

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 District BALDWIN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 28-02-10-03-0000 Superintendent JAMES MAPES Telephone (516) 377-9271 Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.



Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
0	0	0
331	361	292
340	342	380
346	346	347
405	366	342
385	398	371
392	402	418
428	407	418
103	84	68
451	430	413
435	447	439
417	422	440
421	409	440
476	476	423
424	407	434
24	32	19
5378	5329	5244
	0 331 340 346 405 385 392 428 103 428 103 451 435 417 421 476 424	0 0 331 361 340 342 346 346 405 366 385 398 392 402 428 407 103 84 451 430 417 422 421 409 476 476 424 407 224 32

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2007–08	2008–09	2009-10
Common Branch	20	20	19
Grade 8			
English	23	22	24
Mathematics	22	23	24
Science	23	23	23
Social Studies	23	23	24
Grade 10			
English	23	21	21
Mathematics	22	19	17
Science	23	22	22
Social Studies	23	21	23

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2007-08		200	2008–09		9–10
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	36	1%
Reduced-Price Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	185	3%	184	3%	181	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	2	0%	7	0%
Black or African American	2244	42%	2212	42%	2294	44%
Hispanic or Latino	985	18%	973	18%	1069	20%
Asian or Native	222	4%	228	4%	218	4%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1874	35%	1852	35%	1561	30%
Multiracial	51	1%	62	1%	95	2%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	297	6%	373	7%	224	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Number of Teachers	437	441	435
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	7%	4%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	48%	49%	50%
Total Number of Core Classes	1195	1230	1147
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1617	1672	1625
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	16%	21%	22%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	11%	11%

Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Other Professional Staff	49	44	50
Total Paraprofessionals*	97	88	94
Assistant Principals	7	7	7
Principals	9	9	9

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District BALDWIN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 28-02-10-03-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA		Science	!	A Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduat	tion Rate	▲ Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ed Title I Part A F	unding			
	2008-	09	2009–10 YES		2010-11		
	YES				YES		

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	 	 	 Image: A start of the start of	 ✓ 	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native				_	-			
Black or African American	✓	~		✓	~	•••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	✓	 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	~	 	•••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	 	v		-	-			
White	~	 		~	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial	–	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	~		v	~			
Limited English Proficient	X	✓	••••	–	–	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	~	••••	–	–	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 6 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1	🗸 5 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	✔ 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	×	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (2494:2425)	V	V	100%	V	182	153		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1160:1123)	 	~	100%	✓	181	152		
Hispanic or Latino (504:478)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	177	150	••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (115:113)	✓	v	100%	✓	192	145		
White (708:705)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	186	151	••••	••••
Multiracial (7:6)	–	-	-	–	-	-	•••••••••••••••••	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (381:390)	X	~	99%	×	144	149	148	110
Limited English Proficient (80:83)	X	~	100%	X	139	144	144	101
Economically Disadvantaged (80:73)	✓	✓	99%	✓	164	144		
Final AYP Determination	🗙 6 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (1223:1196)			100%		187	152		
Male (1271:1229)	••••••		100%		177	152	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 28-02-10-03-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (2495:2436)	~	v	100%	V	189	133		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1160:1126)	 	v	100%	✓	186	132		
Hispanic or Latino (504:482)	<	 	100%	<	186	130	•••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (115:113)	 	v	100%	✓	195	125		
White (709:709)	<	 	100%	~	194	131	••••••••••••••••	••••
Multiracial (7:6)	–	-	-	–	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (381:391)	 	~	99%	 	155	129		
Limited English Proficient (80:92)	✓	 	100%	 	163	125		
Economically Disadvantaged (80:74)	✓	✓	100%	✓	180	124		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (1224:1203)			100%		190	132		
Male (1271:1233)	•••••••	•••••	100%	••••••	188	132	••• •••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••		••••••••	••• •••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 28-02-10-03-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
-	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion	Test Perfo	Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (847:822)	~	Qualified	~	99%	v	190	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (386:377)		Qualified	~	99%	~	189	100		
Hispanic or Latino (167:161)		Qualified	✓	100%	~	184	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (47:44)		Qualified	~	100%	~	200	100		
White (245:239)	••••••	Qualified	<	98%	 	192	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (2:1)	• • • • • • • • • • •	–	_	-	-	-	-	-	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (126:127)		Qualified	~	98%	~	169	100		
Limited English Proficient (28:22)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (25:24)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	V 1 o	f 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (409:399)				99%		188	100		
Male (438:423)				99%		191	100		
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

 Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment **NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District ID 28-02-10-03-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (441:423)	v	v	100%	V	192	172		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:1)	_	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (188:177)	<	✓	99%	~	194	169		
Hispanic or Latino (76:75)	<	v	100%	 ✓ 	185	166	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (20:17)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (157:153)	<	V	99%	 ✓ 	193	169	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (46:46)	~	~	96%	v	163	163		
Limited English Proficient (7:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (8:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🗸 5 of 5	5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (226:214)			100%		197	169		
Male (215:209)			99%		188	169		
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 28-02-10-03-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (441:423)	V	 ✓ 	100%	V	191	168		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (188:177)	✓	•	100%	~	192	165		
Hispanic or Latino (76:75)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	188	162	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (20:17)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (157:153)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	190	165	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (46:46)	~	~	100%	v	167	159		
Limited English Proficient (7:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (8:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🗸 5 of 5	5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (226:214)			100%		193	165		
Male (215:209)			100%		189	165		
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 28-02-10-03-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for	~	Good Standing
This Indicator (2010–11)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	v	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduatio	n	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (450)	~	~	89%	80%		
Ethnicity		·				
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (165)		 	85%	80%		
Hispanic or Latino (76)		✓	84%	80%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (23)		_	-	_		
White (186)		~	92%	80%		
Multiracial (0)			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (55)		~	67%	80%	62%	70%
Limited English Proficient (8)		_	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (3)	•••••••	–	-	–		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (209)			89%	80%		
Male (241)			88%	80%		
Migrant (0)	••••••	••••••••••••••••		••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

— Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **92%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010-11 accountability status.

In Good Standing

9 schools identified 100% of total BALDWIN MIDDLE SCHOOL BALDWIN SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL BROOKSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL LENOX ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MEADOW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MILBURN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL PLAZA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL SHUBERT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STEELE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	66%		355
Grade 4	69%		384
Grade 5	67%		423
Grade 6	74%		412
Grade 7	58%		417
Grade 8	64%		435
Mathematics			
Grade 3	76%		360
Grade 4	70%		391
Grade 5	83%		425
Grade 6	64%		414
Grade 7	62%		420
Grade 8	64%		442
Science			
Grade 4	92%		388
Grade 8	84%		323
	Percentage	of students that	2006 Total
	-	above Level 3	Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
Fnalich	010/		455

91%

91%

District ID 28-02-10-03-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

455

455

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

English

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	·				
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 672	*Range:	643-780	662-780	694-780				
2009 Mean Score: 682	100%	88% 97%	85%		86%	76%		
2009-10 2008-09				23% 19%			17% 11%	
Number of Tested Students:		314 367	233 320	80 71				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	355	88%	66%	23%	378	97%	85%	19%
Female	174	92%	74%	29%	177	98%	87%	26%
Male	181	85%	57%	17%	201	97%	83%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	166	89%	66%	20%	167	96%	85%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	85	87%	61%	22%	58	97%	74%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 11	-	-	-	24	100%	88%	21%
White	91	88%	69%	27%	129	98%	88%	22%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	13	100%	69%	23%				
General-Education Students	310	94%	72%	25%	331	99%	92%	21%
Students with Disabilities	45	53%	24%	2%	47	83%	36%	6%
English Proficient	334	90%	69%	23%	366	98%	86%	19%
Limited English Proficient	21	57%	14%	10%	12	83%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	71%	57%	14%	12	100%	75%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	341	89%	66%	23%	366	97%	85%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	355	88%	66%	23%	378	97%	85%	19%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	8	6	4	6	6	5	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 706	*Range:	661-770	684-770	707-770					
2009 Mean Score: 704	100%	96% 99%	97% 76%	41% 41%	91% 99%	93%	24% 27%		
Number of Tested Students:		344 378	274 371	147 157					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	360	96%	76%	41%	381	99%	97%	41%
Female	174	97%	83%	47%	180	100%	97%	46%
Male	186	94%	69%	35%	201	99%	98%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	166	98%	73%	39%	166	98%	96%	42%
Hispanic or Latino	89	90%	72%	36%	61	100%	95%	34%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 11	-	-	–	24	100%	100%	63%
White	92	97%	84%	49%	130	100%	99%	39%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-			•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	13	92%	85%	46%				••••••
General-Education Students	315	98%	83%	46%	333	99%	99%	45%
Students with Disabilities	45	80%	29%	4%	48	98%	88%	15%
English Proficient	335	97%	79%	43%	366	99%	98%	42%
Limited English Proficient	25	72%	40%	12%	15	100%	80%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	80%	60%	27%	11	100%	100%	36%
Not Disadvantaged	345	96%	77%	41%	370	99%	97%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	360	96%	76%	41%	381	99%	97%	41%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0	8	0	1	6	G	6	5
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	8	8	T	6	0	o	5

