



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2008 – 09**

District **WILLIAM FLOYD UNION FREE  
SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-02-32-03-0000**

Superintendent **PAUL CASCIANO**

Telephone **(631) 874-1201**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

#### **1 Get District Profile information.**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### **2 Review District Accountability Status.**

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

#### **3 Review an Overview of District Performance.**

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Pre-K	0	0	469
Kindergarten	689	673	679
Grade 1	717	703	665
Grade 2	739	715	682
Grade 3	666	700	731
Grade 4	659	670	691
Grade 5	724	634	639
Grade 6	765	717	627
Ungraded Elementary	0	51	46
Grade 7	783	758	719
Grade 8	799	788	727
Grade 9	821	799	776
Grade 10	886	823	782
Grade 11	812	826	786
Grade 12	797	830	878
Ungraded Secondary	0	49	55
<b>Total K-12</b>	<b>9857</b>	<b>9736</b>	<b>9483</b>

### Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
<b>Common Branch</b>	24	24	24
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	25	25	21
Mathematics	24	23	22
Science	25	25	24
Social Studies	25	25	24
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	27	28	26
Mathematics	23	29	26
Science	24	23	21
Social Studies	27	27	26

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District WILLIAM FLOYD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-02-32-03-0000

## Demographic Factors

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	2389	24%	2170	22%	2072	22%
Reduced-Price Lunch	739	7%	763	8%	661	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	309	3%	345	4%	353	4%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	46	0%	54	1%	51	1%
Black or African American	1161	12%	1244	13%	1272	13%
Hispanic or Latino	1659	17%	1675	17%	1699	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	185	2%	217	2%	216	2%
White	6806	69%	6546	67%	6245	66%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		92%		92%
Student Suspensions	1069	11%	903	9%	888	9%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District WILLIAM FLOYD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-02-32-03-0000

## Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
<b>Total Number of Teachers</b>	659	680	686
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	1%	2%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	9%	9%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	48%	50%	52%
<b>Total Number of Core Classes</b>	2120	2182	2278
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	1%	2%
<b>Total Number of Classes</b>	2593	2663	2658
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	1%	2%

## Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	18%	15%	12%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	10%	11%

## Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Other Professional Staff	56	58	58
Total Paraprofessionals*	226	233	250
Assistant Principals	19	18	19
Principals	9	9	9

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

### Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/>.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

**Pending** – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)

**Good Standing**

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
YES	YES	YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>						
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				—	—	
White						
Multiracial						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	SH					
Limited English Proficient				—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged						
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	8 of 8	8 of 8	1 of 1	5 of 6	5 of 6	1 of 1

#### AYP Status


- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


#### Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal                               | State  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing                         | Good Standing                                |
| Improvement (Year 1)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)         |
| Improvement (Year 2)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)         |
| Improvement (Year 3)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)         |
| Improvement (Year 4)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)         |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above)          | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation |  |




























## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09    2009–10
<b>All Students</b> (4282:4164)			99%		177	142	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (28:27)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (595:562)			99%		164	139	
Hispanic or Latino (759:729)			99%		172	140	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (103:101)			100%		192	134	
White (2797:2745)			100%		181	142	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (680:651)	 SH		98%	 SH	131	140	118    138
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (160:208)			100%		152	136	
Economically Disadvantaged (1732:1673)			99%		171	141	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 8 of 8						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


























## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
<b>All Students</b> (4289:4134)			99%		185	117	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (26:24)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (597:548)			98%		175	114	
Hispanic or Latino (760:725)			99%		182	115	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (103:101)			99%		198	109	
White (2803:2736)			100%		188	117	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (684:642)			98%		151	115	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (158:212)			99%		175	111	
Economically Disadvantaged (1737:1649)			99%		184	116	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 8 of 8						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


















## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10
<b>All Students</b> (1478:1412)		Qualified		99%		187	100	
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (8:8)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (208:190)		Qualified		99%		173	100	
Hispanic or Latino (243:232)		Qualified		100%		180	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (30:30)		Qualified	—	—		200	100	
White (989:952)		Qualified		100%		191	100	
Multiracial (0:0)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (230:216)		Qualified		99%		163	100	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (44:58)		Qualified		100%		147	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (575:544)		Qualified		99%		182	100	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1						

#### NOTES




<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status




















## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 5 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Did not make AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>							
<b>All Students</b> (914:765)			97%		182	167	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (116:77)			96%		161	160	
Hispanic or Latino (150:122)			96%		169	162	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (17:13)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (630:552)			97%		188	166	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (202:86)			90%		105	160	123 <sup>‡</sup> 115
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (15:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (195:163)			97%		174	163	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 5 of 6						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.


