

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School MAHOPAC MIDDLE SCHOOL District MAHOPAC CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 48-01-01-06-0004 Principal IRA GURKIN Telephone (845) 621-1330 Grades 6-8, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
426	415	416
2	4	0
427	421	425
440	430	432
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
1	3	2
1296	1273	1275
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 426 2 427 420 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           426         415           2         4           427         421           440         430           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           1         3

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	22	22	20
Mathematics	21	21	25
Science	23	23	22
Social Studies	21	21	26
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science	10	10	
Social Studies	24	24	

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	6-07	200	7-08	2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	29	2%	25	2%	35	3%
Reduced-Price Lunch	21	2%	14	1%	24	2%
Student Stability*		96%		98%		99%
Limited English Proficient	15	1%	14	1%	17	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	20	2%	20	2%	16	1%
Hispanic or Latino	62	5%	80	6%	99	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	29	2%	22	2%	27	2%
White	1182	91%	1145	90%	1122	88%
Multiracial	0	0%	5	0%	10	1%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%	
Student Suspensions	13	1%	13	1%	6	0%	

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	104	107	100
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	2%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	1%	12%	7%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	18%	20%	22%
Total Number of Core Classes	459	461	356
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	2%	2%
Total Number of Classes	502	511	402
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	2%	2%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	7%	8%	29%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	5%	20%	18%

## **Staff Counts**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	8	8	9
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007–08 PI + (200 – the 2007–08 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

# 2 School Accountability

School MAHOPAC MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 48-01-01-06-0004

### Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10			
	YES	YES	YES			

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	<b>v</b>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	-				
Black or African American	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	~	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_			•	
White	~	<b>~</b>	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Multiracial	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>				
Limited English Proficient	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 5 of 5	🖌 5 of 5	🖌 1 of 1			

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
	Ctatura	Met	Percentage n Tested	Met Criterion	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
	Status	Criterion	lested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (1282:1256)	<b>V</b>	V	99%	~	186	141		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (16:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (101:97)	✓	<	99%	<b>~</b>	179	134	•••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (27:25)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1124:1106)	<	<b>V</b>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	186	141	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (13:12)	_	_	-	-	-	_	••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (238:234)	~	~	99%	~	153	137		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••
(19:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (79:72)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	~	168	133		···· ·····
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	-
			lesteu		Index		2008-09	2009-10
All Students (1284:1265)	<b>V</b>		100%	~	187	116		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (16:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Hispanic or Latino (101:98)	✓	✓	98%	~	180	109	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (27:26)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1126:1113)	<	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	189	116	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (13:12)	_	_	-	–	-	_	••••••••••••••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (238:237)	~	~	100%	~	154	112		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••
(20:17)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (78:72)	~	~	100%	~	168	108	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	···· ·····
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science Made AYP
(2009-10)	1 - 5 1	
Accountability Status for This Subject		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/</b> .

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008–09	Target 2009–10
All Students (435:428)	<b>~</b>	Qualified	~	99%	~	190	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (6:6)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (24:23)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (397:391)		Qualified	~	100%	~	191	100		
Multiracial (3:3)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	–	_	-	-	-	–		-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (83:83)		Qualified	~	100%	~	164	100		
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (6:5)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (25:22)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status		followed b students w <sup>2</sup> Groups wit	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40	ontinuously enrolle d from testing for r students enrolled	ed tested studer nedical reasons during the test	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perco	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec	ccountabilit ent count. quired to me	y calculation et

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

### Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	88%		416
Grade 7	93%		418
Grade 8	77%		427
Mathematics			
Grade 6	88%		421
Grade 7	95%		422
Grade 8	85%		430
Science			
Grade 8	84%		248

#### District MAHOPAC CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 35

All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 672	Range:	598-785	650-785	696-785*				
2008 Mean Score: 673 ■ 2008-09	100%	100%100%	88% 84%		100%100%	93% 87%		
2007-08				<sup>13%</sup> 7%			15% 10%	
Number of Tested Students:		415 411	364 345	52 29				
<u> </u>		2008-09 Sch	ool Year		2007-08 5	chool Year		

Pocults by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total Tested	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	416	100%	88%	13%	411	100%	84%	7%
Female	206	100%	89%	17%	199	100%	86%	10%
Male	210	100%	86%	9%	212	100%	82%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	100%	67%	0%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	34	100%	79%	6%	38	100%	79%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	100%	93%	27%	4	-	-	-
White	356	100%	89%	13%	363	100%	85%	8%
Multiracial	5	100%	60%	0%	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals					10	100%	80%	0%
General-Education Students	347	100%	94%	15%	333	100%	92%	9%
Students with Disabilities	69	99%	55%	1%	78	100%	49%	0%
English Proficient	411	100%	88%	13%	407	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	31	100%	61%	6%	14	100%	71%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	385	100%	90%	13%	397	100%	84%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	416	100%	88%	13%	411	100%	84%	7%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	·	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 682	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2008 Mean Score: 679 2008–09 2007–08	100%	97% 98%	88% 85%	26% 25%	99% 99%	94% 93%	44% 42%		
Number of Tested Students:		408 404	372 352	111 105					
De sudte has		2007–08 School Year							

