

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 District SALMON RIVER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 16-12-01-04-0000 Superintendent JANE COLLINS Telephone (518) 358-6610 Grades PK-12

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.



# Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 16-12-01-04-0000

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
Pre-K	88	76	76
Kindergarten	113	136	99
Grade 1	120	108	140
Grade 2	126	117	111
Grade 3	115	126	112
Grade 4	116	119	118
Grade 5	135	119	120
Grade 6	100	133	115
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	120	105	128
Grade 8	119	123	101
Grade 9	128	143	135
Grade 10	125	120	126
Grade 11	93	111	107
Grade 12	61	90	89
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	1471	1550	1501

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Common Branch	16	17	17
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics	16	13	14
Science	19	19	16
Social Studies	19	20	
Grade 10			
English	19	20	17
Mathematics	18	16	16
Science	19	18	10
Social Studies	17	18	16

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	7-08	200	2008–09		9–10
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	676	46%	692	45%	798	53%
Reduced-Price Lunch	236	16%	197	13%	167	11%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	964	66%	1025	66%	971	65%
Black or African American	4	0%	4	0%	3	0%
Hispanic or Latino	10	1%	9	1%	10	1%
Asian or Native	5	0%	5	0%	7	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	488	33%	257	17%	510	34%
Multiracial	0	0%	250	16%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2006	2006-07		-08	2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		92%		91%
Student Suspensions	108	7%	65	4%	133	9%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Number of Teachers	155	156	155
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	3%	4%	4%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	8%	6%	6%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	4%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	15%	15%	15%
Total Number of Core Classes	364	367	332
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	13%	12%	8%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	481	494	479
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	10%	11%	7%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	20%	11%	15%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	8%	12%

### **Staff Counts**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Other Professional Staff	27	22	27
Total Paraprofessionals*	86	75	73
Assistant Principals	1	0	0
Principals	3	4	4

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.


### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### **3** Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### 2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### **Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics**

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.** 

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

#### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

### Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

#### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

#### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.** 

#### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

*Science:* The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ 

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

#### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

#### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

#### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District ID 16-12-01-04-0000

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District SALMON RIVER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 16-12-01-04-0000

### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)	▲ Good Standing					
	ELA A Good Standing		Science	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rat	e 🔥 Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fundin	g		
	2008-	09	2009-10	2010-11		
	YES		YES	YES		

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	ıdary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<b>v</b>	X		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	~	<b>V</b>		<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<b>v</b>			
Black or African American	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-		_	-			
White	~	<b>V</b>	••••	~	<b>V</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial		••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	~		-	_			
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>~</b>		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 4 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	<b>X</b> 0 of 1		



### Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009–10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
<b>All Students</b> (692:671)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	158	151		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (477:466)	~	<b>~</b>	99%	~	159	150		
Black or African American (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	_	-	–	-	-	-	•••••••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (208:198) Multiracial (0:0)	<b>~</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	157	147	•••••••••••••••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (116:120)	x	~	98%	X	119	146	131	82
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (554:539)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	~	156	150		
Final AYP Determination	🗙 4 of 5	5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (330:321)			99%		168	149		
Male (362:350)	• •••••	••••••	99%		148	149	•••••••••••••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	• •••••	•••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 16-12-01-04-0000

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
<b>All Students</b> (692:670)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	186	131		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (477:465)	~	<b>~</b>	99%	~	186	130		
Black or African American (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	-	-	–	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (208:198) Multiracial (0:0)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	186	127	••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (116:119)	~	<b>v</b>	97%	<b>v</b>	151	125		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (554:538)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	~	186	130		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5	5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (330:320)			99%		191	129		
Male (362:350)	•••••••••	••••••	99%		181	129	••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)							····	···· •····

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 16-12-01-04-0000

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion	Test Perfo	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2009–10		
Accountability Groups										
All Students (215:203)	~	Qualified	~	98%	~	181	100			
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (150:142)		Qualified	~	98%	~	181	100			
Black or African American (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	–	-	-	-	-		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)										
White (61:57)	••••••••	Qualified	✓	98%	<	182	100		•••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••		•••••	••••				•••••	•••••	
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (34:34)		Qualified	_	-	~	144	100			
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••							
Economically Disadvantaged (169:160)		Qualified	~	99%	~	181	100			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1								
Non-Accountability Groups										
Female (94:91)				100%		178	100			
Male (121:112)	•••••••		•••••	97%	••••••	183	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••		•••••		•••••	•••••			•••••	
			_							

