

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 District SUSQUEHANNA VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 03-06-01-06-0000 Superintendent GERARDO TAGLIAFERRI Telephone (607) 775-0170 Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.



Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	134	144	142
Grade 1	110	99	111
Grade 2	138	115	100
Grade 3	131	132	116
Grade 4	141	133	137
Grade 5	143	139	133
Grade 6	140	152	148
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	151	134	154
Grade 8	162	157	129
Grade 9	170	174	159
Grade 10	178	155	170
Grade 11	157	169	152
Grade 12	166	155	156
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	1921	1858	1807

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Common Branch	22	22	21
Grade 8			
English	20	20	16
Mathematics	17	17	14
Science	17	17	14
Social Studies	19	20	14
Grade 10			
English	21	18	19
Mathematics	20	15	19
Science	21	17	18
Social Studies	20	17	17

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2007-08		200	2008–09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	389	20%	403	22%	510	28%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	118	6%	169	9%	200	11%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	2	0%	2	0%	1	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	0%	5	0%	5	0%	
Black or African American	51	3%	53	3%	49	3%	
Hispanic or Latino	27	1%	23	1%	20	1%	
Asian or Native	13	1%	11	1%	15	1%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	1824	95%	1763	95%	1718	95%	
Multiracial	0	0%	3	0%	0	0%	

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006	2006-07		-08	2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	72	4%	65	3%	67	4%

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Number of Teachers	173	167	170
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	1%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	7%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	7%	8%	7%
Total Number of Core Classes	457	447	432
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	1%	1%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	665	658	659
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	2%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	N/A	10%	10%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	14%	13%	11%

Staff Counts

2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
24	24	25
46	44	44
2	2	2
4	4	4
	24 46 2	24 24 24 24 46 44 2 2

* Not available at the school level.

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District SUSQUEHANNA VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA		Sc	cience	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Gr	raduation Rate	▲ Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part	t A Funding			
	2008-	09	2009-10		2010-11		
	YES		YES		YES		

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	 	 	v	 ✓ 	v	v
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_				
Black or African American	-	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	–	_	••••••••••••••••••••••	_	–	••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-		-	-	
White	~	 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	~	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial		••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	 ✓ 		_	_	
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••
Economically Disadvantaged	 ✓ 	 ✓ 	••••	~	 	••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 3 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 1 of 1



District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (831:814)	~	 	100%	V	175	151		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (27:27)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (13:12)	-	-	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (785:769)	<	 ✓ 	100%	 ✓ 	176	151	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (105:105)	X	~	100%	X	137	145	142	106
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (287:284)	✓	✓	100%	✓	162	149		••••••••••
Final AYP Determination	🗙 3 of 4	4						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (396:389)			100%		176	149		
Male (435:425)	•••••••		100%		175	150	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion	n Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (829:812)	~	V	100%	V	190	131		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	_	-	_	-	_		-
Black or African American (27:27)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (13:12)	-	-	-	–	-	-	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
White (783:767)	~	 	100%	<	191	131	· · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (105:105)	~	~	100%	~	158	125		
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (287:284)	~	v	100%	~	185	129		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	4						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (394:387)			100%		190	129		
Male (435:425)	•••••••		100%		191	130	••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••••	••••••					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2009-10	Target 2010-11
Accountability Groups									
All Students (266:260)	~	Qualified	v	100%	v	192	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (7:7)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (7:6)		_	_	_	_	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •	-
White (249:244)		Oualified	✓	100%	 	193	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• ••• • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (28:27)		-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	•••••••	-	-	-	-	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Economically Disadvantaged (94:93)		Qualified	~	99%	•	188	100		
Final AYP Determination	/ 1 o	of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (123:120)				99%		191	100		
Male (143:140)	•••••••		•••••	100%		194	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • •
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••		•••••	•••••	•••••	••••	•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••

Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (163:163)	~	V	100%	V	197	169		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	-	_	-	–	-	-	••••••••••••••••	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (156:156)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	197	169	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••		••••		••••		••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (18:19)	-	_	-	-	-	-		_
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (32:35)	<	-	-	~	197	161	••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (70:70)			100%		200	166		
Male (93:93)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		100%		195	167		
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (163:163)	~	V	100%	V	198	165		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	-	-	-	–	-	-	••• •••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (156:156)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	198	165	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••		••••		••••		••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (18:19)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (32:35)	<	-	-	~	200	157	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (70:70)			100%		199	162		
Male (93:93)	••••••••••••••		100%		198	163		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	v	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduatio	n	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (165)	✓	~	83%	80%		
Ethnicity		·				
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (2)	•••••••	_	–	-		
Hispanic or Latino (1)		-	_	-	••••	•••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)	••••••••			••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••
White (162)	••••••••	~	83%	80%	••••	•••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0)	••••••••			••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (23)		-	_	_		
Limited English Proficient (0)	••••••••			••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (31)	••••••••	~	81%	80%	••••	•••••••••••••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (74)			85%	80%		
Male (91)	•••••••		81%	80%		
Migrant (0)	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••		••••••	••••	•••••

Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

— Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **88%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010-11 accountability status.