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
_	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	421	97%	88%	26%	412	98%	85%	25%
Female	208	98%	91%	30%	200	98%	86%	27%
Male	213	96%	86%	23%	212	98%	85%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	67%	33%	0%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	35	91%	74%	20%	38	97%	76%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	94%	47%	5	100%	80%	20%
White	358	98%	91%	27%	363	98%	87%	27%
Multiracial	5	100%	80%	0%	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	6	100%	83%	33%
General-Education Students	352	99%	94%	30%	334	100%	92%	31%
Students with Disabilities	69	87%	58%	7%	78	91%	56%	0%
English Proficient	413	97%	89%	27%	407	98%	86%	26%
Limited English Proficient	8	75%	50%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	88%	72%	13%	14	100%	71%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	389	98%	90%	28%	398	98%	86%	26%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	421	97%	88%	26%	412	98%	85%	25%

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	0			

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This Scl	nool		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	Percenta	ge scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 678	Range: 600–79	0 650-790	705-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 669	100%	<sup>%</sup> 93% 81%		100%100%	94% 90%		
2008-09 2007-08			11% 3%			14% 6%	
Number of Tested Students:	418 423	389 342	48 11				

Deculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	418	100%	93%	11%	424	100%	81%	3%
Female	203	100%	97%	15%	216	100%	86%	4%
Male	215	100%	90%	8%	208	100%	75%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	7	100%	71%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	38	100%	92%	8%	21	95%	52%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	67%	17%	4	-	-	-
White	365	100%	94%	12%	388	100%	82%	3%
Multiracial	5	-	-	-	3	-	–	-
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	0%	8	100%	88%	0%
General-Education Students	339	100%	98%	14%	348	100%	89%	3%
Students with Disabilities	79	100%	71%	0%	76	99%	41%	0%
English Proficient	413	100%	93%	12%	419	100%	82%	3%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	80%	0%	5	100%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	100%	91%	5%	15	100%	53%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	396	100%	93%	12%	409	100%	82%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	418	100%	93%	11%	424	100%	81%	3%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):Tested2-43-44				Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2		_	-	3	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 693	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2008 Mean Score: 686	100%	100% 99%	95% 91%		100% 99%	97% 94%	50% 50%
2008-09				44% 39%			50% 50%
2007-08							
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	420 419	400 384	186 164			
		2008-00 Sch				chool Voar	

2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
422	100%	95%	44%	422	99%	91%	39%	
202	100%	96%	45%	216	99%	92%	39%	
220	99%	94%	44%	206	100%	90%	39%	
				1	-	-	-	
4	-	-	-	7	100%	71%	14%	
39	97%	92%	28%	22	100%	86%	18%	
6	100%	83%	33%	4	-	-	-	
368	100%	95%	46%	385	99%	91%	40%	
5	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
9	100%	89%	44%	8	100%	100%	75%	
340	100%	98%	53%	349	100%	99%	45%	
82	98%	80%	7%	73	96%	53%	10%	
417	100%	95%	45%	416	100%	91%	39%	
5	100%	60%	0%	6	83%	83%	0%	
21	100%	86%	33%	16	94%	81%	38%	
401	100%	95%	45%	406	100%	91%	39%	
422	100%	95%	44%	422	99%	91%	39%	
	Total           Tested           422           202           220           4           39           6           368           5           9           340           82           417           5           21           401	Total Tested         Percentage 2-4           422         100%           202         100%           202         99%           4         -           39         97%           6         100%           368         100%           5         -           9         100%           82         98%           417         100%           21         100%	Tested         2-4         3-4           422         100%         95%           202         100%         96%           220         99%         94%           4         -         -           39         97%         92%           6         100%         83%           368         100%         95%           5         -         -           9         100%         89%           340         100%         98%           82         98%         80%           417         100%         95%           5         100%         60%           21         100%         86%           401         100%         95%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         3-4         4           422         100%         95%         44%           202         100%         96%         45%           220         99%         94%         44%           220         99%         94%         44%           4         -         -         -           39         97%         92%         28%           6         100%         83%         33%           368         100%         95%         46%           5         -         -         -           9         100%         89%         44%           340         100%         98%         53%           82         98%         80%         7%           417         100%         95%         45%           5         100%         60%         0%           21         100%         86%         33%	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total Tested422100%95%44%422202100%96%45%21622099%94%44%20620099%94%44%206201111473997%92%28%226100%83%33%4368100%95%46%385539100%89%44%8340100%98%53%3498298%80%7%73417100%95%45%4165100%60%0%621100%86%33%16401100%95%45%406	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total TestedPercentage $2-4$ 422100%95%44%42299%202100%96%45%21699%20299%94%44%206100%22099%94%44%206100%3997%92%28%22100%6100%83%33%4-368100%95%46%38599%53-9100%89%44%8100%340100%98%53%349100%417100%95%45%416100%5100%60%0%683%21100%86%33%1694%401100%95%45%406100%	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total $3-4$ Percentage scoring at $2-4$ 422100%95%44%42299%91%202100%96%45%21699%92%22099%94%44%206100%90%22099%94%44%206100%90%3997%92%28%22100%86%6100%83%33%4368100%95%46%38599%91%539100%89%44%8100%100%340100%98%53%349100%99%8298%80%7%7396%53%417100%95%45%416100%91%5100%60%0%683%83%21100%86%33%1694%81%401100%95%45%406100%91%	