<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


<sup>‡</sup> This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status




















## Secondary-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 5 of 6 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Did not make AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09    2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>							
<b>All Students</b> (914:765)			97%		179	162	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (116:77)			97%		156	155	
Hispanic or Latino (150:122)			96%		174	157	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (17:13)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (630:552)			97%		183	161	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (116:86)			95%		108	155	110 <sup>‡</sup> 117
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (15:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (195:163)			97%		171	158	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 5 of 6						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

<sup>‡</sup> This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status









## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Indicator**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count)	Graduation		Graduation Rate <sup>1</sup>	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10	
<b>All Students</b> (821)			71%	55%		
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		–	–	–		
Black or African American (87)			56%	55%		
Hispanic or Latino (119)			63%	55%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16)		–	–	–		
White (598)			75%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities (98)			36%	55%	39%	37%
Limited English Proficient <sup>2</sup> (9)		–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (197)			59%	55%		
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>  1 of 1						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

District WILLIAM FLOYD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-02-32-03-0000

## Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	80%			728
Grade 4	81%			699
Grade 5	87%			656
Grade 6	81%			633
Grade 7	80%			705
Grade 8	70%			728

Mathematics				
Grade 3	96%			734
Grade 4	90%			703
Grade 5	92%			661
Grade 6	82%			636
Grade 7	90%			707
Grade 8	77%			724

Science				
Grade 4	96%			702
Grade 8	81%			718

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2005 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	80%			874
Mathematics	77%			874

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>728</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>691</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>10%</b>
Female	358	96%	83%	12%	336	93%	70%	10%
Male	370	94%	76%	8%	355	90%	67%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	17%
Black or African American	102	92%	64%	6%	94	79%	47%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	142	94%	77%	6%	113	89%	64%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	-	-	-	12	100%	75%	8%
White	458	95%	84%	12%	466	94%	74%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	26	100%	81%	8%				
General-Education Students	650	98%	84%	11%	591	95%	75%	11%
Students with Disabilities	78	67%	38%	1%	100	71%	32%	4%
English Proficient	678	95%	81%	10%	657	92%	70%	11%
Limited English Proficient	50	86%	64%	0%	34	76%	38%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	318	94%	74%	7%	267	87%	60%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	410	96%	84%	12%	424	94%	74%	12%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	728	95%	80%	10%	690	-	-	-

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	15	15	13	10	22	22	22	20
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.



## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>734</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>22%</b>
Female	358	100%	97%	26%	339	98%	90%	22%
Male	376	98%	94%	24%	361	97%	88%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	17%
Black or African American	102	98%	93%	16%	97	97%	75%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	144	99%	93%	16%	115	93%	83%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	-	-	-	14	100%	100%	57%
White	462	99%	97%	29%	468	99%	93%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	26	100%	100%	35%	600	99%	92%	24%
General-Education Students	656	100%	97%	27%	600	99%	92%	24%
Students with Disabilities	78	92%	83%	5%	100	88%	69%	5%
English Proficient	681	99%	96%	26%	663	98%	90%	22%
Limited English Proficient	53	98%	83%	9%	37	86%	59%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	319	100%	95%	23%	267	96%	81%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	415	99%	96%	27%	433	99%	94%	26%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	734	99%	96%	25%	699	-	-	-


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	15	15	12	8	22	22	22	15

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>699</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>666</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>10%</b>
Female	340	98%	82%	11%	311	95%	82%	15%
Male	359	95%	81%	7%	355	92%	69%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	100%	0%	6	83%	83%	17%
Black or African American	103	94%	69%	6%	84	86%	63%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	115	94%	74%	7%	110	91%	72%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	93%	0%	17	100%	82%	18%
White	462	97%	85%	11%	449	96%	78%	13%
Multiracial								
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	599	99%	87%	11%	568	99%	84%	12%
Students with Disabilities	100	80%	44%	0%	98	64%	23%	0%
English Proficient	667	97%	83%	9%	642	94%	76%	11%
Limited English Proficient	32	81%	34%	0%	24	92%	58%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	296	95%	74%	6%	255	92%	70%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	403	98%	87%	11%	411	94%	78%	15%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	698	-	-	-	666	94%	75%	10%

