

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 District WAVERLY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 60-01-01-06-0000 Superintendent MICHAEL MCMAHON Telephone (607) 565-2841 Grades PK-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 60-01-01-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	35	50
Kindergarten	144	122	119
Grade 1	145	132	126
Grade 2	122	135	130
Grade 3	118	115	144
Grade 4	119	110	121
Grade 5	127	116	111
Grade 6	143	119	109
Ungraded Elementary	3	0	0
Grade 7	115	145	127
Grade 8	158	113	142
Grade 9	164	176	130
Grade 10	141	151	157
Grade 11	134	129	137
Grade 12	121	123	123
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1754	1686	1676

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	20	21	20
Grade 8			
English	17	23	24
Mathematics	20	21	18
Science	19	22	19
Social Studies	20	21	21
Grade 10			
English	18	20	
Mathematics	24	21	22
Science		18	21
Social Studies	19	22	22

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2006-07		200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	533	30%	466	28%	471	28%
Reduced-Price Lunch	203	12%	163	10%	173	10%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	17	1%	21	1%	15	1%
Hispanic or Latino	16	1%	16	1%	16	1%
Asian or Native	14	1%	19	1%	17	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1706	97%	1628	97%	1087	65%
Multiracial	0	0%	2	0%	539	32%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	155	9%	136	8%	168	10%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	108	126	126
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	1%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	4%	3%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	12%	9%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	14%	14%	16%
Total Number of Core Classes	301	344	340
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	6%	5%	0%
Total Number of Classes	432	418	409
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	5%	3%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	25%	N/A	14%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	15%	13%	15%

Staff Counts

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	8	9	9
Total Paraprofessionals*	27	32	35
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	4	4	4

* Not available at the school level.

District ID 60-01-01-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not boot or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	peen identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a Distric in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	, , ,
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending - A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District WAVERLY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 60-01-01-06-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA		Science	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation	Rate 🔥 Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fund	ling			
	2007-08		2008–09	2009-10			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	V	 	v	 ✓ 	v	~
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_		-	-	
White	~	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	~	~	••••
Multiracial	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	 ✓ 	~		-	_	
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 	••••	v	<	••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	🖌 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 1 of 1



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performa	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10	
All Students ^(754:736)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	99%	v	180	140			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (8:8)	-	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
				–	-	-	••••••••••••••••	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (730:712)	✓	✓	99%	 	180	140	••••	••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (102:95)	 	~	97%	V	139	134			
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						•••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (342:329)	<	~	100%	~	168	138			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

X

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students ^(756:730)	v	 Image: A set of the set of the	99%	v	188	115		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (8:8)	-	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Hispanic or Latino (10:10)				–	-	-	••••	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (732:706)	~	✓	99%	 ✓ 	189	115	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (101:94)	 Image: A start of the start of	~	97%	~	151	109		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (0:0)							••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (345:329)	<	~	100%	~	183	113	••••	•••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

1

X

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

А		AYP		Participat	Participation ²		rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
	nt Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
Total: Co	ntinuous Enrollment) ¹		Qualification	_ Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2008-09	2009-10
All Stud	lents (266:257)		Qualified		100%	~	179	100		
Ethnicit	y									
American 0:0)	Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or A 1:1)	African American		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
lispanic	or Latino (3:3)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Vhite (26	51:252)		Qualified	 ✓ 	100%	 	179	100		
1ultiracia	al (0:0)	• •••••	••••••	• •••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other G	roups									
itudents 37:35)	with Disabilities		Qualified	_	_	~	143	100		
0:0)	nglish Proficient ⁴									
	cally Disadvantaged		Qualified	~	100%	~	164	100		
inal AY	P Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							
✓ ^{SH} Ma ✓ Die – Ins	ade AYP ade AYP Using Safe Harbor Targe d Not Make AYP sufficient Number of Students	et	by the cou students w Groups wit participati is the sum rates over Groups wit For district were comt 4 If the coun	nt of continuous tho were excuse h fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2007–08 and those two years h fewer than 30 as with fewer than bined to determit t of LEP student	sly enrolled tested d from testing for r students enrolled the participation rat 2008–09 enrollme continuously enro n 30 continuously ne counts and per	students (used medical reasons during the test te of a group fel ents and the per olled tested stud enrolled tested formance indic	est administration p for Performance). F s are not included ir administration peri l below 80 percent rcent tested is the w dents are not requir l students in 2008– es. rmer LEP students a	or accountab o the enrollme od are not rec in 2008–09, tl reighted avera ed to meet the og, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the pa e performar po7–08 and	ions, eet the nt shown articipation .ce criterior
to	Determine AYP Status			ce calculations.						

