



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2008 – 09**

District **HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-03-03-0000**

Superintendent **JOHN FINELLO**

Telephone **(631) 673-2038**

Grades **PK-12**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-04-03-03-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Pre-K	0	0	213
Kindergarten	359	395	363
Grade 1	376	351	392
Grade 2	337	364	346
Grade 3	337	347	369
Grade 4	346	330	347
Grade 5	318	312	349
Grade 6	346	351	332
Ungraded Elementary	5	0	0
Grade 7	316	344	325
Grade 8	321	324	352
Grade 9	296	323	339
Grade 10	306	303	302
Grade 11	276	279	259
Grade 12	289	271	258
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	4228	4294	4333

Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Common Branch	22	23	23
Grade 8			
English	22	23	24
Mathematics	23	23	22
Science	24	24	25
Social Studies	23	24	23
Grade 10			
English	21	23	24
Mathematics	24	22	22
Science	17	20	20
Social Studies	21	19	22

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-04-03-03-0000

Demographic Factors

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	821	19%	764	18%	954	22%
Reduced-Price Lunch	174	4%	176	4%	194	4%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	582	14%	588	14%	619	14%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	565	13%	521	12%	518	12%
Hispanic or Latino	1033	24%	1080	25%	1182	27%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	81	2%	93	2%	87	2%
White	2549	60%	2592	60%	2491	57%
Multiracial	0	0%	8	0%	54	1%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	376	9%	421	10%	324	8%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-04-03-03-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Number of Teachers	371	396	400
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	6%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	59%	60%	61%
Total Number of Core Classes	938	958	981
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1237	1177	1203
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	2%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	27%	26%	16%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	15%	15%	11%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Other Professional Staff	59	37	52
Total Paraprofessionals*	182	147	119
Assistant Principals	1	3	3
Principals	8	8	8

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.


Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/>.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				—	—	
White						
Multiracial	—	—		—	—	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities						
Limited English Proficient				—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	8 of 8	8 of 8	1 of 1	4 of 6	5 of 6	1 of 1

AYP Status


- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (2087:2024)			100%		181	142	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (257:247)			100%		153	137	
Hispanic or Latino (554:516)			99%		162	139	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (36:35)		—	—		194	128	
White (1216:1207)			100%		194	141	
Multiracial (24:19)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (271:266)			99%		142	137	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (224:296)			98%		143	138	
Economically Disadvantaged (760:706)			99%		158	140	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (2084:2020)			99%		189	117	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (255:237)			99%		170	112	
Hispanic or Latino (555:526)			99%		178	114	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (37:35)		—	—		200	103	
White (1212:1204)			100%		197	116	
Multiracial (25:18)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (273:260)			98%		161	112	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (231:317)			99%		170	113	
Economically Disadvantaged (759:707)			99%		175	115	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (707:681)		Qualified		99%		187	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (90:84)		Qualified		98%		168	100	
Hispanic or Latino (177:167)		Qualified		99%		169	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (19:17)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (414:410)		Qualified		100%		197	100	
Multiracial (7:3)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (89:84)		Qualified		96%		162	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (74:94)		Qualified		97%		162	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (253:232)		Qualified		98%		168	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.


³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status



















Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 4 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Status	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (289:276)			100%		180	164	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (40:38)			100%		155	155	
Hispanic or Latino (50:48)			100%		148	157	157 153
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (190:180)			99%		193	163	
Multiracial (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (38:43)		—	—		116	156	149 124
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (5:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (61:55)			100%		165	158	
Final AYP Determination	 4 of 6						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.




³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status



















Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 5 of 6 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Status	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (289:276)			100%		184	159	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (40:38)			100%		150	150	
Hispanic or Latino (50:48)			100%		169	152	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (190:180)			100%		194	158	
Multiracial (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (38:43)		—	—		119	151	149 127
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (5:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (61:55)			100%		167	153	
Final AYP Determination	 5 of 6						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.




³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status









Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count)	Graduation		Graduation Rate ¹	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10	
All Students (290)			83%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (39)			79%	55%		
Hispanic or Latino (66)			61%	55%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4)		–	–	–		
White (181)			92%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (46)			70%	55%		
Limited English Proficient ² (16)		–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (59)			81%	55%		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

² If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.







The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.







District HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT



District ID 58-04-03-03-0000



Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	82%			361
Grade 4	85%			336
Grade 5	83%			340
Grade 6	84%			332
Grade 7	84%			315
Grade 8	74%			342

Mathematics				
Grade 3	95%			364
Grade 4	87%			343
Grade 5	87%			342
Grade 6	91%			337
Grade 7	93%			321
Grade 8	90%			343

Science				
Grade 4	92%			346
Grade 8	80%			278

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2005 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	81%			294
Mathematics	82%			294

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	361	98%	82%	16%	351	94%	76%	15%
Female	167	99%	85%	18%	166	94%	76%	15%
Male	194	97%	80%	14%	185	94%	76%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	38	92%	53%	5%	36	86%	42%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	96	98%	66%	2%	92	87%	53%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	217	100%	94%	23%	215	98%	91%	21%
Multiracial	6	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	10	90%	90%	30%	8	100%	88%	38%
General-Education Students	330	99%	86%	17%	322	96%	79%	16%
Students with Disabilities	31	90%	42%	3%	29	72%	38%	10%
English Proficient	318	99%	86%	18%	301	96%	83%	18%
Limited English Proficient	43	95%	51%	0%	50	84%	34%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	132	95%	61%	3%	122	85%	48%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	229	100%	94%	23%	229	99%	90%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	361	98%	82%	16%	351	94%	76%	15%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	364	99%	95%	31%	351	99%	94%	40%
Female	165	99%	95%	28%	164	99%	95%	38%
Male	199	99%	95%	34%	187	98%	93%	42%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	37	97%	70%	3%	37	95%	84%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	99	99%	96%	21%	92	98%	88%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	218	100%	99%	40%	215	100%	98%	52%
Multiracial	6	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	10	100%	100%	40%	7	100%	86%	29%
General-Education Students	333	100%	97%	32%	322	99%	95%	43%
Students with Disabilities	31	97%	77%	23%	29	97%	76%	3%
English Proficient	316	100%	96%	33%	299	99%	96%	44%
Limited English Proficient	48	98%	92%	21%	52	98%	83%	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	133	98%	89%	16%	122	98%	85%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	231	100%	99%	40%	229	100%	98%	50%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	364	99%	95%	31%	351	99%	94%	40%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	336	98%	85%	15%	344	90%	74%	14%
Female	153	97%	88%	16%	161	93%	80%	18%
Male	183	98%	83%	15%	183	87%	69%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	31	97%	65%	3%	43	70%	49%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	91	92%	62%	1%	97	85%	56%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	206	100%	99%	23%	199	97%	88%	22%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	25%	5	100%	100%	20%
General-Education Students	302	99%	88%	17%	305	93%	79%	16%
Students with Disabilities	34	82%	56%	6%	39	64%	33%	0%
English Proficient	305	99%	91%	17%	297	94%	82%	16%
Limited English Proficient	31	81%	29%	0%	47	68%	26%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	116	93%	62%	2%	126	79%	51%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	220	100%	97%	23%	218	97%	88%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	336	98%	85%	15%	344	90%	74%	14%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	343	96%	87%	39%	354	94%	83%	35%
Female	155	97%	87%	38%	172	94%	83%	34%
Male	188	96%	88%	39%	182	95%	84%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	32	94%	72%	6%	45	87%	64%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	97	90%	70%	13%	101	95%	70%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	206	100%	98%	56%	202	96%	94%	48%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	25%	6	100%	100%	50%
General-Education Students	308	97%	89%	42%	314	97%	87%	38%
Students with Disabilities	35	89%	71%	11%	40	73%	55%	8%
English Proficient	304	98%	93%	44%	301	96%	90%	39%
Limited English Proficient	39	82%	46%	0%	53	85%	45%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	123	91%	70%	8%	134	92%	66%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	220	99%	97%	56%	220	96%	94%	48%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	343	96%	87%	39%	354	94%	83%	35%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year			2007-08 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	346	99%	92%	70%	354	98%	87%	52%
Female	157	99%	93%	66%	173	99%	87%	51%
Male	189	98%	92%	74%	181	98%	88%	52%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	32	100%	81%	38%	46	93%	67%	26%
Hispanic or Latino	98	95%	80%	35%	101	99%	80%	26%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	207	100%	100%	92%	201	99%	95%	70%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	78%	6	100%	100%	67%
General-Education Students	312	99%	93%	72%	314	99%	90%	56%
Students with Disabilities	34	94%	88%	50%	40	93%	68%	18%
English Proficient	307	99%	95%	77%	302	98%	91%	58%
Limited English Proficient	39	92%	69%	15%	52	98%	65%	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	124	96%	80%	35%	136	97%	75%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	222	100%	100%	90%	218	99%	95%	70%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	346	99%	92%	70%	354	98%	87%	52%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	340	100%	83%	19%	327	99%	77%	6%
Female	160	99%	84%	21%	156	99%	78%	8%
Male	180	100%	83%	17%	171	99%	77%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	43	100%	65%	16%	37	100%	38%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	96	99%	72%	4%	73	96%	56%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
White	195	100%	92%	27%	208	100%	92%	10%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	17%	9	100%	78%	0%
General-Education Students	293	100%	89%	22%	275	100%	86%	7%
Students with Disabilities	47	100%	49%	4%	52	96%	33%	2%
English Proficient	300	100%	90%	21%	302	100%	82%	7%
Limited English Proficient	40	98%	35%	3%	25	88%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	131	99%	66%	7%	102	97%	53%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	209	100%	94%	27%	225	100%	88%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	340	100%	83%	19%	327	99%	77%	6%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	6	5	5	1
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	342	97%	87%	37%	334	97%	84%	31%
Female	158	96%	86%	35%	159	98%	87%	28%
Male	184	97%	87%	39%	175	95%	81%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	44	93%	77%	18%	40	85%	55%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	99	94%	75%	18%	76	96%	71%	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
White	193	99%	94%	51%	209	99%	94%	39%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	50%	9	100%	78%	33%
General-Education Students	294	98%	91%	43%	282	99%	91%	34%
Students with Disabilities	48	88%	60%	4%	52	83%	44%	10%
English Proficient	297	99%	91%	42%	305	97%	87%	33%
Limited English Proficient	45	84%	58%	7%	29	90%	52%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	134	93%	75%	15%	106	92%	64%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	208	99%	94%	51%	228	99%	93%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	342	97%	87%	37%	334	97%	84%	31%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	6	6	5	2

