

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL District SCHALMONT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 53-05-01-06-0003 Principal JOBY GIFFORD Telephone (518) 355-1342 Grades K-5

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

#### Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about

the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	94	92	92
Grade 1	110	105	96
Grade 2	106	112	111
Grade 3	89	106	109
Grade 4	88	93	115
Grade 5	112	93	94
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	599	601	617

# Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

## **Average Class Size**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	21	21	21
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

# **Demographic Factors**

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		2008–09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	27	5%	36	7%	40	8%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	20	4%	23	5%	23	4%	
Student Stability*		96%		91%		95%	
Limited English Proficient	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	
Black or African American	3	1%	8	1%	16	3%	
Hispanic or Latino	0	0%	9	1%	9	1%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	1%	11	2%	9	1%	
White	591	99%	571	95%	580	94%	
Multiracial	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%	

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		95%		96%
Student Suspensions	2	0%	3	1%	2	0%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	39	43	44
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	2%	5%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	10%	7%	11%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	15%	9%	11%
Total Number of Core Classes	38	44	45
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	64	81	103
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	5%	8%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	33%	0%	13%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	26%	5%	12%

# **Staff Counts**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	2	1	2
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007–08 PI + (200 – the 2007–08 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

# **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

# 2 School Accountability

School JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 53-05-01-06-0003

District SCHALMON	CENTRAL	SCHOOL	DISTRICT
-------------------	---------	--------	----------

# Overall Accountability Status (2009–10) For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10				
	NO	NO	NO				

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English		<b>.</b>	English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	~	<b>V</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	–	_	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	_	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-			••••	
White	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial	–	–	••••	•••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	-	_				
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	<	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	🖌 1 of 1			

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✓	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students (318:313)	~	~	100%	V	181	138		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (8:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (6:6)					-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (295:291)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	180	138	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (2:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>								
(29:27)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	••••••••••••••••	•••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (62:58)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	100%	~	159	131	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/</b> .
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	YP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance Index	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion		AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (321:312)	~	V	100%	<b>V</b>	196	113		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (8:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Hispanic or Latino (6:6)					-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (298:290)	V	$\checkmark$	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	196	113	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (2:1)	_	_	-	_	-	_	••••	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>								
(29:27)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (63:57)	~	~	100%	~	188	106	••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/</b> .
(2009–10) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008–09	5 Target 2009–10
All Students (118:114)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	199	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (6:6)		-	_	_	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (105:102)		Qualified	<	100%	~	199	100		
Multiracial (1:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (13:12)		_	_	_	-	-	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (0:0)				••••			••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (21:19)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status		followed b students w <sup>2</sup> Groups wit	y the count of c ho were excuse h fewer than 40	ontinuously enrolle d from testing for r students enrolled	ed tested stude nedical reasons during the test	est administration p nts (used for Perfori s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec	ccountabilit ent count. juired to me	y calculatio

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

#### AYP Status

- 1 Made AYP
- **✓**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

shown is the sum of 2007-08 and 2008-09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008-09, data for 2007-08 and 2008-09

## Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3					
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%				
Grade 3	82%		109				
Grade 4	78%		117				
Grade 5	92%		92				
Mathematics							
Grade 3	95%		109				
Grade 4	93%		119				
Grade 5	98%		93				
Science							
Grade 4	98%		118				

District SCHALMONT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 13

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 678	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780					
2008 Mean Score: 685 2008–09 2007–08	100%	94% 95%	82% 85%	17% 24%	98% 98%	87% 84%	16% 18%		
Number of Tested Students:		102 104	89 93	19 26					
De sudde has		2008–09 Sch	ool Year		2007-08 S	chool Year			

Results by	2008–09	School Yea		2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	109	94%	82%	17%	109	95%	85%	24%
Female	49	96%	86%	27%	46	96%	87%	22%
Male	60	92%	78%	10%	63	95%	84%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino				•••••	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2			•••••	3	••••	•••••	••••••
Pacific Islander	-				3	_		
White	104	93%	81%	17%	99	95%	85%	23%
Multiracial				•••••	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	20%	10	100%	90%	30%
General-Education Students	99	99%	89%	19%	99	98%	90%	25%
Students with Disabilities	10	40%	10%	0%	10	70%	40%	10%
English Proficient	109	94%	82%	17%	109	95%	85%	24%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••	••••	••••••	•••••		••••	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	26	81%	65%	4%	13	85%	69%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	83	98%	87%	22%	96	97%	88%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	109	94%	82%	17%	109	95%	85%	24%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	nools	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 702	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770			
2008 Mean Score: 698	100%	98% 99%	95% 95%	33% 33%	100% 99%	97% 96%	34% 34%
Number of Tested Students:		107 107	104 103	36 36			
Results by		2008-09 Sch	nool Year		2007-08 \$	School Year	
Results DV		<b>T</b> 1 1			<b>T</b> 1 1		

