

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 60-04-02-04-0000 Superintendent MARY ELLEN GRANT Telephone (607) 642-3221 Grades PK-12, UE, US

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

#### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

# Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

#### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	45
Kindergarten	87	79	89
Grade 1	95	88	94
Grade 2	103	92	83
Grade 3	97	99	96
Grade 4	88	95	97
Grade 5	97	91	93
Grade 6	111	98	100
Ungraded Elementary	3	5	4
Grade 7	84	112	103
Grade 8	116	82	114
Grade 9	113	125	86
Grade 10	127	110	116
Grade 11	109	118	103
Grade 12	105	103	111
Ungraded Secondary	5	1	3
Total K-12	1340	1298	1292

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	21	19	19
Grade 8			
English	16	14	16
Mathematics	18	17	19
Science	19	17	19
Social Studies	20	17	19
Grade 10			
English	14	17	17
Mathematics	18	14	16
Science	19	14	16
Social Studies	20	18	16

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2006-07		200	7-08	2008–09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	320	24%	335	26%	209	16%
Reduced-Price Lunch	145	11%	116	9%	63	5%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	18	1%	9	1%	10	1%
Hispanic or Latino	6	0%	5	0%	5	0%
Asian or Native	6	0%	5	0%	6	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1310	98%	1279	99%	1271	98%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005	2005-06		-07	2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		93%		95%
Student Suspensions	85	6%	80	6%	78	6%

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	105	116	117
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	8%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	4%	3%	4%
Total Number of Core Classes	345	319	333
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	2%
Total Number of Classes	532	503	488
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	1%	2%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	8%	13%	5%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	15%	8%

### **Staff Counts**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	17	18	15
Total Paraprofessionals*	38	33	37
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	3	3	3

\* Not available at the school level.

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### **Accountability Cohort for English**

#### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.** 

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	een identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending - A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)	▲ Good Standing					
	ELA		Science	▲ Good Standing		
			Graduation	Rate 🔥 Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2007-08		2008–09	2009-10		
	YES		YES	YES		

#### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students				Euriguage Arts	V	
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native		_				
Black or African American	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_				
White	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	••••	<b>~</b>	~	••••
Multiracial	••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<b>v</b>		-	_	
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✔ 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1



### Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students <sup>(608:599)</sup>	~	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	100%	<b>v</b>	180	140		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (9:9)	-	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)		_	-	–	-	-	••••••••••••••••	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (593:584)	✓	✓	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	180	139	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (81:79)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	99%	~	139	133		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (0:0)	••••••••		••••					
Economically Disadvantaged (240:238)	<	~	100%	~	171	137		••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

X

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students <sup>(610:599)</sup>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	100%	<b>v</b>	187	115		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (9:9)	-	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)	-		-	–	-	-	••••	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (594:584)	~	✓	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	187	114	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)		•••••					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (82:80)	<b>~</b>	~	100%	~	148	108		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (0:0)							•••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (239:236)	~	~	100%	~	181	112	••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

A			Participati	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2008–09	2009-10	
All Students (213:207)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	100%	V	187	100			
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (4:4)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)						••••	••••••		•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-	••••••	-	
White (207:202)	• •••••	Qualified	<b>v</b>	100%	~	187	100	•••••		
Multiracial (0:0)	• •••••	••••••	• ••••	••••		••••	••••••	•••••	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (21:21)		-	-	_	-	_	_		_	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (0:0)				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged (77:76)		Qualified	~	100%	~	178	100			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	of 1								
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ <sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targe X Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	et	by the cou students w Groups wit participati is the sum rates over Groups wit For district were comt 4 If the coun	nt of continuous who were excused th fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2007–08 and those two years. th fewer than 30 ts with fewer tha pined to determin	ly enrolled tested d from testing for i students enrolled e participation rat 2008–09 enrollme continuously enro n 30 continuously ne counts and per	students (used medical reason during the test te of a group fel ents and the pe olled tested stud enrolled tested formance indic	est administration p for Performance). F s are not included ir administration peri Il below 80 percent rcent tested is the w dents are not requir d students in 2008– es. Irmer LEP students a	or accountab in the enrollme od are not ree in 2008–09, t reighted avera ed to meet th og, data for 20	ility calcula ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the p e performar 207–08 and	tions, eet the ent shown articipation nce criterion.	
to Determine AYP Status		Periorinali								

