

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008-09

School HOOSIC VALLEY MIDDLE SCHOOL District HOOSIC VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 49-14-01-04-0003 Principal AMY GOODELL Telephone (518) 753-4432 Grades 5-8

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

Review an Overview of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state

assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006–07	2007–08	2008–09	
Pre-K	0	0	0	
Kindergarten	0	0	0	
Grade 1	0	0	0	
Grade 2	0	0	0	
Grade 3	0	0	0	
Grade 4	0	0	0	
Grade 5	85	91	100	
Grade 6	106	88	90	
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0	
Grade 7	111	111	105	
Grade 8	95	110	109	
Grade 9	0	0	0	
Grade 10	0	0	0	
Grade 11	0	0	0	
Grade 12	0	0	0	
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0	
Total K–12	397	400	404	

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	21	21	20
Grade 8			
English	17	17	19
Mathematics	18	18	19
Science	20	20	20
Social Studies	15	15	20
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	ŧ %	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	51	13%	44	11%	51	13%
Reduced-Price Lunch	19	5%	35	9%	35	9%
Student Stability*		95%		93%		94%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	6	2%	2	1%	7	2%
Hispanic or Latino	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	389	98%	398	100%	397	98%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		95%	95%			96%	
Student Suspensions	20	5%	25	6%	14	4%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	26	27	29
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	4%	3%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	11%	14%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	23%	22%	28%
Total Number of Core Classes	105	109	112
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	4%	4%
Total Number of Classes	127	131	135
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	5%	4%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	50%	20%	17%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	13%	8%	15%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	0	0	0
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

 $^{\star}~$ Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

2 School Accountability

School HOOSIC VALLEY MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 49-14-01-04-0003

Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (2009 20)	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel			
Student Groups	English			English				
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	V	 					
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	–	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	•••••				••••			
White	~	 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial	•••••	•••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	~	 						
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	 	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1					

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District HOOSIC VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✓	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	est Performance ³ Perform		Performance Objectives	
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (389:381)	~	V	100%	V	178	138		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino ^(0:0)			•••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (384:376)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	178	138	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)			••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(70:68)	V	V	100%	~	135	132		
Limited English Proficient ⁵	• •••••	•••••••••••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (111:105)	~	/	100%	~	167	134	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accultance of the students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accultance.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District HOOSIC VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	 	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	3
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students (392:381)	V	V	100%	V	182	113		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (6:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••• •••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (386:376)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	183	113	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)			••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(70:68)	V	V	100%	~	138	107		
Limited English Proficient ⁵	• •••••	•••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (112:105)	~	~	100%	~	167	109		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Measures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Made AYP
(2009–10) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
for This Subject		see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Status		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Targe	
All Students (105:98)	~	Qualified	 ✓ 	97%	 	188	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0) Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)						••••			
White (105:98)	••••••••	Qualified	<	97%	 	188	100	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••	• ••••	••••		••••	••••••	••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (13:12)		_	_	_	-	_	-	_	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)	•••••••								
Economically Disadvantaged (30:26)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ ✓ Did Not Make AYP	et	followed b students w ² Groups wit the partici shown is th participati	y the count of c /ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2007– on rates over the	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation o8 and 2008–09 e ose two years.	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test n rate of a grou nrollments and	est administration p nts (used for Perfori s are not included ir administration peri p fell below 80 perc the percent tested lents are not require	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2008–0 is the weighte	ccountability calcul ent count. Juired to meet 19, the enrollment ed average of the	

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

in the performance calculations.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		tage of students that at or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	6 50 <u></u> %	100%
Grade 5	82%	L	102
Grade 6	75%		92
Grade 7	88%		92
Grade 8	67%		103
Mathematics			
Grade 5	86%		102
Grade 6	80%		94
Grade 7	91%		92
Grade 8	80%		104
Science			
Grade 8	89%		102

