The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**
District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**
Superintendent **HENRY GRISHMAN**
Telephone **(516) 203-3600**
Grades **K-12**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.
This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.
This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3 View School Accountability Status.
This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.
This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	125	141	169
Grade 1	157	133	149
Grade 2	200	161	146
Grade 3	200	209	169
Grade 4	223	207	224
Grade 5	226	237	215
Grade 6	300	236	244
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	299	305	238
Grade 8	272	310	314
Grade 9	275	291	311
Grade 10	336	273	291
Grade 11	285	345	278
Grade 12	313	284	346
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	3211	3132	3094

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
Common Branch	22	22	21
Grade 8			
English	21	23	26
Mathematics	21	24	22
Science	22	26	24
Social Studies	19	26	26
Grade 10			
English	23	20	24
Mathematics	18	27	18
Science	25	21	19
Social Studies	20	23	21

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

Demographic Factors

	2007–08		2008–09		2009–10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	31	1%	32	1%	39	1%
Reduced-Price Lunch	6	0%	17	1%	13	0%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	58	2%	53	2%	59	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	3	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	71	2%	70	2%	72	2%
Hispanic or Latino	57	2%	56	2%	60	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	884	28%	916	29%	921	30%
White	2196	68%	2087	67%	2038	66%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006–07		2007–08		2008–09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		91%		91%		92%
Student Suspensions	45	1%	53	2%	32	1%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch* and *Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

Teacher Qualifications

	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Number of Teachers	347	343	330
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	3%	2%	2%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	65%	68%	72%
Total Number of Core Classes	956	879	946
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1382	1310	1324
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	33%	32%	41%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	13%	12%	12%

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts

	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Other Professional Staff	27	38	33
Total Paraprofessionals*	104	97	198
Assistant Principals	4	4	4
Principals	5	5	5

* Not available at the school level.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

2 District Accountability

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: **http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability**/.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders
The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort
The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics
The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)
The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment
The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students
At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)
The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Graduation Rate
The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort
The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient
For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups
Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation
Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)
A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Targets
For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets
Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:
2008–09 PI + (200 – the 2008–09 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (‡)
On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard
The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities
For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance
For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total
The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: **http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/**.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)** A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)** A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)** A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)** A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2008–09	2009–10	2010–11
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	–	–				
Black or African American	✔	✔		–	–	
Hispanic or Latino	–	–		–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✔	✔		✔	✔	
White	✔	✔		✔	✔	
Multiracial	–	–				
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✔	✔		✔	✔	
Limited English Proficient	–	–		–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✔ 5 of 5	✔ 5 of 5	✔ 1 of 1	✔ 4 of 4	✔ 4 of 4	✔ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did not make AYP
- — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal	State
Good Standing	Good Standing
Improvement (Year 1)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)
Improvement (Year 2)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
Improvement (Year 3)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
Improvement (Year 4)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
Improvement (Year 5 & Above)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP Status	Participation: Met Criterion	Participation: Percentage Tested	Test Performance: Met Criterion	Test Performance: Performance Index	Performance Objectives: Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1435:1383)	✔	✔	99%	✔	195	152		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Black or African American (37:33)	✔	–	–	✔	185	138		
Hispanic or Latino (29:27)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (457:420)	✔	✔	100%	✔	198	150		
White (910:902)	✔	✔	100%	✔	195	151		
Multiracial (1:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (183:186)	✔	✔	98%	✔	173	147		
Limited English Proficient (29:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	✔ 5 of 5							
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (680:660)			100%		197	151		
Male (755:723)			99%		194	151		
Migrant (0:0)								

Symbols

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did not make AYP
- — Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP Status	Participation: Met Criterion	Participation: Percentage Tested	Test Performance: Met Criterion	Test Performance: Performance Index	Performance Objectives: Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1437:1391)	✔	✔	100%	✔	198	132		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Black or African American (37:33)	✔	–	–	✔	194	118		
Hispanic or Latino (29:28)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (459:425)	✔	✔	100%	✔	199	130		
White (910:903)	✔	✔	100%	✔	198	131		
Multiracial (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (183:187)	✔	✔	99%	✔	187	127		
Limited English Proficient (29:18)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	✔ 5 of 5							
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (680:660)			100%		199	131		
Male (757:731)			100%		198	131		
Migrant (0:0)								