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

### Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (117:107)	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	100%	<b>~</b>	191	161		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	•••••	••• •••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
(1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
White (116:106)	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%		191	161	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••	••••••	•••		••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (4:8)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (0:0)			••••		••••		••••	···· •
Economically Disadvantaged (31:28)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
  - $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

1

X

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (117:107)	~	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	193	156		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••		••••		••••	••••
(1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)							••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
White (116:106)	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%		192	156	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••••	•••••••	••••		••••		••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (4:8)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (0:0)					••••		••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (31:28)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
  - $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

1

X

SH

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count)	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Standard	2008-09	2009-10		
All Students (126)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	71%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (0)								
Hispanic or Latino (1)		-	-	-	••••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • •							
Pacific Islander (0)								
White (125)		<b>v</b>	71%	55%				
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (20)		-	_	-				
Limited English Proficient² (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (38)		<ul> <li></li> </ul>	58%	55%				
Final AYP Determination	<b>v</b> 1	of 1						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

### Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	e of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested		
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%		
Grade 3	78%		93		
Grade 4	66%		97		
Grade 5	89%		92		
Grade 6	85%		100		
Grade 7	80%		101		
Grade 8	87%		112		
Mathematics					
Grade 3	97%		95		
Grade 4	86%		98		
	82%		92		
Grade 6	85%		99		
Grade 7	89%		101		
Grade 8	88%		114		
Science					
Grade 4	88%		98		
Grade 8	86%		<b>1</b> 13		
	-	e of students that or above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort		
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%		
English	82%	·	126		

83%

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

#### This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Average Need Districts**

126

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	NY State Public			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 675	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780					
2008 Mean Score: 670	100%	98% 97%	78% 77%		95% 94%	76% 70%			
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				13% <sub>10%</sub>			11% 12%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	91 95	73 75	12 10					
De eulte hu		2008-09 Sch	ool Year		2007-08 S	chool Year			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 School Year				
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	93	98%	<b>78</b> %	13%	98	97%	77%	10%	
Female	46	98%	80%	17%	49	100%	78%	14%	
Male	47	98%	77%	9%	49	94%	76%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	•••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	••••••		••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_				••••••	
Pacific Islander	_								
White	91	-	-	-	96	-	-	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	93	98%	78%	13%	98	97%	77%	10%	
General-Education Students	82	100%	88%	15%	87	100%	84%	11%	
Students with Disabilities	11	82%	9%	0%	11	73%	18%	0%	
English Proficient	93	98%	78%	13%	98	97%	77%	10%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••	•••••				••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	39	97%	69%	5%	37	97%	68%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	54	98%	85%	19%	61	97%	82%	11%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	93	98%	78%	13%	98	97%	77%	10%	
-									

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09	School Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	ing at level(s): 3-4 4 93% 90% 27% hool Year Percentage scoring at 2-4 3-4 100% 96% 100% 94% 100% 94% 100% 96% 100% 99% 100% 73% 100% 96%		
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 694	Range:	624-770	650-	770	703-770					
2008 Mean Score: 686	100%	100%100%	97%	96%		99% 98%	93% 90	%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				:	<sup>25%</sup> 17%			27	% 26%	
Number of Tested Students:		95 100	92	96	24 17					
Results by		2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	ar		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r		
Student Group		Total Tested		ge scoring a		Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
•			2-4	3-4	4				4	
All Students		95	100%	97%	25%	100			17%	
Female		46	100%	93%	24%	50	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		18%	
Male		49	100%	100%	27%	50	100%	94%	16%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve									
Black or African American		1	-		-	2	-			
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander										
White			-			98	-			
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals		95	100%	97%	25%	100			17%	
General-Education Students		83	100%	100%	29%	89	100%	99%	19%	
Students with Disabilities		12	100%	75%	0%	11	100%	73%	0%	
English Proficient		95	100%	97%	25%	100	100%	96%	17%	
imited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		40	100%	93%	13%	39	100%	92%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	55	100%	100%	35%	61	100%	98%	25%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		100%		25%	100	100%	96%		