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	_	-	_	3	-	_	-

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 665	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2008 Mean Score: 661	100%	100% 97%	77% 62%		100% 99%	88% 81%	
2008-09 2007-08				4% 5%			10% 12%
Number of Tested Students:		427 416	328 263	15 22			

Poculto by	2008–09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	427	100%	77%	4%	427	97%	62%	5%
Female	222	100%	81%	6%	199	99%	73%	8%
Male	205	100%	73%	1%	228	96%	51%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	6	100%	83%	0%	11	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	24	100%	58%	0%	21	95%	48%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	11	100%	64%	18%
White	389	100%	78%	4%	383	98%	63%	5%
Multiracial	3	-	-	–	1	-	–	-
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	13%	12	92%	42%	8%
General-Education Students	347	100%	87%	4%	336	100%	74%	7%
Students with Disabilities	80	100%	33%	0%	91	89%	18%	0%
English Proficient	424	-	-	-	424	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	–	3	-	–	-
Economically Disadvantaged	25	100%	56%	0%	16	100%	50%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	402	100%	78%	4%	411	97%	62%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	427	100%	77%	4%	427	97%	62%	5%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3		_	-	2	-	_	_
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 677	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2008 Mean Score: 676	100%	97% 98%	85% 78%		99% 98%	95% <sub>90%</sub>	
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>				18% 23%			33% 32%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	418 413	366 332	79 96			

Poculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	
All Students	430	97%	85%	18%	423	98%	78%	23%	
Female	224	97%	85%	21%	199	98%	82%	25%	
Male	206	97%	85%	15%	224	97%	75%	21%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	6	100%	83%	0%	11	100%	100%	18%	
Hispanic or Latino	23	100%	83%	0%	21	95%	67%	14%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	
White	393	97%	85%	19%	381	98%	78%	23%	
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	38%	10	90%	80%	30%	
General-Education Students	350	100%	94%	22%	334	100%	89%	28%	
Students with Disabilities	80	85%	48%	1%	89	90%	40%	1%	
English Proficient	424	97%	85%	19%	420	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	83%	0%	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	25	96%	72%	0%	15	93%	73%	27%	
Not Disadvantaged	405	97%	86%	20%	408	98%	79%	23%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	430	97%	85%	18%	423	98%	78%	23%	

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year:			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	_	-	-	2	-	-	_

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ι			Similar Sch	ools		Similar Schools					
	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         99% 100%       91% 92%       99% 99% 99%       93% 94%       51%         426       422       389       392       190       205       51%         Zoo8-og School Year       Zoo7-o8 School Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Zoo7-o8 School Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at Tested         120       98%       79%       22%       115       99%       88%         120       98%       79%       22%       115       99%       88%         120       98%       79%       22%       115       99%       88%         128       99%       88%       33%       145       99%       88%         14       -       -       -       88%       75%         16       100%       75%       6%       16       100%       89%         2       -       -       1       -       -       -         7       100%       71%       43% <td< th=""><th></th></td<>												
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4						
100%													
	99% 100%	91% 9	2%		99% 99%	93% 94	%						
		5170 -											
			1	48%			519	<sub>%</sub> 56%					
2008-09			42	4% 1070									
2007-08													
Number of Tested Students:	126 122	389 3	192 1	20 205									
Number of rested students.	420 422	505 5		50 205									
Results by		hool Yea	r			chool Yea	r						
-		Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):		ring at level(s): 3-4 4 93% 94% 93% 94% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51		level(s):					
Student Group	lested	2-4	3-4	4	lested	2-4	3-4	4					
All Students	248	99%	84%	27%	260	99%	88%	35%					
Female	120	98%	79%	22%	115	99%	87%	26%					
Male	128	99%	88%	33%	145	99%	88%	43%					
American Indian or Alaska Native													
Black or African American	4				8	88%	75%	50%					
Hispanic or Latino	16	100%	75%	6%	16	100%	81%	13%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_	5	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander	т				ر 								
White	225	99%	85%	28%	230	100%	89%	37%					
Multiracial	2	–		-	1	-		-					
Small Group Totals								33%					
General-Education Students	171	99%	93%	35%	173	100%	92%	42%					
Students with Disabilities	77	97%	64%	10%	87	98%	78%	23%					
English Proficient	242	99%	86%	28%	257	_							
Limited English Proficient	6	83%	17%	0%	3	-		_					
Economically Disadvantaged	23	100%	74%	26%	13	100%	77%	54%					
Not Disadvantaged	225	99%	85%	28%	247	99%	88%	34%					
Migrant													
Not Migrant	248	99%	84%	27%	260	99%	88%	35%					

Other Assessments	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Regents Science	181	181	181	122	164	164	164	113