



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2009 – 10**

District **JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL  
DISTRICT**

District ID **06-17-00-01-0000**

Superintendent **DANIEL KATHMAN**

Telephone **(716) 483-4420**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

#### **1 Get District Profile information.**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### **2 Review District Accountability Status.**

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

#### **3 View School Accountability Status.**

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

#### **4 Review an Overview of District Performance.**

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: [dataquest@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:dataquest@mail.nysed.gov)

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Pre-K	184	241	237
Kindergarten	412	404	406
Grade 1	399	416	393
Grade 2	390	398	412
Grade 3	378	379	390
Grade 4	372	389	375
Grade 5	351	377	386
Grade 6	353	361	383
Ungraded Elementary	37	15	27
Grade 7	367	356	357
Grade 8	380	362	358
Grade 9	393	417	388
Grade 10	354	352	346
Grade 11	350	353	344
Grade 12	333	342	330
Ungraded Secondary	79	56	68
<b>Total K-12</b>	<b>4948</b>	<b>4977</b>	<b>4963</b>

### Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
<b>Common Branch</b>	18	18	18
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	16	18	16
Mathematics	17	18	19
Science	17	16	20
Social Studies	17	16	20
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	20	20	18
Mathematics	14	23	18
Science	18	20	19
Social Studies	20	19	21

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

## Demographic Factors

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	2279	46%	2388	48%	2687	54%
Reduced-Price Lunch	476	10%	392	8%	476	10%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	187	4%	180	4%	165	3%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	57	1%	64	1%	58	1%
Black or African American	411	8%	463	9%	550	11%
Hispanic or Latino	590	12%	582	12%	587	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	1%	33	1%	34	1%
White	3827	77%	3830	77%	3725	75%
Multiracial	33	1%	5	0%	9	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	346	7%	377	8%	301	6%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

## Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
<b>Total Number of Teachers</b>	467	472	476
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	1%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	10%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	6%	6%	6%
<b>Total Number of Core Classes</b>	1256	1011	1042
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	1%	2%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
<b>Total Number of Classes</b>	1731	1505	1463
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	2%	2%

## Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	N/A	15%	9%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	13%	7%

## Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Other Professional Staff	40	57	56
Total Paraprofessionals*	256	275	146
Assistant Principals	6	6	6
Principals	10	10	10

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### 2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts).

### Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

### Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/sirs>.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

### Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment (“Total” or “12<sup>th</sup> Graders”) shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the “Percentage Tested” shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

*Science:* The current year’s Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year’s Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

*Graduation Rate:* The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year’s graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target =  $[(80 - \text{percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008}) \times 0.20] + \text{percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008}$ .

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

### Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  
2008–09 PI + (200 – the 2008–09 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show “Qualified.” If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show “Did not qualify.” A “\*” symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

### State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

### Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

### Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a “—” in the Test Performance column in the table.

### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

**Pending** – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.



## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)

**Good Standing**

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2008–09	2009–10	2010–11
YES	YES	YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>						
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native				–	–	
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino				–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	–	–		–	–	
White						
Multiracial						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	<sup>SH</sup>			–	–	
Limited English Proficient				–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged						
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	5 of 8	6 of 8	1 of 1	4 of 4	4 of 4	0 of 1

#### AYP Status


- Made AYP
- <sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did not make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal                               | State  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing                         | Good Standing                                |
| Improvement (Year 1)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)         |
| Improvement (Year 2)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)         |
| Improvement (Year 3)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)         |
| Improvement (Year 4)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)         |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above)          | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation |  |

























## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2010–11)**

**Accountability Measures** 5 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Did not make AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?


Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10    2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (2320:2195)			100%		162	153	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (39:36)		—	—		136	139	139    105
Black or African American (261:244)			100%		160	148	
Hispanic or Latino (304:272)			100%		144	148	148    109
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16:16)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1700:1627)			100%		166	152	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (355:375)			99%		115	149	114    74
Limited English Proficient (86:87)			100%		108	144	119    67
Economically Disadvantaged (1653:1539)			100%		154	152	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 5 of 8						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (1127:1070)			100%		167	152	
Male (1193:1125)			100%		158	152	
Migrant (6:5)			—		—	—	


#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

























## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2010–11)**

**Accountability Measures** 6 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Did not make AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP Status	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10    2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (2319:2198)			100%		179	133	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (39:36)		—	—		158	119	
Black or African American (264:244)			100%		178	128	
Hispanic or Latino (304:279)			100%		163	128	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16:16)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1696:1623)			100%		182	132	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (356:379)			99%		128	129	129    97
Limited English Proficient (86:94)			100%		124	125	125    90
Economically Disadvantaged (1652:1543)			100%		173	132	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 6 of 8						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (1126:1067)			100%		180	132	
Male (1193:1131)			100%		178	132	
Migrant (6:5)			—		—	—	

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.














## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2010–11)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2009–10 2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>								
<b>All Students</b> (763:712)		Qualified		99%		177	100	
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (14:14)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (86:80)		Qualified		99%		175	100	
Hispanic or Latino (106:91)		Qualified		100%		151	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (549:519)		Qualified		99%		182	100	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (111:119)		Qualified		97%		129	100	
Limited English Proficient (33:22)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (532:487)		Qualified		99%		170	100	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>								
Female (362:341)				99%		176	100	
Male (401:371)				99%		178	100	
Migrant (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—


#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.













## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2010–11)**

**Accountability Measures** 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?


Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10    2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (310:322)			99%		187	171	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (31:31)		—	—		197	160	
Hispanic or Latino (23:25)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (252:263)			100%		188	170	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (20:27)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient (2:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (150:160)			99%		181	169	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		4 of 4					
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (160:166)			99%		189	169	
Male (150:156)			99%		185	169	
Migrant (0:0)							


#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.













## Secondary-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2010–11)**




**Accountability Measures** 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10    2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (310:322)			99%		191	167	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (31:31)		—	—		197	156	
Hispanic or Latino (23:25)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (252:263)			99%		192	166	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (20:27)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient (2:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (150:160)			99%		188	165	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 4 of 4						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (160:166)			100%		190	165	
Male (150:156)			98%		192	165	
Migrant (0:0)							


#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.








## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)**  Good Standing



**Accountability Measures** 0 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate  
 Did not make AYP

**Prospective Status** A district that fails to make AYP in graduation rate for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [203]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation			Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target	
					2009–10	2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>						
<b>All Students (419)</b>			72%	80%	76%	74%
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native (8)		—	—	—		
Black or African American (18)		—	—	—		
Hispanic or Latino (36)			58%	80%	16%	62%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2)		—	—	—		
White (355)			75%	80%	77%	76%
Multiracial (0)						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities (65)			20%	80%	41%	32%
Limited English Proficient (10)		—	—	—		
Economically Disadvantaged (188)			64%	80%	67%	67%
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 0 of 1					
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>						
Female (200)			75%	80%		
Male (219)			69%	80%		
Migrant (0)						

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

#### Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **73%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

# 3 School Accountability Status

District **JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **06-17-00-01-0000**

## 2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

---

### **In Good Standing**

10 schools identified 100% of total

ABRAHAM LINCOLN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL  
CARLYLE C RING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL  
CLINTON V BUSH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL  
GEORGE WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL  
JAMESTOWN HIGH SCHOOL  
MILTON J FLETCHER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL  
PERSELL MIDDLE SCHOOL  
ROVILLUS R ROGERS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL  
SAMUEL G LOVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL  
THOMAS JEFFERSON MIDDLE SCHOOL

---



# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **06-17-00-01-0000**

## Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
<b>English Language Arts</b>				
Grade 3	54%			395
Grade 4	48%			385
Grade 5	36%			391
Grade 6	38%			382
Grade 7	46%			363
Grade 8	36%			362
<b>Mathematics</b>				
Grade 3	52%			397
Grade 4	58%			392
Grade 5	51%			392
Grade 6	51%			385
Grade 7	65%			364
Grade 8	58%			363
<b>Science</b>				
Grade 4	83%			391
Grade 8	73%			301

<b>Secondary Level</b>	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2006 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	73%			398
Mathematics	78%			398

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts).


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>395</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	193	90%	57%	21%	180	96%	74%	6%
Male	202	82%	50%	15%	199	94%	66%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
Black or African American	39	74%	44%	13%	45	93%	78%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	41	76%	37%	12%	42	95%	62%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	307	88%	58%	20%	284	95%	70%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	38%	0%	8	100%	63%	0%
General-Education Students	352	90%	58%	20%	338	99%	76%	6%
Students with Disabilities	43	51%	21%	0%	41	66%	20%	0%
English Proficient	382	86%	55%	19%	368	95%	71%	5%
Limited English Proficient	13	62%	8%	0%	11	91%	36%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	283	81%	45%	13%	263	94%	63%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	112	97%	75%	30%	116	97%	85%	9%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	394	-	-	-	379	95%	70%	5%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	6	6	5	2	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>23%</b>
Female	193	89%	54%	19%	183	99%	91%	21%
Male	204	85%	50%	20%	195	99%	92%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Black or African American	39	85%	36%	10%	44	100%	93%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	44	73%	23%	14%	40	98%	93%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	306	89%	59%	22%	287	99%	91%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	88%	38%	0%	7	100%	86%	43%
General-Education Students	352	90%	56%	22%	334	100%	96%	26%
Students with Disabilities	45	62%	20%	2%	44	93%	61%	2%
English Proficient	381	89%	54%	20%	368	99%	92%	24%
Limited English Proficient	16	44%	6%	6%	10	90%	80%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	285	83%	44%	15%	261	99%	89%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	112	96%	72%	31%	117	100%	97%	38%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	396	-	-	-	378	99%	92%	23%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

## Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	6	6	6	3	3	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 667	*Range: 637-775	668-775	720-775			
2009 Mean Score: 660						
Number of Tested Students:	345	352	183	256	14	24

## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>6%</b>
Female	190	90%	51%	3%	197	93%	70%	9%
Male	195	89%	44%	4%	193	87%	62%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
Black or African American	49	94%	47%	2%	41	98%	73%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	48	79%	27%	4%	46	85%	41%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	279	91%	51%	4%	294	90%	68%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	67%	11%	9	100%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	336	96%	54%	4%	322	98%	78%	7%
Students with Disabilities	49	49%	4%	0%	68	56%	9%	0%
English Proficient	373	91%	49%	3%	379	91%	68%	6%
Limited English Proficient	12	50%	17%	8%	11	64%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	276	86%	39%	2%	269	88%	59%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	109	99%	70%	8%	121	94%	79%	15%
Migrant					3	-	-	-
Not Migrant	385	90%	48%	4%	387	-	-	-

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


## Other

### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>392</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>28%</b>
Female	191	88%	55%	15%	194	88%	74%	30%
Male	201	92%	60%	22%	194	90%	76%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
Black or African American	50	96%	46%	12%	42	95%	79%	24%
Hispanic or Latino	53	77%	40%	8%	47	87%	57%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	280	91%	63%	21%	290	89%	78%	32%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	67%	22%	9	89%	78%	33%
General-Education Students	340	96%	66%	21%	319	97%	86%	34%
Students with Disabilities	52	46%	8%	0%	69	52%	26%	1%
English Proficient	375	91%	59%	19%	377	90%	77%	29%
Limited English Proficient	17	53%	24%	12%	11	64%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	283	87%	51%	13%	267	88%	69%	22%
Not Disadvantaged	109	98%	77%	31%	121	93%	88%	40%
Migrant					3	-	-	-
Not Migrant	392	90%	58%	18%	385	-	-	-

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

## Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>51%</b>
Female	189	95%	82%	46%	197	92%	79%	52%
Male	202	96%	85%	47%	193	97%	81%	49%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
Black or African American	50	98%	80%	36%	42	98%	86%	57%
Hispanic or Latino	53	87%	68%	28%	47	85%	64%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	279	97%	87%	51%	292	95%	82%	54%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	67%	9	100%	89%	44%
General-Education Students	341	98%	89%	51%	323	98%	89%	60%
Students with Disabilities	50	78%	46%	12%	67	78%	39%	4%
English Proficient	374	97%	86%	48%	380	95%	82%	52%
Limited English Proficient	17	71%	29%	12%	10	60%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	282	94%	79%	38%	270	93%	75%	41%
Not Disadvantaged	109	99%	95%	66%	120	98%	91%	73%
Migrant					3	-	-	-
Not Migrant	391	96%	83%	46%	387	-	-	-


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	197	78%	46%	11%	202	100%	77%	4%
Male	194	74%	27%	5%	187	98%	70%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Black or African American	43	88%	33%	5%	45	96%	62%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	43	60%	19%	0%	61	98%	52%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	294	76%	40%	10%	275	100%	80%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	82%	27%	9%	8	100%	75%	0%
General-Education Students	328	87%	43%	10%	335	100%	81%	5%
Students with Disabilities	63	17%	2%	0%	54	93%	24%	0%
English Proficient	382	77%	37%	8%	375	99%	76%	5%
Limited English Proficient	9	11%	0%	0%	14	93%	7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	296	71%	29%	5%	283	99%	67%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	95	91%	59%	19%	106	100%	92%	14%
Migrant	3	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	388	-	-	-	389	99%	74%	5%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>392</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>20%</b>
Female	198	82%	54%	15%	200	97%	83%	19%
Male	194	85%	48%	14%	189	94%	78%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Black or African American	43	86%	47%	12%	44	93%	70%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	45	67%	31%	2%	61	89%	66%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	293	86%	55%	17%	276	97%	86%	24%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	82%	55%	9%	8	88%	75%	13%
General-Education Students	328	91%	60%	17%	334	98%	88%	23%
Students with Disabilities	64	44%	6%	2%	55	80%	38%	2%
English Proficient	381	86%	52%	15%	374	97%	83%	21%
Limited English Proficient	11	18%	0%	0%	15	60%	27%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	297	80%	43%	9%	283	94%	76%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	95	96%	76%	29%	106	99%	92%	40%
Migrant	3	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	389	-	-	-	389	95%	81%	20%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

## Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	0			



## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	196	86%	43%	5%	162	100%	77%	6%
Male	186	80%	33%	1%	191	99%	73%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	47	74%	26%	0%	42	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	53	64%	21%	2%	45	100%	62%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	273	89%	44%	4%	262	100%	77%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	67%	33%	0%	46	100%	76%	4%
General-Education Students	323	91%	44%	4%	299	100%	85%	6%
Students with Disabilities	59	41%	7%	0%	54	98%	20%	0%
English Proficient	372	85%	40%	3%	342	100%	77%	6%
Limited English Proficient	10	0%	0%	0%	11	100%	9%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	277	78%	27%	1%	240	100%	66%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	105	96%	68%	10%	113	100%	94%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	382	83%	38%	3%	353	100%	75%	5%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

## Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				5	5	5	1
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>27%</b>
Female	196	94%	53%	18%	160	96%	84%	26%
Male	189	87%	49%	20%	190	94%	82%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	48	88%	25%	4%	42	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	56	84%	34%	9%	43	91%	70%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	272	93%	60%	24%	261	96%	85%	30%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	33%	22%	46	93%	78%	26%
General-Education Students	324	97%	59%	22%	296	98%	90%	31%
Students with Disabilities	61	59%	10%	3%	54	80%	43%	6%
English Proficient	372	92%	52%	20%	338	96%	85%	28%
Limited English Proficient	13	46%	8%	0%	12	75%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	281	88%	41%	10%	237	92%	76%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	104	97%	78%	43%	113	100%	97%	50%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	385	91%	51%	19%	350	95%	83%	27%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

## Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				5	5	5	5

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 665	*Range: 642-790	664-790	698-790			
2009 Mean Score: 661						
Number of Tested Students:	310	352	166	258	38	19

## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	165	85%	47%	13%	169	99%	79%	6%
Male	198	85%	44%	9%	185	99%	67%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
Black or African American	44	-	-	-	33	100%	70%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	51	86%	31%	8%	45	98%	58%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	264	85%	48%	12%	262	100%	77%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	48	85%	46%	6%	14	100%	57%	7%
General-Education Students	309	94%	53%	12%	288	100%	88%	7%
Students with Disabilities	54	37%	4%	0%	66	97%	8%	0%
English Proficient	351	86%	47%	11%	343	100%	75%	6%
Limited English Proficient	12	58%	8%	0%	11	91%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	242	80%	32%	5%	236	99%	66%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	121	96%	73%	21%	118	100%	87%	10%
Migrant					2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	363	85%	46%	10%	352	-	-	-

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

## Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>357</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>22%</b>
Female	166	92%	64%	31%	171	99%	91%	23%
Male	198	93%	65%	32%	186	98%	87%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
Black or African American	45	-	-	-	34	100%	82%	15%
Hispanic or Latino	51	94%	55%	14%	47	98%	79%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	264	94%	69%	35%	261	99%	92%	27%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	49	86%	51%	33%	15	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	309	98%	73%	38%	292	100%	98%	27%
Students with Disabilities	55	64%	15%	0%	65	94%	45%	2%
English Proficient	352	93%	66%	33%	344	99%	89%	23%
Limited English Proficient	12	92%	33%	0%	13	100%	77%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	243	91%	56%	22%	236	99%	86%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	121	98%	82%	52%	121	99%	94%	43%
Migrant					2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	364	93%	65%	32%	355	-	-	-

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

## Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	5	3	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 651	*Range: 627-790	658-790	699-790			
2009 Mean Score: 652						
Number of Tested Students:	304	354	131	195	17	14

### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>4%</b>
Female	171	87%	42%	8%	184	98%	58%	3%
Male	191	81%	31%	2%	183	95%	48%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	67%	0%	0%	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	34	88%	29%	3%	30	97%	43%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	49	63%	16%	0%	42	90%	24%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	80%	60%	20%	1	-	-	-
White	265	88%	42%	6%	290	98%	59%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					5	80%	40%	0%
General-Education Students	307	93%	42%	5%	305	99%	62%	5%
Students with Disabilities	55	31%	5%	2%	62	85%	8%	0%
English Proficient	349	86%	38%	5%	353	97%	55%	4%
Limited English Proficient	13	23%	0%	0%	14	71%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	242	79%	26%	2%	227	95%	40%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	120	95%	56%	11%	140	99%	75%	8%
Migrant	2	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	360	-	-	-	367	96%	53%	4%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	10	10	10	8
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>22%</b>
Female	170	96%	60%	26%	182	98%	85%	21%
Male	193	91%	57%	15%	187	94%	77%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	100%	33%	0%	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	34	94%	38%	9%	30	100%	83%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	52	88%	46%	8%	44	95%	61%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	80%	1	-	-	-
White	263	94%	63%	23%	290	96%	83%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					5	100%	100%	20%
General-Education Students	308	98%	67%	23%	305	100%	90%	26%
Students with Disabilities	55	65%	13%	2%	64	78%	38%	5%
English Proficient	347	94%	60%	20%	352	96%	83%	23%
Limited English Proficient	16	88%	25%	6%	17	88%	41%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	243	92%	51%	11%	230	94%	72%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	120	96%	74%	38%	139	99%	96%	44%
Migrant	2	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	361	-	-	-	369	96%	81%	22%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

## Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	10	10	10	2

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Number of Tested Students:

335 355

277 274

118 111

## Results by Student Group

### 2009-10 School Year

Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

### 2008-09 School Year

Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>315</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>20%</b>
Female	135	93%	73%	21%	153	97%	73%	16%
Male	166	92%	73%	27%	162	94%	68%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Black or African American	29	90%	72%	14%	29	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	50	78%	48%	12%	41	80%	39%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	–	–	–				
White	212	96%	79%	30%	241	98%	77%	23%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	90%	70%	0%	33	97%	61%	24%
General-Education Students	249	96%	81%	28%	252	97%	78%	23%
Students with Disabilities	52	71%	33%	8%	63	90%	38%	8%
English Proficient	285	95%	76%	26%	299	98%	74%	21%
Limited English Proficient	16	44%	13%	0%	16	50%	6%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	225	90%	68%	20%	216	94%	61%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	76	97%	88%	38%	99	100%	90%	37%
Migrant	2	–	–	–				
Not Migrant	299	–	–	–	315	96%	70%	20%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

### 2009-10 School Year

Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

### 2008-09 School Year

Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	–	–	–	10	10	10	7
Regents Science	58	58	58	44	53	53	53	47

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



## Results by Student Group

### 2006 Cohort

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

### 2005 Cohort\*\*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

	2006 Cohort			2005 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>27%</b>
Female	198	81%	77%	42%	201	81%	76%	30%
Male	200	74%	69%	28%	220	71%	68%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
Black or African American	36	89%	86%	31%	19	63%	53%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	37	54%	54%	16%	37	62%	51%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	315	80%	75%	38%	355	78%	75%	30%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	30%	30%	10%	10	60%	60%	20%
General-Education Students	339	85%	84%	41%	359	84%	81%	31%
Students with Disabilities	59	32%	12%	2%	62	27%	18%	0%
English Proficient	394	-	-	-	410	77%	73%	28%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	11	36%	9%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	206	73%	67%	22%	190	69%	64%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	192	82%	80%	48%	231	81%	78%	35%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	398	77%	73%	35%	421	76%	72%	27%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.



## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



■ 2006 Cohort  
■ 2005 Cohort

## Results by Student Group

	2006 Cohort				2005 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>39%</b>
Female	198	85%	81%	41%	201	86%	81%	42%
Male	200	77%	76%	32%	220	78%	74%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	–	–	–	8	–	–	–
Black or African American	36	86%	86%	25%	19	68%	63%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	37	68%	65%	14%	37	70%	54%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
White	315	83%	80%	41%	355	84%	81%	43%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	40%	40%	20%	10	70%	50%	20%
General-Education Students	339	89%	88%	43%	359	90%	87%	46%
Students with Disabilities	59	32%	24%	0%	62	37%	23%	2%
English Proficient	394	–	–	–	410	83%	78%	40%
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	11	45%	36%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	206	78%	74%	21%	190	78%	71%	28%
Not Disadvantaged	192	84%	83%	53%	231	85%	83%	48%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	398	81%	78%	36%	421	82%	77%	39%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.