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	21	21	20	15	13	12	11	10
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>703</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>676</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>29%</b>
Female	346	97%	90%	33%	314	97%	88%	28%
Male	357	96%	90%	35%	362	97%	87%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	17%
Black or African American	103	96%	81%	23%	85	91%	67%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	116	94%	84%	22%	114	96%	85%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	18	100%	94%	39%
White	466	98%	93%	39%	453	98%	91%	33%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	18	100%	94%	50%				
General-Education Students	601	99%	94%	38%	579	99%	93%	33%
Students with Disabilities	102	85%	67%	8%	97	84%	55%	4%
English Proficient	670	98%	92%	36%	646	97%	87%	29%
Limited English Proficient	33	79%	55%	3%	30	100%	90%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	299	97%	85%	27%	256	96%	85%	22%
Not Disadvantaged	404	97%	94%	39%	420	98%	89%	33%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	702	-	-	-	676	97%	87%	29%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	21	21	20	13	13	13	11	10

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>678</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>67%</b>
Female	348	99%	95%	75%	317	100%	95%	66%
Male	354	98%	97%	78%	361	100%	95%	68%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	83%
Black or African American	102	99%	94%	56%	88	100%	88%	50%
Hispanic or Latino	116	94%	89%	68%	114	100%	95%	61%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	18	100%	94%	61%
White	466	99%	98%	82%	452	100%	97%	72%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	18	100%	100%	94%				
General-Education Students	601	99%	97%	81%	581	100%	97%	72%
Students with Disabilities	101	93%	88%	51%	97	99%	84%	40%
English Proficient	669	99%	98%	79%	648	100%	96%	68%
Limited English Proficient	33	76%	58%	24%	30	100%	80%	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	297	98%	94%	69%	253	100%	93%	63%
Not Disadvantaged	405	98%	98%	82%	425	100%	96%	70%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	701	-	-	-	678	100%	95%	67%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	21	21	21	20	13	13	12	10

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>656</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>647</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	313	100%	89%	14%	330	99%	81%	6%
Male	343	100%	85%	10%	317	98%	75%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	100%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%
Black or African American	88	100%	74%	5%	91	98%	66%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	120	99%	81%	8%	125	99%	73%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	88%	6%	18	100%	89%	22%
White	426	100%	91%	15%	408	99%	82%	6%
Multiracial								
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	556	100%	93%	13%	547	100%	86%	6%
Students with Disabilities	100	100%	54%	2%	100	93%	33%	0%
English Proficient	629	100%	89%	12%	631	99%	80%	5%
Limited English Proficient	27	96%	48%	4%	16	88%	19%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	273	100%	82%	8%	258	98%	73%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	383	100%	90%	14%	389	99%	81%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	656	100%	87%	12%	647	99%	78%	5%

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	14	13	12	6	9	9	7	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>661</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>646</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>26%</b>
Female	312	98%	93%	42%	331	98%	90%	25%
Male	349	99%	91%	42%	315	97%	87%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	20%
Black or African American	89	96%	81%	27%	92	93%	80%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	121	100%	89%	38%	123	97%	83%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	-	-	-	19	95%	95%	53%
White	430	99%	95%	47%	407	99%	92%	30%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	100%	100%	43%				
General-Education Students	560	100%	97%	48%	546	99%	92%	30%
Students with Disabilities	101	92%	64%	12%	100	87%	66%	4%
English Proficient	633	99%	92%	44%	629	98%	90%	27%
Limited English Proficient	28	100%	89%	14%	17	82%	47%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	276	98%	92%	36%	251	98%	86%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	385	99%	92%	47%	395	97%	90%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	661	99%	92%	42%	646	97%	88%	26%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	14	14	13	10	9	9	8	5