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic			
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2–4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 679	*Range:	637-775	668-775	720-775					
2009 Mean Score: 683	100%	94% 99%	89%		92% 96%	77% 57%			
2009-10 2008-09				9% 13%			6% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		360 414	266 370	33 53					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	384	94%	69%	9%	418	99%	89%	13%
Female	180	93%	72%	13%	207	100%	91%	17%
Male	204	95%	67%	5%	211	99%	86%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	178	96%	70%	8%	184	99%	86%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	72	86%	54%	3%	74	97%	82%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	-	-	-	19	100%	100%	21%
White	105	93%	78%	10%	132	99%	93%	14%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	9	100%	100%	33%
Small Group Totals	29	100%	72%	21%				
General-Education Students	329	97%	77%	10%	361	100%	94%	14%
Students with Disabilities	55	73%	22%	0%	57	95%	54%	2%
English Proficient	371	96%	71%	9%	408	100%	90%	13%
Limited English Proficient	13	31%	8%	0%	10	80%	30%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	75%	38%	13%	11	100%	64%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	368	95%	71%	8%	407	99%	89%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	384	94%	69%	9%	418	99%	89%	13%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	4	4	7	6	5	3	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic			
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 691	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800					
2009 Mean Score: 701 2009-10 2008-09	100%	96% 100%	94%	48% 31%	95% 96%	87% 64%	26%		
Number of Tested Students:		374 421	275 399	122 205					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	391	96%	70%	31%	423	100%	94%	48%	
Female	183	96%	72%	32%	209	100%	94%	52%	
Male	208	95%	69%	30%	214	99%	94%	45%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	182	95%	71%	31%	188	99%	91%	42%	
Hispanic or Latino	75	91%	52%	24%	76	100%	95%	42%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 27	-	-	–	18	100%	100%	72%	
White	105	99%	80%	34%	132	100%	98%	59%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	–	9	100%	100%	33%	
Small Group Totals	29	100%	79%	41%					
General-Education Students	336	98%	77%	35%	367	99%	97%	52%	
Students with Disabilities	55	84%	27%	7%	56	100%	77%	23%	
English Proficient	372	98%	74%	33%	411	100%	95%	50%	
Limited English Proficient	19	58%	0%	0%	12	100%	75%	8%	
Economically Disadvantaged	16	94%	56%	31%	12	100%	75%	17%	
Not Disadvantaged	375	96%	71%	31%	411	100%	95%	49%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	391	96%	70%	31%	423	100%	94%	48%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	F	5	5	4	7	7	5	4
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	C	5	5	4	I	1	5	4