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 661	Range:	612-775	650-7	775 7	16-775				
2008 Mean Score: 659	100%	99% 92%	66% 6	51%		96% 93%	77% 71	.%	
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>				3	% 4%			7%	8%
Number of Tested Students:	-	96 87	64	58 3	3 4				
Results by		2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	ichool Yea	r	
_		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		97	99%	66%	<b>3</b> %	95	92%	61%	4%
Female		49	98%	76%	6%	44	93%	68%	7%
Male		48	100%	56%	0%	51	90%	55%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		2	-	_	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino						1	-	_	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	r								
Pacific Islander									
White			-	-	-	92	-	-	-
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		97	99%	66%	3%	95	92%	61%	4%
General-Education Students		90	99%	70%	3%	78	97%	71%	5%
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	14%	0%	17	65%	18%	0%
English Proficient		97	99%	66%	3%	95	92%	61%	4%
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••			•••••				•••••
Economically Disadvantaged		37	97%	51%	0%	48	85%	48%	0%
Not Disadvantaged		60	100%	75%	5%	47	98%	74%	9%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••	97	99%	66%	3%	95	92%	61%	4%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		This District	t			NY State Pu	ublic				
		97% 89% 86% 73% 17% 139 95 85 84 69 17 12 2008-09 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s Tested 2-4 3-4 98 97% 86% 179				Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 678	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800						
2008 Mean Score: 665	100%	97% 89%		3%		96% 95%	87% 84	%			
2008-09								359	<sup>%</sup> 29%		
2007-08				17	<sup>7%</sup> 13%				29%		
Number of Tested Students:		95 85	84 6	59 1	.7 12						
<b>Results by</b>		2008–09 <b>Sc</b> l	hool Year	r		2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r			
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		98	97%	86%	17%	95	89%	73%	13%		
Female		49	98%	86%	20%	44	91%	75%	16%		
Male		49	96%	86%	14%	51	88%	71%	10%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	е	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American		2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-		

American Indian or Alaska Native	T			_				
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	95	-	_	-	92	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	98	97%	86%	17%	95	89%	73%	13%
General-Education Students	90	98%	89%	19%	78	100%	85%	15%
Students with Disabilities	8	88%	50%	0%	17	41%	18%	0%
English Proficient	98	97%	86%	17%	95	89%	73%	13%
Limited English Proficient	•••••		•••••	•••••			•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	36	94%	81%	6%	48	88%	60%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	62	98%	89%	24%	47	91%	85%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	98	97%	86%	17%	95	89%	73%	13%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 78	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100					
2008 Mean Score: 77 2008-09 2007-08	100%	99% 97%	88% 82%	36% 39%	97% 97%	88% 85%	<sup>59%</sup> 50%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>1</u>	97 91	86 77	35 37					
Results by		2008-09 Sch	ool Year		2007-08 \$	ichool Year			
nesulis by		Total			Total				

		-						
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
98	99%	88%	36%	94	97%	82%	39%	
49	100%	86%	43%	43	95%	81%	49%	
49	98%	90%	29%	51	98%	82%	31%	
1	-	-	-					
2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
				1	-	_	_	
95	-			91	—			
98	99%	88%	36%	94	97%	82%	39%	
90	99%	90%	39%	77	100%	90%	47%	
8	100%	63%	0%	17	82%	47%	6%	
98	99%	88%	36%	94	97%	82%	39%	
••••••		••••••	••••••			••••••	••••••	
36	100%	81%	17%	47	96%	77%	30%	
62	98%	92%	47%	47	98%	87%	49%	
98	99%	88%	36%	94	97%	82%	39%	
	Tested 98 49 1 2 95 95 98 90 8 90 8 90 8 98 90 62	Tested     2-4       98     99%       49     100%       49     98%       1     -       2     -       95     -       98     99%       90     99%       90     99%       8     100%       98     99%       36     100%       62     98%	Tested         2-4         3-4           98         99%         88%           49         100%         86%           49         98%         90%           1         -         -           2         -         -           95         -         -           98         99%         88%           90         99%         88%           90         99%         88%           90         99%         88%           90         99%         88%           90         99%         88%           36         100%         81%           62         98%         92%	Tested         2-4         3-4         4           98         99%         88%         36%           49         100%         86%         43%           49         98%         90%         29%           1         -         -         -           2         -         -         -           95         -         -         -           95         -         -         -           98         99%         88%         36%           90         99%         88%         36%           90         99%         88%         36%           90         99%         88%         36%           36         100%         81%         17%           62         98%         92%         47%	Tested         2-4         3-4         4         Tested           98         99%         88%         36%         94           49         100%         86%         43%         43           49         98%         90%         29%         51           1         -         -         -         -           2         -         -         -         2           95         -         -         -         91           95         -         -         -         91           98         99%         88%         36%         94           90         99%         90%         39%         77           8         100%         63%         0%         17           98         99%         88%         36%         94           90         99%         88%         36%         94           93         99%         88%         36%         94           36         100%         81%         17%         47           62         98%         92%         47%         47	Tested         2-4         3-4         4         Tested         2-4           98         99%         88%         36%         94         97%           49         100%         86%         43%         43         95%           49         98%         90%         29%         51         98%           1         -         -         -         -         -           2         -         -         -         2         -           95         -         -         -         91         -           95         -         -         -         91         -           98         99%         88%         36%         94         97%           90         99%         88%         36%         94         97%           98         99%         88%         36%         94         97%           98         99%         88%         36%         94         97%           98         99%         88%         36%         94         97%           36         100%         81%         17%         47         96%           62         98%         92%	Tested         2-4         3-4         4         Tested         2-4         3-4           98         99%         88%         36%         94         97%         82%           49         100%         86%         43%         43         95%         81%           49         98%         90%         29%         51         98%         82%           1         -	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	0			

