

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 District **CORTLAND CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **11-02-00-01-0000** Superintendent **LAURENCE SPRING** Telephone **(607) 758-4100** Grades **PK-12, UE** 

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.



# Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 11-02-00-01-0000

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Pre-K	0	140	150
Kindergarten	238	204	220
Grade 1	232	235	198
Grade 2	198	232	244
Grade 3	193	202	212
Grade 4	202	191	197
Grade 5	202	198	199
Grade 6	196	199	201
Ungraded Elementary	14	10	7
Grade 7	220	219	219
Grade 8	229	210	212
Grade 9	242	227	203
Grade 10	209	214	214
Grade 11	209	193	196
Grade 12	197	216	187
Ungraded Secondary	14	0	0
Total K–12	2795	2750	2709

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Common Branch	21	21	21
Grade 8			
English	22	21	21
Mathematics	20	19	19
Science	23	20	22
Social Studies	22	21	21
Grade 10			
English	22	18	18
Mathematics	20	19	14
Science	22	21	19
Social Studies	20	21	18

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2007-08		200	8-09	2009–10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	789	28%	799	29%	879	32%
Reduced-Price Lunch	227	8%	219	8%	207	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	7	0%	10	0%	6	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	0%	10	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	141	5%	160	6%	148	5%
Hispanic or Latino	68	2%	70	3%	61	2%
Asian or Native	17	1%	23	1%	24	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2521	90%	2469	90%	2412	89%
Multiracial	38	1%	18	1%	61	2%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	121	4%	177	6%	167	6%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Number of Teachers	230	238	240
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	5%	11%	12%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	11%	10%
Total Number of Core Classes	583	602	619
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	1%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	828	855	876
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	1%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	18%	18%	19%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	13%	9%

### **Staff Counts**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Other Professional Staff	31	34	30
Total Paraprofessionals*	111	96	89
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	9	9	9

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### **3** Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### 2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.** 

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

#### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

### Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

#### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

#### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.** 

#### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

*Science:* The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ 

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

#### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

#### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

#### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District CORTLAND CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 11-02-00-01-0000

### Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing					
Status (2010–11)	ELA	▲ Good Standing	Science	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Funding			
	2008-	·09	2009–10	2010-11		
	YES		YES	YES		

#### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary L	evel		
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>V</b>
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_				
Black or African American	✓	✓	••••	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	-	–	••••	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	–		_	-	
White	~	<b>V</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		_	_	
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 4 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 1 of 1

P Status	Accountability S	tatus Levels
Made AYP	Federal	State
	Good Standing 🙏	Good Standing
Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target	Improvement (Year 1) ,	📕 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)
Did not make AYP	Improvement (Year 2) ,	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
Insufficient Number of Students	Improvement (Year 3) 🔥	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
to Determine AYP Status	Improvement (Year 4) 🔥	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
	Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔥	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above
	Pending – Rec	uires Special Evaluation

### Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	×	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	ion	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009–10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1253:1205)	~	~	100%	<b>V</b>	176	152		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (82:77)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	~	147	144		
Hispanic or Latino (28:28)	-	-	-	-	-	-	•••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (14:12)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1127:1086) Multiracial (0:0)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<b>~</b>	177	152	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (153:161)	x	~	98%	X	134	147	140	97
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (567:558)	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	~	166	150		••••
Final AYP Determination	X 4 of 9	5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (603:581)			100%		178	150		
Male (650:624)	•••••••••••••	••••••••••	100%		174	151	•••••••••••••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 11-02-00-01-0000

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	ion	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009–10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
<b>All Students</b> (1251:1202)	~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	186	132		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (82:77)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	✓	175	124		
Hispanic or Latino (28:28)	-	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (14:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1125:1083) Multiracial (0:0)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	187	132	•••••••••••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (152:160)	~	~	98%	<b>v</b>	144	127		
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (564:557)	✓	✓	100%	✓	181	130		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5	5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (603:579)			100%		188	130		
Male (648:623)	•••••••••	•••••	100%		184	131	••••••••••••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••	•••••		•••••		••••••	••••••••••••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

