



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2008 – 09**

District **RIVERHEAD CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **58-06-02-04-0000**

Superintendent **DIANE SCRICCA**

Telephone **(631) 369-6717**

Grades **PK-12**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Pre-K	0	0	256
Kindergarten	365	415	376
Grade 1	379	371	407
Grade 2	355	340	354
Grade 3	358	342	352
Grade 4	334	341	325
Grade 5	349	340	351
Grade 6	342	328	329
Ungraded Elementary	0	3	0
Grade 7	389	331	347
Grade 8	342	383	336
Grade 9	467	439	464
Grade 10	364	376	380
Grade 11	277	323	328
Grade 12	299	320	363
Ungraded Secondary	0	5	0
Total K-12	4620	4657	4712

Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Common Branch	20	20	21
Grade 8			
English	22	24	21
Mathematics	24	23	23
Science	18	18	19
Social Studies	24	24	24
Grade 10			
English	18	23	22
Mathematics	19	16	19
Science	21	25	18
Social Studies	26	23	25

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District RIVERHEAD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-06-02-04-0000

Demographic Factors

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1251	27%	1236	27%	1170	25%
Reduced-Price Lunch	406	9%	391	8%	391	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	376	8%	405	9%	453	10%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	12	0%	15	0%	24	1%
Black or African American	1031	22%	1034	22%	1001	21%
Hispanic or Latino	766	17%	828	18%	903	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	45	1%	50	1%	51	1%
White	2765	60%	2720	58%	2721	58%
Multiracial	1	0%	10	0%	12	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		93%		94%
Student Suspensions	241	5%	301	7%	219	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District RIVERHEAD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-06-02-04-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Number of Teachers	358	393	379
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	8%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	56%	59%	64%
Total Number of Core Classes	942	1016	1028
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1207	1275	1273
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	1%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	15%	17%	35%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	13%	18%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Other Professional Staff	56	51	45
Total Paraprofessionals*	106	124	113
Assistant Principals	7	7	7
Principals	7	7	7

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/>.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—				
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—		—	—	
White						
Multiracial	—	—				
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	SH			SH	SH	
Limited English Proficient				—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	7 of 7	7 of 7	1 of 1	6 of 6	6 of 6	1 of 1

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (2081:2011)			99%		178	142	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (14:13)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (427:412)			99%		162	139	
Hispanic or Latino (423:393)			100%		167	138	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (22:19)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1188:1168)			100%		187	141	
Multiracial (7:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (226:212)	 SH		96%	 SH	127	136	115 134
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (178:191)			100%		151	136	
Economically Disadvantaged (851:805)			99%		163	140	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (2082:2022)			99%		187	117	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (14:13)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (431:411)			99%		173	114	
Hispanic or Latino (419:406)			100%		182	114	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (22:19)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1189:1167)			99%		193	116	
Multiracial (7:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (227:216)			99%		143	111	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (175:207)			99%		176	111	
Economically Disadvantaged (852:814)			99%		179	115	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (687:653)		Qualified		99%		177	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (146:134)		Qualified		97%		149	100	
Hispanic or Latino (132:122)		Qualified		99%		172	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (395:385)		Qualified		99%		190	100	
Multiracial (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (90:82)		Qualified		96%		148	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (55:58)		Qualified		98%		145	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (267:251)		Qualified		98%		161	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


















Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (363:351)			99%		185	165	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (67:76)			99%		161	160	
Hispanic or Latino (39:33)		–	–		164	154	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:9)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (249:233)			100%		196	164	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (33:40)		–	–		135	156	124 142
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (14:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (89:96)			99%		169	161	
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 6						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


















Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (363:351)			99%		188	160	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (67:76)			99%		168	155	
Hispanic or Latino (39:33)		–	–		188	149	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:9)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (249:233)			100%		194	159	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (33:40)		–	–		135	151	105 142
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (14:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (89:96)			99%		178	156	
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 6						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status









Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count)	Graduation		Graduation Rate ¹	Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (340)			83%	55%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)					
Black or African American (77)			75%	55%	
Hispanic or Latino (46)			67%	55%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4)		–	–	–	
White (213)			89%	55%	
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (37)			57%	55%	
Limited English Proficient ² (10)		–	–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged (94)			78%	55%	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1			

NOTES

¹ Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

² If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.