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (120:124)	~	 Image: A set of the set of the	96%	 Image: A set of the set of the	181	162			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American	•••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••	
(3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)							•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific									
Islander (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (114:118)	v	v	96%	v	181	161			
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••				••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (11:15)	-	-	_	_	-	_		_	
Limited English Proficient ⁵	••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (39:40)	~	-	-	~	180	156	••••		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

1

X

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (120:124)	~	~	98%	 Image: A set of the set of the	185	157			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	•••••••••••••••••	
(3:3)	_ 	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific									
Islander (3:3)	_ .	-		_					
White (114:118)	v	v	98%	v	184	156			
Multiracial (0:0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (11:15)	-	-	_	_	-	_		_	
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (39:40)	~	-	-	~	185	151	•••••••••••••••••		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 60-01-01-06-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(Cohort Count)	AYP	Criterion	Rate ¹	Standard	2008-09 2009-10		
All Students (148)	~	 	76%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Hispanic or Latino (0)							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2)		_	-	-			
White (146)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	✓	75%	55%	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • • •			••••••			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (16)		_	-	-			
Limited English Proficient ² (0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (37)		 	81%	55%			
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1					

NOTES

¹ Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

² If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	81%	·	143
Grade 4	81%		117
Grade 5	82%		105
Grade 6	84%		108
	84%		126
Grade 8	79%		140
Mathematics			
Grade 3	94%		145
Grade 4	94%		117
	86%		102
Grade 6	85%		110
Grade 7	96%		126
Grade 8	86%		140
Science			
Grade 4	82%		119
Grade 8	80%		142
		of students that above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	72%		152

75%

District ID 60-01-01-06-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Rural Districts

