



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2008 – 09**

District **AMITYVILLE UNION FREE SCHOOL  
DISTRICT**

District ID **58-01-06-03-0000**

Superintendent **JOHN WILLIAMS**

Telephone **(631) 598-6520**

Grades **PK-12**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

#### **1 Get District Profile information.**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### **2 Review District Accountability Status.**

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

#### **3 Review an Overview of District Performance.**

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District **AMITYVILLE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **58-01-06-03-0000**

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Pre-K	94	95	115
Kindergarten	182	186	171
Grade 1	196	185	201
Grade 2	225	186	183
Grade 3	207	213	192
Grade 4	208	204	208
Grade 5	205	192	205
Grade 6	214	213	196
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	217	210	212
Grade 8	209	226	215
Grade 9	253	281	259
Grade 10	252	218	207
Grade 11	223	219	193
Grade 12	153	183	180
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
<b>Total K-12</b>	<b>2744</b>	<b>2716</b>	<b>2622</b>

### Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
<b>Common Branch</b>	20	19	19
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	16	18	19
Mathematics	17	22	19
Science	16	22	18
Social Studies	17	20	20
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	20	17	20
Mathematics	15	15	28
Science	19		
Social Studies	22	16	20

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District AMITYVILLE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-01-06-03-0000

## Demographic Factors

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1114	41%	1168	43%	1182	45%
Reduced-Price Lunch	340	12%	346	13%	383	15%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	266	10%	292	11%	289	11%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	1682	61%	1624	60%	1557	59%
Hispanic or Latino	762	28%	768	28%	764	29%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	29	1%	27	1%	23	1%
White	271	10%	283	10%	260	10%
Multiracial	0	0%	13	0%	16	1%

\* Available only at the school level.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		93%		94%
Student Suspensions	210	8%	316	12%	518	19%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **AMITYVILLE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **58-01-06-03-0000**

## Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
<b>Total Number of Teachers</b>	236	261	274
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	2%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	9%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	40%	41%	41%
<b>Total Number of Core Classes</b>	678	826	762
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	1%	0%
<b>Total Number of Classes</b>	929	974	980
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	4%	3%	1%

## Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	18%	17%	17%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	19%	20%	18%

## Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Other Professional Staff	34	35	43
Total Paraprofessionals*	50	65	67
Assistant Principals	5	5	3
Principals	5	5	5

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

### Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/>.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

■ A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

**Pending** – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)

#### Improvement (Year 2)

ELA	Improvement (Year 2)	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
YES	YES	YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—				
Black or African American	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓		—	—	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—		—	—	
White	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Multiracial	—	—		—	—	
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	✗	✓		✓ <sup>SH</sup>	✗	
Limited English Proficient	✓ <sup>SH</sup>	✓		—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓		✓	✓	
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	✗ 6 of 7	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 5 of 5	✗ 4 of 5	✓ 1 of 1

#### Accountability Status Levels

##### AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

##### Federal

- Good Standing ✓
- Improvement (Year 1) ✓
- Improvement (Year 2) ✓
- Improvement (Year 3) ✓
- Improvement (Year 4) ✓
- Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ✓
- Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

##### State

- Good Standing
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)**  Improvement (Year 2)

**Accountability Measures** 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2009-10, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 3) in 2010-11. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in this measure in 2009-10, the district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [217]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
<b>All Students</b> (1278:1198)			99%		158	141	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (753:716)			100%		158	140	
Hispanic or Latino (390:354)			99%		152	138	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (11:11)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (113:109)			97%		174	134	
Multiracial (8:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (204:189)			99%		105	136	106 115
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (132:138)			98%		129	135	120 136
Economically Disadvantaged (919:858)			100%		154	140	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 6 of 7						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
<b>All Students</b> (1284:1195)			100%		172	116	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (761:708)			100%		171	115	
Hispanic or Latino (384:359)			100%		170	113	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (11:11)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (113:109)			99%		179	109	
Multiracial (12:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (204:183)			99%		116	111	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (130:152)			99%		151	111	
Economically Disadvantaged (921:857)			100%		170	115	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 7 of 7						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10
<b>All Students</b> (435:396)		Qualified		99%		163	100	
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (242:227)		Qualified		100%		165	100	
Hispanic or Latino (141:123)		Qualified		99%		154	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (40:38)		Qualified		98%		171	100	
Multiracial (4:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (63:56)		Qualified		100%		145	100	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (41:43)		Qualified		100%		112	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (307:282)		Qualified		100%		162	100	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)**  Improvement (Year 2)