District HOOSIC VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 32

All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 671	Range:	608-795	650-	795	711-795				
2008 Mean Score: 670	100%	100% 99%	82% -	78%		100% 99%	88% ₈₂	%	
2008-09 2007-08					8% 8%			159	6%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	102 90	84	71	8 7				
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		102	100%	82%	8%	91	99%	78 %	8%
Female		44	100%	86%	11%	46	98%	78%	9%
Male		58	100%	79%	5%	45	100%	78%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e								
Black or African American		1				3			
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander									
White		101							······-
Multiracial			•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals			100%			91			
General-Education Students		78	100%	94%	10%	72	100%	90%	10%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	24	100%	46%	0%				
English Proficient Limited English Proficient		102	100%	82%	8%	91	99%	78%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged		29	100%	69%	3%	18	94%	78%	0%
Not Disadvantaged		73	100%	88%	10%	73	100%	78%	10%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		102	100%		8%	91	99%	78%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Scho	ol			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentages	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 676	Range:	619-780	650-	780 6	99-780				
2008 Mean Score: 677	100%	99% 99%	86% 8	37%		99% 97%	90% 87	*%	
2008-092007-08				2	3% 20%			34	23%
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	101 90	88	79 2	23 18				
Doculto by		2008–09 S	ichool Yea	r		2007-08 9	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		102	99%	86%	23%	91	99%	87 %	20%
Female		44	100%	93%	25%	46	98%	91%	22%
Male		58	98%	81%	21%	45	100%	82%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		1				3			
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r								
White	•••••	101			-	88	-		-
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••		•••••••••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	•••••	102	99%	86%	23%	91	99%	87%	20%
General-Education Students		78	100%	94%	29%	72	100%	94%	25%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	24	96%	63%	0%	19	95%	58%	0%
English Proficient		102	99%	86%	23%	91	99%	87%	20%
_imited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••	•••••		••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		29	97%	72%	10%	18	94%	67%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	73	100%	92%	27%	73	100%	92%	23%
Migrant									
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

102

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent 0 0 0	Other	2008-09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
New York State Alternate Assessment		Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
		0				0				

86%

23%

99%

91

99%

87%

20%

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 665	Range:	598-785	650-7	785 6	96-785*				
2008 Mean Score: 662 2008-09 2007-08	100%	100% 99%	75% 7		[%] 2%	100% 99%	87% 76	5% 109	⁶ 5%
Number of Tested Students:		92 88	69	67	62				
Pocults by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		92	100%	75%	7%	89	99%	75%	2 %
Female		50	100%	82%	10%	51	98%	75%	0%

remale	50	100%	82%	10%	51	98%	15%	0%
Male	42	100%	67%	2%	38	100%	76%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	88	-	-	-	87	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	92	100%	75%	7%	89	99%	75%	2%
General-Education Students	70	100%	90%	9%	77	100%	84%	3%
Students with Disabilities	22	100%	27%	0%	12	92%	17%	0%
English Proficient	92	100%	75%	7%	89	99%	75%	2%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••				•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	27	100%	59%	0%	18	100%	50%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	65	100%	82%	9%	71	99%	82%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	92	100%	75%	7%	89	99%	75%	2%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008–09 So	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Scho	ol				Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4		4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 669	Range:	616-780	650-	-780	696	5-780				
2008 Mean Score: 669 2008–09 2007–08	100%	94% 97%	80%	80%	16%	16%	98% 97%	88% 86		% 27%
Number of Tested Students:		88 86	75	71	15	14				
Poculto by		2008-09 \$	School Ye	ar			2007-08	School Yea	r	
Results by Student Group		Total Tested	Percenta 2-4	ge scorin 3–	5	vel(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
		94	94%	80%	%	16%	89	97%	80%	16%
Female		51	94%	809	%	16%	51	98%	75%	12%

