

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 School WATERFRONT SCHOOL District BUFFALO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 14-06-00-01-0119 Principal LINDA BRANCATELLA Telephone (716) 816-3900 Grades PK-8

# This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state

the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

# **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Pre-K	35	36	36
Kindergarten	85	73	74
Grade 1	91	94	73
Grade 2	97	98	104
Grade 3	106	107	100
Grade 4	101	90	109
Grade 5	82	109	111
Grade 6	74	76	111
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	101	85	77
Grade 8	78	98	80
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	815	830	839

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
Common Branch	25	25	25
Grade 8			
English		24	24
Mathematics	24		
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

# **Demographic Factors**

	200	7-08	200	2008–09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	637	78%	678	82%	690	82%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	55	7%	55	7%	59	7%	
Student Stability*		96%		92%		90%	
Limited English Proficient	62	8%	79	10%	132	16%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	11	1%	11	1%	11	1%	
Black or African American	480	59%	480	58%	462	55%	
Hispanic or Latino	130	16%	148	18%	163	19%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	40	5%	51	6%	74	9%	
White	154	19%	139	17%	123	15%	
Multiracial	0	0%	1	0%	6	1%	

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	200	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		92%		91%	
Student Suspensions	152	18%	194	24%	344	41%	

# Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

# **Teacher Qualifications**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Number of Teachers	56	54	59
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	11%	4%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	21%	19%	20%
Total Number of Core Classes	117	94	105
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School	3%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	4%	2%	3%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	146	106	128
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	4%	0%	1%

## **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	0%	20%	10%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	13%	11%	11%

### **Staff Counts**

2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
2	1	4
N/A	N/A	N/A
1	2	2
1	1	1
	2	2 1

\* Not available at the school level.

# Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### **3 Third Indicator**

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### 2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### **Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics**

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the SIRS Manual at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/sirs/.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

#### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

# Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

*Science:* The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

*Graduation Rate*: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: 2008–09 PI + (200 – the 2008–09 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count. School WATERFRONT SCHOOL

School ID 14-06-00-01-0119

### Understanding Your School Accountability Status

New York State participates in the Differentiated Accountability pilot program, as approved by the United States Department of Education in January 2009. Under this program, each public school in the State is assigned an accountability "phase" (Good Standing, Improvement, Corrective Action, or Restructuring) and, for schools not in Good Standing, a "category" (Basic, Focused, or Comprehensive) for each measure for which the school is accountable. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. Generally, the school's overall accountability status is its most advanced accountability phase and its highest category within that phase. A school in any year of the phase (that is not Good Standing) that makes AYP for the measure remains in the same phase/category the following year. An identified school that makes AYP in the identified measure for two consecutive years returns to Good Standing. Once a school is identified with a category within a phase, it cannot move to a less intensive category in the following school year within that phase.

Each school district with one or more Title I schools and each Title I charter school designated as Improvement (year 1 and year 2), Corrective Action, or Restructuring must make Supplemental Educational Services available for eligible students in the identified Title I school(s). A school district with one or more schools designated as Improvement (year 2), Corrective Action, or Restructuring must also provide Public School Choice to eligible students in identified Title I school(s). For more information on the Differentiated Accountability program and a list of interventions for schools not in Good Standing,

see http://www.p12.nysed.gov/nyc/APA/Differentiated\_Accountability/DA\_home.html.

# **Understanding Your School Accountability Status (continued)**

Phase
-------

### Phase/Category

Good Standing A school that has not been designated as Improvement, Corrective Action, or Restructuring.

**Improvement (year 1)** A school that failed to make AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure; or a school that was designated as Improvement (year 1) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure and is in Good Standing.

**Improvement (year 2)** A school that was designated as a school in Improvement (year 1) in the current school year and failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Improvement (year 2) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure.

### Improvement/Basic:

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for one accountability group, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in only science or graduation rate. **Improvement/Focused:** 

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for more than one accountability group, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school whose worst status is Improvement/Basic for at least two measures.

#### Improvement/Comprehensive:

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for every accountability group for which there are at least two, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math AND in science or graduation rate.