152

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Distric	t			NY State P	NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s					
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	20-780						
100%	96% 98%	81% ⁸	6%		95% 94%	76% 70	%			
			1	_{0%} 17%			11	% 12%		
	137 115	116 1	.01 1	5 20						
	2008–09 S o	hool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r			
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	143	96%	81%	10%	117	98%	86%	17%		
	72	94%	85%	8%	48	98%	85%	13%		
	71	97%	77%	13%	69	99%	87%	20%		
e										
	1	-		—	3	-				
					1	_	_	_		
	142	-		-	113					
								17%		
	127				104	100%		17%		
	16	75%	44%	0%	13	85%	69%	15%		
	143	96%	81%	10%	117	98%	86%	17%		
	69	91%	70%	3%	49	98%	73%	10%		
	74	100%	92%	18%	68	99%	96%	22%		
•••••	143	96%	81%	10%	117	98%	86%	17%		
		Percentage so 2–4 Range: 616–780 100% 96% 98% 137 115 2008–09 So Total Tested 143 72 71 re 142 143 127 16 143	2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-7 100% 96% 98% 81% 8 96% 98% 81% 8 8 137 115 116 1 2008-0g School Yeau Total Percentage Total Percentage Total Percentage 72 94% 71 97% 71 97% 71 97% 71 97% 71 97% 71 97% 71 97% 71 97% 71 97% 74 00%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 7 100% 96% 98% 81% 86% 10 96% 98% 81% 86% 10 10 137 115 116 101 10 10 137 115 116 101 10 10 137 115 116 101 10 10 137 115 116 101 10 10 137 115 116 101 10 10 137 115 116 101 10 10 143 96% 81% 10 10 10 142 - - - - - - 142 -	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: $616-780$ $650-780$ $720-780$ 100% 96% 98% 81% 86% 10% 17% 10% 17% 10% 17% 10% 17% 10% 17% 10% 17% 10% 17% 10% 17% 10% 17% 10% 17% 10% 17% 10% 17% 10% 17% 10% 17% 10% 17% 137 115 116 101 15 20 2008-09 School Year 70tal Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 143 96% 81% 10% 72 94% 85% 8% 71 97% 77% 13% 71 97% 77% 13% 143 96% 81% 10% 12% 143 96% 81% 10% 12% 143 96% 81% 10% 12% 143 96% 81% 10% 12% 143 96% 81% 10% 12% 16 75% 44% 0% 143 96% 81% 10% 18%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $616-780$ $650-780$ $720-780$ 95% 94% 100% 96% 98% 81% 86% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 95% 94% 705 730 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 75% 750 <	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 720-780 95% 94% 100% 96% 98% 81% 86% 95% 94% 76% 70 100% 137 115 116 101 15 20 76% 70 137 115 116 101 15 20 76% 70% 137 115 116 101 15 20 76% 70% 137 115 116 101 15 20 76% 70% 137 137 116 101 15 20 76% 70% 137 143 96% 81% 10% 117 98% 71 97% 77% 13% 69 99% 96% 143 96% 81% 10% 117 98%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 720-780 95% 94% $3-4$ 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 720-780 95% 94% 76% 70% 111 96% 98% 81% 86% 10% 17% 95% 94% 76% 70% 111 137 115 116 101 15 20 76% 70% 111 137 115 116 101 15 20 76% 70%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District				NY State P	NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	3-4 4 3-4 4 93% 90% 93% 90% 27% 2 27% 2 Percentage scoring at lev 2-4 3-4 98% 95% 3 98% 92% 3				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
2009 Mean Score: 705	Range:	624-770	650-7	770 7	03-770							
2008 Mean Score: 701	100%	100% 98%	94% 9	5%		99% 98%	93% 90	%				
2008–09 2007–08				4	1% 37%			27'	% 26%			
Number of Tested Students:		145 115	136 1	.11	50 43							
Results by		2008-09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r				
		Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):			
Student Grou	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		145	100%	94%	41%	117	98%	95%	37%			
Female		74	100%	91%	47%	48	98%	92%	31%			
Male		71	100%	97%	35%	69	99%	97%	41%			
American Indian or Alaska N Black or African American	Native	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	••••••	••••••		••••	•••••	••••••••			
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ol	ther	••••••	••••••••	•••••	•••••		••••	•••••	••••••			
Pacific Islander						1	_	-	_			
White		144	-	-	-	113	-	-	-			
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••••	•••••	••••••			••••••	••••••			
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	145	100%	94%	41%	117	98%	95%	37%			
General-Education Students		129	100%	96%	44%	104	100%	97%	38%			
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	16	100%	75%	19%	13	85%	77%	31%			
English Proficient		145	100%	94%	41%	117	98%	95%	37%			
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••			•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	1	70	100%	89%	27%	49	98%	90%	24%			
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	75	100%	99%	55%	68	99%	99%	46%			
Migrant												
Not Migrant		145	100%	94%	41%	117	98%	95%	37%			