AYP		Participat	ion	Test Perfo	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target		
~	Qualified	~	98%	~	185	100			
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
•••••••	–	_	-	-	-	-	-		
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
••••••	Qualified	<	98%	<	186	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
•••••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Qualified	~	100%	~	153	100			
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Qualified	~	99%	~	177	100			
🖌 1 o	f 1								
			98%		182	100			
•••••••		••••••	98%		189	100			
•••••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Status	Status Safe Harbor Qualification Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified 	Status Safe Harbor Met   Status Qualification Met   Qualified ✓         Qualified ✓     Qualified ✓     Qualified ✓	Status Safe Harbor Qualification Met Criterion Percentage Tested   Image: Criterion 98% 98%   Image: Criterion 98% 98%   Image: Criterion 98% 98%   Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion   Image: Criterion 98% Image: Criterion   Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion   Image: Criterio Image: Criterio <td>Safe Harbor Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion   V Qualified V 98% V         Qualified V 98% V V   Qualified V 98% V V   Qualified V 98% V V   Qualified V 98% V V   Qualified V 99% V V   V 1 of 1   98%</td> <td>Safe Harbor Met Percentage   Status Qualification Met Performance   Image: Criterion Tested Met Performance   Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion   Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion</td> <td>Status Qualification Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index State Standard   Image: Criterion Qualified Image: Criterion Performance Criterion State Standard   Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Index Image: Criterion Index Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion</br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></td>	Safe Harbor Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion   V Qualified V 98% V         Qualified V 98% V V   Qualified V 98% V V   Qualified V 98% V V   Qualified V 98% V V   Qualified V 99% V V   V 1 of 1   98%	Safe Harbor Met Percentage   Status Qualification Met Performance   Image: Criterion Tested Met Performance   Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion   Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion	Status Qualification Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index State Standard   Image: Criterion Qualified Image: Criterion Performance Criterion State Standard   Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Index Image: Criterion Index Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion 		

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

### Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (192:186)	~	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	99%	<b>V</b>	181	169		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (9:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	-	_	-	–	-	-	••••••••••••••••	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (177:172)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	180	169	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••		••••			••••••••	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (14:18)	-	_	-	-	-	-		_
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (32:34)	~	-	-	~	165	160		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 3 of 3	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (97:93)			99%		184	167		
Male (95:93)			100%		178	167		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (192:186)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	189	165		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (9:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	–	-	-	–	-	-	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (177:172)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	188	165	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	••••••••	••••		••••	•••••	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (14:18)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (32:34)	~	-	-	~	171	156		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (97:93)			100%		189	163		
Male (95:93)			100%		188	163		
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 11-02-00-01-0000

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduatio	on	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009–10	2010-11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (244)	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	77%	80%	77%	78%
Ethnicity			·			
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		-	_	-		
Black or African American (9)	•••••••	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino (2)		_	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		_	-	-		
White (231)	••••••••••••••••••••••	X	76%	80%	77%	77%
Multiracial (0)	••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (51)		X	41%	80%	56%	49%
Limited English Proficient (2)	•••••••	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (52)	•••••••••••••••••••••••	<	69%	80%	67%	71%
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (130)			80%	80%		
Male (114)			73%	80%		
Migrant (0)	••••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••		

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

— Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

#### **Aspirational Goal**

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **78%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

### 2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010-11 accountability status.

#### In Good Standing

6 schools identified 100% of total

ALTON B PARKER SCHOOL CORTLAND JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL F E SMITH SCHOOL FRANKLYN S BARRY SCHOOL RANDALL SCHOOL VIRGIL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

### Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	52%		211
Grade 4	64%		198
Grade 5	62%		199
Grade 6	60%		199
Grade 7	51%		217
Grade 8	56%		215
Mathematics			
Grade 3	44%		209
Grade 4	45%		198
Grade 5	72%		199
Grade 6	64%		200
Grade 7	63%		218
Grade 8	64%		214
Science			
Grade 4	86%		194
Grade 8	85%		210
	-	f students that above Level 3	2006 Total Cohort

Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	73%		224
Mathematics	81%		224