#### **Corrective Action or Restructuring/Focused:**

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for one or more accountability groups, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in science or graduation rate but made AYP in ELA and math.

(year 1) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure.
Corrective Action (year 2) A school that was designated as a school in Corrective Action (year 1) in the current school year that failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Corrective Action

(year 2) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure.

Corrective Action (year 1) A school that was designated as a

school in Improvement (year 2) in the current school year and failed

to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Corrective Action

**Restructuring (year 1)** A school that was designated as a school in Corrective Action (year 2) in the current school year and failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Restructuring (year 1) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure. Restructuring (year 2) A school that was designated as a school in Restructuring (year 1) in the current school year that failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Restructuring (year 2) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure. Restructuring (Advanced) A school that was designated as a school in Restructuring (year 2) in the current school year that failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Restructuring (Advanced) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure.

**Corrective Action or Restructuring/Comprehensive:** A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for every accountability group except the All Students group for which there are at least two, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math AND in science or graduation rate.

**SURR:** A school that is identified for registration review (SURR) during a school year in which it is designated as a school in Improvement or Corrective Action shall, in the next school year, be designated as **Restructuring (year 1)/Comprehensive.** 

Pending — A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability

School WATERFRONT SCHOOL School ID 14-06-00-01-0119

YES

### Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	Restructuring (year 1) Comprehensive						
Status (2010–11)	Elementa	ry/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA	Restructuring (year 1) Comprehensive	ELA				
	Math Improvement (year 1) Focused		Math				
	Science	In Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Years th	e School Received Title I F	art A Funding				
	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11				

YES

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

YES

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	X	~	<b>v</b>					
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-						
Black or African American	X	X	••••		••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	X	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✔зн	К≳н	••••		•••••••••••••••••			
White	~	<b>~</b>	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Multiracial			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓sн	X						
Limited English Proficient	X	X	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	X	<b>v</b>	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 3 of 8	🗙 5 of 8	🖌 1 of 1					

#### **AYP Status**



<sup>H</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did not make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)		Restructuring (year 1) Comprehensive
Accountability Measures	3 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	×	Did not make AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	P Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (606:537)	X	~	99%	X	135	150	146	97
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (332:318)	X	<	99%	X	131	149	140	89
Hispanic or Latino (110:100)	X	<	100%	X	137	145	145	105
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (70:34)	✓sн	~	97%	✔SH	129	138	20	107
White (88:79)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	154	144		•••••
Multiracial (0:0)			••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (107:111)	<b>V</b> SH	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	99%	<b>V</b> SH	117	145	113	80
Limited English Proficient (108:69)	X	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	98%	X	99	143	106	69
Economically Disadvantaged (580:514)	X	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	X	134	150	144	97
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 3 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (303:276)			100%		135	148		
Male (303:261)			99%		136	148		
Migrant (0:0)								

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)		Improvement (year 1) Focused
Accountability Measures	5 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (606:550)	<b>v</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	135	130		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (332:314)	X	<	98%	X	127	129	129	92
Hispanic or Latino (110:97)	<	<	98%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	149	125	••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (70:52)	К≳н	~	100%	✓SH	112	122	20	79
White (88:81)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	165	124	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (107:109)	X	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	97%	X	112	125	113	75
Limited English Proficient (108:89)	X	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	X	88	124	94	60
Economically Disadvantaged (580:527)	<	<	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	135	130	••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 5 of 8	3				·		
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (303:277)			98%		132	128		
Male (303:273)			99%		138	128		
Migrant (0:0)								

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science	Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)		In Good Standing
Made AYP		1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2009–10	5 Target 2010–11
Accountability Groups			_						
All Students (197:180)	~	Qualified	~	100%	<b>v</b>	133	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	_	-	_	-	-		-
Black or African American (101:96)		Qualified	~	100%	~	126	100		
Hispanic or Latino (40:37)		Qualified	~	100%	~	165	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (27:20)		–	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (27:25)		–	_	-	–	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Multiracial (0:0)	• •••••	••••••	•••••	••••		••••	••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (31:31)		Qualified	-	-	<b>V</b>	113	100		
Limited English Proficient (40:33)		Qualified	<	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	70	100	62	71
Economically Disadvantaged (192:175)		Qualified	<	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	133	100		
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (91:80)				100%		135	100		
Male (106:100)	• •••••		•••••	100%		132	100	•••••	
Migrant (0:0)	• •••••	••••••	•••••	•••	••••••		•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