The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.







District RIVERHEAD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT



District ID 58-06-02-04-0000



Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	77%			345
Grade 4	88%			323
Grade 5	83%			349
Grade 6	88%			331
Grade 7	74%			337
Grade 8	65%			326

Mathematics				
Grade 3	93%			347
Grade 4	90%			327
Grade 5	87%			353
Grade 6	86%			334
Grade 7	94%			340
Grade 8	83%			341

Science				
Grade 4	88%			325
Grade 8	74%			340

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2005 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	80%			401
Mathematics	84%			401

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	345	97%	77%	10%	335	95%	71%	8%
Female	158	97%	78%	13%	160	97%	71%	9%
Male	187	96%	76%	8%	175	93%	71%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	72	-	-	-	68	87%	49%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	78	94%	69%	3%	63	92%	54%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	191	98%	85%	17%	198	98%	84%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	76	95%	66%	3%	6	100%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	315	98%	80%	11%	300	98%	76%	8%
Students with Disabilities	30	80%	43%	3%	35	63%	29%	6%
English Proficient	297	97%	79%	12%	299	95%	75%	9%
Limited English Proficient	48	92%	63%	2%	36	92%	42%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	145	94%	63%	3%	140	91%	51%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	200	98%	87%	16%	195	97%	85%	12%
Migrant	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	342	-	-	-	334	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	347	100%	93%	22%	331	99%	92%	26%
Female	157	99%	92%	25%	161	99%	93%	28%
Male	190	100%	94%	19%	170	98%	91%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	74	-	-	-	66	95%	80%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	78	99%	88%	6%	63	98%	90%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	191	100%	97%	35%	196	100%	96%	33%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	78	100%	88%	6%	6	100%	100%	33%
General-Education Students	317	100%	95%	23%	297	100%	95%	28%
Students with Disabilities	30	97%	70%	13%	34	91%	65%	6%
English Proficient	298	100%	95%	26%	292	99%	93%	28%
Limited English Proficient	49	100%	84%	2%	39	97%	85%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	145	100%	88%	9%	136	97%	86%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	202	100%	97%	32%	195	100%	96%	35%
Migrant	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	344	-	-	-	330	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year			2007-08 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	323	98%	88%	13%	334	93%	71%	8%
Female	151	99%	88%	17%	152	94%	72%	11%
Male	172	96%	87%	10%	182	93%	69%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	62	94%	73%	6%	62	89%	42%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	67	96%	81%	4%	74	84%	58%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	189	99%	96%	19%	190	99%	85%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	20%	8	88%	63%	0%
General-Education Students	293	100%	92%	15%	306	95%	75%	8%
Students with Disabilities	30	73%	43%	0%	28	71%	21%	0%
English Proficient	295	98%	91%	15%	306	96%	75%	8%
Limited English Proficient	28	89%	57%	0%	28	61%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	125	94%	75%	3%	147	86%	50%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	198	99%	95%	20%	187	99%	87%	12%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	321	-	-	-	332	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	3	1	0	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	327	96%	90%	39%	337	96%	83%	28%
Female	153	96%	90%	41%	153	95%	78%	30%
Male	174	95%	89%	39%	184	96%	87%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	5	80%	60%	20%
Black or African American	62	90%	74%	19%	61	95%	75%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	72	93%	86%	22%	76	92%	64%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	20%
White	187	98%	96%	52%	190	97%	94%	41%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	50%				
General-Education Students	297	98%	92%	42%	310	98%	87%	31%
Students with Disabilities	30	77%	63%	13%	27	70%	37%	4%
English Proficient	293	97%	92%	43%	304	97%	88%	32%
Limited English Proficient	34	85%	71%	6%	33	85%	36%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	129	91%	82%	17%	147	92%	69%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	198	98%	94%	54%	190	98%	94%	43%
Migrant	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	323	-	-	-	335	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	4	1	0	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	325	95%	88%	58%	337	97%	86%	53%
Female	156	97%	90%	54%	154	97%	84%	50%
Male	169	94%	86%	60%	183	97%	88%	55%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	5	100%	60%	60%
Black or African American	61	90%	75%	33%	59	98%	85%	22%