Male	43	93%	79%	16%	38	95%	87%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	80%	40%	0%	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••		•••••				••••••	•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	89	94%	82%	17%	87	-	-	-
Multiracial							•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Small Group Totals	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	89	97%	80%	16%
General-Education Students	72	99%	90%	21%	77	99%	86%	17%
Students with Disabilities	22	77%	45%	0%	12	83%	42%	8%
English Proficient	94	94%	80%	16%	89	97%	80%	16%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	28	82%	57%	4%	18	89%	67%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	66	98%	89%	21%	71	99%	83%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant		94%	80%	16%	89	97%		16%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 S	chool Year		
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	l			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 665	Range:	600-790	650-	790 7	05-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 662	100%	100% 98%	88%	78%		100% 99%	88% 78	1%	
2008-092007-08				2	^{1%} 1%			8%	3%
Number of Tested Students:		92 106	81	84	4 1				
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08 S	ichool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		92	100%	88%	4%	108	98%	78%	1%
Female		52	100%	96%	6%	47	100%	85%	2%
Male		40	100%	78%	3%	61	97%	72%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				••••••					
White		92	100%		4%	108	98%	78%	1%
Multiracial Small Group Totals				•••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
General-Education Students		81	100%	93%	5%	95	100%	85%	1%
Students with Disabilities		11	100%	55%	0%	13	85%	23%	0%
English Proficient		92	100%	88%	4%	108	98%	78%	1%
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		26	100%	88%	0%	23	96%	65%	0%
Not Disadvantaged		66	100%	88%	6%	85	99%	81%	1%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		92	100%	88%	4%	108	98%	78%	1%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Scho	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	,	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 674	Range:	611-800	650-	800 6	93-800				
2008 Mean Score: 666	100%	100% _{95%}	91%	34%		99% 98%	^{94%} 88	%	
2008–09 2007–08				1	^{5%} 10%		н	33	% 34%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	92 104	84	92	14 11				
Results by		2008-09 \$	School Yea	ır		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	4 38% 339	4
All Students		92	100%	91 %	15%	109	95%	84%	10%
Female		52	100%	90%	12%	47	98%	89%	15%
Male		40	100%	93%	20%	62	94%	81%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Nat Black or African American	ive				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	۱r							•	
White		92	100%	91%	15%	109	95%	84%	10%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students		81	100%	94%	17%	96	99%	92%	11%
Students with Disabilities		11	100%	73%	0%	13	69%	31%	0%
				010/	4 = 0/	109	050/	0.407	10%
English Proficient		92	100%	91%	15%	109	95%	84%	1070
English Proficient Limited English Proficient		92	100%	91%	15%	109	95%	84%	10%

Migrant 109 Not Migrant 92 100% 91% 15% 95% 84% 10%

94%

18%

86

95%

86%

12%

100%

Not Disadvantaged

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

66

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 S	chool Year		
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Sch			
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 655	Range:	602-790	650-	790 7	15-790				
2008 Mean Score: 653	100%	99% 96%	67%			99% 97%	77%	5%	
 2008-09 2007-08 			Ę	22	% 5%			6%	5 7%
Number of Tested Students:		102 104	69	54	2 5				
Pocults by		2008–09 S o	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	0	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		103	99%	67 %	2%	108	96%	50%	5%
Female		43	100%	79%	5%	51	98%	65%	10%
Male		60	98%	58%	0%	57	95%	37%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Na Black or African American	itive		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	ier	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Pacific Islander									
White		103	99%	67%	2%	106	-	-	-
Multiracial					•••••			••••••	
Small Group Totals			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	108	96%	50%	5%
General-Education Students		90	100%	74%	2%	89	100%	57%	6%
Students with Disabilities		13	92%	15%	0%	19	79%	16%	0%
English Proficient		103	99%	67%	2%	108	96%	50%	5%
Limited English Proficient		• ••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged		29	97%	55%	0%	21	95%	29%	0%
Not Disadvantaged		74	100%	72%	3%	87	97%	55%	6%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	103	99%	67%	2%	108	96%	50%	5%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo	_			Similar Sch			
		Percentage so	oring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):	
	I	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 668	Range:	616-775	650-	775 7	01-775				
2008 Mean Score: 653	100%	96% 92%	80%	56%		98% 97%	89% 80	%	
2008-092007-08				1	^{0%} 6%		н	21%	⁶ 18%
Number of Tested Students:		100 99	83	60	10 7				
Results by		2008–09 S o	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<u>Student Group</u>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		104	96%	80%	10%	108	92%	56%	6 %
Female		43	100%	84%	21%	51	94%	61%	8%
Male		61	93%	77%	2%	57	89%	51%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American						2			
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White		104	96%	80%	10%	106			
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals						108	92%	56%	6%
General-Education Students		91	100%	89%	11%	89	96%	65%	8%
Students with Disabilities		13	69%	15%	0%	19	74%	11%	0%
English Proficient		104	96%	80%	10%	108	92%	56%	6%
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		29	97%	72%	0%	21	81%	14%	0%
Not Disadvantaged		75	96%	83%	13%	87	94%	66%	8%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		104	96%	80%	10%	108	92%	56%	6%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Zoos-og school real Zoos-og school real Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4	
<u> </u>	
	4
New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