### Summary of 2009–10 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		entage of st ed at or abc	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	C	)%	50%	100%
Grade 3	13%			96
Grade 4	20%			107
Grade 5	18%			107
Grade 6	23%			105
Grade 7	22%			77
Grade 8	20%			71
Mathematics				
Grade 3	11%			103
Grade 4	16%			112
Grade 5	25%			112
Grade 6	35%			106
Grade 7	10%			82
Grade 8	13%			83
Science				
Grade 4	54%			113
Grade 8	42%			84

District BUFFALO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### ${\bf Level 4: Meeting \, Learning \, Standards \, with \, Distinction.}$

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of the school district and public schools Statewide.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Scho	ool		School I	District		NY State	e Public		
		Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Percentage	e scoring at lev	el(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2–4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4 4		2-4	3–4 4		
2010 Mean Score: 644	*Range	e:643-780	662-78	0 694-780							
2009 Mean Score: 640	100%										
		85%			88%			86% 95%	76%		
2009-10		100/			67%	400/			55%		
2008-09		49%	37%	6		49%					
			13%	1% 1%		_	6% <u>2%</u>		17% 11%		
Number of Tested Students:		47 89	12 39	1 1	1663 2140	695 1188 3	154 59				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	96	<b>49</b> %	13%	1%	105	85%	37%	1%	
Female	52	48%	12%	0%	60	83%	40%	2%	
Male	44	50%	14%	2%	45	87%	33%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	43	42%	5%	0%	61	80%	36%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	19	53%	16%	0%	25	92%	36%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	
White	18	61%	22%	0%	10	90%	40%	0%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	16	50%	19%	6%	9	89%	44%	11%	
General-Education Students	78	49%	13%	1%	87	85%	39%	1%	
Students with Disabilities	18	50%	11%	0%	18	83%	28%	0%	
English Proficient	79	56%	14%	1%	95	88%	39%	1%	
Limited English Proficient	17	18%	6%	0%	10	50%	20%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	90	49%	12%	1%	100	84%	37%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	6	50%	17%	0%	5	100%	40%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	96	49%	13%	1%	105	85%	37%	1%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year		,	2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

## This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Scho	ool		School I	District		NY State	e Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	e scoring at leve	l(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2–4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4 4		2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 651	*Range	:661-770	684-770	) 707-770							
2009 Mean Score: 651	100%	89%	64%		96% 71%	76%	% 6%	91% 99%	93% 59%	24% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:		41 95	11 69	2% 0% 2 0	17842377		55 151				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	103	40%	11%	2%	107	89%	64%	0%
Female	54	48%	11%	2%	60	85%	65%	0%
Male	49	31%	10%	2%	47	94%	64%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	44	36%	5%	0%	62	84%	55%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	19	37%	21%	5%	24	96%	75%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 19	37%	11%	5%	8	-	-	-
White	18	-	–	–	11	91%	73%	0%
Multiracial	••••••		••••••		••••••		••••••	
Small Group Totals	21	52%	14%	0%	10	100%	90%	0%
General-Education Students	85	42%	12%	2%	88	89%	67%	0%
Students with Disabilities	18	28%	6%	0%	19	89%	53%	0%
English Proficient	79	46%	13%	3%	95	92%	68%	0%
Limited English Proficient	24	21%	4%	0%	12	67%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	39%	11%	2%	102	88%	64%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	6	50%	0%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	103	40%	11%	2%	107	89%	64%	0%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009–10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2 - 43 - 44 2 - 43 - 44 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent

# This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This Scho	ool	School District	NY State Public
	Percentage	e scoring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):
	2-4	3–4 4	2-4 3-4 4	2-4 3-4 4
2010 Mean Score: 650	*Range: 637–775	668-775 720-775		
	73% 82%		90% 78%	92% 96%
2009–10 2008–09		42% 20% 2% 2%	28% 2% 3%	57% 6% 7%
Number of Tested Students:	78 74	21 38 2 2	18892185 677 1316 51 61	