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pul	olic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 86	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2009 Mean Score: 89	100%	99% 99%	92% 96%	69%	97% 97%	88% 88%	55% 59%	
2008-09								
Number of Tested Students:		383 422	358 408	266 336				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	388	99%	92%	69%	425	99%	96%	79%		
Female	182	99%	93%	67%	208	100%	96%	82%		
Male	206	99%	91%	70%	217	99%	96%	76%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	181	98%	93%	71%	190	98%	93%	74%		
Hispanic or Latino	75	99%	84%	49%	76	100%	95%	70%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 27	-	-	-	18	100%	100%	89%		
White	103	100%	95%	75%	132	100%	100%	89%		
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	9	100%	100%	100%		
Small Group Totals	29	100%	100%	79%						
General-Education Students	336	99%	94%	74%	369	99%	97%	83%		
Students with Disabilities	52	94%	81%	37%	56	98%	89%	50%		
English Proficient	369	99%	95%	72%	413	99%	96%	81%		
Limited English Proficient	19	89%	37%	0%	12	100%	83%	25%		
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	81%	50%	12	92%	83%	33%		
Not Disadvantaged	372	99%	93%	69%	413	100%	96%	80%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	388	99%	92%	69%	425	99%	96%	79%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	7	7	6	4	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Publ	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 681	*Range:	647-795	666-795	700-795				
2009 Mean Score: 680	100%	95% 99%	89% 67%		88%	82% 52%		
2009-10				20% 18%			13% 14%	
Number of Tested Students:		400 408	285 367	83 73				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	423	95%	67%	20%	411	99%	89%	18%
Female	208	97%	77%	28%	214	100%	91%	18%
Male	215	93%	58%	12%	197	99%	87%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	189	92%	61%	16%	192	99%	89%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	84	94%	63%	17%	70	99%	83%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 21	100%	86%	43%	17	100%	100%	47%
White	129	98%	76%	22%	118	99%	92%	25%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				14	100%	100%	29%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
General-Education Students	364	98%	74%	22%	359	100%	96%	20%
Students with Disabilities	59	75%	29%	3%	52	94%	46%	2%
English Proficient	417	95%	68%	20%	410	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	17%	0%	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	14	79%	29%	21%	7	100%	86%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	409	95%	69%	20%	404	99%	89%	18%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	423	95%	67%	20%	411	99%	89%	18%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	ichool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	7	7	6	4	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 699	*Range:	640-780	674-780	702-780				
2009 Mean Score: 696 2009–10 2008–09	100%	97% 99%	83%	41%	94% 98%	88%	36%	
Number of Tested Students:		414 406	353 386	175 203				

2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
425	97%	83%	41%	412	99%	94%	49 %	
208	98%	88%	45%	216	99%	94%	49%	
217	97%	78%	37%	196	98%	93%	49%	
190	95%	80%	33%	191	99%	94%	48%	
85	99%	76%	35%	73	96%	90%	23%	
er 21	100%	95%	71%	17	100%	100%	82%	
129	100%	90%	52%	117	98%	93%	61%	
•••••••••••••••••		••••••	••••••	14	100%	100%	71%	
••••••		••••••	•••••					
366	99%	89%	45%	358	100%	98%	56%	
59	88%	49%	17%	54	91%	63%	4%	
417	98%	84%	42%	407	99%	94%	50%	
8	75%	13%	0%	5	80%	40%	0%	
14	93%	64%	21%	6	100%	67%	17%	
411	98%	84%	42%	406	99%	94%	50%	
425	97%	83%	41%	412	99%	94%	49%	
	Total Tested 425 208 217 190 85 r 21 129 366 59 417 8 14 14 411	J Percentag Total Percentag Tested 2-4 425 97% 208 98% 217 97% 190 95% 85 99% 97 100% 129 100% 59 88% 417 98% 8 75% 14 93% 411 98%	Tested 2-4 3-4 425 97% 83% 208 98% 88% 217 97% 78% 190 95% 80% 85 99% 76% 9129 100% 95% 129 100% 90% 59 88% 49% 417 98% 84% 8 75% 13% 14 93% 64% 411 98% 84%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 425 97% 83% 41% 208 98% 88% 45% 217 97% 78% 37% 190 95% 80% 33% 85 99% 76% 35% 91 100% 95% 71% 129 100% 90% 52% 366 99% 89% 45% 59 88% 49% 17% 417 98% 84% 42% 8 75% 13% 0% 14 93% 64% 21%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested 425 97% 83% 41% 412 208 98% 88% 45% 216 217 97% 78% 37% 196 190 95% 80% 33% 191 85 99% 76% 35% 73 190 95% 80% 33% 191 85 99% 76% 35% 73 129 100% 90% 52% 117 129 100% 90% 52% 117 14 366 99% 89% 45% 358 59 88% 49% 17% 54 417 98% 84% 42% 407 8 75% 13% 0% 5 14 93% 64% 21% 6 411 98% 84% 42% 406	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage 2-4 425 97% 83% 41% 412 99% 208 98% 88% 45% 216 99% 217 97% 78% 37% 196 98% 190 95% 80% 33% 191 99% 85 99% 76% 35% 73 96% 9r 21 100% 95% 71% 17 100% 129 100% 95% 71% 17 98% 366 99% 89% 45% 358 100% 59 88% 49% 17% 54 91% 417 98% 84% 42% 407 99% 8 75% 13% 0% 5 80% 14 93% 64% 21% 6 100% 411 98% 84% 42% 406 99%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage scoring at 2-4 Percentage scoring at 2-4 Percentage scoring at 2-4 425 97% 83% 41% 412 99% 94% 208 98% 88% 45% 216 99% 94% 217 97% 78% 37% 196 98% 93% 190 95% 80% 33% 191 99% 94% 85 99% 76% 35% 73 96% 90% or 21 100% 95% 71% 17 100% 100% 129 100% 90% 52% 117 98% 98% 366 99% 89% 45% 358 100% 98% 366 99% 89% 45% 358 100% 98% 366 99% 84% 42% 407 99% 94% 417 98% 84% 42% <th< td=""></th<>	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	7	7	5	3	4	-	-	_