**Accountability Measures** 5 of 5  Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Made AYP

### Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2009-10, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 3) in 2010-11. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in this measure in 2009-10, the district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [217]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Status	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>							
<b>All Students (239:205)</b>			99%		182	163	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (171:141)			99%		184	162	
Hispanic or Latino (30:27)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (33:34)		—	—		182	154	
Multiracial (1:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (34:31)	 SH	—	—	 SH	142	154	125 148
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (6:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (105:96)			99%		183	161	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 5 of 5						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
  - <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
  - <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
  - <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 4 of 5 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Did not make AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Status	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>							
<b>All Students</b> (239:205)			97%		180	158	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (171:141)			96%		182	157	
Hispanic or Latino (30:27)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (33:34)		—	—		185	149	
Multiracial (1:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (34:31)		—	—		123	149	149 131
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (6:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (105:96)			99%		182	156	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 4 of 5						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Indicator**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count)	Graduation		Graduation Rate <sup>1</sup>	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10	
<b>All Students</b> (219)			79%	55%		
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (131)			79%	55%		
Hispanic or Latino (40)			73%	55%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5)		–	–	–		
White (43)			86%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities (47)			51%	55%	38%	52%
Limited English Proficient <sup>2</sup> (9)		–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (58)			84%	55%		
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1				

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

District **AMITYVILLE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **58-01-06-03-0000**

## Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	62%			191
Grade 4	64%			205
Grade 5	64%			209
Grade 6	60%			203
Grade 7	69%			206
Grade 8	52%			211

Mathematics				
Grade 3	84%			195
Grade 4	71%			212
Grade 5	84%			210
Grade 6	70%			213
Grade 7	85%			209
Grade 8	65%			216

Science				
Grade 4	79%			214
Grade 8	52%			197

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2005 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	79%			252
Mathematics	79%			252

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>6%</b>
Female	91	93%	66%	8%	103	91%	58%	7%
Male	100	87%	58%	3%	100	85%	58%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	128	88%	64%	5%	125	92%	61%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	48	94%	52%	2%	58	76%	45%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	11	-	-	-	17	-	-	-
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	15	93%	73%	13%	20	100%	80%	5%
General-Education Students	170	95%	69%	6%	176	93%	64%	7%
Students with Disabilities	21	48%	0%	0%	27	59%	22%	0%
English Proficient	173	90%	66%	6%	185	91%	62%	7%
Limited English Proficient	18	94%	22%	0%	18	56%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	135	90%	59%	4%	86	88%	49%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	56	89%	68%	9%	117	88%	65%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	191	90%	62%	5%	203	88%	58%	6%

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	4	4	3	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>18%</b>
Female	95	99%	84%	12%	103	96%	85%	17%
Male	100	97%	84%	12%	102	96%	80%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	131	97%	85%	12%	126	95%	84%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	48	100%	79%	10%	58	97%	74%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	12	-	-	-	17	-	-	-
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	16	100%	88%	13%	21	100%	100%	29%
General-Education Students	174	99%	90%	13%	176	97%	88%	20%
Students with Disabilities	21	90%	38%	5%	29	90%	52%	0%
English Proficient	174	98%	87%	13%	187	97%	86%	19%
Limited English Proficient	21	95%	62%	0%	18	89%	50%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	135	98%	81%	10%	87	97%	82%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	60	98%	90%	15%	118	96%	84%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	195	98%	84%	12%	205	96%	83%	18%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	3	3	3	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 653	Range: 612-775			650-775 716-775		
2008 Mean Score: 647						
Number of Tested Students:	187	166	132	110	0	5

## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>2%</b>
Female	102	91%	68%	0%	103	87%	58%	4%
Male	103	91%	61%	0%	101	75%	50%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	125	94%	67%	0%	112	79%	51%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	57	82%	54%	0%	74	82%	54%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	18	100%	78%	0%	16	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	0%	18	94%	72%	17%
General-Education Students	174	95%	72%	0%	177	87%	60%	3%
Students with Disabilities	31	68%	19%	0%	27	44%	11%	0%
English Proficient	192	92%	68%	0%	172	84%	60%	3%
Limited English Proficient	13	77%	8%	0%	32	66%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	152	89%	58%	0%	77	86%	51%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	53	96%	83%	0%	127	79%	56%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	205	91%	64%	0%	204	81%	54%	2%

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>16%</b>
Female	103	90%	73%	14%	105	95%	77%	15%
Male	109	93%	70%	13%	105	82%	68%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	125	93%	72%	11%	115	85%	70%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	64	86%	66%	17%	75	92%	71%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	17	100%	82%	6%	18	-	-	-
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	33%	20	95%	90%	35%
General-Education Students	180	95%	77%	16%	181	93%	79%	18%
Students with Disabilities	32	72%	38%	0%	29	62%	31%	0%
English Proficient	192	94%	74%	15%	176	90%	77%	18%
Limited English Proficient	20	70%	40%	0%	34	82%	50%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	157	90%	67%	11%	79	89%	77%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	55	96%	84%	20%	131	89%	69%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	212	92%	71%	13%	210	89%	72%	16%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year			2007-08 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>31%</b>
Female	104	94%	77%	45%	101	96%	77%	33%
Male	110	94%	81%	38%	101	89%	71%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	128	97%	80%	44%	111	91%	75%	31%
Hispanic or Latino	64	88%	75%	33%	73	93%	67%	26%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	15	93%	87%	53%	16	-	-	-
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	57%	18	100%	100%	56%
General-Education Students	183	93%	79%	46%	175	94%	77%	34%
Students with Disabilities	31	97%	77%	13%	27	81%	56%	15%
English Proficient	194	96%	83%	45%	167	93%	81%	35%
Limited English Proficient	20	70%	40%	10%	35	89%	43%	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	158	94%	75%	37%	76	91%	75%	34%
Not Disadvantaged	56	95%	89%	54%	126	94%	74%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	214	94%	79%	42%	202	93%	74%	31%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>2%</b>
Female	107	100%	68%	6%	87	95%	60%	3%
Male	102	97%	59%	7%	111	95%	60%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	117	99%	61%	6%	126	94%	59%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	73	97%	63%	5%	48	94%	56%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	16	-	-	-	21	-	-	-
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	19	100%	84%	11%	24	100%	75%	4%
General-Education Students	176	99%	73%	7%	164	98%	70%	2%
Students with Disabilities	33	94%	12%	0%	34	79%	12%	0%
English Proficient	178	99%	70%	7%	182	97%	65%	2%
Limited English Proficient	31	94%	26%	0%	16	69%	6%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	168	98%	60%	5%	68	97%	57%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	41	100%	80%	10%	130	94%	62%	1%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	209	99%	64%	6%	198	95%	60%	2%

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	2	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>14%</b>
Female	109	98%	92%	25%	89	96%	75%	16%
Male	101	95%	76%	19%	114	96%	80%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	115	95%	82%	18%	128	95%	73%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	73	99%	85%	18%	51	94%	80%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	18	-	-	-	21	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	22	100%	95%	55%	24	100%	96%	33%
General-Education Students	178	99%	94%	26%	169	99%	83%	15%
Students with Disabilities	32	81%	28%	0%	34	79%	53%	6%
English Proficient	178	97%	87%	25%	185	97%	80%	15%
Limited English Proficient	32	97%	69%	6%	18	83%	56%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	167	96%	81%	17%	68	97%	75%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	43	100%	95%	42%	135	95%	79%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	210	97%	84%	22%	203	96%	78%	14%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	1	3	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 653	598-785	650-785	696-785*			
2008 Mean Score: 650						
Number of Tested Students:	203	197	122	93	1	1

## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>1%</b>
Female	92	100%	66%	1%	96	100%	51%	1%
Male	111	100%	55%	0%	104	97%	42%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	129	100%	62%	1%	119	99%	43%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	53	100%	51%	0%	62	97%	47%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	17	-	-	-	18	-	-	-
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	21	100%	71%	0%	19	100%	68%	0%
General-Education Students	173	100%	68%	1%	173	99%	53%	1%
Students with Disabilities	30	100%	13%	0%	27	93%	4%	0%
English Proficient	184	100%	65%	1%	187	99%	49%	1%
Limited English Proficient	19	100%	11%	0%	13	85%	8%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	145	100%	54%	0%	66	100%	47%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	58	100%	74%	2%	134	98%	46%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	203	100%	60%	0%	200	99%	47%	1%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>12%</b>
Female	95	95%	72%	12%	94	95%	67%	13%
Male	118	90%	69%	13%	109	90%	66%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	137	93%	69%	8%	118	94%	63%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	53	89%	70%	17%	66	86%	65%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	18	94%	72%	28%	18	-	-	-
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%	19	100%	95%	32%
General-Education Students	183	97%	78%	14%	174	97%	75%	14%
Students with Disabilities	30	63%	23%	0%	29	66%	14%	0%
English Proficient	193	94%	74%	13%	186	95%	70%	13%
Limited English Proficient	20	70%	35%	5%	17	59%	24%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	151	92%	68%	9%	66	97%	71%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	62	92%	74%	21%	137	90%	64%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	213	92%	70%	12%	203	92%	67%	12%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public					
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 656	Range: 600-790			650-790			705-790*		
2008 Mean Score: 648									
Number of Tested Students:	204	199	142	107	5	0	207	107	2

## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Female	98	99%	76%	2%	100	100%	62%	0%
Male	108	99%	63%	3%	107	93%	42%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	122	100%	68%	2%	117	94%	46%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	62	98%	63%	3%	68	100%	56%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	-	-
White	21	-	-	-	20	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	22	95%	91%	5%	22	95%	68%	0%
General-Education Students	170	100%	76%	3%	180	99%	57%	0%
Students with Disabilities	36	94%	33%	0%	27	78%	19%	0%
English Proficient	193	99%	73%	3%	187	96%	55%	0%
Limited English Proficient	13	100%	15%	0%	20	100%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	144	100%	63%	3%	76	100%	54%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	62	97%	82%	2%	131	94%	50%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	206	99%	69%	2%	207	96%	52%	0%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	7	7	6	5	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>7%</b>
Female	96	99%	91%	10%	102	96%	73%	9%
Male	113	98%	80%	12%	106	90%	59%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	123	98%	83%	8%	120	92%	61%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	64	98%	83%	13%	67	96%	72%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	-	-
White	20	-	-	-	19	-	-	-
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	22	100%	100%	27%	21	90%	76%	24%
General-Education Students	174	99%	92%	14%	182	98%	73%	8%
Students with Disabilities	35	94%	49%	0%	26	58%	19%	0%
English Proficient	193	98%	87%	12%	187	93%	68%	8%
Limited English Proficient	16	100%	63%	6%	21	90%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	149	98%	81%	12%	76	96%	72%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	60	100%	95%	10%	132	91%	62%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	209	99%	85%	11%	208	93%	66%	7%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	7	6	5	5	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>1%</b>
Female	100	99%	59%	0%	108	98%	53%	2%
Male	111	93%	45%	1%	108	93%	24%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	112	95%	49%	0%	133	96%	35%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	72	99%	51%	1%	52	90%	29%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	22	91%	59%	0%	28	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	0%	31	100%	71%	0%
General-Education Students	186	98%	58%	1%	178	98%	45%	1%
Students with Disabilities	25	80%	8%	0%	38	84%	8%	0%
English Proficient	195	96%	54%	1%	207	96%	40%	1%
Limited English Proficient	16	94%	19%	0%	9	78%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	141	97%	50%	1%	76	95%	36%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	70	93%	54%	0%	140	96%	40%	1%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	211	96%	52%	0%	216	95%	38%	1%