Results by	2009-10 \$	School Yea	r		2008-09 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	107	73%	20%	2%	90	<b>82</b> %	<b>42</b> %	2%
Female	58	72%	16%	3%	46	89%	43%	2%
Male	49	73%	24%	0%	44	75%	41%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	63	68%	14%	0%	54	78%	37%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	26	77%	23%	0%	14	93%	43%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	ler 8	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
White	9	100%	11%	0%	13	85%	62%	0%
Multiracial	•••••••••			••••••	•••••		•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	9	67%	56%	22%	9	89%	44%	11%
General-Education Students	86	70%	21%	2%	68	91%	53%	3%
Students with Disabilities	21	86%	14%	0%	22	55%	9%	0%
English Proficient	93	78%	19%	2%	81	86%	46%	2%
Limited English Proficient	14	36%	21%	0%	9	44%	11%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	105	_	_	-	82	80%	41%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-	8	100%	50%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	107	73%	20%	2%	90	82%	42%	2%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Scho	ol		School I	District		NY State	e Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 647	*Range	e:636-800	676-800	707-800							
2009 Mean Score: 646 2009–10 2008–09	100%	71% 75%	60%		81% 86%	64%		95% 96%	87%	26% 35%	
Number of Tested Students:		80 69	16% 18 55	3% 4% 3 4	1995 2119	772 1589	7% 11% 172 270				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	112	71%	16%	3%	92	75%	60%	4%
Female	60	72%	12%	3%	47	81%	66%	4%
Male	52	71%	21%	2%	45	69%	53%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	61	75%	15%	0%	55	69%	47%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	26	73%	15%	4%	14	79%	71%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 14	43%	29%	14%	9	-	-	-
White	10	-	-	-	12	100%	100%	8%
Multiracial	••••••		••••••	•••••		••••		•••••
Small Group Totals	11	82%	9%	0%	11	73%	64%	0%
General-Education Students	92	72%	15%	3%	71	83%	70%	6%
Students with Disabilities	20	70%	20%	0%	21	48%	24%	0%
English Proficient	91	81%	20%	3%	79	84%	67%	5%
Limited English Proficient	21	29%	0%	0%	13	23%	15%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	110	-	_	-	84	73%	58%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	2	-	–	–	8	100%	75%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	112	71%	16%	3%	92	75%	60%	4%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009–10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2 - 43 - 44 2 - 43 - 44 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Scho	ool		School [	District		NY Stat	e Public		
		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	2–4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 63	Range	: 45-100	65-100	85-100							
2009 Mean Score: 68 2009–10 2008–09	100%	81% 78%	68% 54%	30% 16%	88% 90%	62%	20%	97% 97%	88% 88%	55% <sup>59%</sup>	
Number of Tested Students:		92 73	61 63	18 28	2158 2215	1526 1720	499 702				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	l Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	113	81%	54%	16%	93	78%	68%	30%
Female	60	80%	60%	13%	48	83%	71%	31%
Male	53	83%	47%	19%	45	73%	64%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_	2	-	_	_
Black or African American	62	81%	47%	11%	57	72%	60%	23%
Hispanic or Latino	26	92%	69%	19%	14	93%	71%	29%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 14	57%	43%	29%	9		-	
White	10	-	-	–	11	100%	100%	55%
Multiracial	••••••		••••••	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	11	91%	73%	18%	11	73%	73%	45%
General-Education Students	93	81%	57%	17%	72	88%	75%	39%
Students with Disabilities	20	85%	40%	10%	21	48%	43%	0%
English Proficient	92	89%	61%	20%	79	85%	73%	35%
imited English Proficient	21	48%	24%	0%	14	43%	36%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	111	-	-	-	85	76%	66%	29%
Not Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-	8	100%	88%	38%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	113	81%	54%	16%	93	78%	68%	30%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Scl	lool		School I	District	NY State	e Public
	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			e scoring at level(s):	Percentage	e scoring at level(s):
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4 4	2-4	3–4 4
2010 Mean Score: 653	*Range: 647-79	5 666-795	700-795				
2009 Mean Score: 647	100%			97%		99% 88%	82%
2009-10	64%	100/		70%	57%		52%
2008-09		49% 18%	3% 2%		26%	4%	13% 14%
Number of Tested Students:	68 105	19 54	32	1708 2237	636 1298 91	96	