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 677	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785					
2009 Mean Score: 672	100%	95% 100%	86%		89%	81%			
2009-10									
2008-09				16% 12%			7% 9%		
Number of Tested Students:		390 399	305 345	64 48					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	412	95%	74%	16%	399	100%	86%	12%	
Female	217	96%	77%	18%	200	100%	89%	17%	
Male	195	93%	70%	13%	199	100%	84%	8%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	202	94%	72%	12%	172	100%	85%	11%	
Hispanic or Latino	82	93%	59%	12%	66	100%	83%	8%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 19	-	-	-	14	-	-	-	
White	107	97%	87%	21%	143	100%	88%	14%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	21	100%	90%	29%	18	100%	94%	22%	
General-Education Students	354	100%	83%	18%	336	100%	93%	14%	
Students with Disabilities	58	64%	21%	2%	63	100%	49%	0%	
English Proficient	409	-	-	-	390	100%	87%	12%	
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	9	100%	44%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	10	90%	70%	10%	13	100%	62%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	402	95%	74%	16%	386	100%	87%	12%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	412	95%	74%	16%	399	100%	86%	12%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year		,	2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	7	7	6	5	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 679	*Range:	640-780	674-780	699-780					
2009 Mean Score: 684	100%	94% 97%	86%		92% 96%	83%			
2009-10 2008-09				23%		ы	27% 28%		
Number of Tested Students:		388 391	267 348	95 136					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	414	94%	64%	23%	403	97%	86%	34%	
Female	220	92%	65%	19%	200	98%	88%	37%	
Male	194	95%	63%	27%	203	97%	85%	31%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	205	92%	61%	20%	173	97%	86%	28%	
Hispanic or Latino	81	91%	53%	17%	67	97%	82%	27%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 19	-	-	-	14	-	-	-	
White	107	97%	75%	29%	145	97%	88%	43%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	21	100%	86%	48%	18	94%	94%	39%	
General-Education Students	357	98%	72%	26%	339	99%	93%	39%	
Students with Disabilities	57	65%	16%	2%	64	88%	52%	5%	
English Proficient	407	95%	65%	23%	392	98%	88%	34%	
Limited English Proficient	7	43%	14%	0%	11	64%	45%	18%	
Economically Disadvantaged	13	85%	31%	8%	14	93%	64%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	401	94%	66%	23%	389	97%	87%	35%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	414	94%	64%	23%	403	97%	86%	34%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year		2008–09 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	_	7	7	6	5	

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Range:	642-790	664-790	698-790				
.00%	94% ^{100%}	87% 58%		90%	80%		
			13% 11%			11% 7%	
	200 422	242 270			_	1 70	
_	*Range: 100%	*Range: 642–790	*Range: 642–790 664–790 100% 94% 100% 58%	*Range: 642-790 664-790 698-790 100% 94% 100% 87% 58% 13% 11%	*Range: 642-790 664-790 698-790 100% 94% 100% 58% 13% 11% 90% 100% 13% 11%	*Range: 642-790 664-790 698-790 100% 94% 100% 87% 58% 90% 100% 80% 50% 50% 100% 90% 100% 90% 100% 90% 100% 90% 100% 10	