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 675	Range:	608-795	650-7	795 7	11-795				
2008 Mean Score: 664	100%	100% 99%	89% 7	7%		99% 98%	82% 78	%	
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				1:	4%			149	<sup>6</sup> 6%
Number of Tested Students:		92 91	82	71 1	.0 4				-
<b>Results by</b>		2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		92	100%	89%	11%	92	<b>99</b> %	77%	4%
Female		44	100%	89%	11%	47	100%	77%	2%
Male		48	100%	90%	10%	45	98%	78%	7%
American Indian or Alaska N	ative								
Black or African American		3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Otl Pacific Islander	her								
White	•••••	88	-		-	90	-		
Multiracial	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Small Group Totals	•••••	92	100%	89%	11%	92	99%	77%	4%
General-Education Students		78	100%	95%	12%	84	100%	83%	5%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	14	100%	57%	7%	8	88%	13%	0%
English Proficient		92	100%	89%	11%	92	99%	77%	4%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••••	••••••	•••••			••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		43	100%	86%	12%	36	97%	75%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	49	100%	92%	10%	56	100%	79%	5%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••	92	100%	89%	11%	92	99%	77%	4%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):		el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 674	Range:	619-780	650-7	780 6	99–780					
2008 Mean Score: 666	100%	100% 98%	82% 8	3%		98% 96%	88% 83	%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				1	<sup>6%</sup> 11%		н	369	<sup>%</sup> 27%	
Number of Tested Students:	÷	92 91	75	77 2	15 10					
Results by		2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Yeaı	r		2007-08 \$	ichool Yea	r		
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	t level(s): 4	
All Students		92	100%	82%	16%	93	98%	83%	11%	
Female		44	100%	82%	14%	47	98%	74%	4%	
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	48	100%	81%	19%	46	98%	91%	17%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve									
Black or African American		3	-	-	_	1	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-	1	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••		••••••		••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander										
White		88	-	-	-	91	-	-	-	
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals		92	100%	82%	16%	93	98%	83%	11%	
General-Education Students		78	100%	91%	19%	84	100%	86%	12%	
Students with Disabilities		14	100%	29%	0%	9	78%	56%	0%	
English Proficient		92	100%	82%	16%	93	98%	83%	11%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		43	100%	77%	12%	37	95%	76%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged		49	100%	86%	20%	56	100%	88%	14%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		92	100%	82%	16%	93	98%	83%	11%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year		2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0			4	-	-	-	

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 665	Range:	598-785	650-7	785 6	96-785*					
2008 Mean Score: 659	100%	100%100%	85%	53%		100% 98%	81% 67	'%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				7	<sup>7%</sup> 3%			9%	5%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	100 99	85	62	73					
Results by		2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	ır		
-		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Grou	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		100	100%	85%	7%	99	100%	63%	3%	
Female		53	100%	83%	6%	53	100%	68%	6%	
Male		47	100%	87%	9%	46	100%	57%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska N	lative									
Black or African American		1	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	ther					2	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander										
White		98	-	-	-	97	-	-	-	
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals		100	100%	85%	7%	99	100%	63%	3%	
General-Education Students		90	100%	89%	8%	83	100%	72%	4%	
Students with Disabilities		10	100%	50%	0%	16	100%	13%	0%	
English Proficient		100	100%	85%	7%	99	100%	63%	3%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged	1	38	100%	79%	3%	42	100%	43%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged		62	100%	89%	10%	57	100%	77%	4%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		100	100%	85%	7%	99	100%	63%	3%	
NOTES										

