%	56%	2%
Female	105	100%	82%	9%	101	99%	54%	3%
Male	112	100%	74%	2%	103	97%	57%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	14	100%	57%	7%	24	100%	50%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	13	-	-	-	21	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	188	100%	79%	5%	158	97%	56%	3%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	100%	80%	0%	22	100%	64%	0%
General-Education Students	174	100%	92%	6%	172	99%	64%	3%
Students with Disabilities	43	100%	21%	0%	32	91%	13%	0%
English Proficient	216	-	-	-	203	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	103	100%	65%	2%	112	97%	44%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	114	100%	89%	8%	92	99%	71%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	217	100%	78%	5%	204	98%	56%	2%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	4	4	3
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	216	96%	82%	17%	210	93%	79%	16%
Female	105	95%	83%	16%	102	93%	77%	13%
Male	111	96%	81%	17%	108	94%	81%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	14	93%	64%	0%	23	87%	74%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	13	-	-	-	21	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	187	96%	83%	17%	165	93%	78%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	100%	87%	33%	22	100%	91%	14%
General-Education Students	173	100%	94%	21%	175	99%	90%	18%
Students with Disabilities	43	79%	35%	0%	35	66%	23%	3%
English Proficient	215	-	-	-	209	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	102	94%	75%	9%	115	92%	73%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	114	97%	89%	24%	95	95%	86%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	216	96%	82%	17%	210	93%	79%	16%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	5	5	2

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	224	99%	67%	3%	249	98%	65%	1%
Female	103	99%	66%	2%	121	99%	68%	1%
Male	121	99%	68%	3%	128	96%	63%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	27	100%	59%	0%	16	94%	63%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	21	-	-	-	14	100%	57%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
White	175	99%	67%	3%	210	98%	66%	0%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	100%	73%	0%	9	89%	67%	22%
General-Education Students	179	100%	79%	3%	213	100%	75%	1%
Students with Disabilities	45	96%	18%	0%	36	86%	8%	0%
English Proficient	223	-	-	-	248	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	128	98%	59%	1%	108	95%	50%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	96	100%	78%	5%	141	99%	77%	1%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	224	99%	67%	3%	249	98%	65%	1%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	4	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	223	98%	83%	23%	247	94%	79%	25%
Female	106	97%	82%	21%	118	97%	83%	22%
Male	117	99%	85%	26%	129	90%	76%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	28	96%	82%	25%	15	93%	80%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	22	-	-	-	13	92%	77%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
White	172	99%	83%	24%	209	93%	79%	26%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	23	96%	91%	17%	10	100%	80%	40%
General-Education Students	178	100%	94%	29%	212	98%	90%	29%
Students with Disabilities	45	91%	40%	0%	35	66%	17%	0%
English Proficient	222	-	-	-	246	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	127	98%	78%	13%	107	91%	67%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	96	99%	91%	36%	140	96%	89%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	223	98%	83%	23%	247	94%	79%	25%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	3	3	1

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	258	97%	53%	1%	256	92%	43%	2%
Female	127	98%	54%	1%	128	96%	49%	2%
Male	131	95%	53%	1%	128	88%	38%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	18	100%	44%	0%	16	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	50%	0%	19	79%	21%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	216	97%	54%	1%	220	94%	47%	2%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	90%	60%	0%	17	88%	24%	0%
General-Education Students	220	99%	61%	1%	221	97%	50%	2%
Students with Disabilities	38	84%	5%	0%	35	63%	0%	0%
English Proficient	257	-	-	-	250	93%	44%	2%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	6	50%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	119	95%	40%	0%	107	90%	30%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	139	99%	64%	1%	149	94%	53%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	258	97%	53%	1%	256	92%	43%	2%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	255	95%	70%	8%	254	91%	58%	5%
Female	125	95%	71%	8%	125	93%	62%	6%
Male	130	95%	69%	8%	129	88%	54%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	18	94%	67%	6%	17	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	43%	0%	17	88%	59%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	213	94%	71%	8%	219	91%	59%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	100%	90%	40%	18	89%	39%	0%
General-Education Students	217	100%	81%	10%	219	97%	67%	5%
Students with Disabilities	38	68%	11%	0%	35	49%	0%	0%
English Proficient	254	-	-	-	248	91%	58%	5%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	6	83%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	95%	56%	3%	105	87%	47%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	138	95%	82%	13%	149	93%	66%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	255	95%	70%	8%	254	91%	58%	5%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	244	98%	68%	18%	251	98%	76%	24%
Female	118	98%	67%	11%	123	99%	75%	24%
Male	126	97%	70%	25%	128	96%	77%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	16	100%	56%	6%	17	88%	76%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	50%	7%	16	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	204	98%	70%	19%	217	99%	76%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	90%	90%	40%	17	94%	65%	29%
General-Education Students	207	100%	76%	22%	216	99%	83%	28%
Students with Disabilities	37	86%	27%	0%	35	89%	29%	0%
English Proficient	243	-	-	-	245	98%	77%	25%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	6	83%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	113	96%	55%	9%	101	97%	63%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	131	99%	80%	27%	150	98%	84%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	244	98%	68%	18%	251	98%	76%	24%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year			2007-08 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction


This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



■ 2005 Cohort
■ 2004 Cohort


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2005 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2004 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2005 Cohort	2005 Cohort			2004 Cohort**	2004 Cohort**		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	289	70%	66%	36%	303	71%	67%	39%
Female	141	77%	72%	43%	132	78%	73%	42%
Male	148	64%	61%	29%	171	65%	63%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	21	57%	52%	14%	20	70%	60%	30%
Hispanic or Latino	17	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	248	70%	67%	38%	264	70%	67%	40%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	20	85%	75%	25%	19	79%	79%	32%
General-Education Students	241	79%	77%	43%	250	83%	79%	47%
Students with Disabilities	48	25%	13%	0%	53	13%	9%	2%
English Proficient	286	-	-	-	303	71%	67%	39%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	92	53%	49%	16%	73	66%	56%	29%
Not Disadvantaged	197	78%	75%	45%	230	73%	70%	42%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	289	70%	66%	36%	303	71%	67%	39%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2005 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction


This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



■ 2005 Cohort
■ 2004 Cohort


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2005 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2004 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2005 Cohort			2004 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	289	70%	62%	18%	303	73%	69%	17%
Female	141	75%	65%	23%	132	79%	73%	15%
Male	148	65%	59%	13%	171	68%	65%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	21	43%	33%	5%	20	65%	65%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	17	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	248	71%	64%	19%	264	73%	68%	18%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	20	80%	60%	20%	19	79%	79%	11%
General-Education Students	241	80%	72%	21%	250	84%	80%	21%
Students with Disabilities	48	19%	10%	0%	53	23%	15%	0%
English Proficient	286	-	-	-	303	73%	69%	17%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	92	54%	43%	8%	73	64%	60%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	197	77%	70%	22%	230	76%	71%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	289	70%	62%	18%	303	73%	69%	17%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2005 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.