District ID 11-02-00-01-0000

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

#### This District's N/RC Category:

#### High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2–4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 667	*Range:	643-780	662-780	694-780			
2009 Mean Score: 665	100%	90% 97%	71%		86%	76%	
2009-10 2008-09				15% 7%	н.		17% 11%
Number of Tested Students:		189 198	109 146	32 14			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	211	90%	52%	15%	205	97%	71%	7%	
Female	93	90%	53%	13%	104	97%	76%	8%	
Male	118	89%	51%	17%	101	96%	66%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	11	91%	27%	0%	17	88%	47%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	7	100%	71%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White	194	90%	53%	15%	176	97%	73%	7%	
Multiracial				•••••					
Small Group Totals	6	83%	67%	33%	5	100%	100%	40%	
General-Education Students	196	93%	55%	16%	180	99%	78%	7%	
Students with Disabilities	15	47%	7%	0%	25	76%	24%	4%	
English Proficient	211	90%	52%	15%	204	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••	1	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	104	84%	38%	9%	116	96%	60%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	107	95%	64%	21%	89	98%	85%	13%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	211	90%	52%	15%	205	97%	71%	7%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) <sup>†</sup> : Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ing at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 686	*Range:	661-770	684-770	707-770			
2009 Mean Score: 683 2009–10 2008–09	100%	90%	89% 44%	15% 16%	91% 99%	93% 59%	24% 27%
Number of Tested Students:		189 203	92 182	32 33			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 <b>School Y</b> ear				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	209	90%	44%	15%	205	99%	89%	16%	
Female	93	88%	34%	14%	102	99%	88%	19%	
Male	116	92%	52%	16%	103	99%	89%	14%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_	2	-	-	_	
Black or African American	11	91%	9%	9%	18	100%	78%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	–	6	100%	83%	17%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
White	192	90%	46%	16%	175	99%	90%	17%	
Multiracial	• •••••		•••••	••••••			••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	33%	17%	6	100%	100%	33%	
General-Education Students	194	92%	46%	16%	180	99%	92%	18%	
Students with Disabilities	15	67%	13%	0%	25	96%	68%	4%	
English Proficient	209	90%	44%	15%	204	-	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	• •••••			•••••	1	–	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	102	86%	35%	10%	114	100%	89%	9%	
Not Disadvantaged	107	94%	52%	21%	91	98%	89%	25%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	209	90%	44%	15%	205	99%	89%	16%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	Tested	2–4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0			

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 675	*Range:	637-775	668-775	720-775			
2009 Mean Score: 667	100%	93% 96%	77%		92% 96%	77%	
<ul><li>2009-10</li><li>2008-09</li></ul>				7% 4%			6% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		185 188	126 150	14 8			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	ır	
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	198	93%	64%	7%	195	96%	77%	4%
Female	101	96%	63%	8%	91	98%	76%	5%
Male	97	91%	64%	6%	104	95%	78%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	17	82%	41%	0%	11	91%	55%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	83%	33%	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	40%	2	-	-	–
White	170	94%	64%	6%	179	97%	78%	4%
Multiracial	•••••							
Small Group Totals					5	100%	100%	0%
General-Education Students	177	96%	68%	8%	176	98%	82%	5%
Students with Disabilities	21	71%	24%	0%	19	79%	26%	0%
English Proficient	198	93%	64%	7%	195	96%	77%	4%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	107	92%	54%	2%	88	97%	65%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	91	96%	75%	13%	107	96%	87%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	198	93%	64%	7%	195	96%	77%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	2009–10 School Year				2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	əl(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 672	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800			
2009 Mean Score: 681 2009–10 2008–09	100%	92% 98%	45%	7%	95% 96%	87% 64%	26%
Number of Tested Students:		183 194	90 174	14 35			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	198	92%	45%	7%	197	98%	88%	18%	
Female	101	93%	38%	4%	91	97%	84%	12%	
Male	97	92%	54%	10%	106	100%	92%	23%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	17	76%	29%	0%	11	82%	64%	9%	
Hispanic or Latino	6	83%	83%	0%	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 5	100%	80%	40%	2	-	-	-	
White	170	94%	45%	7%	181	99%	90%	18%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Small Group Totals					5	100%	100%	20%	
General-Education Students	177	95%	50%	8%	179	99%	91%	19%	
Students with Disabilities	21	67%	10%	0%	18	94%	61%	6%	
English Proficient	198	92%	45%	7%	197	98%	88%	18%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	107	91%	32%	2%	88	98%	83%	14%	
Not Disadvantaged	91	95%	62%	13%	109	99%	93%	21%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	198	92%	45%	7%	197	98%	88%	18%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	_	0			