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 Sc	008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 676	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775					
2008 Mean Score: 669	100%	97% _{93%}	^{81%} 7	1%		96% 93%	77% 71	%		
2008-092007-08				1:	3% 11%		н	7%	6 8%	
Number of Tested Students:		114 102	95	78 1	.5 12					
Results by		2008–09 S o	hool Yeaı	r		2007-08 \$	ichool Yea	r		
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	t level(s): 4	
 All Students		117	97%	81%	13%	110	93%	71%	11%	
Female		49	96%	80%	10%	47	94%	72%	11%	
Male		68	99%	82%	15%	63	92%	70%	11%	
American Indian or Alaska Nati Black or African American	ve		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		••••••••	•••••	••••••	
Hispanic or Latino		3	–	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White		113		_	_	106	-	_		
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals		117	97%	81%	13%	110	93%	71%	11%	
General-Education Students		102	99%	84%	14%	95	98%	80%	13%	
Students with Disabilities		15	87%	60%	7%	15	60%	13%	0%	
English Proficient		117	97%	81%	13%	110	93%	71%	11%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		55	95%	67%	4%	48	88%	56%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged		62	100%	94%	21%	62	97%	82%	18%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		117	97%	81%	13%	110	93%	71%	11%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	5	5	5	2		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	Z	1	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 695	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	702-800					
2008 Mean Score: 678	100%	97% 94%	^{94%} 8	4%		96% 95%	87% 84	1%		
2008–09 2007–08				4	21%			35	[%] 29%	
Number of Tested Students:		113 103	110	92	52 23					
Results by		2008-09 S e	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	ichool Yea	r		
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentago 2–4	e scoring a 3–4	t level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring a 3–4	t level(s): 4	
		117	97%	94%	44%	109	94%	84%	21%	
Female		49	92%	90%	33%	46	96%	83%	15%	
Male		68	100%	97%	53%	63	94%	86%	25%	
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	1	-	-	-					
White		113	-		-	106				
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••		•••••••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals		117	97%	94%	44%	109	94%	84%	21%	
General-Education Students		102	100%	97%	47%	95	98%	89%	24%	
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	15	73%	73%	27%	14	71%	50%	0%	
English Proficient		117	97%	94%	44%	109	94%	84%	21%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••		••••••••••	••••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		54	94%	89%	30%	49	92%	76%	10%	
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	63	98%	98%	57%	60	97%	92%	30%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	117	97%	94%	44%	109	94%	84%	21%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 Sc	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	5	5	5	3	

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 79	Range:	45-100	65-10	00 8	5-100					
2008 Mean Score: 80	100%	97% 100%	82% ⁸		20/	97% 97%	88% 85	% 59'	[%] 50%	
2008-092007-08				49	^{9%} 40%				50%	
Number of Tested Students:		116 110	98	97 5	68 44					
Results by		2008–09 Sc	hool Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r		
-		Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		119	97%	82 %	49 %	110	100%	88%	40%	
Female		51	96%	75%	35%	47	100%	85%	34%	
Male		68	99%	88%	59%	63	100%	90%	44%	
American Indian or Alaska Na Black or African American	tive	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		••••		•••••	
Hispanic or Latino				<u>-</u>		3	···· -			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er			 _	 _	1	 _	 _		
Pacific Islander										
White		115				106				
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals		119	97%	82%	49%	110	100%	88%	40%	
General-Education Students		104	98%	84%	52%	98	100%	92%	43%	
Students with Disabilities		15	93%	73%	27%	12	100%	58%	17%	
English Proficient		119	97%	82%	49%	110	100%		40%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		56	95%	68%	30%	50	100%	78%	30%	
Not Disadvantaged		63	100%	95%	65%	60	100%	97%	48%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		119	97%	82%	49%	110	100%	88%	40%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 Sc	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	5	5	5	5	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage se	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 675	Range:	608-795	650-	795 7	11-795				
2008 Mean Score: 670	100%	99% 100%	82% <u></u>	30%		99% 98%	82% ₇₈	%	
2008-092007-08				1	0% 8%		н	149	⁶ %
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	104 115	86	92 1	LO 9				
Results by		2008-09 Se	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S Total	chool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		105	99%	82 %	10%	115	100%	80%	8 %
Female		46	98%	87%	7%	54	100%	80%	11%
Male		59	100%	78%	12%	61	100%	80%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ Black or African American	/e		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	4	·····	·····-	
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-	3	-	-	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White		101	-	-	_	104	100%	81%	7%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		105	99%	82%	10%	11	100%	73%	18%
General-Education Students		91	100%	85%	11%	106	100%	86%	8%
Students with Disabilities		14	93%	64%	0%	9	100%	11%	0%
English Proficient		105	99%	82%	10%	115	100%	80%	8%
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged		47	100%	74%	4%	52	100%	73%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	58	98%	88%	14%	63	100%	86%	14%
Migrant									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · <i>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </i>				