In Good Standing

4 schools identified 100% of total

BROOKSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL F P DONNELLY SCHOOL RICHARD T STANK MIDDLE SCHOOL SUSQUEHANNA VALLEY SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	55%		117
Grade 4	61%		130
Grade 5	52%		132
Grade 6	63%		147
Grade 7	53%		154
Grade 8	71%		130
Mathematics			
Grade 3	62%		117
Grade 4	59%		129
Grade 5	71%		131
Grade 6	60%		146
Grade 7	69%		154
Grade 8	68%		130
Science			
Grade 4	91%		129
Grade 8	96%		130
	-	of students that above Level 3	2006 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%

......

92%

93%

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

179

179

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

English

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	NY State Public			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 666	*Range:	643-780	662-780	694-780					
2009 Mean Score: 667	100%	98% 87%	75%		86% 95%	76%			
2009-10 2008-09				15% 7%		н.	17% 11%		
Number of Tested Students:		102 125	64 96	17 9					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	117	87%	55%	15%	128	98%	75%	7%
Female	47	87%	51%	9%	54	98%	70%	6%
Male	70	87%	57%	19%	74	97%	78%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r				1	-	-	-
White	114	_	_	-	110	99%	76%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	117	87%	55%	15%	9	78%	56%	11%
General-Education Students	110	89%	58%	15%	114	99%	81%	8%
Students with Disabilities	7	57%	0%	0%	14	86%	29%	0%
English Proficient	117	87%	55%	15%	127	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	39	74%	28%	10%	50	98%	70%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	78	94%	68%	17%	78	97%	78%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	117	87%	55%	15%	128	98%	75%	7%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	ichool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 694	*Range:	661-770	684-770	707-770					
2009 Mean Score: 688	100%	97% 99%	95%	26% 18%	91%	93% 59%	24% 27%		
2008–09				10%					
Number of Tested Students:		113 126	73 121	30 23					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	117	97%	62%	26%	127	99%	95%	18%
Female	47	96%	55%	17%	54	98%	94%	15%
Male	70	97%	67%	31%	73	100%	96%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	er				1	-	-	-
White	114	-	-	-	118	99%	95%	19%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	117	97%	62%	26%	9	100%	100%	0%
General-Education Students	110	96%	64%	26%	113	100%	98%	20%
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	43%	14%	14	93%	71%	0%
English Proficient	117	97%	62%	26%	126	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	39	90%	49%	21%	49	100%	96%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	78	100%	69%	28%	78	99%	95%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	117	97%	62%	26%	127	99%	95%	18%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-	

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage sco	·	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 673	*Range:	637-775	668-775	720-775			
2009 Mean Score: 669	100%	95% 100%	83%		92% 96%	77%	
2009–10 2008–09				4% 2%		н.	6% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		123 134	79 111	5 3			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	130	95%	61%	4%	134	100%	83%	2%
Female	54	94%	57%	6%	70	100%	81%	3%
Male	76	95%	63%	3%	64	100%	84%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	6	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	121	95%	61%	4%	128	100%	83%	2%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	56%	0%	6	100%	83%	0%
General-Education Students	120	98%	65%	4%	124	100%	87%	2%
Students with Disabilities	10	60%	10%	0%	10	100%	30%	0%
English Proficient	129	-	-	-	134	100%	83%	2%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	49	92%	55%	2%	49	100%	69%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	81	96%	64%	5%	85	100%	91%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	130	95%	61%	4%	134	100%	83%	2%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 683	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800				
2009 Mean Score: 684 2009–10 2008–09	100%	97% 99%	93%	17% 25%	95% 96%	87% 64%	26%	
Number of Tested Students:		125 131	76 123	22 33				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	129	97%	59%	17%	132	99%	93%	25%	
Female	53	98%	53%	17%	70	100%	90%	21%	
Male	76	96%	63%	17%	62	98%	97%	29%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	6	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	–				•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	120	98%	63%	18%	126	99%	94%	25%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	9	89%	11%	0%	6	100%	67%	17%	
General-Education Students	119	98%	64%	18%	122	100%	97%	26%	
Students with Disabilities	10	80%	0%	0%	10	90%	50%	10%	
English Proficient	128	-	-	-	132	99%	93%	25%	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged	49	98%	51%	12%	47	100%	94%	21%	
Not Disadvantaged	80	96%	64%	20%	85	99%	93%	27%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	129	97%	59%	17%	132	99%	93%	25%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	ichool Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	1	-	-	_