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008–09 <b>So</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	4	3	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 674	Range:	616-780	650-	780 6	96-780					
2008 Mean Score: 668	100%	100% <sub>95%</sub>	85%	4%		96% 94%	83% <sub>79</sub>	%		
2008–09 2007–08				1	2% 16%		н	28	% 26%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	99 93	84	73 :	L2 16					
Results by					2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r			
-	_	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		99	100%	85%	12%	98	95%	74%	16%	
Female		52	100%	75%	8%	53	92%	72%	21%	
Male		47	100%	96%	17%	45	98%	78%	11%	
American Indian or Alaska N	lative									
Black or African American		1	-		_					
Hispanic or Latino		1	-		-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	ther					2	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
White				<u>–</u>		96				
Multiracial			4000/							
Small Group Totals		99	100%	85% 88%	12% 13%	98	95% 99%	74%	16% 20%	
General-Education Students	•••••									
Students with Disabilities		10	100%	60%	0%	16	75%	25%	0%	
English Proficient	•••••		100%		12%	98	95%	74%	16%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		37	100%	84%	8%	41	88%	59%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged		62	100%	85%	15%	57	100%	86%	25%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		99	100%	85%	12%	98	95%	74%	16%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	4	3	2	-	-	-	

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 669	Range:	600-790	650-	790 7	705-790*					
2008 Mean Score: 666	100%	100%100%	80% 8	30%		100% 98%	80% 70	9%		
2008-09 2007-08					7% <sub>3%</sub>			7%	3%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	101 115	81	92	7 3					
Results by		2008-09 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r		
-		Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		101	100%	80%	7%	115	100%	80%	3%	
Female		54	100%	83%	7%	61	100%	82%	3%	
Male		47	100%	77%	6%	54	100%	78%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American						1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	•••••	99	-	-	-	113	-	-	-	
Multiracial	•••••	•••••••••••••••••	••••••••		••••••		••••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Small Group Totals	•••••	101	100%	80%	7%	115	100%	80%	3%	
General-Education Students		86	100%	87%	8%	104	100%	86%	3%	
Students with Disabilities		15	100%	40%	0%	11	100%	27%	0%	
English Proficient		101	100%	80%	7%	115	100%	80%	3%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••••••••••••		•••••	•••••	••••••			•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		38	100%	66%	3%	39	100%	77%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged		63	100%	89%	10%	76	100%	82%	4%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••	101	100%	80%	7%	115	100%	80%	3%	
NOTES										

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	ring at level(s): 3-4 4 87% 79% 87% 79% 30% 30% A A A A A A A A A A A A A		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 680	Range:	611-800	650-8	800 6	93-800					
2008 Mean Score: 679	100%	99% 97%	89% 9	0%		99% 96%	87% 79	%		
2008-09 2007-08				2	6% <sup>32%</sup>			30	% 28%	
Number of Tested Students:	-	100 113	90 1	.04	26 37					
Results by		2008-09 <b>S</b> a	chool Yea	r		2007-08 <b>S</b>	ichool Yea	r		
		Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		101	99%	89%	26%	116	97%	90%	32%	
Female		54	98%	89%	28%	60	98%	88%	23%	
Male		47	100%	89%	23%	56	96%	91%	41%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e									
Black or African American						1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	99	-		-	114	-	-	_	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	101	99%	89%	26%	116	97%	90%	32%	
General-Education Students		86	100%	95%	30%	105	100%	93%	34%	
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	15	93%	53%	0%	11	73%	55%	9%	
English Proficient		101	99%	89%	26%	116	97%	90%	32%	
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		•••••••••	••••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		38	97%	76%	8%	40	98%	90%	28%	
Not Disadvantaged		63	100%	97%	37%	76	97%	89%	34%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		101	99%	89%	26%	116	97%	90%	32%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year		2007–08 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	scoring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 674	Range:	602-790	650-7	'90	715-790				
2008 Mean Score: 667	100%	100% 99%	87%	6%		98% 95%	69%	%	
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>					7% 9%			5%	6%
Number of Tested Students:		112 85	97 5	57	8 8				
Results by		2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 S	chool Yea	r	
_		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		112	100%	87%	7%	86	99%	66%	9%
Female		59	100%	85%	7%	43	100%	72%	19%
Male		53	100%	89%	8%	43	98%	60%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								