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

105

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

82%

10%

99%

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

100%

80%

8%

115

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	ing at level(s): 3–4 4 88% 83% 369 hool Year		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 683	Range:	619-780	650-7	780 6	99-780					
2008 Mean Score: 680	100%	98% 97%	86% 8	6%		98% 96%	88% ₈₃	%		
■ 2008-09■ 2007-08				3	1% 28%		н	36	[%] 27%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	100 111	88	98 :	32 32					
Results by		2008-09 S a	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r		
-		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		102	98%	86%	31%	114	97%	86%	28%	
Female		45	98%	91%	24%	54	98%	89%	33%	
Male		57	98%	82%	37%	60	97%	83%	23%	
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ive									
Black or African American						4	-			
Hispanic or Latino		3	-			3	-		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	98	-			103	97%	86%	28%	
Multiracial Small Group Totals	•••••	102	98%	86%	31%	11	100%	82%	27%	
General-Education Students		89	100%	91%	36%	105	98%	89%	30%	
Students with Disabilities	•••••	13	85%	54%	0%	9	89%	56%	0%	
English Proficient		102	98%	86%	31%	114	97%	86%	28%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••					•••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged		47	98%	79%	15%	52	96%	81%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	55	98%	93%	45%	62	98%	90%	42%	
 Migrant										
Not Migrant		102	98%	86%	31%	114	97%	86%	28%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 Sc	008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	_	-		

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 667	Range:	598-785	650-7	785 6	96-785*				
2008 Mean Score: 654	100%	100% 98%	84%	8%		100% 98%	81%	'%	
2008-092007-08				6	[%] 2%			9%	5%
Number of Tested Students:	-	108 121	91	71	73				
Results by		2008-09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
_		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		108	100%	84%	6%	123	98%	58%	2%
Female		52	100%	88%	12%	66	100%	64%	5%
Male		56	100%	80%	2%	57	96%	51%	0%
American Indian or Alaska N	ative								
Black or African American		3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	99	100%	84%	5%	117	98%	58%	3%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••	• •••••	•••••	•••••			•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Small Group Totals		9	100%	89%	22%	6	100%	50%	0%
General-Education Students		98	100%	89%	7%	111	100%	62%	3%
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10	100%	40%	0%	12	83%	17%	0%
English Proficient		108	100%	84%	6%	123	98%	58%	2%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged		51	100%	75%	2%	45	98%	49%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	57	100%	93%	11%	78	99%	63%	1%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	108	100%	84%	6%	123	98%	58%	2%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008–09 only. The 2007–08 range is 705–785.