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 82	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2009 Mean Score: 84 2009–10 2008–09	100%	98% 100%	91% 93%	59% 47%	97% 97%	88% 88%	55% 59%	
Number of Tested Students:		127 132	118 123	60 78				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	129	98%	91%	47%	132	100%	93%	59%	
Female	53	98%	89%	45%	70	100%	87%	47%	
Male	76	99%	93%	47%	62	100%	100%	73%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	6	-	-	–	5	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	120	99%	92%	48%	126	100%	94%	61%	
Multiracial	•••••								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	89%	22%	6	100%	83%	17%	
General-Education Students	119	98%	93%	50%	122	100%	95%	63%	
Students with Disabilities	10	100%	70%	10%	10	100%	70%	10%	
English Proficient	128	-	-	-	132	100%	93%	59%	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged	49	98%	92%	33%	47	100%	87%	49%	
Not Disadvantaged	80	99%	91%	55%	85	100%	96%	65%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	129	98%	91%	47%	132	100%	93%	59%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 S	ichool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested					3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			1	_	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5		_	-	L		_	_	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 667	*Range:	647-795	666-795	700-795				
2009 Mean Score: 675	100%	91% 99%	91%		88% 99%	82%		
2009-10 2008-09				7% 8%	н.		13% 14%	
Number of Tested Students:		120 136	68 124	9 11				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	132	91%	52%	7%	137	99%	91%	8%	
Female	70	90%	50%	10%	73	99%	90%	7%	
Male	62	92%	53%	3%	64	100%	91%	9%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino			••••••		1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	126	90%	52%	7%	131	99%	91%	8%	
Multiracial	•••••		••••••					•••••	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	50%	0%	6	100%	83%	17%	
General-Education Students	118	93%	55%	7%	125	100%	97%	9%	
Students with Disabilities	14	71%	21%	7%	12	92%	25%	0%	
English Proficient	132	91%	52%	7%	137	99%	91%	8%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••				•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	44	86%	45%	2%	42	98%	81%	7%	
Not Disadvantaged	88	93%	55%	9%	95	100%	95%	8%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	132	91%	52%	7%	137	99%	91%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	5	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 686	*Range:	640-780	674-780	702-780				
2009 Mean Score: 687	100%	98% 99%	93% 71%	34% 20%	94% 98%	88%	36% 24%	
Number of Tested Students:		128 135	93 127	26 46	_	•	-	

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	131	98%	71%	20%	137	99%	93%	34%	
Female	69	99%	65%	16%	73	99%	92%	36%	
Male	62	97%	77%	24%	64	98%	94%	31%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	••••••				1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	125	98%	72%	21%	131	98%	92%	33%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	6	100%	50%	0%	6	100%	100%	50%	
General-Education Students	117	100%	75%	22%	125	100%	97%	37%	
Students with Disabilities	14	79%	36%	0%	12	83%	50%	0%	
English Proficient	131	98%	71%	20%	137	99%	93%	34%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	44	95%	66%	14%	42	98%	83%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged	87	99%	74%	23%	95	99%	97%	43%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	131	98%	71%	20%	137	99%	93%	34%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	5	4