Symbols

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did not make AYP
- — Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

2 District Accountability

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP Status	AYP Safe Harbor Qualification	Participation Met Criterion	Participation Percentage Tested	Test Performance Met Criterion	Test Performance Performance Index	Performance Objectives State Standard	Progress Target 2009–10	Progress Target 2010–11
Accountability Groups									
All Students (540:532)	✔	Qualified	✔	100%	✔	197	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (17:17)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Hispanic or Latino (9:9)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (175:168)		Qualified	✔	100%	✔	200	100		
White (339:338)		Qualified	✔	100%	✔	196	100		
Multiracial (0:0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (84:85)		Qualified	✔	100%	✔	181	100		
Limited English Proficient (8:5)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)									
Final AYP Determination	✔ 1 of 1								
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (238:237)				100%		197	100		
Male (302:295)				100%		197	100		
Migrant (0:0)									

Symbols

✔ Made AYP
✘ Did not make AYP
— Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP Status	Participation: Met Criterion	Participation: Percentage Tested	Test Performance: Met Criterion	Test Performance: Performance Index	Performance Objectives: Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (350:349)	✔	✔	99%	✔	197	171		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (9:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (114:113)	✔	✔	100%	✔	200	167		
White (224:226)	✔	✔	99%	✔	196	170		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (51:57)	✔	✔	100%	✔	189	164		
Limited English Proficient (4:4)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	✔ 4 of 4							
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (166:164)			99%		199	169		
Male (184:185)			99%		196	169		
Migrant (0:0)								

Symbols

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did not make AYP
- — Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP Status	Participation: Met Criterion	Participation: Percentage Tested	Test Performance: Met Criterion	Test Performance: Performance Index	Performance Objectives: Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (350:349)	✔	✔	99%	✔	195	167		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (9:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (114:113)	✔	✔	99%	✔	195	163		
White (224:226)	✔	✔	99%	✔	195	166		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (51:57)	✔	✔	96%	✔	179	160		
Limited English Proficient (4:4)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	✔ 4 of 4							
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (166:164)			99%		196	165		
Male (184:185)			99%		194	165		
Migrant (0:0)								

Symbols

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did not make AYP
- — Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation: AYP	Graduation: Met Criterion	Graduation: Graduation Rate	Objectives: State Standard	Progress Target 2009–10	Progress Target 2010–11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (297)	✔	✔	97%	80%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (4)		–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (1)		–	–	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (83)		✔	99%	80%		
White (209)		✔	96%	80%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (44)		✔	86%	80%		
Limited English Proficient (1)		–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (5)		–	–	–		
Final AYP Determination	✔ 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (140)			98%	80%		
Male (157)			96%	80%		
Migrant (0)						

Symbols

✔ Made AYP

✘ Did not make AYP

— Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **100%** and, therefore, this district ***did*** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

In Good Standing

5 schools identified 100% of total

CANTIAGUE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
GEORGE A JACKSON SCHOOL
JERICHO MIDDLE SCHOOL
JERICHO SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL
ROBERT SEAMAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0% 50% 100%	
Grade 3	89%	175
Grade 4	90%	221
Grade 5	85%	215
Grade 6	88%	249
Grade 7	83%	242
Grade 8	91%	309
Mathematics		
Grade 3	96%	178
Grade 4	96%	225
Grade 5	96%	216
Grade 6	90%	252
Grade 7	92%	244
Grade 8	91%	314
Science		
Grade 4	100%	225
Grade 8	88%	129

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3	2006 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0% 50% 100%	
English	98%	350
Mathematics	97%	350