Other	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage se	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 676	Range:	616-780	650-7	780 6	96-780				
2008 Mean Score: 661	100%	99% 94%	85%	9%		96% 94%	83% 79	%	
2008-092007-08				2	1% 11%	н.		289	% 26%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	109 118	93	87 2	23 14				
Results by		2008-09 S a	hool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		110	99%	85%	21%	126	94%	69%	11%
Female		54	100%	85%	20%	66	95%	70%	12%
Male		56	98%	84%	21%	60	92%	68%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive								
Black or African American		3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	3	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
Pacific Islander		-							
White		101	99%	86%	21%	120	93%	69%	12%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		9	100%	67%	22%	6	100%	67%	0%
General-Education Students		99	100%	87%	23%	111	98%	73%	13%
Students with Disabilities		11	91%	64%	0%	15	60%	40%	0%
English Proficient		110	99%	85%	21%	126	94%	69%	11%
Limited English Proficient		••••••••			•••••			•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged		53	100%	77%	15%	49	90%	65%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	57	98%	91%	26%	77	96%	71%	13%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••	110	99%	85%	21%	126	94%	69%	11%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2008–09 Sc	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	3-4 4 3-4 4 80% 70% 70% 7% 7000 7% 7000 7% 7000 7% 7000 7% 7000 82% 97% 72% 70% 7% 100% 82% 97% 72% 70% 7% 100% 83% 89% 37% 99% 77% 99% 77% 99% 77% 99% 77% 99% 77% 99% 77% 99% 77% 98% 63%		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 666	Range:	600-790	650-7	790 70)5-790*					
2008 Mean Score: 664	100%	100% 99%	^{84%} 7	7%		100% 98%	80% 70	%		
2008-092007-08				4	% 3%		н	7%	3%	
Number of Tested Students:	_	126 145	106 1	13 5	5 4					
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	8 School Year			
		Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	D	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		126	100%	84%	4%	147	99%	77%	3 %	
Female		63	100%	86%	8%	72	100%	82%	4%	
Male		63	100%	83%	0%	75	97%	72%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative									
Black or African American		4	-		-	2	-			
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	ier	1	-	-	-					
White	•••••	121	100%	84%	4%	145	-	-		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	••••			••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	•••••	5	100%	80%	0%	147	99%	77%	3%	
General-Education Students		110	100%	90%	5%	128	100%	83%	3%	
Students with Disabilities	•••••	16	100%	44%	0%	19	89%	37%	0%	
English Proficient		126	100%	84%	4%	147	99%	77%	3%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••••••••••••					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged		53	100%	72%	2%	65	98%	63%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	73	100%	93%	5%	82	99%	88%	5%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••	126	100%		4%	147	99%	77%	3%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008-09 S	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 675	Range:	611-800	650-8	800 6	93-800					
2008 Mean Score: 674	100%	100% 97%	96% 8	33%		99% 96%	87% 79	%		
2008-09 2007-08				1	24%			304	% 28%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	126 143	121 1	L23 :	L8 36					
Results by		2008-09 S e	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	07–08 School Year			
		Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		126	100%	96 %	14%	148	97%	83%	24%	
emale		64	100%	98%	16%	72	99%	86%	22%	
Male		62	100%	94%	13%	76	95%	80%	26%	
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive									
Black or African American		4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino					•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er	1	-	-	-				••••••	
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	121	100%	96%	15%	146	-	-	-	
Multiracial	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5	100%	100%	0%	148		83%	24%	
General-Education Students		110	100%	98%	16%	129	100%	91%	27%	
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	16	100%		0%	19	74%	32%	5%	
English Proficient		126	100%	96%	14%	148	97%	83%	24%	
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••	••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		54	100%	93%	11%	67	94%	73%	10%	
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	72	100%		17%	81		91%	36%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••	126	100%			148		83%	24%	
									-	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-		
	0				3	-	-			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	ring at level(s): 3–4 4 69% 56% 5%	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 663	Range:	602-790	650-7	790 7	15-790				
2008 Mean Score: 671	100%	97% 100%	79%	7%		98% 95%		%	
■ 2008-09■ 2007-08				1	% 9%	н.		5%	6%
Number of Tested Students:	-	136 116	111	78	2 11				
Results by		2008–09 S o	chool Year	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
_		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		140	97%	79 %	1%	116	100%	67%	9%
Female		67	100%	85%	1%	52	100%	69%	13%
Male		73	95%	74%	1%	64	100%	66%	6%
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American		1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	ther								
Pacific Islander									
White		139			-	113			
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		140	97%	79%	1%	116			9%
General-Education Students		123	100%	86%	2%	109		68%	10%
Students with Disabilities		17	76%	29%	0%	7	100%	57%	0%
English Proficient		140	97%	79%	1%	115	-		
Limited English Proficient						1	-	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged		60	95%	68%	0%	38	100%	42%	5%
Not Disadvantaged		80	99%	88%	3%	78	100%	79%	12%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		140	97%	79%	1%	116	100%	67%	9%
NOTES									

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	g at level(s): 3−4 4 80% 70% 199 0001 Year ercentage scoring at 2−4 2−4 3−4 96% 75% 97% 75% 96% 75% 96% 75% 96% 75% 96% 75% 96% 75% 96% 75% 96% 75% 96% 75% 96% 75% 98% 80% 63% 13% – – 97% 59%	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 672	Range:	616-775	650-7	775 7	01-775				
2008 Mean Score: 668	100%	97% 96%	86% 7	5%		96% 93%	80% 70	%	
2008-092007-08				ç	9% 15%		н	199	% 17%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	136 112	120	88	12 18				
Results by		2008-09 S e	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		140	97%	86%	9%	117	96%	75%	15%
emale		68	100%	91%	10%	52	94%	75%	12%
Male		72	94%	81%	7%	65	97%	75%	18%
American Indian or Alaska N	Vative								
Black or African American		1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther			•••••	••••••		•••••••••••	•••••	••••••
Pacific Islander									
White		139	-			114	-		
Aultiracial									
Small Group Totals		140	97%	86%	9%	117			15%
General-Education Students		124	100%	93%	10%	109	98%	80%	17%
Students with Disabilities		16	75%	31%	0%	8	63%	13%	0%
English Proficient		140	97%	86%	9%	116	-	-	-
imited English Proficient						1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	ł	60	95%	77%	2%	39	97%	59%	13%
Not Disadvantaged		80	99%	93%	14%	78	95%	83%	17%
4igrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	140	97%	86%	9%	117	96%	75%	15%
5									