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>9%</b>
Female	104	93%	66%	9%	110	95%	59%	13%
Male	112	91%	63%	4%	112	86%	49%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	113	94%	61%	5%	133	92%	53%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	76	91%	71%	5%	58	86%	45%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	22	86%	59%	5%	28	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	40%	31	94%	77%	19%
General-Education Students	190	96%	72%	7%	183	95%	61%	11%
Students with Disabilities	26	62%	12%	0%	39	72%	21%	0%
English Proficient	196	93%	68%	7%	206	92%	56%	10%
Limited English Proficient	20	80%	35%	0%	16	75%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	147	95%	68%	7%	75	89%	53%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	69	86%	58%	4%	147	91%	54%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	216	92%	65%	6%	222	91%	54%	9%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	3

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>7%</b>
Female	90	90%	46%	6%	86	94%	59%	3%
Male	107	90%	58%	5%	97	95%	58%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	104	93%	48%	6%	114	96%	61%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	72	85%	54%	4%	52	90%	46%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	18	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	21	90%	67%	5%	17	100%	76%	35%
General-Education Students	172	92%	58%	6%	146	95%	68%	8%
Students with Disabilities	25	72%	16%	0%	37	95%	22%	3%
English Proficient	176	92%	56%	6%	167	97%	63%	8%
Limited English Proficient	21	71%	24%	0%	16	69%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	134	93%	53%	6%	63	98%	62%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	63	83%	51%	3%	120	93%	57%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	197	90%	52%	5%	183	95%	58%	7%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments


	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	4	3	5	5	5	5
Regents Science	14	14	14	14	37	37	37	16

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4




■ 2005 Cohort  
■ 2004 Cohort

### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4



## Results by Student Group

### 2005 Cohort

Number of Students      Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4

### 2004 Cohort\*\*

Number of Students      Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4

	2005 Cohort			2004 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>23%</b>
Female	128	84%	80%	27%	88	84%	82%	27%
Male	124	77%	77%	17%	131	73%	69%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	178	82%	80%	17%	131	76%	72%	21%
Hispanic or Latino	33	70%	67%	15%	40	75%	70%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	5	80%	80%	20%
White	36	83%	83%	47%	43	84%	84%	33%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	40%				
General-Education Students	207	89%	88%	27%	172	88%	85%	28%
Students with Disabilities	45	40%	38%	0%	47	36%	32%	4%
English Proficient	241	83%	81%	22%	210	78%	75%	24%
Limited English Proficient	11	27%	27%	9%	9	56%	44%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	110	84%	83%	15%	58	88%	83%	22%
Not Disadvantaged	142	78%	76%	27%	161	73%	71%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	252	81%	79%	22%	219	77%	74%	23%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

### 2005 Cohort

Number of Students      Number scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4

### 2004 Cohort

Number of Students      Number scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



## Results by Student Group

	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>19%</b>
Female	128	83%	80%	23%	88	84%	77%	20%
Male	124	81%	77%	13%	131	81%	76%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	178	83%	79%	13%	131	81%	76%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	33	70%	67%	18%	40	83%	70%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	5	80%	80%	80%
White	36	83%	83%	39%	43	86%	84%	28%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	40%				
General-Education Students	207	91%	88%	22%	172	91%	87%	22%
Students with Disabilities	45	40%	33%	2%	47	49%	36%	9%
English Proficient	241	84%	81%	19%	210	82%	77%	19%
Limited English Proficient	11	27%	27%	0%	9	78%	67%	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	110	85%	82%	15%	58	88%	79%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	142	79%	76%	20%	161	80%	75%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	252	82%	79%	18%	219	82%	76%	19%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005 Cohort			2004 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***								

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.