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	417	94%	58%	13%	423	100%	87%	11%	
Female	203	97%	65%	15%	214	100%	90%	13%	
Male	214	90%	52%	12%	209	100%	85%	9%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	193	93%	55%	12%	174	100%	85%	7%	
Hispanic or Latino	76	89%	46%	11%	82	99%	87%	7%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	-	-	-	18	100%	100%	44%	
White	131	96%	69%	15%	149	100%	89%	13%	
Multiracial	1	-	-	–					
Small Group Totals	17	94%	71%	24%					
General-Education Students	350	98%	67%	16%	360	100%	95%	13%	
Students with Disabilities	67	72%	13%	0%	63	98%	43%	2%	
English Proficient	409	94%	59%	14%	411	100%	90%	11%	
Limited English Proficient	8	63%	0%	0%	12	100%	17%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	10	90%	30%	0%	9	100%	56%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	407	94%	59%	14%	414	100%	88%	11%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	417	94%	58%	13%	423	100%	87%	11%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	8	8	7	5	7	7	6	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Publ	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 677	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800				
2009 Mean Score: 687	100%	94% 99%	95%		92% 99%	87%		
2009-10 2008-09				28%		н.	29% 30%	
Number of Tested Students:		394 422	260 402	119 150				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4		
All Students	420	94%	62%	28%	425	99%	95%	35%		
Female	206	96%	66%	31%	213	99%	94%	35%		
Male	214	92%	58%	26%	212	100%	95%	36%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	193	91%	58%	22%	175	99%	96%	27%		
Hispanic or Latino	78	95%	50%	21%	85	99%	92%	29%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 16	-	-	-	18	100%	100%	67%		
White	132	98%	74%	42%	147	99%	94%	44%		
Multiracial	1	-	-	-						
Small Group Totals	17	94%	65%	29%						
General-Education Students	354	98%	69%	32%	362	100%	97%	41%		
Students with Disabilities	66	71%	26%	6%	63	95%	79%	5%		
English Proficient	408	95%	63%	29%	412	100%	96%	36%		
Limited English Proficient	12	58%	8%	0%	13	92%	54%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	10	80%	30%	10%	10	100%	80%	10%		
Not Disadvantaged	410	94%	63%	29%	415	99%	95%	36%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	420	94%	62%	28%	425	99%	95%	35%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	8	7	7	4	7	6	6	4

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct				NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scor			
		2-4		3–4	4		2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 669	*Range:	627-790		658-790	699-	-790			
2009 Mean Score: 670	100%	96% 99%		80% 64%			91% 98%	69% 51%	
2009-10 2008-09					12%	7%	н.		8% 5%
Number of Tested Students:		416 445		278 359	52	32			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	435	96%	64%	12%	448	99 %	80%	7%	
Female	221	95%	71%	15%	227	100%	84%	9%	
Male	214	96%	56%	8%	221	99%	76%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	195	95%	61%	10%	197	99%	78%	5%	
Hispanic or Latino	83	95%	58%	11%	81	99%	69%	1%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 19	100%	89%	32%	11	-	-	-	
White	138	96%	69%	13%	158	99%	88%	11%	
Multiracial					1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals					12	100%	83%	25%	
General-Education Students	379	99%	72%	14%	387	100%	88%	8%	
Students with Disabilities	56	73%	13%	0%	61	97%	33%	0%	
English Proficient	432	_	_	_	443	100%	81%	7%	
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	5	80%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	86%	0%	8	88%	63%	13%	
Not Disadvantaged	428	96%	64%	12%	440	100%	80%	7%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	435	96%	64%	12%	448	99%	80%	7%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	School Year		,	2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	əl(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	7	6	6	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pul	blic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 680	*Range:	639-775	673-775	702-775				
2009 Mean Score: 679	100%	96% 98%	88%		91% 96%	80% 55%		
2009-10 2008-09				16% 17%		ы.	18% 19%	
Number of Tested Students:		423 440	284 397	69 78				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	442	96%	64%	16%	449	98%	88%	17%	
Female	222	96%	69%	17%	228	99%	92%	17%	
Male	220	95%	59%	15%	221	97%	85%	18%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	198	95%	58%	12%	196	100%	89%	14%	
Hispanic or Latino	85	95%	62%	13%	81	95%	81%	9%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 19	100%	95%	37%	11	-	-	-	
White	140	96%	70%	20%	160	97%	90%	24%	
Multiracial	••••••				1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				12	100%	100%	50%	
General-Education Students	384	99%	71%	18%	389	99%	93%	20%	
Students with Disabilities	58	72%	19%	2%	60	93%	58%	2%	
English Proficient	434	96%	65%	16%	441	99%	90%	18%	
Limited English Proficient	8	63%	25%	0%	8	50%	25%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	75%	0%	9	89%	67%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged	434	96%	64%	16%	440	98%	89%	18%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	442	96%	64%	16%	449	98%	88%	17%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	6	6	5	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This District	:		NY State Pu	ıblic			
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2–4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
 2009-10 2008-09 	98% 98%	88% 90%	48% 40%	94% 94%	74% 71%	33% 26%		
Number of Tested Students:	432 431	387 397	212 176					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	323	98%	84%	33%	336	97%	87%	26%	
Female	155	98%	81%	30%	163	98%	84%	25%	
Male	168	98%	87%	36%	173	95%	89%	28%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	155	99%	84%	28%	158	97%	87%	27%	
Hispanic or Latino	69	93%	80%	28%	70	93%	79%	10%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	100%	44%	5	80%	80%	20%	
White	90	100%	86%	46%	103	99%	91%	36%	
Multiracial	•••••								
Small Group Totals	•••••						•••••		
General-Education Students	264	98%	90%	36%	279	97%	89%	32%	
Students with Disabilities	59	95%	58%	19%	57	95%	74%	0%	
English Proficient	315	99%	85%	34%	327	98%	89%	27%	
Limited English Proficient	8	50%	38%	0%	9	33%	11%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	100%	0%	8	100%	63%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	316	98%	84%	34%	328	97%	87%	27%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	323	98%	84%	33%	336	97%	87%	26%	