Doculto by	2008-09	School Tea		2007-08 301000 1 841				
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	109	98%	95%	33%	108	99%	95%	33%
Female	50	100%	98%	36%	45	98%	93%	24%
Male	59	97%	93%	31%	63	100%	97%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
Pacific Islander	_							
White	104	98%	95%	33%	98	99%	95%	32%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	40%	10	100%	100%	50%
General-Education Students	99	100%	99%	36%	98	100%	97%	36%
Students with Disabilities	10	80%	60%	0%	10	90%	80%	10%
English Proficient	109	98%	95%	33%	108	99%	95%	33%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	25	92%	84%	12%	13	92%	85%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	84	100%	99%	39%	95	100%	97%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	109	98%	95%	33%	108	99%	95%	33%

Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total 3-4     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total 3-4     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Number scori	Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
New York State Alternate Assessment		Total	Number scor	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
		0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 664	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775					
2008 Mean Score: 672 2008-09 2007-08	100%	94% 96%	78% 80%	3% 9%	98% 97%	89% 84%	10% 12%		
Number of Tested Students:		110 87	91 73	3 8					
		2008–09 Sch	ool Year		2007-08 9	ichool Year			

2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
117	94%	78%	3%	91	96%	80%	<b>9</b> %	
47	96%	79%	6%	45	96%	84%	18%	
70	93%	77%	0%	46	96%	76%	0%	
3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
6	100%	67%	0%					
с С	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
د				۷۲	-		-	
104	93%	78%	2%	87	-	-	-	
1	-	_	-					
7	100%	86%	14%	91	96%	80%	9%	
104	98%	85%	3%	86	99%	85%	9%	
13	62%	23%	0%	5	40%	0%	0%	
117	94%	78%	3%	91	96%	80%	9%	
•••••	••••	•••••			••••			
21	81%	48%	0%	13	85%	62%	23%	
96	97%	84%	3%	78	97%	83%	6%	
117	94%	78%	3%	91	96%	80%	9%	
	Total           Tested           117           47           70           3           6           3           104           1           7           104           13           117           21           96	Total Tested         Percentage 2-4           117         94%           47         96%           70         93%           3         -           6         100%           3         -           104         93%           1         -           7         100%           104         98%           13         62%           117         94%           21         81%           96         97%	Tested       2-4       3-4         117       94%       78%         47       96%       79%         70       93%       77%         3       -       -         6       100%       67%         3       -       -         104       93%       78%         1       -       -         7       100%       86%         104       98%       85%         13       62%       23%         117       94%       78%         21       81%       48%         96       97%       84%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ 117 $94\%$ $78\%$ $3\%$ 47 $96\%$ $79\%$ $6\%$ 70 $93\%$ $77\%$ $0\%$ 3         -         -         -           6 $100\%$ $67\%$ $0\%$ 3         -         -         -           104 $93\%$ $78\%$ $2\%$ 1         -         -         -           7 $100\%$ $86\%$ $14\%$ 104 $93\%$ $78\%$ $2\%$ 1         -         -         -           7 $100\%$ $86\%$ $14\%$ 104 $98\%$ $85\%$ $3\%$ 13 $62\%$ $23\%$ $0\%$ 117 $94\%$ $78\%$ $3\%$ 21 $81\%$ $48\%$ $3\%$	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total Tested           117         94%         78%         3%         91           47         96%         79%         6%         45           70         93%         77%         0%         46           3         -         -         -         2           6         100%         67%         0%         46           3         -         -         -         2           6         100%         67%         0%         87           1         -         -         -         2           104         93%         78%         2%         87           1         -         -         -         -           7         100%         86%         14%         91           104         98%         85%         3%         86           13         62%         23%         0%         5           117         94%         78%         3%         91           21         81%         48%         0%         13           96         97%         84%         3%         78 <td>Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total 3-4         Total Tested         Percentage 2-4           117         94%         78%         3%         91         96%           47         96%         79%         6%         45         96%           70         93%         77%         0%         46         96%           3         -         -         -         2         -           6         100%         67%         0%         87         -           3         -         -         -         2         -           104         93%         78%         2%         87         -           1         -         -         -         -         -           7         100%         86%         14%         91         96%           104         98%         85%         3%         86         99%           13         62%         23%         0%         5         40%           117         94%         78%         3%         91         96%           21         81%         48%         0%         13         85%           96</td> <td>Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): <math>2-4</math>Total TestedPercentage scoring at <math>2-4</math>Percentage scoring at <math>2-4</math>11794%78%3%9196%80%4796%79%6%4596%84%7093%77%0%4696%76%326100%67%0%8710493%78%2%8710493%78%2%877100%86%14%9196%80%10498%85%3%8699%85%1362%23%0%540%0%11794%78%3%9196%80%2181%48%0%1385%62%9697%84%3%7897%83%</td>	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total 3-4         Total Tested         Percentage 2-4           117         94%         78%         3%         91         96%           47         96%         79%         6%         45         96%           70         93%         77%         0%         46         96%           3         -         -         -         2         -           6         100%         67%         0%         87         -           3         -         -         -         2         -           104         93%         78%         2%         87         -           1         -         -         -         -         -           7         100%         86%         14%         91         96%           104         98%         85%         3%         86         99%           13         62%         23%         0%         5         40%           117         94%         78%         3%         91         96%           21         81%         48%         0%         13         85%           96	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total TestedPercentage scoring at $2-4$ Percentage scoring at $2-4$ 11794%78%3%9196%80%4796%79%6%4596%84%7093%77%0%4696%76%326100%67%0%8710493%78%2%8710493%78%2%877100%86%14%9196%80%10498%85%3%8699%85%1362%23%0%540%0%11794%78%3%9196%80%2181%48%0%1385%62%9697%84%3%7897%83%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		Total	Number scoring at level(s		el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ools		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 695	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800				
2008 Mean Score: 686	100%	100% 97%	93% 92%		99% 98%	94% 93%		
2008–09 2007–08				42% 28%			44% 38%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	119 90	111 86	50 26				

Poculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	119	100%	93%	42%	93	97%	92%	28%
Female	48	100%	96%	38%	45	100%	96%	27%
Male	71	100%	92%	45%	48	94%	90%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	83%	33%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	106	100%	93%	41%	89	-	–	–
Multiracial	1	-	-	-		•		
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	71%	93	97%	92%	28%
General-Education Students	106	100%	94%	45%	87	100%	98%	30%
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	85%	15%	6	50%	17%	0%
English Proficient	119	100%	93%	42%	93	97%	92%	28%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	22	100%	82%	18%	13	92%	85%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	97	100%	96%	47%	80	98%	94%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	119	100%	93%	42%	93	97%	92%	28%

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	is School				nools		
		Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 45-100 $65-100$ $85-10000%100%$ $98%$ $98%75%$ $70%118$ $93$ $116$ $91$ $88$ $65008-09 School Year008 OOS CHOOL Year008 OOS CHOOL Year008 OOS CHOOL Year2-4$ $3-4$ $4118 100% 98% 75%48$ 100% 100% $69%70$ 100% $97%$ $79%3$ $  -6$ 100% 100% $67%$			Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 88	Range:	45-100	65-1	3 00	35-100				
2008 Mean Score: 87	100%	100%100%	98% 9		<sup>'5%</sup> 70%	99% 99%	96% 96		<sup>%</sup> 68%
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>									
Number of Tested Students:		118 93	116	91	88 65				
Results by		2008–09 Se	chool Yea	r	_	2007–08 School Year			
_		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		118	100%	98%	75%	93	100%	98%	70%
Female		48	100%	100%	69%	45	100%	98%	71%
Male		70	100%	97%	79%	48	100%	98%	69%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e								
Black or African American						2			–
Hispanic or Latino			100%						••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White		105	100%	98%	74%	89	-		-
Multiracial		1	-	-	-		••••	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals		7	100%	100%	86%	93	100%	98%	70%
General-Education Students		105	100%	99%	81%	87	100%	99%	75%
Students with Disabilities		13	100%	92%	23%	6	100%	83%	0%
English Proficient		118	100%	98%	75%	93	100%	98%	70%

Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged 21 100% 95% 43% 13 100% 100% 46% 97 100% 99% 81% 80 100% 98% 74% Not Disadvantaged Migrant Not Migrant 118 100% 98% 75% 93 100% 98% 70%

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):			.(s):	Total Number scoring at le			evel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 680	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795				
2008 Mean Score: 677	100%	99% 100%	92% 92%		100%100%	93% 89%		
2008-09 2007-08				15% 5%			19% 8%	
Number of Tested Students:		91 91	85 84	14 5				

Poculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	92	99%	92%	15%	91	100%	92%	5%	
Female	46	100%	91%	24%	43	100%	95%	7%	
Male	46	98%	93%	7%	48	100%	90%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino					2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	87	99%	92%	16%	83	100%	93%	6%	
Multiracial	1	-	-	-					
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	0%	8	100%	88%	0%	
General-Education Students	86	100%	97%	16%	85	100%	95%	6%	
Students with Disabilities	6	83%	33%	0%	6	100%	50%	0%	
English Proficient	92	99%	92%	15%	91	100%	92%	5%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	•••••		••••	•••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	15	100%	93%	13%	7	100%	71%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	77	99%	92%	16%	84	100%	94%	6%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	92	99%	92%	15%	91	100%	92%	5%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year	2007–08 School Year					
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scori	ing at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 694	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780					
2008 Mean Score: 683	100%	99% 100%	98% 90%		99% 99%	95% 92%			
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>		н.		47% 23%	н.		46% 34%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	92 94	91 85	44 22					

<b>Boculte by</b>	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	: level(s): 4
All Students	93	99%	98%	47%	94	100%	90%	23%
Female	47	100%	100%	51%	45	100%	87%	24%
Male	46	98%	96%	43%	49	100%	94%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	88	99%	98%	48%	86	100%	90%	22%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	40%	8	100%	100%	38%
General-Education Students	87	100%	99%	51%	87	100%	92%	25%
Students with Disabilities	6	83%	83%	0%	7	100%	71%	0%
English Proficient	93	99%	98%	47%	94	100%	90%	23%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	100%	31%	7	100%	57%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	77	99%	97%	51%	87	100%	93%	25%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	93	99%	98%	47%	94	100%	90%	23%

Other Assessments	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			