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District ID 16-12-01-04-0000

### Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion	n Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (92:92)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>V</b>	95%	<b>V</b>	180	167		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (101:54)	~	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	97%	~	176	164		
Black or African American (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:1)	–	-	-	–	-	–	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (36:36)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	_	_	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	186	161	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···· •····
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (19:20)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (98:57)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	95%	~	179	164		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 4 of 4	4						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (51:46)			92%		191	163		
Male (41:46)			98%		170	163		
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 16-12-01-04-0000

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	<b>^</b>	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives			
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
~	<b>v</b>	95%	<b>V</b>	180	163		
~	<b>~</b>	97%	<b>v</b>	178	160		
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
<	-	-	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	183	157	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
• •••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
_	_	-	-	-	-		-
~	~	96%	~	179	160		
🗸 4 of 4	1						
		94%		191	159		
• •••••	•••••	95%		170	159	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
• ••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		••••	••••
	Status	Status     Met Criterion       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         ✓       95%         ✓       95%         ✓       97%         –       – </td <td>Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         ✓       95%       ✓         ✓       97%       ✓         ✓       97%       ✓         –       –       –         –</td> <td>Met Status       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         V       95%       V       180         V       95%       V       180         V       97%       V       178         -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         V       96%       V       179</td> <td>Net Criterion         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           V         95%         180         163           V         95%         180         163           V         97%         178         160           -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -         -</td> <td>Met Status       Percentage (riterion       Met Tested       Performance (riterion       Effective Index       Safe Harbo AMO         V       95%       V       180       163         V       97%       V       178       160         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -</td>	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         ✓       95%       ✓         ✓       97%       ✓         ✓       97%       ✓         –       –       –         –	Met Status       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         V       95%       V       180         V       95%       V       180         V       97%       V       178         -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         V       96%       V       179	Net Criterion         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           V         95%         180         163           V         95%         180         163           V         97%         178         160           -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -         -	Met Status       Percentage (riterion       Met Tested       Performance (riterion       Effective Index       Safe Harbo AMO         V       95%       V       180       163         V       97%       V       178       160         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- 🗶 🛛 Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in graduation rate for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [203]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	on	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (125)	X	X	66%	80%	68%	69%
Ethnicity			·			
American Indian or Alaska Native (69)		<ul> <li></li> </ul>	62%	80%	61%	66%
Black or African American ( <b>1</b> )	•••••••••	-	_	-	••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino (1)	•••••••••	-	-	-	•••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••		•••••••	•••	••••••••••••••••
White (54)	•••••••	X	70%	80%	80%	72%
Multiracial (0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••	••••	••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (24)		_	-	_		
Limited English Proficient (0)	••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (59)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	~	66%	80%	65%	69%
Final AYP Determination	🗙 0 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (61)			64%	80%		
Male (64)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		67%	80%		
Migrant (0)	••••••••			•••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

— Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

#### **Aspirational Goal**

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **69%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

### 2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010-11 accountability status.

#### In Good Standing

3 schools identified 100% of total

SAINT REGIS MOHAWK SCHOOL SALMON RIVER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL SALMON RIVER JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

### Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	34%		110
Grade 4	46%		114
Grade 5	31%		120
Grade 6	32%		114
Grade 7	25%		126
Grade 8	36%		94
Mathematics			
Grade 3	52%		110
Grade 4	53%		115
Grade 5	58%		120
Grade 6	73%		113
Grade 7	56%		126
Grade 8	38%		94
Science			
Grade 4	92%		113
Grade 8	74%		95
	-	of students that above Level 3	2006 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	67%		125

.....