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	107	64%	18%	3%	111	95%	<b>49</b> %	2%
Female	51	76%	20%	2%	61	98%	52%	3%
Male	56	52%	16%	4%	50	90%	44%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	68	57%	13%	3%	68	93%	43%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	18	72%	11%	0%	19	–	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	–	-	3	-	-	-
White	12	75%	42%	0%	20	100%	60%	5%
Multiracial	•••••			•••••			•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	9	78%	33%	11%	23	96%	57%	4%
General-Education Students	82	70%	21%	4%	87	99%	61%	2%
Students with Disabilities	25	44%	8%	0%	24	79%	4%	0%
English Proficient	91	67%	19%	3%	106	95%	49%	2%
Limited English Proficient	16	44%	13%	0%	5	80%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	105	-	_	-	98	94%	47%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2	-	–	-	13	100%	62%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	107	64%	18%	3%	111	95%	49%	2%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009-10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2 - 44 3 - 44 3 - 42 - 4New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language 5 N/A N/A N/A 1 N/A N/A N/A Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)<sup>†</sup>: Grade 5 Total Total Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5 t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Scho	ool		School I	District		NY State Public			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2–4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 649	*Range	e:640-780	674-780	702-780							
2009 Mean Score: 645	100%	86%	47% 25%	4% 6%	78%	62% 32%	<u>6%</u> 11%	94% 98%	88%	36% 24%	
Number of Tested Students:		74 96	28 52	5 7	1922 2102	779 1456	143 268				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	112	66%	25%	4%	111	86%	47%	6%
Female	52	71%	31%	6%	62	87%	39%	2%
Male	60	62%	20%	3%	49	86%	57%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	68	59%	22%	4%	69	80%	45%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	18	72%	22%	0%	19	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	62%	23%	8%	3	-	–	-
White	12	-	–	–	19	100%	53%	5%
Multiracial	•••••		••••••					
Small Group Totals	13	100%	46%	8%	23	96%	48%	17%
General-Education Students	87	71%	31%	6%	89	91%	53%	8%
Students with Disabilities	25	48%	4%	0%	22	68%	23%	0%
English Proficient	90	73%	28%	6%	105	88%	49%	6%
Limited English Proficient	22	36%	14%	0%	6	67%	17%	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	110	-	_	-	98	85%	45%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	2	-	-	–	13	100%	62%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	112	66%	25%	4%	111	86%	47%	6%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009–10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2 - 43 - 44 2 - 43 - 44 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool	School District	NY State Public
	Percentag	e scoring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):
	2-4	3–4 4	2-4 3-4 4	2-4 3-4 4
2010 Mean Score: 650	*Range: 644–785	662-785 694-78	5	
2009 Mean Score: 653 2009–10 2008–09	100%	55% 23% 0% 1%	100% 76% 32% 2% 3%	89% 81% 54% 7% 9%
Number of Tested Students	: 70 77	24 42 0 1	17452243 730 1434 38 67	

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	105	67%	23%	0%	77	100%	55%	1%
Female	57	65%	23%	0%	42	100%	55%	2%
Male	48	69%	23%	0%	35	100%	54%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	67	60%	19%	0%	53	100%	53%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	19	74%	26%	0%	10	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	15	-	-	-	11	100%	73%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	19	84%	32%	0%	13	100%	46%	0%
General-Education Students	86	73%	27%	0%	65	100%	54%	2%
Students with Disabilities	19	37%	5%	0%	12	100%	58%	0%
English Proficient	97	67%	25%	0%	72	100%	58%	1%
Limited English Proficient	8	63%	0%	0%	5	100%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	67%	24%	0%	62	100%	53%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	8	63%	13%	0%	15	100%	60%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	105	67%	23%	0%	77	100%	55%	1%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009-10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2 - 44 3 - 44 3 - 42 - 4New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language 3 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)<sup>†</sup>: Grade 6 Total Total Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6