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>713</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>4%</b>
Female	316	100%	86%	13%	338	99%	73%	6%
Male	317	100%	75%	4%	375	97%	62%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	6	83%	50%	17%
Black or African American	89	100%	66%	3%	98	98%	51%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	117	100%	74%	7%	109	96%	72%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	-	-	-	9	100%	78%	11%
White	403	100%	85%	9%	491	98%	69%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	24	100%	92%	25%				
General-Education Students	540	100%	87%	10%	599	100%	78%	5%
Students with Disabilities	93	100%	43%	0%	114	88%	13%	0%
English Proficient	618	100%	82%	9%	705	98%	68%	4%
Limited English Proficient	15	100%	40%	0%	8	100%	50%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	269	100%	73%	6%	258	98%	61%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	364	100%	86%	11%	455	98%	71%	6%
Migrant					2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	633	100%	81%	9%	711	-	-	-

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	11	11	9	6	23	22	17	16
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>636</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>722</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>18%</b>
Female	314	98%	86%	18%	342	94%	79%	20%
Male	322	97%	78%	20%	380	89%	68%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	6	83%	50%	33%
Black or African American	88	92%	72%	8%	99	83%	53%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	119	97%	78%	13%	113	90%	68%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	-	-	-	9	100%	100%	22%
White	405	98%	85%	22%	495	93%	79%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	24	100%	92%	38%				
General-Education Students	541	99%	87%	22%	605	96%	82%	21%
Students with Disabilities	95	87%	54%	2%	117	68%	29%	3%
English Proficient	620	97%	82%	19%	711	92%	74%	19%
Limited English Proficient	16	94%	63%	0%	11	64%	27%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	268	97%	76%	13%	257	92%	69%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	368	97%	86%	23%	465	91%	76%	23%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	636	97%	82%	19%	721	-	-	-

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	11	11	10	8	23	23	22	17



## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>705</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>749</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>1%</b>
Female	337	100%	86%	7%	378	98%	76%	1%
Male	368	100%	74%	4%	371	98%	66%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	100%	50%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%
Black or African American	89	100%	74%	4%	95	95%	55%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	113	99%	78%	4%	127	98%	66%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	91%	9%	15	100%	100%	0%
White	486	100%	81%	6%	507	99%	75%	1%
Multiracial								
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	596	100%	88%	6%	635	99%	80%	1%
Students with Disabilities	109	99%	35%	0%	114	91%	25%	0%
English Proficient	692	100%	80%	5%	735	99%	72%	1%
Limited English Proficient	13	100%	38%	0%	14	71%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	266	100%	73%	5%	284	96%	61%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	439	100%	84%	6%	465	99%	78%	2%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	704	-	-	-	747	-	-	-

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	23	23	22	18	11	11	11	11
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>707</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>743</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>27%</b>
Female	336	100%	93%	26%	373	98%	89%	28%
Male	371	99%	86%	26%	370	95%	81%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	100%	83%	33%	5	100%	60%	40%
Black or African American	88	100%	83%	15%	93	89%	73%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	114	98%	89%	20%	123	96%	79%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	100%	45%	15	100%	100%	33%
White	488	100%	91%	29%	507	98%	88%	34%
Multiracial								
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	600	100%	94%	30%	631	99%	92%	31%
Students with Disabilities	107	97%	66%	3%	112	82%	45%	2%
English Proficient	692	100%	90%	26%	729	97%	85%	27%
Limited English Proficient	15	93%	73%	0%	14	86%	57%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	270	99%	88%	18%	277	96%	80%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	437	100%	91%	31%	466	97%	88%	33%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	706	-	-	-	741	-	-	-


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	23	23	21	19	11	11	10	9

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>728</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>788</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	368	100%	74%	5%	356	97%	69%	7%
Male	360	97%	65%	3%	432	93%	57%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	6	100%	50%	17%
Black or African American	96	98%	51%	1%	117	92%	40%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	120	100%	63%	1%	136	93%	49%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	-	-	-	13	100%	77%	0%
White	492	98%	74%	6%	516	96%	71%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	20	100%	95%	5%				
General-Education Students	637	100%	77%	5%	671	99%	71%	6%
Students with Disabilities	91	90%	18%	0%	117	73%	14%	0%
English Proficient	718	99%	70%	4%	774	95%	64%	6%
Limited English Proficient	10	80%	10%	0%	14	71%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	260	99%	62%	1%	291	92%	44%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	468	98%	74%	6%	497	97%	73%	7%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	727	-	-	-	786	-	-	-