125

66%

District ID 16-12-01-04-0000

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

#### This District's N/RC Category:

#### High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	NY State Public			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2–4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 658	*Range:	643-780	662-780	694-780					
2009 Mean Score: 656	100%	97% 85%	68%		86% 95%	76%			
2009-10 2008-09			34%	5% 1%			17% 11%		
Number of Tested Students:		93 113	37 79	5 1					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008-09	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	110	85%	34%	5%	117	97%	68%	1%	
Female	54	87%	41%	7%	50	100%	74%	0%	
Male	56	82%	27%	2%	67	94%	63%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	79	82%	35%	4%	84	99%	64%	1%	
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Is	lander								
White	31	90%	29%	6%	31	-	-	-	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Small Group Totals					33	91%	76%	0%	
General-Education Students	95	95%	39%	5%	103	100%	76%	1%	
Students with Disabilities	15	20%	0%	0%	14	71%	7%	0%	
English Proficient	110	85%	34%	5%	117	97%	68%	1%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Economically Disadvantaged	98	84%	33%	4%	85	95%	62%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	12	92%	42%	8%	32	100%	81%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	110	85%	34%	5%	117	97%	68%	1%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 688	*Range:	661-770	684-770	707-770				
2009 Mean Score: 678	100%	95% 98%	94%		91% 99%	93% 59%	24% 27%	
2008-09				15% 15%				
Number of Tested Students:		104 120	57 115	16 18				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	110	95%	52%	15%	122	98%	94%	15%
Female	54	96%	52%	13%	53	100%	100%	13%
Male	56	93%	52%	16%	69	97%	90%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	79	96%	52%	15%	87	99%	93%	13%
Black or African American	•••••			•••••	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	••••••••••••••••••	••••		••••••	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific I	slander							
White	31	90%	52%	13%	32	-	-	-
Multiracial	••••••••••			•••••				
Small Group Totals	••••••••••••••••			•••••	35	97%	97%	20%
General-Education Students	95	96%	58%	17%	103	100%	98%	17%
Students with Disabilities	15	87%	13%	0%	19	89%	74%	0%
English Proficient	110	95%	52%	15%	122	98%	94%	15%
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	98	95%	49%	12%	86	99%	94%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	12	92%	75%	33%	36	97%	94%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	110	95%	52%	15%	122	98%	94%	15%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested					2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	_	_	_	1	-	-	_

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 662	*Range:	637-775	668-775	720-775				
2009 Mean Score: 659	100%	89% 93%	71%		92% 96%	77% 57%		
2009-10 2008-09				4% 2%			6% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		101 109	52 83	4 2				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	114	<b>89</b> %	46%	4%	117	93%	71%	2%
Female	48	98%	54%	6%	59	100%	80%	2%
Male	66	82%	39%	2%	58	86%	62%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	82	89%	48%	5%	80	93%	73%	1%
Black or African American	•••••							
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific I								
White	30	-	-	-	37	95%	68%	3%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	32	88%	41%	0%				
General-Education Students	99	94%	51%	4%	100	97%	77%	2%
Students with Disabilities	15	53%	13%	0%	17	71%	35%	0%
English Proficient	114	89%	46%	4%	117	93%	71%	2%
Limited English Proficient	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	96	89%	45%	1%	83	93%	69%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	18	89%	50%	17%	34	94%	76%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	114	89%	46%	4%	117	93%	71%	2%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year		,	2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		This District			NY State Pu	blic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 677	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800				
2009 Mean Score: 674	100%	95% 98%	85% 53%	13% 11%	95% 96%	87% 64%	26%	
Number of Tested Students:		109 116	61 100	15 13		_	_	

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008-09	2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	115	95%	53%	13%	118	98%	85%	11%
Female	49	100%	63%	12%	60	100%	90%	13%
Male	66	91%	45%	14%	58	97%	79%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	83	94%	58%	13%	80	99%	83%	13%
Black or African American	•••••			•••••		••••	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island								
White	30	-	-	-	38	97%	89%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	32	97%	41%	13%				
General-Education Students	100	98%	58%	14%	101	99%	90%	13%
Students with Disabilities	15	73%	20%	7%	17	94%	53%	0%
English Proficient	115	95%	53%	13%	118	98%	85%	11%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	97	95%	53%	12%	84	99%	83%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	18	94%	56%	17%	34	97%	88%	26%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	115	95%	53%	13%	118	98%	85%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	1	-	_	-	