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 79	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100			
2009 Mean Score: 82 2009–10 2008–09	100%	98% 98%	86% 92%	43%	97% 97%	88% 88%	55% 59%
Number of Tested Students:		191 193	166 181	84 104			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	194	98%	86%	43%	197	98%	92%	53%	
Female	99	99%	85%	36%	90	98%	88%	49%	
Male	95	98%	86%	51%	107	98%	95%	56%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	17	94%	76%	41%	10	90%	90%	30%	
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	83%	67%	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 5	100%	100%	80%	2	-	-	-	
White	166	99%	86%	42%	182	98%	92%	53%	
Multiracial	••••••			••••••					
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	5	100%	100%	80%	
General-Education Students	173	99%	88%	47%	179	99%	94%	56%	
Students with Disabilities	21	90%	67%	10%	18	89%	72%	17%	
English Proficient	194	98%	86%	43%	197	98%	92%	53%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Economically Disadvantaged	106	98%	77%	29%	87	99%	91%	44%	
Not Disadvantaged	88	99%	95%	60%	110	97%	93%	60%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	194	98%	86%	43%	197	98%	92%	53%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2009-10 \$	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	_	0			

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 677	*Range:	647-795	666-795	700-795			
2009 Mean Score: 677	100%	94% 99%	87% 62%		88%	82%	
2009-10						_	
2008-09				15% 16%			13% 14%
Number of Tested Students:		188 202	123 177	29 32			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	199	94%	62%	15%	204	99%	87%	16%	
Female	88	95%	61%	17%	93	100%	90%	15%	
Male	111	94%	62%	13%	111	98%	84%	16%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	10	-	-	–	16	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 2	-	-	-					
White	185	95%	63%	15%	184	99%	87%	16%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	14	93%	50%	7%	20	100%	85%	10%	
General-Education Students	180	98%	67%	16%	169	100%	95%	19%	
Students with Disabilities	19	58%	11%	0%	35	94%	46%	0%	
English Proficient	199	94%	62%	15%	204	99%	87%	16%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	90	91%	53%	12%	99	99%	79%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	109	97%	69%	17%	105	99%	94%	23%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	199	94%	62%	15%	204	99%	87%	16%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year		,	2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 684	*Range:	640-780	674-780	702-780			
2009 Mean Score: 686 2009-10 2008-09	100%	97% 98%	88% 72%	38% 17%	94% 98%	88%	36%
Number of Tested Students:		193 197	144 177	33 76			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	199	97%	72%	17%	201	98%	88%	38%	
Female	88	98%	68%	15%	92	99%	91%	39%	
Male	111	96%	76%	18%	109	97%	85%	37%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	10	-	-	–	16	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 2	-	-	-			•••••		
White	185	97%	73%	18%	181	98%	88%	38%	
Multiracial				•••••					
Small Group Totals	14	100%	64%	0%	20	100%	90%	35%	
General-Education Students	179	99%	77%	18%	169	100%	93%	43%	
Students with Disabilities	20	80%	30%	0%	32	88%	59%	9%	
English Proficient	199	97%	72%	17%	201	98%	88%	38%	
Limited English Proficient				•••••			•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	90	94%	66%	11%	93	96%	83%	24%	
Not Disadvantaged	109	99%	78%	21%	108	100%	93%	50%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	199	97%	72%	17%	201	98%	88%	38%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 665	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785			
2009 Mean Score: 666	100%	91% 100%	88%		89%	81%	
2009-10 2008-09				5% 4%		н.	7% 9%
Number of Tested Students:		181 200	120 176	9 9			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	199	<b>91</b> %	60%	5%	201	100%	88%	4%	
Female	92	95%	60%	4%	95	100%	92%	6%	
Male	107	88%	61%	5%	106	99%	84%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	12	100%	75%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	4	-		–	8	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander	••••			2	-	–	-	
White	179	91%	60%	5%	177	99%	88%	5%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••						
Small Group Totals	20	90%	65%	0%	12	100%	92%	8%	
General-Education Students	170	98%	68%	5%	175	100%	95%	5%	
Students with Disabilities	29	52%	14%	0%	26	96%	38%	0%	
English Proficient	199	91%	60%	5%	201	100%	88%	4%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••		••••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	94	84%	48%	0%	91	99%	81%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	105	97%	71%	9%	110	100%	93%	6%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	199	91%	60%	5%	201	100%		4%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) <sup>†</sup> : Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 677	*Range:	640-780	674-780	699-780			
2009 Mean Score: 680	100%	93% 97%	92%		92% 96%	83% 61%	2001
2008-09				24% 24%			27% 28%
Number of Tested Students:		185 193	127 184	47 48			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	200	93%	64%	24%	200	97%	92%	24%
Female	92	93%	64%	26%	93	98%	96%	22%
Male	108	92%	63%	21%	107	95%	89%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	16	-	-	–	12	92%	83%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	–	8	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isla	ander				2	-	-	-
White	180	92%	65%	23%	176	97%	92%	26%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	20	100%	50%	30%	12	100%	100%	17%
General-Education Students	171	98%	71%	27%	174	100%	98%	27%
Students with Disabilities	29	62%	17%	3%	26	73%	54%	4%
English Proficient	200	93%	64%	24%	199	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••				1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	95	88%	49%	14%	91	95%	90%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	105	96%	76%	32%	109	98%	94%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	200	93%	64%	24%	200	97%	92%	24%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	_