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: **Not Meeting Learning Standards**. Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: **Partially Meeting Learning Standards**. Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: **Meeting Learning Standards**. Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: **Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction**. Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 702	*Range:	643–780	662–780	694–780			
2009 Mean Score: 705							
2009–10		98%	89%	50%	86%	55%	17%
2008–09		100%	97%	37%	95%	76%	11%
Number of Tested Students:		171 206	155 199	88 77			



Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**175**	**98%**	**89%**	**50%**	**206**	**100%**	**97%**	**37%**
Female	85	100%	93%	59%	91	100%	98%	41%
Male	90	96%	84%	42%	115	100%	96%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	4	–	–	–	5	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	51	100%	92%	45%	59	100%	100%	49%
White	114	96%	87%	54%	138	100%	95%	33%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	100%	90%	30%	9	100%	100%	22%
General-Education Students	159	100%	93%	54%	176	100%	99%	41%
Students with Disabilities	16	75%	44%	13%	30	100%	80%	13%
English Proficient	171	–	–	–	206	100%	97%	37%
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	175	98%	89%	50%	206	100%	97%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	175	98%	89%	50%	206	100%	97%	37%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Reports.*

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 723	*Range:	661–770	684–770	707–770			
2009 Mean Score: 730							
Number of Tested Students:		174 209	170 208	115 149			



Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**178**	**98%**	**96%**	**65%**	**209**	**100%**	**100%**	**71%**
Female	86	100%	99%	65%	92	100%	100%	76%
Male	92	96%	92%	64%	117	100%	99%	68%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	–	–	–	5	100%	100%	60%
Hispanic or Latino	4	–	–	–	5	100%	100%	40%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	54	100%	98%	70%	61	100%	100%	85%
White	114	97%	95%	61%	138	100%	99%	67%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	90%	90%	70%				
General-Education Students	162	99%	98%	68%	178	100%	100%	78%
Students with Disabilities	16	81%	69%	31%	31	100%	97%	35%
English Proficient	173	98%	95%	65%	209	100%	100%	71%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	100%	40%				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	178	98%	96%	65%	209	100%	100%	71%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	178	98%	96%	65%	209	100%	100%	71%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Reports.*

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	2	–	–	–

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 699	*Range:	637–775	668–775	720–775			
2009 Mean Score: 694							
Number of Tested Students:		220 206	199 198	45 43			





Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**221**	**100%**	**90%**	**20%**	**207**	**100%**	**96%**	**21%**
Female	99	99%	93%	23%	105	100%	97%	23%
Male	122	100%	88%	18%	102	99%	94%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	3	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	75	100%	96%	36%	55	100%	98%	31%
White	139	99%	87%	12%	145	99%	94%	18%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	29%	7	100%	100%	0%
General-Education Students	190	100%	94%	23%	185	100%	98%	23%
Students with Disabilities	31	97%	65%	6%	22	95%	77%	5%
English Proficient	220	–	–	–	204	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	221	100%	90%	20%	207	100%	96%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	221	100%	90%	20%	207	100%	96%	21%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Reports.*

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 718	*Range:	636–800	676–800	707–800			
2009 Mean Score: 724							
Number of Tested Students:		224 211	215 211	139 165			



Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**225**	**100%**	**96%**	**62%**	**211**	**100%**	**100%**	**78%**
Female	100	99%	96%	57%	107	100%	100%	78%
Male	125	100%	95%	66%	104	100%	100%	79%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	4	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	78	100%	97%	79%	58	100%	100%	84%
White	139	99%	95%	52%	146	100%	100%	78%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	63%	7	100%	100%	29%
General-Education Students	194	100%	98%	70%	189	100%	100%	82%
Students with Disabilities	31	97%	81%	10%	22	100%	100%	45%
English Proficient	221	–	–	–	206	100%	100%	80%
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	5	100%	100%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	225	100%	96%	62%	211	100%	100%	78%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	225	100%	96%	62%	211	100%	100%	78%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Reports.*

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	0			

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 92	Range:	45–100	65–100	85–100			
2009 Mean Score: 93							
2009–10		100%	100%	92%	97%	88%	55%
2008–09		100%	100%	94%	97%	88%	59%
Number of Tested Students:		225 209	225 208	207 197			

Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**225**	**100%**	**100%**	**92%**	**209**	**100%**	**100%**	**94%**
Female	100	100%	100%	92%	107	100%	99%	95%
Male	125	100%	100%	92%	102	100%	100%	93%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	4	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	78	100%	100%	95%	57	100%	100%	95%
White	139	100%	100%	90%	145	100%	99%	94%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	100%	7	100%	100%	86%
General-Education Students	194	100%	100%	94%	188	100%	100%	96%
Students with Disabilities	31	100%	100%	77%	21	100%	95%	81%
English Proficient	221	–	–	–	205	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	225	100%	100%	92%	209	100%	100%	94%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	225	100%	100%	92%	209	100%	100%	94%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	0			

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 696	*Range:	647–795	666–795	700–795			
2009 Mean Score: 693							
Number of Tested Students:		214 237	183 230	68 63			



Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**215**	**100%**	**85%**	**32%**	**237**	**100%**	**97%**	**27%**
Female	109	100%	87%	41%	120	100%	98%	20%
Male	106	99%	83%	22%	117	100%	97%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	3	–	–	–	7	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	62	100%	94%	50%	57	100%	96%	33%
White	146	99%	82%	24%	170	100%	98%	26%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	29%	10	100%	80%	0%
General-Education Students	193	100%	89%	35%	204	100%	100%	29%
Students with Disabilities	22	95%	50%	0%	33	100%	79%	9%
English Proficient	213	–	–	–	231	100%	98%	27%
Limited English Proficient	2	–	–	–	6	100%	67%	17%
Economically Disadvantaged					5	100%	80%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	215	100%	85%	32%	232	100%	97%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	215	100%	85%	32%	237	100%	97%	27%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Reports.*

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	–	–	–
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 716	*Range:	640–780	674–780	702–780			
2009 Mean Score: 720							
Number of Tested Students:		216 240	208 239	139 185			



Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**216**	**100%**	**96%**	**64%**	**240**	**100%**	**100%**	**77%**
Female	110	100%	95%	58%	121	100%	99%	78%
Male	106	100%	98%	71%	119	100%	100%	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	3	–	–	–	7	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	63	100%	100%	75%	60	100%	98%	83%
White	146	100%	95%	60%	170	100%	100%	76%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	57%	10	100%	100%	60%
General-Education Students	194	100%	97%	66%	207	100%	100%	83%
Students with Disabilities	22	100%	91%	45%	33	100%	97%	39%
English Proficient	213	–	–	–	233	100%	100%	78%
Limited English Proficient	3	–	–	–	7	100%	100%	57%
Economically Disadvantaged					5	100%	100%	60%
Not Disadvantaged	216	100%	96%	64%	235	100%	100%	77%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	216	100%	96%	64%	240	100%	100%	77%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Reports.*

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	–	–	–

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 689	*Range:	644–785	662–785	694–785			
2009 Mean Score: 680							
Number of Tested Students:		246 231	220 220	64 41			



Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**249**	**99%**	**88%**	**26%**	**231**	**100%**	**95%**	**18%**
Female	125	98%	91%	26%	110	100%	95%	24%
Male	124	99%	85%	26%	121	100%	95%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	–	–	–	5	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	9	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	71	97%	90%	32%	85	100%	99%	31%
White	165	99%	88%	22%	137	100%	93%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	13	100%	77%	31%	9	100%	100%	11%
General-Education Students	217	100%	94%	29%	206	100%	99%	20%
Students with Disabilities	32	91%	47%	3%	25	100%	64%	0%
English Proficient	245	–	–	–	227	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged					3	–	–	–
Not Disadvantaged	249	99%	88%	26%	228	–	–	–
Migrant								
Not Migrant	249	99%	88%	26%	231	100%	95%	18%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Reports.*