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

	This Distric	:t		NY State Pu	blic		
	Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2–4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 82	Range: 45–100	65-100	85-100				
2009 Mean Score: 86 2009–10 2008–09	100% 100% 98%	92% 95%	65% 48%	97% 97%	88% 88%	55% 59%	
Number of Tested Students:	113 115	104 111	54 76				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	113	100%	92%	48%	117	98%	95%	65%
Female	49	100%	92%	59%	58	100%	97%	69%
Male	64	100%	92%	39%	59	97%	93%	61%
American Indian or Alaska Native	82	100%	91%	51%	79	97%	97%	68%
Black or African American		••••						
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	_		••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isla	nder	••••	•••••			••••	••••••	
White	29	-	-	–	38	100%	89%	58%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	31	100%	94%	39%				
General-Education Students	99	100%	93%	54%	100	99%	96%	70%
Students with Disabilities	14	100%	86%	7%	17	94%	88%	35%
English Proficient	113	100%	92%	48%	117	98%	95%	65%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	96	100%	92%	48%	82	98%	95%	62%
Not Disadvantaged	17	100%	94%	47%	35	100%	94%	71%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	113	100%	92%	48%	117	98%	95%	65%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 661	*Range:	647-795	666-795	700-795				
2009 Mean Score: 669	100%	88%	81%		88% 99%	82%		
2009-10 2008-09			31%	3% 5%			13% 14%	
Number of Tested Students:		106 116	37 95	36				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	120	88%	31%	3%	117	99%	81%	5%
Female	59	95%	41%	3%	59	98%	86%	7%
Male	61	82%	21%	2%	58	100%	76%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	81	90%	30%	1%	84	99%	85%	5%
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 1	-	-	-				
White	38	-	–	-	32	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	39	85%	33%	5%	33	100%	73%	6%
General-Education Students	104	92%	36%	3%	100	99%	88%	6%
Students with Disabilities	16	63%	0%	0%	17	100%	41%	0%
English Proficient	120	88%	31%	3%	117	99%	81%	5%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	94	86%	27%	0%	73	99%	75%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	26	96%	46%	12%	44	100%	91%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	120	88%	31%	3%	117	99%	81%	5%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 675	*Range:	640-780	674-780	702-780				
2009 Mean Score: 675 2009–10 2008–09	100%	93% 98%	89%	12% 14%	94% 98%	88%	36% 24%	
Number of Tested Students:		112 116	69 105	14 16				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	120	93%	58%	12%	118	98%	89%	14%
Female	59	97%	59%	14%	59	97%	92%	10%
Male	61	90%	56%	10%	59	100%	86%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	81	96%	58%	14%	84	98%	87%	13%
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	38	-	-	-	33	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	39	87%	56%	8%	34	100%	94%	15%
General-Education Students	104	98%	65%	13%	101	99%	94%	16%
Students with Disabilities	16	63%	6%	0%	17	94%	59%	0%
English Proficient	120	93%	58%	12%	118	98%	89%	14%
Limited English Proficient	•••••						•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	94	94%	53%	9%	74	97%	84%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	26	92%	73%	23%	44	100%	98%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	120	93%	58%	12%	118	98%	89%	14%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic			
		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 656	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785					
2009 Mean Score: 662	100%	99% 87%	77%		89% 100%	81%			
2009-10 2008-09			32%	1% 5%			7% 9%		
Number of Tested Students:		99 129	37 100	1 7					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	114	<b>87</b> %	32%	1%	130	99%	77%	5%
Female	53	96%	36%	0%	65	100%	75%	6%
Male	61	79%	30%	2%	65	98%	78%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	77	87%	36%	1%	88	100%	73%	5%
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander				1	-	-	-
White	36	-	-	-	41	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	37	86%	24%	0%	42	98%	86%	7%
General-Education Students	95	97%	38%	1%	105	100%	86%	7%
Students with Disabilities	19	37%	5%	0%	25	96%	40%	0%
English Proficient	114	87%	32%	1%	130	99%	77%	5%
Limited English Proficient					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	93	87%	28%	0%	89	100%	75%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	21	86%	52%	5%	41	98%	80%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	114	87%	32%	1%	130	99%	77%	5%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year		,	2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2–4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 680	*Range:	640-780	674-780	699-780				
2009 Mean Score: 678 2009–10 2008–09	100%	96% 98%	88% 73%	19% 22%	92% 96%	83% 61%	27% 28%	
Number of Tested Students:		108 126	82 114	21 28				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	113	96%	73%	<b>19</b> %	129	98%	88%	22%
Female	52	98%	87%	21%	64	97%	84%	25%
Male	61	93%	61%	16%	65	98%	92%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	76	96%	76%	18%	87	97%	84%	17%
Black or African American	1	-	-	–		••••	•••••	••••••
Hispanic or Latino				•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	ler				1	-	-	-
White	36	-	-	-	41	–	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	37	95%	65%	19%	42	100%	98%	31%
General-Education Students	95	100%	83%	22%	105	100%	96%	27%
Students with Disabilities	18	72%	17%	0%	24	88%	54%	0%
English Proficient	113	96%	73%	19%	129	98%	88%	22%
Limited English Proficient				•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	92	97%	73%	17%	88	97%	89%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	21	90%	71%	24%	41	100%	88%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	113	96%	73%	19%	129	98%	88%	22%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				3	-	_	-