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	·	Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 669	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785				
2009 Mean Score: 665	100%	95% 100%	81% 63%		89%	81%		
2009-10 2008-09				9% 6%			7% 9%	
Number of Tested Students:		139 149	92 121	13 9				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	147	95%	63%	9 %	149	100%	81%	6%
Female	80	94%	65%	10%	65	100%	77%	9%
Male	67	96%	60%	7%	84	100%	85%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 2	-	-	-				
White	138	94%	64%	9%	143	100%	83%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	44%	11%	6	100%	33%	0%
General-Education Students	129	98%	68%	10%	124	100%	90%	6%
Students with Disabilities	18	67%	22%	0%	25	100%	36%	4%
English Proficient	147	95%	63%	9%	149	100%	81%	6%
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	50	86%	42%	4%	48	100%	63%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	97	99%	73%	11%	101	100%	90%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	147	95%	63%	9%	149	100%	81%	6%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 677	*Range:	640-780	674-780	699-780					
2009 Mean Score: 675	100%	95% 97%	83%		92% 96%	83%			
 2009-10 2008-09 				14% 19%	н.		27% 28%		
Number of Tested Students:		138 146	87 125	21 28					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	146	95%	60%	14%	150	97%	83%	19%
Female	80	91%	56%	18%	66	95%	83%	15%
Male	66	98%	64%	11%	84	99%	83%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 2	-	-	-				
White	137	95%	60%	15%	143	98%	85%	18%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	56%	0%	7	86%	57%	29%
General-Education Students	128	98%	65%	16%	124	100%	90%	22%
Students with Disabilities	18	72%	22%	0%	26	85%	54%	4%
English Proficient	146	95%	60%	14%	150	97%	83%	19%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	50	88%	40%	6%	49	96%	65%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	96	98%	70%	19%	101	98%	92%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	146	95%	60%	14%	150	97%	83%	19%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	ichool Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested 2–4 3–4 4 Tested					2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	4	-	_	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 670	*Range:	642-790	664-790	698-790			
2009 Mean Score: 665	100%	90%	85%		90%	80%	
2009-10							
2008-09				12% 2%			11% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		139 136	81 115	19 3			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	154	90%	53%	12%	136	100%	85%	2%	
Female	68	93%	56%	18%	72	100%	82%	1%	
Male	86	88%	50%	8%	64	100%	88%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	6	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	–	4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander								
White	145	91%	54%	13%	130	100%	84%	2%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	9	78%	22%	0%	6	100%	100%	0%	
General-Education Students	130	95%	60%	15%	122	100%	89%	2%	
Students with Disabilities	24	63%	13%	0%	14	100%	50%	0%	
English Proficient	154	90%	53%	12%	136	100%	85%	2%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	54	87%	26%	4%	52	100%	77%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	100	92%	67%	17%	84	100%	89%	4%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	154	90%	53%	12%	136	100%	85%	2%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	5	5	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 683	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800					
2009 Mean Score: 683	100%	99% 100%	96%		92% 99%	87%			
 2009-10 2008-09 				33% 23%			29% 30%		
Number of Tested Students:		152 133	106 128	51 31					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	154	99%	69%	33%	133	100%	96%	23%
Female	68	100%	74%	29%	71	100%	96%	15%
Male	86	98%	65%	36%	62	100%	97%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	6	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander							
White	145	99%	70%	34%	127	100%	96%	24%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	56%	22%	6	100%	100%	17%
General-Education Students	130	100%	74%	38%	120	100%	98%	26%
Students with Disabilities	24	92%	42%	8%	13	100%	77%	0%
English Proficient	154	99%	69%	33%	133	100%	96%	23%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	54	100%	54%	13%	49	100%	94%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	100	98%	77%	44%	84	100%	98%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	154	99%	69%	33%	133	100%	96%	23%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	5	4	4	2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	•	•	E			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 669	*Range:	627-790	658-790	699-790				
2009 Mean Score: 673	100%	98% 100%	71% 79%		91% 98%	69% 51%		
2009-10 2008-09				9% 9%	н.		8% 5%	
Number of Tested Students:		128 155	92 123	12 14				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	130	98%	71%	9 %	155	100%	79%	9%	
Female	68	99%	71%	10%	83	100%	81%	14%	
Male	62	98%	71%	8%	72	100%	78%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander				2	-	-	-	
White	123	98%	69%	10%	150	100%	79%	8%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	0%	5	100%	80%	40%	
General-Education Students	118	99%	76%	10%	134	100%	86%	10%	
Students with Disabilities	12	92%	17%	0%	21	100%	38%	5%	
English Proficient	130	98%	71%	9%	155	100%	79%	9%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				•••••			•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	41	98%	61%	10%	48	100%	71%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	89	99%	75%	9%	107	100%	83%	9%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	130	98%	71%	9%	155	100%	79%	9%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 \$	School Year		,	2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	blic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2–4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 681	*Range:	639-775	673-775	702-775				
2009 Mean Score: 683	100%	97% 100%	95% 68%		91% 96%	80% 55%		
2009-10 2008-09				14% 17%	н.		18% 19%	
Number of Tested Students:		126 155	89 147	18 27				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	130	97%	68%	14%	155	100%	95%	17%	
Female	68	100%	63%	15%	83	100%	94%	22%	
Male	62	94%	74%	13%	72	100%	96%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r				2	-	-	-	
White	123	97%	69%	14%	150	100%	95%	18%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	7	100%	57%	14%	5	100%	100%	0%	
General-Education Students	118	98%	71%	15%	134	100%	96%	20%	
Students with Disabilities	12	83%	42%	0%	21	100%	90%	0%	
English Proficient	130	97%	68%	14%	155	100%	95%	17%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	41	98%	61%	10%	48	100%	98%	13%	
Not Disadvantaged	89	97%	72%	16%	107	100%	93%	20%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	130	97%	68%	14%	155	100%	95%	17%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,


data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year		2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	_	-	-	4	-	_	-