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 666	*Range:	642-790	664-790	698-790			
2009 Mean Score: 670	100%	90%	86%		90%	80%	
2009-10 2008-09				8% 8%			11% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		196 213	111 184	18 18			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	217	90%	51%	8%	214	100%	86%	8%
Female	97	95%	56%	10%	124	99%	89%	10%
Male	120	87%	48%	7%	90	100%	82%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	14	71%	21%	0%	12	100%	75%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	10	90%	70%	20%	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 4	-	–	-	1	-	-	-
White	188	91%	53%	8%	196	99%	86%	9%
Multiracial	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••				••••••
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	20%	6	100%	100%	0%
General-Education Students	189	98%	58%	9%	186	100%	92%	10%
Students with Disabilities	28	39%	7%	4%	28	96%	43%	0%
English Proficient	217	90%	51%	8%	212	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	••••••			•••••	2	–	-	–
Economically Disadvantaged	92	87%	41%	4%	71	100%	80%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	125	93%	58%	11%	143	99%	89%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	217	90%	51%	8%	214	100%	86%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	3	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 672	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800			
2009 Mean Score: 680	100%	93% 99%	92%	29%	92% 99%	87% 62%	29% 30%
2008-09				20%			29% 30%
Number of Tested Students:		202 212	138 198	43 63			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	218	93%	63%	20%	215	<b>99</b> %	92%	<b>29</b> %	
Female	97	95%	62%	16%	124	98%	90%	29%	
Male	121	91%	64%	22%	91	99%	95%	30%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	14	79%	36%	7%	11	82%	73%	27%	
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	60%	20%	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	189	93%	65%	20%	199	99%	93%	29%	
Multiracial	•••••			••••••					
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	40%	5	100%	100%	60%	
General-Education Students	190	98%	71%	22%	187	100%	98%	34%	
Students with Disabilities	28	57%	14%	7%	28	89%	54%	0%	
English Proficient	218	93%	63%	20%	213	-	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••			2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	93	89%	51%	12%	71	97%	86%	14%	
Not Disadvantaged	125	95%	73%	26%	144	99%	95%	37%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	218	93%	63%	20%	215	99%	92%	29%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	_	_

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 664	*Range:	627-790	658-790	699-790			
2009 Mean Score: 660	100%	95% 98%	69% 56%		91% 98%	69% 51%	
2009-10 2008-09				10% 4%			8% 5%
Number of Tested Students:		204 201	120 141	21 9			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	215	95%	56%	10%	205	98%	69%	4%
Female	128	96%	59%	12%	107	98%	77%	5%
Male	87	93%	52%	7%	98	98%	60%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	-	-	-	16	100%	44%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	198	95%	58%	10%	180	98%	70%	4%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	17	88%	35%	6%	9	100%	89%	22%
General-Education Students	187	99%	63%	11%	169	99%	76%	5%
Students with Disabilities	28	68%	11%	4%	36	92%	36%	0%
English Proficient	214	-	-	-	205	98%	69%	4%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	74	95%	41%	4%	93	97%	57%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	141	95%	64%	13%	112	99%	79%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	215	95%	56%	10%	205	98%	69%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### **This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics**