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	12	12	12	12	13	13	11	11
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>781</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>12%</b>
Female	365	97%	81%	15%	354	95%	69%	11%
Male	359	97%	74%	12%	427	91%	60%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	17%
Black or African American	97	95%	60%	3%	118	84%	36%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	119	97%	75%	8%	133	88%	57%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	-	-	-	13	100%	69%	23%
White	489	97%	81%	17%	511	95%	72%	15%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	19	100%	100%	26%				
General-Education Students	634	99%	83%	16%	665	96%	71%	13%
Students with Disabilities	90	83%	38%	0%	116	70%	25%	2%
English Proficient	715	97%	78%	14%	767	93%	65%	12%
Limited English Proficient	9	78%	44%	0%	14	71%	21%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	257	97%	72%	5%	283	86%	46%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	467	97%	81%	19%	498	96%	74%	14%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	723	-	-	-	779	-	-	-


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	12	12	12	10	13	11	10	9

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>718</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>769</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>30%</b>
Female	361	98%	81%	28%	352	98%	79%	25%
Male	357	97%	82%	31%	417	97%	78%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	67%
Black or African American	95	93%	60%	8%	108	96%	61%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	120	99%	77%	21%	133	96%	74%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	-	-	-	13	100%	100%	54%
White	483	98%	87%	35%	509	98%	83%	35%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	20	100%	90%	50%				
General-Education Students	628	99%	87%	33%	655	99%	84%	34%
Students with Disabilities	90	89%	41%	2%	114	91%	48%	6%
English Proficient	709	98%	82%	30%	755	98%	80%	31%
Limited English Proficient	9	89%	22%	0%	14	71%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	256	97%	75%	20%	272	96%	67%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	462	98%	85%	35%	497	98%	85%	36%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	717	-	-	-	767	-	-	-

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments


	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	12	12	12	12	13	13	11	10
Regents Science	17	13	9	2	5	5	4	0

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4




■ 2005 Cohort  
■ 2004 Cohort

### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4



## Results by Student Group

### 2005 Cohort

Number of Students      Percentage scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

### 2004 Cohort\*\*

Number of Students      Percentage scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

	2005 Cohort	2005 Cohort			2004 Cohort**	2004 Cohort**		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>874</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>821</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>24%</b>
Female	424	87%	85%	38%	400	83%	80%	26%
Male	450	78%	74%	20%	421	76%	74%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	94	72%	67%	13%	87	69%	63%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	143	76%	70%	18%	119	69%	69%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
White	623	86%	83%	34%	598	83%	80%	28%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	14	93%	93%	29%	17	94%	94%	24%
General-Education Students	768	89%	87%	33%	722	85%	83%	27%
Students with Disabilities	106	34%	25%	2%	99	35%	30%	0%
English Proficient	858	83%	80%	29%	812	80%	77%	24%
Limited English Proficient	16	50%	44%	0%	9	22%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	197	76%	72%	18%	197	69%	67%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	677	85%	82%	32%	624	83%	80%	27%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	874	83%	80%	29%	820	-	-	-

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

### 2005 Cohort

Number of Students      Number scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

### 2004 Cohort

Number of Students      Number scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



## Results by Student Group

### 2005 Cohort

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

### 2004 Cohort\*\*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

	2005 Cohort			2004 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>874</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>821</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>19%</b>
Female	424	87%	83%	28%	400	85%	79%	19%
Male	450	77%	71%	23%	421	78%	76%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	94	68%	61%	7%	87	72%	62%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	143	78%	71%	18%	119	74%	68%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
White	623	84%	80%	30%	598	84%	81%	23%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	14	93%	93%	50%	17	88%	88%	24%
General-Education Students	768	88%	84%	29%	722	88%	84%	22%
Students with Disabilities	106	39%	23%	1%	99	35%	27%	1%
English Proficient	858	82%	77%	26%	812	82%	78%	19%
Limited English Proficient	16	56%	50%	6%	9	44%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	197	76%	69%	16%	197	73%	66%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	677	83%	79%	29%	624	84%	81%	21%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	874	82%	77%	26%	820	-	-	-

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

### 2005 Cohort

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

### 2004 Cohort

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.