American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
Pacific Islander					-			
White	109	-	-	-	85	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	112	100%	87%	7%	86	99%	66%	9%
General-Education Students	100	100%	91%	8%	69	99%	80%	12%
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	50%	0%	17	100%	12%	0%
English Proficient	112	100%	87%	7%	86	99%	66%	9%
Limited English Proficient	•••••		•••••				•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	41	100%	76%	5%	34	100%	56%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	71	100%	93%	8%	52	98%	73%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	112	100%	87%	7%	86	99%	66%	9%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 678	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775				
2008 Mean Score: 667	100%	99% 97%	88% 8	0%		96% 93%	80% 70	%	
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				10	<sup>5%</sup> 9%			199	% 17%
Number of Tested Students:		113 84	100	70 1	.8 8				
Results by		2008-09 <b>S</b> a	hool Year			2007–08 S	chool Yea	r	
_		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		114	99%	88%	16%	87	97%	80%	<b>9</b> %
emale		60	100%	83%	15%	43	100%	84%	7%
Male		54	98%	93%	17%	44	93%	77%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive								
Black or African American		2	-						
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White		111			-	86	-	-	-
Aultiracial Small Group Totals		114	99%	88%	16%	87	97%	80%	9%
General-Education Students		102	100%	93%	18%	70	100%	87%	11%
itudents with Disabilities		12		42%	0%	17		53%	0%
English Proficient		114	99%	88%	16%	87	97%	80%	9%
imited English Proficient		••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••
conomically Disadvantaged		41	98%	88%	12%	34	97%	74%	12%
lot Disadvantaged		73	100%	88%	18%	53	96%	85%	8%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		114	99%		16%	87	97%	80%	9%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	4	-	-	-	


### This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distric	t			NY State Public				
	Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%	99% 100%	86% 8			94% 95%	71% 73		<sub>%</sub> 30%	
2007-08			19	9% 21%					
Number of Tested Students:	112 86	97	71 2	2 23					
Results by	2008-09 <b>S</b> o	hool Yea	r			School Yea	r		
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	t level(s): 4	
	113	99%	86%	19%	86	100%	83%	27%	
Female	60	100%	78%	8%	43	100%	84%	28%	
Male	53	98%	94%	32%	43	100%	81%	26%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	-			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	110	· · · · · · · · · · · · · - · · · - · · ·	······-		85		·····-		
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• ••••••	•••••••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	113			19%	86	100%	83%	27%	
General-Education Students	102	100%	88%	21%	69	100%	90%	30%	
Students with Disabilities	11	91%			17	100%	53%	12%	
English Proficient Limited English Proficient	113	99%	86%	19%	86	100%	83%	27%	
Economically Disadvantaged	40	98%	78%	13%	33	100%	76%	21%	
Not Disadvantaged	73	100%	90%	23%	53	100%	87%	30%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	113				86	100%	83%		

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Regents Science	0				0				

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> i	t			2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	126	84%	82%	34%	126	76%	67%	28%	
Female	62	92%	89%	39%	48	85%	75%	38%	
Male	64	77%	75%	30%	78	71%	62%	22%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	–	-	–		•••••		•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	–	1	–	–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	••••••								
White	124	-	-	-	125	-	-	-	
Multiracial	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	126	84%	82%	34%	126	76%	67%	28%	
General-Education Students	110	94%	92%	38%	106	86%	76%	32%	
Students with Disabilities	16	19%	13%	6%	20	25%	15%	5%	
English Proficient	126	84%	82%	34%	126	76%	67%	28%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		••••••		••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	34	88%	82%	26%	38	68%	53%	16%	
Not Disadvantaged	92	83%	82%	37%	88	80%	73%	33%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	126	84%	82%	34%	126	76%	67%	28%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohoi	rt			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									


(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> i	t		2004 <b>Cohor</b>	2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	126	84%	83%	29%	126	<b>79</b> %	75%	31%	
Female	62	89%	89%	26%	48	85%	81%	31%	
Male	64	80%	77%	31%	78	74%	72%	31%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	–	–			•••••	••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	1	–	–	–	1	–	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				••••••			••••••		
White	124	-	-	-	125	-	-	-	
Multiracial	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	126	84%	83%	29%	126	79%	75%	31%	
General-Education Students	110	93%	91%	33%	106	89%	86%	35%	
Students with Disabilities	16	25%	25%	0%	20	25%	20%	10%	
English Proficient	126	84%	83%	29%	126	79%	75%	31%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	34	88%	88%	29%	38	74%	68%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged	92	83%	80%	28%	88	81%	78%	40%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	126	84%	83%	29%	126	79%	75%	31%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohoi	rt			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 $^{\ast\ast}$  2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.