		This District			NY State Pu	NY State Public				
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2010 Mean Score: 679	*Range:	639-775	673-775	702-775						
2009 Mean Score: 680	100%	95% 99%	93%		91% 96%	80% 55%				
2009-10 2008-09				12% 17%		н.	18% 19%			
Number of Tested Students:		204 199	137 187	26 34						

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	214	95%	64%	12%	202	99%	93%	17%	
Female	126	97%	63%	12%	104	99%	94%	15%	
Male	88	93%	66%	13%	98	98%	91%	18%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	13	-	-	-	16	100%	81%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	7	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	197	96%	65%	12%	177	98%	93%	18%	
Multiracial				••••••				•••••	
Small Group Totals	17	88%	47%	18%	9	100%	100%	22%	
General-Education Students	187	99%	71%	14%	166	99%	97%	19%	
Students with Disabilities	27	70%	15%	0%	36	94%	72%	6%	
English Proficient	213	-	_	_	202	99%	93%	17%	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged	74	95%	46%	3%	87	99%	91%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	140	96%	74%	17%	115	98%	94%	25%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	214	95%	64%	12%	202	99%	93%	17%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	_	3	-	-	_

### **This District's Results in Grade 8 Science**

	This District			NY State Pu	ıblic			
	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
			·					
<ul><li>■ 2009-10</li><li>■ 2008-09</li></ul>	100% 98% 99%	85% 87%	45% 37%	94% 94%	74% 71%	<sup>33%</sup> 26%		
Number of Tested Students:	206 201	179 177	94 75					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	210	98%	85%	45%	204	<b>99</b> %	87%	37%	
Female	124	98%	81%	43%	107	99%	82%	34%	
Male	86	98%	91%	48%	97	98%	92%	40%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	12	-	-	-	16	100%	75%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	194	98%	86%	45%	178	98%	88%	40%	
Multiracial	•••••			••••••				•••••	
Small Group Totals	16	100%	75%	38%	10	100%	90%	30%	
General-Education Students	182	99%	92%	50%	169	99%	91%	41%	
Students with Disabilities	28	89%	39%	11%	35	94%	69%	14%	
English Proficient	209	-	_	_	204	99%	87%	37%	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged	72	100%	78%	26%	89	99%	78%	26%	
Not Disadvantaged	138	97%	89%	54%	115	98%	94%	45%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	210	98%	85%	45%	204	99%	87%	37%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	3	_	_	_	3	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	Э	_		_	э		_		
Regents Science	0				0				

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Public				
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	5):	Percentage se	coring at level	(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100%	77% 80%	73% 75%	25%	82% 81%	79% 77%	32% 32%		

Results by	2006 <b>Coho</b> i	t		2005 <b>Coho</b> i	2005 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	224	77%	73%	25%	244	80%	75%	35%	
Female	115	78%	75%	31%	130	82%	77%	43%	
Male	109	76%	72%	18%	114	78%	74%	26%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	9	89%	78%	11%	9	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	3	–	_	–	2	–	-	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	208	76%	73%	25%	231	80%	75%	35%	
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	29%	13	85%	85%	31%	
General-Education Students	193	86%	82%	29%	200	88%	85%	43%	
Students with Disabilities	31	23%	19%	0%	44	43%	32%	2%	
English Proficient	224	77%	73%	25%	242	_	_	_	
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	2	–	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	44	61%	59%	0%	52	79%	71%	21%	
Not Disadvantaged	180	81%	77%	31%	192	80%	77%	39%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	224	77%	73%	25%	244	80%	75%	35%	

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 <b>Coho</b> i	rt		2005 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	224	84%	81%	37%	244	85%	80%	34%
Female	115	86%	83%	38%	130	86%	82%	40%
Male	109	82%	80%	35%	114	84%	78%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_	1	-	_	_
Black or African American	9	89%	89%	11%	9	-	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	3	-		–	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	208	84%	81%	38%	231	85%	81%	35%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •••••	•••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	43%	13	85%	69%	15%
General-Education Students	193	93%	91%	42%	200	92%	88%	41%
Students with Disabilities	31	26%	19%	0%	44	57%	45%	2%
English Proficient	224	84%	81%	37%	242	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		2	-	–	-
Economically Disadvantaged	44	70%	64%	11%	52	83%	77%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	180	87%	86%	43%	192	86%	81%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	224	84%	81%	37%	244	85%	80%	34%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.