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 S	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	6	5	4	4	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	·		J	+	+			
Regents Science	116	116	116	105	106	106	106	88

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 Coho r	t		2005 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	455	92%	91%	57%	450	93%	91%	48%
Female	229	94%	94%	67%	211	94%	92%	55%
Male	226	89%	88%	46%	239	92%	90%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	190	93%	93%	52%	168	91%	89%	37%
Hispanic or Latino	86	84%	84%	44%	74	86%	84%	34%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	-	-	-	23	100%	100%	65%
White	160	94%	93%	66%	185	96%	95%	63%
Multiracial				•••••	•••••••••••			•••••
Small Group Totals	19	100%	100%	84%	••••••	••••••		••••••
General-Education Students	404	95%	95%	62%	399	96%	95%	53%
Students with Disabilities	51	71%	67%	16%	51	67%	63%	10%
English Proficient	441	93%	93%	59%	443	93%	92%	49%
Limited English Proficient	14	50%	50%	0%	7	71%	57%	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	75%	75%	13%	4	-	_	-
Not Disadvantaged	447	92%	92%	57%	446	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	455	92%	91%	57%	450	93%	91%	48%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pub	olic	
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage sc	oring at level(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
100% 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	94% 93%	91% 90%	30% 31%	84% 83%	79% 77%	30% 30%

Results by	2006 Coho r	t		2005 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	455	94%	91%	30%	450	93%	90%	31%
Female	229	94%	92%	31%	211	94%	91%	31%
Male	226	93%	89%	29%	239	92%	90%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_				
Black or African American	190	94%	92%	21%	168	91%	89%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	86	91%	85%	24%	74	89%	81%	26%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	-	-	-	23	100%	96%	57%
White	160	94%	91%	40%	185	96%	95%	41%
Multiracial		•••••	••••••	•••••		•••••		•••••
Small Group Totals	19	100%	100%	58%		••••••	•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	404	96%	94%	32%	399	96%	94%	35%
Students with Disabilities	51	76%	67%	10%	51	73%	63%	6%
English Proficient	441	94%	92%	31%	443	94%	91%	31%
Limited English Proficient	14	71%	57%	0%	7	57%	29%	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	88%	88%	13%	4	-	-	_
Not Disadvantaged	447	94%	91%	30%	446	-	-	_
Migrant								
Not Migrant	455	94%	91%	30%	450	93%	90%	31%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.