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 707	*Range:	640–780	674–780	699–780			
2009 Mean Score: 714							
2009–10		98%	90%	62%	92%	61%	27%
2008–09		100%	97%	69%	96%	83%	28%
Number of Tested Students:		248 232	226 227	157 161			

Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**252**	**98%**	**90%**	**62%**	**233**	**100%**	**97%**	**69%**
Female	126	97%	88%	63%	113	100%	99%	68%
Male	126	100%	91%	62%	120	99%	96%	70%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	80%	80%	60%	5	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	67%	22%	4	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	74	99%	95%	72%	86	100%	100%	81%
White	164	99%	89%	60%	138	99%	96%	62%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					9	100%	89%	67%
General-Education Students	220	100%	95%	69%	208	100%	100%	74%
Students with Disabilities	32	91%	53%	16%	25	96%	76%	28%
English Proficient	246	98%	91%	63%	226	100%	98%	69%
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	50%	33%	7	100%	86%	57%
Economically Disadvantaged					3	–	–	–
Not Disadvantaged	252	98%	90%	62%	230	–	–	–
Migrant								
Not Migrant	252	98%	90%	62%	233	100%	97%	69%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Reports.*

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	0			

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 687	*Range:	642–790	664–790	698–790			
2009 Mean Score: 686							
2009–10		99%	83%	28%	90%	50%	11%
2008–09		100%	96%	16%	100%	80%	7%
Number of Tested Students:		239 305	200 292	67 50			

Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**242**	**99%**	**83%**	**28%**	**305**	**100%**	**96%**	**16%**
Female	117	99%	85%	29%	138	100%	97%	17%
Male	125	98%	80%	26%	167	100%	95%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–				
Black or African American	5	100%	60%	20%	12	100%	92%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	4	–	–	–	5	100%	80%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	90	100%	89%	37%	87	100%	98%	23%
White	142	98%	80%	23%	201	100%	96%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	0%				
General-Education Students	218	100%	89%	30%	254	100%	100%	19%
Students with Disabilities	24	88%	29%	8%	51	100%	76%	2%
English Proficient	237	99%	84%	28%	305	100%	96%	16%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged					6	100%	83%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	242	99%	83%	28%	299	100%	96%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	242	99%	83%	28%	305	100%	96%	16%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Reports.*

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 702	*Range:	639–800	670–800	694–800			
2009 Mean Score: 706							
Number of Tested Students:		243 306	225 299	150 191			



Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**244**	**100%**	**92%**	**61%**	**307**	**100%**	**97%**	**62%**
Female	117	100%	93%	63%	138	100%	97%	57%
Male	127	99%	91%	60%	169	99%	98%	66%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–				
Black or African American	5	100%	80%	40%	13	100%	85%	38%
Hispanic or Latino	4	–	–	–	5	100%	100%	40%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	90	100%	99%	81%	88	100%	100%	88%
White	143	99%	88%	51%	201	100%	97%	53%
Multiracial	1	–	–	–				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	33%				
General-Education Students	220	100%	98%	67%	255	100%	100%	70%
Students with Disabilities	24	96%	42%	13%	52	98%	85%	23%
English Proficient	238	100%	92%	62%	307	100%	97%	62%
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	83%	50%				
Economically Disadvantaged					6	100%	83%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	244	100%	92%	61%	301	100%	98%	63%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	244	100%	92%	61%	307	100%	97%	62%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Reports.*

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 695	*Range:	627–790	658–790	699–790			
2009 Mean Score: 682							
2009–10		100%	91%	33%	91%	51%	8%
2008–09		100%	93%	12%	98%	69%	5%
Number of Tested Students:		308 307	281 286	102 36			



Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**309**	**100%**	**91%**	**33%**	**307**	**100%**	**93%**	**12%**
Female	138	100%	93%	34%	145	100%	96%	14%
Male	171	99%	89%	32%	162	100%	91%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	100%	69%	8%	9	100%	100%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	80%	20%	5	100%	100%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	92	100%	97%	50%	92	100%	93%	20%
White	199	99%	90%	27%	201	100%	93%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	258	100%	97%	38%	272	100%	96%	13%
Students with Disabilities	51	98%	63%	6%	35	100%	74%	0%
English Proficient	309	100%	91%	33%	302	100%	93%	12%
Limited English Proficient					5	100%	80%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged					9	100%	89%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	309	100%	91%	33%	298	100%	93%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	309	100%	91%	33%	307	100%	93%	12%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Reports.*