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2008–09 Sc	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
	Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%	98% 100%	80% ⁸	37%		94% 95%	71% 73	%		
2008-09 2007-08			2	34%			26	30%	
Number of Tested Students:	139 114	113	99 3	34 39					
Results by	2008–09 S	chool Yea	r			School Yea	r		
-	Total	Percentag	le scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	142	98%	80%	24%	114	100%	87 %	34%	
Female	69	100%	84%	19%	50	100%	82%	26%	
Male	73	96%	75%	29%	64	100%	91%	41%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••			••••••		
Pacific Islander									
Nhite	141	-			111	-			
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	142	98%	80%	24%	114	100%	87%	34%	
General-Education Students	125	100%	86%	26%	107	100%	89%	36%	
Students with Disabilities	17	82%	29%	12%	7	100%	57%	0%	
English Proficient	142	98%	80%	24%	113	-	-	-	
imited English Proficient					1	_			
Economically Disadvantaged	60	97%	67%	12%	39	100%	77%	33%	
Not Disadvantaged	82	99%	89%	33%	75	100%	92%	35%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	142	98%	80%	24%	114	100%		34%	

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
		Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	0				
Regents Science	0				0				

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Public				
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100% 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort	77% 82%	72% 74%	18% 20%	81% 80%	77% 75%	32% 30%		

Results by	2005 Coho r	t			2004 Cohort **			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	152	77%	72%	18%	148	82%	74%	20%
Female	72	81%	76%	24%	72	88%	79%	28%
Male	80	74%	68%	14%	76	76%	68%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	–	–	-	•••••	•••••		••••••
Hispanic or Latino	•••••••	•••••		•••••	••••••	•••••		••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	······	•••••		•••••	~	•••••	•••••	••••••
Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	145	77%	71%	19%	146	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	14%	148	82%	74%	20%
General-Education Students	127	86%	83%	22%	132	87%	79%	23%
Students with Disabilities	25	32%	16%	0%	16	38%	31%	0%
English Proficient	152	77%	72%	18%	148	82%	74%	20%
Limited English Proficient	••••••••	•••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	41	88%	83%	7%	37	86%	73%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	111	73%	68%	23%	111	80%	74%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	152	77%	72%	18%	148	82%	74%	20%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2005 Cohoi	rt			2004 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

 *** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pub	lic		
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
100% 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort	84% 87%	75% 82%	31% 17%	83% 83%	77% 76%	30% 29%	

Results by	2005 Coho i	t		2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	152	84%	75%	17%	148	87%	82%	31%
Female	72	89%	83%	18%	72	90%	86%	36%
Male	80	79%	68%	16%	76	84%	78%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	–	-	-	•••••••••••	•••••	••••••	
Hispanic or Latino				•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	145	83%	74%	16%	146	-	-	-
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	43%	148	87%	82%	31%
General-Education Students	127	91%	84%	20%	132	92%	87%	35%
Students with Disabilities	25	48%	28%	0%	16	44%	38%	0%
English Proficient	152	84%	75%	17%	148	87%	82%	31%
Limited English Proficient	••••••		•••••	•••••	••••••••••	•••••	••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	41	93%	83%	12%	37	92%	84%	22%
Not Disadvantaged	111	80%	72%	19%	111	86%	81%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	152	84%	75%	17%	148	87%	82%	31%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2005 Coho i	rt			2004 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 ** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

 *** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.