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	332	100%	84%	24%	319	98%	76%	9%
Female	159	100%	89%	30%	152	98%	78%	8%
Male	173	100%	80%	19%	167	99%	75%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	41	100%	54%	2%	36	100%	53%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	75	100%	71%	15%	94	96%	60%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
White	206	100%	96%	32%	179	100%	91%	15%
Multiracial	7	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	10	100%	80%	30%	10	90%	60%	20%
General-Education Students	281	100%	92%	28%	280	99%	82%	10%
Students with Disabilities	51	100%	41%	2%	39	92%	36%	0%
English Proficient	307	100%	89%	26%	282	100%	83%	10%
Limited English Proficient	25	100%	28%	0%	37	89%	24%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	100%	62%	7%	108	97%	53%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	215	100%	96%	33%	211	99%	89%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	332	100%	84%	24%	319	98%	76%	9%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	7	3	2	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	337	97%	91%	40%	321	96%	85%	34%
Female	160	99%	94%	41%	154	96%	82%	31%
Male	177	95%	88%	40%	167	96%	89%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	40	93%	78%	10%	38	92%	71%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	81	93%	80%	17%	94	93%	72%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
White	206	100%	98%	55%	179	99%	95%	49%
Multiracial	6	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	10	100%	80%	40%	10	90%	90%	70%
General-Education Students	284	99%	96%	46%	281	98%	90%	39%
Students with Disabilities	53	89%	62%	8%	40	85%	53%	3%
English Proficient	306	99%	94%	44%	282	98%	91%	39%
Limited English Proficient	31	81%	61%	0%	39	85%	46%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	121	92%	78%	13%	110	92%	68%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	216	100%	98%	55%	211	98%	94%	48%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	337	97%	91%	40%	321	96%	85%	34%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	7	6	2	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	315	100%	84%	13%	332	96%	74%	2%
Female	147	100%	81%	11%	182	97%	75%	3%
Male	168	100%	88%	15%	150	95%	73%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	41	100%	61%	2%	44	91%	45%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	91	100%	73%	1%	73	89%	36%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
White	175	100%	97%	21%	199	99%	92%	4%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	8	100%	63%	25%	16	100%	94%	0%
General-Education Students	280	100%	88%	15%	280	99%	83%	3%
Students with Disabilities	35	100%	57%	0%	52	83%	23%	0%
English Proficient	293	100%	88%	14%	297	99%	82%	2%
Limited English Proficient	22	100%	32%	0%	35	74%	6%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	109	100%	66%	2%	111	90%	40%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	206	100%	94%	19%	221	99%	91%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	315	100%	84%	13%	332	96%	74%	2%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	5	5	5	5	2	1
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	321	99%	93%	42%	332	98%	86%	37%
Female	151	100%	91%	39%	181	98%	86%	38%
Male	170	99%	94%	45%	151	98%	85%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	39	95%	79%	13%	44	98%	59%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	98	100%	88%	24%	74	93%	68%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	15	100%	100%	73%
White	175	100%	98%	58%	199	99%	97%	53%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	67%				
General-Education Students	286	100%	95%	47%	282	100%	91%	42%
Students with Disabilities	35	97%	74%	6%	50	88%	54%	8%
English Proficient	289	99%	94%	46%	296	99%	91%	41%
Limited English Proficient	32	100%	75%	13%	36	86%	44%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	116	99%	84%	19%	110	95%	64%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	205	100%	97%	56%	222	99%	97%	51%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	321	99%	93%	42%	332	98%	86%	37%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	4	1	5	5	5	2