t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Scho	ool		School [	District		NY State Public				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2–4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 655	*Rang	e:640-780	674-780	699-780								
2009 Mean Score: 646	100%											
		82% 87%			89% 76%			92% 96%	83%			
2009-10						57%			61%			
2008-09			35% 43%			30%				270/ 200/		
				6% 3%		30%	8% 9%			27% 28%		
Number of Tested Students	:	87 66	37 33	62	1787 2055	711 1316	182 203					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	106	<b>82</b> %	35%	6%	76	87%	43%	3%
Female	57	84%	26%	5%	41	90%	46%	2%
Male	49	80%	45%	6%	35	83%	40%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	67	79%	27%	4%	52	87%	40%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	17	94%	47%	0%	10	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	67%	67%	17%	3	-	-	-
White	16	88%	44%	13%	11	91%	82%	18%
Multiracial	•••••			•••••				•••••
Small Group Totals					13	85%	23%	0%
General-Education Students	87	90%	39%	6%	64	89%	48%	3%
Students with Disabilities	19	47%	16%	5%	12	75%	17%	0%
English Proficient	95	85%	37%	6%	71	90%	46%	3%
Limited English Proficient	11	55%	18%	0%	5	40%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	98	82%	35%	5%	61	89%	44%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	8	88%	38%	13%	15	80%	40%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	106	82%	35%	6%	76	87%	43%	3%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009–10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2 - 43 - 44 2 - 43 - 44 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

			<b>.</b>	9
	This Sch	ool	School District	NY State Public
	Percentag	e scoring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):
	2-4	3–4 4	2-4 3-4 4	2-4 3-4 4
2010 Mean Score: 651	*Range: 642-790	664-790 698-790		
2009 Mean Score: 653	100% 99% 83%		98% 78%	90% 80%
2009–10 2008–09		66% 22%	26% 26% 4% 2%	50% 11% 7%
Number of Tested Students:	64 79	17 53 0 0	18792488 614 1560 90 51	

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	77	83%	22%	0%	80	99%	66%	0%	
Female	44	82%	25%	0%	32	100%	59%	0%	
Male	33	85%	18%	0%	48	98%	71%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	48	83%	15%	0%	45	100%	58%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	14	79%	29%	0%	13	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White	13	-	-	-	18	94%	83%	0%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	15	87%	40%	0%	17	100%	71%	0%	
General-Education Students	65	85%	26%	0%	69	99%	71%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	12	75%	0%	0%	11	100%	36%	0%	
English Proficient	71	87%	21%	0%	76	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	6	33%	33%	0%	4	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	72	82%	24%	0%	73	99%	63%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	5	100%	0%	0%	7	100%	100%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	77	83%	22%	0%	80	99%	66%	0%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. \* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009-10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2 - 43 - 44 3 - 44 2 - 4New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language 5 N/A N/A N/A 1 N/A N/A N/A Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)<sup>†</sup>: Grade 7 Total Total Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7

t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

## This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Scho	ool		School [	District		NY State Public			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	e scoring at level(	s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4 4		2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 637	*Rang	e:639-800	670-800	694-800							
2009 Mean Score: 653	100%	93%			95% 77%			92% 99%	87%		
2009-10 2008-09		60%	58%	2% 4%		32%	6 8%		62%	29% 30%	
Number of Tested Students	:	49 74	8 46	23	18812453	784 1640 193	1 206				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	82	60%	10%	2%	80	93%	58%	4%
Female	45	53%	13%	2%	32	94%	47%	0%
Male	37	68%	5%	3%	48	92%	65%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	48	60%	4%	2%	45	87%	44%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	14	71%	7%	0%	13	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	0%	0%	0%	3	-	-	-
White	15	67%	33%	7%	18	100%	83%	11%
Multiracial	•••••				•••••			
Small Group Totals					17	100%	65%	0%
General-Education Students	70	61%	10%	3%	69	94%	62%	4%
Students with Disabilities	12	50%	8%	0%	11	82%	27%	0%
English Proficient	71	66%	11%	3%	75	95%	60%	4%
Limited English Proficient	11	18%	0%	0%	5	60%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	58%	8%	3%	73	92%	55%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	5	80%	40%	0%	7	100%	86%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	82	60%	10%	2%	80	93%	58%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy or manuact statemet. \* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This Scho	ool		School I	District		NY State	Public			
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4 4		2-4	3–4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 640	*Range: 627–790	658-790	699-790								
2009 Mean Score: 645	100% 99% 80%			95% 80%			91% 98%				
2009-10 2008-09		40%			43%			69% 51%	8% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:	57 98	14 40	0% 0% 0 0	1944 2302	2%           651 1030         53	2% 37			8% 5%		