District ID 03-06-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	130	99%	96%	46 %	155	100%	91%	42%
Female	68	100%	97%	31%	83	100%	89%	45%
Male	62	98%	95%	63%	72	100%	93%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander				2	-	-	-
White	123	99%	96%	46%	150	100%	91%	42%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						•••••	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	43%	5	100%	80%	40%
General-Education Students	118	99%	97%	48%	134	100%	96%	46%
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	83%	25%	21	100%	62%	14%
English Proficient	130	99%	96%	46%	155	100%	91%	42%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	41	98%	93%	34%	48	100%	85%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	89	100%	98%	52%	107	100%	93%	51%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	130	99%	96%	46%	155	100%	91%	42%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 S	ichool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	3	_	_	_	Λ	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent				_	4		_	_	
Regents Science	0				0				

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 Coho r	t		2005 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	179	92%	92%	41%	165	88%	81%	31%
Female	75	93%	93%	40%	74	89%	85%	36%
Male	104	91%	90%	42%	91	87%	78%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	–	-	–	2	–	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	-	-	1	-	–	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-		••••••		
White	172	92%	91%	41%	162		—	-
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	57%	165	88%	81%	31%
General-Education Students	156	96%	95%	47%	142	94%	89%	36%
Students with Disabilities	23	70%	70%	0%	23	48%	30%	0%
English Proficient	179	92%	92%	41%	165	88%	81%	31%
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	36	97%	97%	28%	31	90%	77%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	143	91%	90%	45%	134	87%	82%	35%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	179	92%	92%	41%	165	88%	81%	31%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pu	blic	
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage s	coring at level	(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
100% 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	95% 91%	93% 87%	28% 29%	84% 83%	79% 77%	30% 30%

2006 Coho r	t		2005 Cohort**				
Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
179	95%	93%	28%	165	91%	87%	29%
75	95%	92%	28%	74	91%	86%	31%
104	95%	94%	29%	91	91%	88%	27%
3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
1	_	-	-	1	-	-	-
3	-	-	-			••••••	•••••
172	95%	93%	28%	162	-	-	-
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••
7	100%	100%	29%	165	91%	87%	29%
156	98%	97%	33%	142	96%	96%	34%
23	74%	70%	0%	23	57%	35%	0%
179	95%	93%	28%	165	91%	87%	29%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••			
36	100%	100%	14%	31	97%	87%	16%
143	94%	92%	32%	134	90%	87%	32%
179	95%	93%	28%	165	91%	87%	29%
	Number of Students 179 75 104 3 1 3 172 7 156 23 179 36 143	of Students 2-4 179 95% 75 95% 104 95% 3 - 1 - 3 - 172 95% 7 100% 156 98% 23 74% 179 95% 36 100% 143 94%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at 2-4 3-4 179 95% 93% 75 95% 92% 104 95% 94% 3 - - 1 - - 3 - - 11 - - 3 - - 11 - - 3 - - 172 95% 93% 7 100% 100% 156 98% 97% 23 74% 70% 179 95% 93% 36 100% 100% 143 94% 92%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 179 95% 93% 28% 75 95% 92% 28% 104 95% 94% 29% 3 - - - 1 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 172 95% 93% 28% 7 100% 100% 29% 156 98% 97% 33% 23 74% 70% 0% 179 95% 93% 28% 36 100% 100% 14% 143 94% 92% 32%	Number of StudentsPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Number of Students17995%93%28%1657595%92%28%7410495%94%29%9132113131313131313131313131313131316217295%93%28%16515698%97%33%1422374%70%0%2317995%93%28%16536100%100%14%3114394%92%32%134	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Number of Students Percentage 2-4 179 95% 93% 28% 165 91% 75 95% 92% 28% 74 91% 104 95% 94% 29% 91 91% 3 - - - 2 - 1 - - 1 - 3 - - 1 - 3 - - 1 - 3 - - 1 - 3 - - 1 - 3 - - 1 - 3 - - 1 - 172 95% 93% 28% 162 - 7 100% 100% 29% 165 91% 23 74% 70% 0% 23 57% 36 100% 100% <td>Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Number 3-4 Percentage scoring at of Students Percentage scoring at 2-4 Perce</td>	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Number 3-4 Percentage scoring at of Students Percentage scoring at 2-4 Perce

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.