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 667	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2008 Mean Score: 657						
Number of Tested Students:	333	302	252	183	32	25

Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	342	97%	74%	9%	329	92%	56%	8%
Female	188	98%	79%	12%	150	91%	60%	9%
Male	154	97%	68%	6%	179	92%	52%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	56	98%	41%	0%	52	83%	17%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	70	90%	44%	1%	83	86%	30%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
White	201	100%	91%	15%	184	98%	79%	12%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	15	100%	100%	7%	10	80%	40%	10%
General-Education Students	295	98%	80%	11%	272	97%	64%	9%
Students with Disabilities	47	91%	34%	0%	57	68%	16%	0%
English Proficient	317	99%	78%	10%	293	95%	62%	9%
Limited English Proficient	25	72%	16%	0%	36	67%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	95%	46%	1%	127	84%	29%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	225	99%	88%	14%	202	97%	72%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	342	97%	74%	9%	329	92%	56%	8%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	7	6	5	2
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	343	98%	90%	29%	325	93%	82%	32%
Female	188	98%	89%	31%	147	95%	86%	28%
Male	155	98%	90%	26%	178	92%	78%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	54	98%	72%	0%	54	74%	44%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	73	93%	78%	8%	79	92%	76%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
White	200	100%	98%	42%	182	100%	96%	47%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	16	100%	94%	56%	10	80%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	300	99%	94%	31%	270	97%	87%	37%
Students with Disabilities	43	91%	56%	9%	55	75%	55%	5%
English Proficient	313	100%	92%	31%	290	94%	85%	34%
Limited English Proficient	30	83%	67%	7%	35	89%	54%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	118	95%	74%	8%	122	86%	64%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	225	100%	98%	39%	203	98%	93%	45%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	343	98%	90%	29%	325	93%	82%	32%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	7	7	6	0

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	278	95%	80%	24%	275	96%	79%	31%
Female	148	96%	76%	22%	125	97%	78%	27%
Male	130	94%	84%	27%	150	96%	79%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	55	93%	64%	2%	55	89%	45%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	75	91%	64%	11%	76	96%	71%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
White	139	98%	94%	40%	137	100%	96%	53%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	22%	7	86%	86%	14%
General-Education Students	234	97%	84%	26%	219	98%	84%	36%
Students with Disabilities	44	84%	57%	14%	56	91%	61%	13%
English Proficient	246	97%	84%	27%	241	98%	83%	35%
Limited English Proficient	32	81%	47%	3%	34	88%	53%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	120	91%	63%	10%	122	95%	64%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	158	98%	92%	35%	153	97%	91%	50%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	278	95%	80%	24%	275	96%	79%	31%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	7	7	4	3
Regents Science	70	70	70	70	51	51	51	50

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2005 Cohort
■ 2004 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	294	85%	81%	38%	290	87%	82%	30%
Female	149	86%	85%	44%	142	85%	81%	35%
Male	145	83%	78%	33%	148	88%	83%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	40	65%	60%	8%	39	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	62	61%	56%	10%	66	68%	62%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
White	182	97%	94%	53%	181	95%	93%	39%
Multiracial	1	–	–	–				
Small Group Totals	10	90%	90%	70%	43	79%	65%	9%
General-Education Students	248	93%	91%	45%	244	91%	88%	34%
Students with Disabilities	46	41%	30%	4%	46	61%	50%	4%
English Proficient	280	88%	85%	40%	274	91%	86%	31%
Limited English Proficient	14	14%	14%	0%	16	13%	6%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	62	73%	68%	13%	59	81%	69%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	232	88%	85%	45%	231	88%	85%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	294	85%	81%	38%	290	87%	82%	30%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***								

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.


*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2005 Cohort
■ 2004 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2005 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2004 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2005 Cohort	2005 Cohort			2004 Cohort**	2004 Cohort**		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	294	86%	82%	39%	290	89%	83%	34%
Female	149	89%	84%	42%	142	89%	81%	32%
Male	145	83%	79%	36%	148	89%	84%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	40	70%	55%	5%	39	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	62	69%	63%	11%	66	74%	67%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
White	182	95%	93%	54%	181	94%	91%	48%
Multiracial	1	–	–	–				
Small Group Totals	10	90%	90%	70%	43	86%	72%	12%
General-Education Students	248	96%	92%	46%	244	93%	89%	39%
Students with Disabilities	46	35%	28%	0%	46	63%	48%	11%
English Proficient	280	89%	85%	41%	274	92%	85%	36%
Limited English Proficient	14	36%	21%	0%	16	38%	38%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	62	74%	65%	13%	59	88%	76%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	232	89%	86%	46%	231	89%	84%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	294	86%	82%	39%	290	89%	83%	34%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2005 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.