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic			
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 655	*Range:	642-790	664-790	698-790					
2009 Mean Score: 657	100%	98% 81%	73%		90%	80%			
2009-10 2008-09			25%	2% 1%			11% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		102 102	31 76	3 1					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	126	81%	25%	2%	104	<b>98</b> %	73%	1%	
Female	64	80%	28%	3%	48	100%	77%	0%	
Male	62	82%	21%	2%	56	96%	70%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	83	75%	17%	2%	66	98%	73%	0%	
Black or African American					1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		-	-	-					
White	42	-	-	-	36	-	-	–	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	43	93%	40%	2%	38	97%	74%	3%	
General-Education Students	100	90%	29%	3%	83	100%	83%	1%	
Students with Disabilities	26	46%	8%	0%	21	90%	33%	0%	
English Proficient	126	81%	25%	2%	104	98%	73%	1%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	94	80%	20%	1%	63	97%	65%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	32	84%	38%	6%	41	100%	85%	2%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	126	81%	25%	2%	104	98%	73%	1%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 9	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 669	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800				
2009 Mean Score: 670	100%	94% 97%	88%	13% 13%	92% 99%	87%	29% 30%	
2000 03								
Number of Tested Students:		118 101	70 91	17 13				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	126	94%	56%	13%	104	<b>97</b> %	88%	13%
Female	64	97%	58%	14%	48	98%	92%	8%
Male	62	90%	53%	13%	56	96%	84%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	83	93%	49%	10%	66	100%	92%	12%
Black or African American	•••••				1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	••••••			••••••	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	42	-	-	-	36	-	-	–
Multiracial	•••••							
Small Group Totals	43	95%	67%	21%	38	92%	79%	13%
General-Education Students	100	100%	63%	16%	82	100%	96%	15%
Students with Disabilities	26	69%	27%	4%	22	86%	55%	5%
English Proficient	126	94%	56%	13%	104	97%	88%	13%
Limited English Proficient	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	94	94%	55%	11%	63	95%	87%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	32	94%	56%	22%	41	100%	88%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	126	94%	56%	13%	104	97%	88%	13%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	ichool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	1	-	-	_

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pul	olic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2–4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 649	*Range:	627-790	658-790	699-790				
2009 Mean Score: 649	100%	94% 99%	48% 36%		91% 98%	69% 51%		
2008-09				0% 0%			8% 5%	
Number of Tested Students:		88 119	34 57	0 0				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	94	94%	36%	0%	120	99%	48%	0%
Female	44	93%	45%	0%	52	100%	50%	0%
Male	50	94%	28%	0%	68	99%	46%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	62	92%	32%	0%	70	99%	43%	0%
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r				1	-	-	-
White	30	-	-	-	48	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	32	97%	44%	0%	50	100%	54%	0%
General-Education Students	80	99%	43%	0%	103	100%	51%	0%
Students with Disabilities	14	64%	0%	0%	17	94%	24%	0%
English Proficient	94	94%	36%	0%	120	99%	48%	0%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	68	91%	32%	0%	79	99%	41%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	26	100%	46%	0%	41	100%	61%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	94	94%	36%	0%	120	99%	48%	0%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	ichool Year		,	2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 670	*Range:	639-775	673-775	702-775				
2009 Mean Score: 666	100%	95% 97%	78%		91% 96%	80%		
2009-10 2008-09			38%	7% 8%	н.	н.	18% 19%	
Number of Tested Students:		89 115	36 93	79				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	94	95%	38%	7%	119	97%	78%	8%	
Female	44	98%	39%	7%	51	98%	78%	10%	
Male	50	92%	38%	8%	68	96%	78%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	62	97%	37%	8%	71	96%	77%	8%	
Black or African American	1	-	–	-			••••••	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	_	–	1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isl	ander				1	-	-	-	
White	30	-	–	-	46	-	–	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	32	91%	41%	6%	48	98%	79%	6%	
General-Education Students	80	98%	44%	9%	103	100%	83%	9%	
Students with Disabilities	14	79%	7%	0%	16	75%	50%	0%	
English Proficient	94	95%	38%	7%	119	97%	78%	8%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	68	94%	34%	9%	79	95%	75%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	26	96%	50%	4%	40	100%	85%	10%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	94	95%	38%	7%	119	97%	78%	8%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10 \$	School Year		2008-09 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested					2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	3	-	-	_