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	71	80%	20%	0%	99	99%	40%	0%	
Female	27	78%	15%	0%	54	100%	37%	0%	
Male	44	82%	23%	0%	45	98%	44%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	38	76%	21%	0%	63	100%	27%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	14	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
White	16	75%	13%	0%	19	100%	79%	0%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	17	94%	24%	0%	17	94%	47%	0%	
General-Education Students	60	83%	22%	0%	86	99%	45%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	11	64%	9%	0%	13	100%	8%	0%	
English Proficient	65	85%	22%	0%	94	100%	41%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	6	33%	0%	0%	5	80%	20%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	68	_	_	_	83	99%	39%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	3	-	-	–	16	100%	50%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	71	80%	20%	0%	99	99%	40%	0%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 \$	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			School I	District		NY State Public			
					Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4 4	ļ	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 644	*Rang	e:639-775	673-775	702-775							
2009 Mean Score: 642	100%							0.5%			
2009-10 2008-09		87% 71%	40%	1% 0%	91% 77%	58% 26%	5% 6%	91% 96%	80% 55%	18% 19%	
Number of Tested Students:		59 88	11 40	1 0	1935 2256	649 1445	118 141				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	83	71%	13%	1%	101	87%	40%	0%
Female	30	67%	10%	0%	54	81%	39%	0%
Male	53	74%	15%	2%	47	94%	40%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	38	74%	11%	0%	66	86%	33%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	14	86%	14%	7%	12	92%	42%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 13	-	-	–	5	40%	40%	0%
White	17	88%	24%	0%	18	100%	61%	0%
Multiracial	• •••••		••••••			•••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	14	29%	7%	0%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••
General-Education Students	73	73%	12%	1%	88	86%	42%	0%
Students with Disabilities	10	60%	20%	0%	13	92%	23%	0%
English Proficient	64	86%	16%	2%	92	91%	43%	0%
Limited English Proficient	19	21%	5%	0%	9	44%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	80	-	_	-	85	85%	36%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	3	-	-	–	16	100%	56%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant		71%	13%	1%	101	87%	40%	0%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,


data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009–10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2 - 43 - 44 2 - 43 - 44 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent

District BUFFALO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

School WATERFRONT SCHOOL School ID 14-06-00-01-0119

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	84	77%	42%	5%	100	80%	39%	4%
Female	31	81%	26%	0%	54	78%	31%	2%
Male	53	75%	51%	8%	46	83%	48%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_				
Black or African American	39	85%	36%	3%	64	78%	25%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	71%	7%	12	83%	33%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	-	-	-	5	40%	40%	20%
White	17	88%	53%	12%	19	95%	89%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	14	21%	14%	0%				
General-Education Students	73	77%	47%	4%	87	82%	43%	5%
Students with Disabilities	11	82%	9%	9%	13	69%	15%	0%
English Proficient	65	94%	52%	6%	90	87%	43%	4%
Limited English Proficient	19	21%	5%	0%	10	20%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	81	_	_	_	84	77%	35%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	3	-	-	-	16	94%	63%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	84	77%	42%	5%	100	80%	39%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
0				0			
				0			
0				0			
	Total	Total Number sco	Total Number scoring at level	Total         Number scoring at level(s):	Total         Number scoring at level(s):         Total	Total         Number scoring at level(s):         Total         Number score	Total         Number scoring at level(s):         Total         Number scoring at level