Hispanic or Latino	72	92%	79%	28%	79	92%	71%	30%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	80%
White	186	99%	96%	77%	189	99%	94%	71%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	67%	50%	50%				
General-Education Students	295	96%	89%	60%	311	98%	89%	56%
Students with Disabilities	30	90%	70%	30%	26	88%	54%	15%
English Proficient	290	98%	92%	63%	303	99%	91%	58%
Limited English Proficient	35	74%	51%	9%	34	82%	44%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	125	90%	77%	27%	149	95%	77%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	200	99%	95%	77%	188	99%	94%	74%
Migrant	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	322	-	-	-	335	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	4	3	1	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	349	100%	83%	10%	330	97%	76%	7%
Female	160	100%	83%	9%	156	98%	81%	9%
Male	189	100%	83%	11%	174	97%	72%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	80%	0%	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	68	100%	71%	4%	68	99%	54%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	79	100%	71%	9%	53	91%	68%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	0%	4	-	-	-
White	192	100%	93%	14%	202	99%	86%	9%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals					7	100%	71%	14%
General-Education Students	318	100%	87%	11%	297	99%	83%	7%
Students with Disabilities	31	100%	45%	3%	33	82%	12%	0%
English Proficient	319	100%	87%	11%	317	98%	78%	7%
Limited English Proficient	30	100%	37%	0%	13	85%	38%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	163	100%	72%	6%	125	95%	56%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	186	100%	93%	14%	205	99%	88%	9%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	7	86%	43%	0%
Not Migrant	347	-	-	-	323	98%	77%	7%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	353	97%	87%	33%	335	95%	86%	29%
Female	162	97%	84%	30%	159	96%	87%	29%
Male	191	97%	90%	36%	176	94%	86%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	80%	80%	40%	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	67	96%	78%	13%	67	93%	76%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	82	96%	80%	18%	58	88%	78%	28%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	20%	4	-	-	-
White	194	98%	93%	46%	203	97%	92%	34%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals					7	100%	86%	29%
General-Education Students	320	99%	90%	36%	303	98%	91%	31%
Students with Disabilities	33	79%	55%	3%	32	66%	41%	3%
English Proficient	319	97%	88%	36%	318	96%	88%	29%
Limited English Proficient	34	91%	76%	9%	17	76%	53%	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	165	95%	79%	18%	129	91%	74%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	188	99%	94%	47%	206	97%	94%	36%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	7	86%	71%	14%
Not Migrant	351	-	-	-	328	95%	87%	29%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	331	100%	88%	14%	330	98%	69%	5%
Female	152	100%	93%	18%	159	100%	74%	5%
Male	179	100%	83%	10%	171	96%	65%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	67	100%	85%	7%	71	99%	52%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	56	100%	77%	13%	54	98%	54%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	201	100%	92%	15%	200	98%	80%	7%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	43%	5	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	300	100%	93%	15%	302	99%	75%	5%
Students with Disabilities	31	100%	39%	0%	28	86%	11%	0%
English Proficient	322	100%	90%	14%	311	99%	72%	5%
Limited English Proficient	9	100%	0%	0%	19	89%	21%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	121	100%	79%	8%	135	98%	53%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	210	100%	92%	17%	195	98%	81%	5%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Not Migrant	330	-	-	-	326	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	334	96%	86%	31%	332	95%	83%	26%
Female	153	97%	88%	33%	160	98%	83%	24%
Male	181	96%	83%	29%	172	92%	83%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	68	96%	75%	12%	71	90%	72%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	57	89%	75%	26%	56	91%	70%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	202	99%	92%	38%	199	97%	90%	35%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	43%	6	100%	83%	0%
General-Education Students	303	98%	90%	34%	305	98%	88%	28%
Students with Disabilities	31	84%	42%	0%	27	56%	22%	4%
English Proficient	324	98%	88%	32%	312	96%	85%	27%
Limited English Proficient	10	60%	10%	0%	20	80%	45%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	124	94%	77%	18%	136	91%	70%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	210	98%	90%	39%	196	97%	92%	36%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Not Migrant	333	-	-	-	328	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 661	600-790	650-790	705-790*			
2008 Mean Score: 660						
	100%	98%	74%	100%	98%	80%
		68%	2%		70%	7%
			2%			3%
Number of Tested Students:	337	327	248	227	8	6

Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	337	100%	74%	2%	333	98%	68%	2%
Female	167	100%	75%	2%	165	98%	70%	2%
Male	170	100%	72%	2%	168	98%	66%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-				
Black or African American	70	100%	59%	0%	91	98%	46%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	63	100%	57%	3%	47	98%	66%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	5	100%	60%	0%
White	196	100%	84%	3%	190	98%	79%	2%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	8	100%	75%	0%				
General-Education Students	308	100%	79%	3%	294	100%	75%	2%
Students with Disabilities	29	100%	14%	0%	39	87%	18%	0%
English Proficient	319	100%	76%	3%	326	98%	69%	2%
Limited English Proficient	18	100%	28%	0%	7	86%	14%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	133	100%	55%	2%	137	98%	50%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	204	100%	86%	3%	196	98%	81%	3%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Not Migrant	335	-	-	-	329	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	9	9	8	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	340	100%	94%	37%	332	98%	85%	34%
Female	170	100%	93%	36%	162	99%	87%	33%
Male	170	99%	94%	39%	170	98%	83%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-				
Black or African American	69	100%	88%	14%	90	98%	69%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	67	100%	90%	28%	47	100%	85%	30%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	5	100%	100%	40%
White	196	99%	96%	49%	190	98%	92%	46%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	25%				
General-Education Students	312	100%	97%	40%	295	100%	91%	38%
Students with Disabilities	28	96%	54%	11%	37	86%	38%	5%
English Proficient	315	100%	95%	40%	324	98%	86%	35%
Limited English Proficient	25	100%	72%	4%	8	100%	50%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	137	99%	88%	19%	135	99%	76%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	203	100%	98%	50%	197	98%	91%	45%
Migrant	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Not Migrant	337	-	-	-	328	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	5	3	9	9	4	2

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	326	99%	65%	5%	373	97%	54%	4%
Female	158	99%	70%	6%	186	98%	63%	5%
Male	168	98%	61%	4%	187	96%	45%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	78	100%	41%	0%	94	96%	31%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	47	98%	57%	2%	63	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	193	98%	76%	8%	212	100%	69%	6%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	8	100%	75%	0%	67	90%	37%	4%
General-Education Students	287	99%	72%	6%	327	98%	60%	5%
Students with Disabilities	39	95%	13%	0%	46	91%	11%	0%
English Proficient	316	99%	66%	5%	350	99%	57%	5%
Limited English Proficient	10	90%	20%	0%	23	70%	9%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	128	98%	48%	2%	134	93%	34%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	198	99%	76%	7%	239	99%	65%	6%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	324	-	-	-	371	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	8	8	5	4	6	6	6	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	341	96%	83%	22%	380	96%	78%	16%
Female	166	98%	83%	25%	186	97%	80%	17%
Male	175	95%	82%	19%	194	94%	75%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	82	91%	60%	5%	92	89%	60%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	56	98%	80%	14%	67	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	195	97%	92%	32%	217	98%	88%	24%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	25%	71	96%	68%	11%
General-Education Students	295	99%	89%	26%	333	98%	82%	18%
Students with Disabilities	46	80%	43%	0%	47	77%	45%	0%
English Proficient	323	97%	84%	23%	350	96%	80%	17%
Limited English Proficient	18	89%	56%	11%	30	93%	53%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	137	96%	74%	12%	139	91%	65%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	204	96%	88%	29%	241	98%	85%	21%
Migrant	5	100%	100%	0%	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	336	96%	82%	23%	378	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	8	7	5	1	6	6	6	3