Percentage so 2–4		el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at love	(c):	
2-4				r creentage se	oning at level	(5).	
	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
99% 99%	89% 9	00%		99% 99%	87% 89	%	
		3(^{0%} 26%			379	43%
101 106	91	96 3	31 28	_			
2008–09 S e	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea		
Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
102	99%	89 %	30 %	107	99%	90 %	26 %
43	100%	91%	30%	51	100%	86%	22%
59	98%	88%	31%	56	98%	93%	30%
				2	-	–	-
•••••			•••••			•••••	•••••
•••••		•••••	•••••			•••••	•••••
102	99%	89%	30%	105	-	_	-
•••••			•••••	107	99%	90%	26%
90	100%	97%	33%	89	100%	94%	30%
12	92%	33%	8%	18	94%	67%	6%
102	99%	89%	30%	107	99%	90%	26%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••			•••••	••••••
29	100%	86%	21%	20	100%	85%	10%
73	99%	90%	34%	87	99%		30%
102	99%			107	99%	90%	
	101 106 2008–09 Se Total Tested 102 43 59 102 102 102 102 29	101 106 91 2008-09 Stool Yea Total Percentage Total 2-4 102 99% 43 100% 59 98% 102 99% 102 99% 102 99% 102 99% 102 99% 102 99% 102 99% 102 99% 102 99% 102 99% 102 99% 102 99% 103 100% 104 100% 105 99% 106 99% 107 99%	89% 90% 101 106 91 96 30 2008-09 School Year 30 Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 102 99% 89% 43 100% 91% 59 98% 88% 102 99% 89% 102 99% 89% 102 99% 89% 102 99% 89% 102 99% 89% 102 99% 89% 102 99% 89% 29 100% 86% 73 99% 90%	89% 90% 30% 26% 101 106 91 96 31 28 Z008-0g School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 102 99% 89% 30% 30% 59 98% 88% 31% 102 99% 89% 30% 30% 59 98% 88% 31% 102 99% 89% 30% 30% 59 98% 88% 31% 102 99% 89% 30	89% 90% 30% 26% 101 106 91 96 31 28 2008-og School Year 2007-08 S Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 102 99% 89% 30% 107 43 100% 91% 30% 51 59 98% 88% 31% 56 107 90 100% 97% 33% 89 102 99% 89% 30% 105 107 90 100% 97% 33% 89 12 92% 33% 8% 18 102 99% 89% 30% 107 29 100% 86% 21% 20 73 99% 90% 34% 87	89% 90% 87% 89 101 106 91 96 31 28 2008-0g School Year 2007-08 School Yea 2007-08 School Yea Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Percentage 102 99% 89% 30% 107 99% 43 100% 91% 30% 51 100% 59 98% 88% 31% 56 98% 102 99% 89% 30% 105 - 102 99% 89% 30% 105 - 102 99% 89% 30% 105 - 102 99% 89% 30% 105 - 102 99% 89% 30% 107 9% 29 100% 86% 21% 20 <t< td=""><td>89% 90% 87% 89% 379 101 106 91 96 31 28 379 2008-09 School Year 2007-08 School Year 379 Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 102 99% 89% 30% 107 99% 90% 43 100% 91% 30% 51 100% 86% 59 98% 88% 31% 56 98% 93% 2 - - - - - - 102 99% 89% 30% 105 - - 2 - - - - - - - 102 99% 89% 30% 105 - - - 102 99% 89% 30% 107 99% 90% 12 92%<</td></t<>	89% 90% 87% 89% 379 101 106 91 96 31 28 379 2008-09 School Year 2007-08 School Year 379 Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 102 99% 89% 30% 107 99% 90% 43 100% 91% 30% 51 100% 86% 59 98% 88% 31% 56 98% 93% 2 - - - - - - 102 99% 89% 30% 105 - - 2 - - - - - - - 102 99% 89% 30% 105 - - - 102 99% 89% 30% 107 99% 90% 12 92%<

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
Regents Science	0				0			