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	Th	is District			NY State Public				
	Per	centage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2–	4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
<ul><li>■ 2009-10</li><li>■ 2008-09</li></ul>	100% 969	6 93%	<sup>74%</sup> 65%	16% 14%	94% 94%	74% 71%	<sup>33%</sup> 26%		
Number of Tested Students:	91	108	70 75	15 16					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	95	96%	74%	16%	116	93%	65%	14%	
Female	44	95%	73%	20%	50	92%	56%	6%	
Male	51	96%	75%	12%	66	94%	71%	20%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	62	97%	73%	11%	68	91%	66%	13%	
Black or African American	1	-	-	–			•••••		
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	–	1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isla	nder				1	-	-	-	
White	31	-	-	-	46	-	-	-	
Multiracial				•••••					
Small Group Totals	33	94%	76%	24%	48	96%	63%	15%	
General-Education Students	80	100%	83%	19%	103	95%	65%	15%	
Students with Disabilities	15	73%	27%	0%	13	77%	62%	8%	
English Proficient	95	96%	74%	16%	116	93%	65%	14%	
Limited English Proficient				•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	69	94%	72%	14%	76	91%	54%	14%	
Not Disadvantaged	26	100%	77%	19%	40	98%	85%	13%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	95	96%	74%	16%	116	93%	65%	14%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			2	_			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	T			-	3	_			
Regents Science	0				0				

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pul	olic	
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	5):	Percentage so	coring at level	(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
100% 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	70% 72%	67% 66%	23% 22%	82% 81%	79% 77%	32% 32%

Results by	2006 <b>Coho</b> i	rt		2005 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	125	70%	67%	23%	123	72%	66%	22%
Female	61	77%	74%	30%	60	72%	67%	27%
Male	64	64%	61%	17%	63	73%	65%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	81	64%	60%	22%	69	67%	61%	17%
Black or African American				•••••				
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	–	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	42	-		-	53	-		-
Multiracial	••••••	• •••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	44	82%	80%	25%	54	80%	72%	28%
General-Education Students	94	77%	76%	30%	99	77%	73%	25%
Students with Disabilities	31	52%	42%	3%	24	54%	38%	8%
English Proficient	125	70%	67%	23%	123	72%	66%	22%
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	77	70%	68%	19%	58	71%	64%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	48	71%	67%	29%	65	74%	68%	25%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	125	70%	67%	23%	123	72%	66%	22%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pu	blic	
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	5):	Percentage so	coring at level	s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
100% 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	73% 76%	66% 66%	12% 16%	84% 83%	79% 77%	30% 30%

Results by	2006 <b>Coho</b> r	t			2005 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	125	73%	66%	12%	123	76%	76%	16%	
Female	61	79%	75%	11%	60	82%	82%	20%	
Male	64	67%	58%	13%	63	71%	70%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	81	69%	60%	11%	69	74%	72%	12%	
Black or African American			•••••						
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			•••••	•••••			•••••		
Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-					
White	42	-	-	-	53	-	-	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	44	80%	77%	14%	54	80%	80%	22%	
General-Education Students	94	80%	79%	15%	99	85%	85%	18%	
Students with Disabilities	31	52%	29%	3%	24	42%	38%	8%	
English Proficient	125	73%	66%	12%	123	76%	76%	16%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	77	74%	66%	6%	58	72%	71%	9%	
Not Disadvantaged	48	71%	67%	21%	65	80%	80%	23%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	125	73%	66%	12%	123	76%	76%	16%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.