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	340	95%	74%	26%	376	97%	81%	31%
Female	164	96%	73%	23%	185	97%	79%	29%
Male	176	94%	76%	28%	191	96%	82%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	79	86%	49%	8%	87	94%	61%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	57	93%	67%	11%	68	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	196	99%	87%	38%	217	100%	93%	45%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	8	100%	75%	0%	72	89%	67%	19%
General-Education Students	297	96%	78%	29%	333	97%	84%	34%
Students with Disabilities	43	86%	47%	0%	43	91%	51%	2%
English Proficient	321	96%	77%	27%	346	98%	84%	33%
Limited English Proficient	19	74%	26%	0%	30	77%	43%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	133	92%	60%	12%	135	93%	67%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	207	97%	84%	34%	241	99%	88%	41%
Migrant	5	100%	60%	0%	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	335	95%	75%	26%	374	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	8	8	5	5	6	6	5	5
Regents Science	0				0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2005 Cohort
■ 2004 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2005 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2004 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2005 Cohort	2005 Cohort			2004 Cohort**	2004 Cohort**		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	401	84%	80%	33%	343	89%	86%	24%
Female	199	89%	85%	40%	170	92%	90%	30%
Male	202	79%	76%	26%	173	86%	82%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	92	72%	60%	13%	78	83%	78%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	48	63%	60%	10%	46	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	70%	70%	50%	4	-	-	-
White	251	93%	92%	44%	215	92%	91%	32%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					50	82%	76%	14%
General-Education Students	345	91%	88%	37%	303	93%	92%	27%
Students with Disabilities	56	41%	36%	7%	40	55%	40%	3%
English Proficient	383	85%	82%	34%	333	89%	87%	25%
Limited English Proficient	18	50%	50%	0%	10	70%	60%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	80%	72%	23%	94	85%	80%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	293	85%	83%	37%	249	90%	88%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	401	84%	80%	33%	343	89%	86%	24%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2005 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2005 Cohort

Number of Students | Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 | 3-4 | 4

2004 Cohort**

Number of Students | Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 | 3-4 | 4

	2005 Cohort			2004 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	401	86%	84%	38%	343	87%	85%	33%
Female	199	88%	85%	38%	170	91%	88%	35%
Male	202	84%	82%	39%	173	84%	81%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	92	74%	70%	21%	78	77%	71%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	48	79%	71%	13%	46	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	80%	80%	50%	4	-	-	-
White	251	92%	91%	49%	215	92%	90%	43%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					50	84%	82%	22%
General-Education Students	345	94%	92%	44%	303	93%	91%	37%
Students with Disabilities	56	36%	34%	5%	40	45%	33%	3%
English Proficient	383	85%	84%	40%	333	88%	85%	34%
Limited English Proficient	18	100%	83%	0%	10	80%	80%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	85%	80%	21%	94	86%	80%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	293	86%	85%	45%	249	88%	86%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	401	86%	84%	38%	343	87%	85%	33%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2005 Cohort

Number of Students | Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 | 3-4 | 4

2004 Cohort

Number of Students | Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 | 3-4 | 4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.