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	–	–	–
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 705	*Range:	639–775	673–775	702–775			
2009 Mean Score: 708	100%	99% 100%	91% 97%	51% 56%	91% 96%	55% 80%	18% 19%
Number of Tested Students:		310 307	286 299	161 174			

■ 2009–10
■ 2008–09

Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**314**	**99%**	**91%**	**51%**	**308**	**100%**	**97%**	**56%**
Female	138	99%	92%	46%	146	100%	98%	62%
Male	176	98%	90%	55%	162	99%	96%	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	92%	77%	23%	8	100%	100%	38%
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	100%	20%	5	100%	80%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	96	100%	99%	70%	93	100%	99%	73%
White	200	99%	88%	45%	202	100%	97%	50%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	262	100%	97%	58%	274	100%	99%	62%
Students with Disabilities	52	92%	60%	15%	34	97%	82%	9%
English Proficient	310	–	–	–	302	100%	97%	57%
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	6	100%	100%	50%
Economically Disadvantaged					9	100%	100%	22%
Not Disadvantaged	314	99%	91%	51%	299	100%	97%	58%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	314	99%	91%	51%	308	100%	97%	56%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Reports.*

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	–	–	–

4 Overview of District Performance

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**129**	**98%**	**88%**	**39%**	**127**	**100%**	**95%**	**32%**
Female	64	100%	89%	34%	57	100%	95%	18%
Male	65	95%	88%	43%	70	100%	96%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	3	–	–	–	5	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	100%	95%	40%	20	100%	100%	45%
White	97	97%	89%	38%	99	100%	94%	29%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	12	100%	75%	42%	8	100%	100%	38%
General-Education Students	87	100%	98%	49%	94	100%	100%	37%
Students with Disabilities	42	93%	69%	17%	33	100%	82%	18%
English Proficient	125	–	–	–	124	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged					8	100%	100%	38%
Not Disadvantaged	129	98%	88%	39%	119	100%	95%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	129	98%	88%	39%	127	100%	95%	32%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2009–10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	–	–	–
Regents Science	184	184	184	169	181	181	181	175

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2006 Cohort				2005 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**350**	**98%**	**98%**	**77%**	**296**	**95%**	**95%**	**74%**
Female	165	99%	99%	85%	140	96%	96%	81%
Male	185	97%	97%	70%	156	94%	94%	67%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	3	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	114	100%	100%	78%	83	98%	98%	80%
White	226	97%	97%	78%	208	95%	95%	72%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	100%	100%	50%	5	80%	80%	60%
General-Education Students	295	99%	99%	86%	254	98%	98%	81%
Students with Disabilities	55	93%	91%	29%	42	76%	76%	26%
English Proficient	346	–	–	–	295	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged					5	100%	100%	100%
Not Disadvantaged	350	98%	98%	77%	291	95%	95%	73%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	350	98%	98%	77%	296	95%	95%	74%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **28-05-15-03-0000**

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2006 Cohort				2005 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**350**	**97%**	**97%**	**85%**	**296**	**97%**	**97%**	**90%**
Female	165	98%	98%	90%	140	98%	98%	90%
Male	185	96%	96%	82%	156	96%	96%	90%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	3	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	114	97%	97%	90%	83	100%	100%	95%
White	226	96%	96%	84%	208	96%	96%	88%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	100%	100%	70%	5	80%	80%	60%
General-Education Students	295	99%	99%	93%	254	99%	99%	98%
Students with Disabilities	55	85%	85%	44%	42	83%	83%	43%
English Proficient	346	–	–	–	295	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged					5	100%	100%	100%
Not Disadvantaged	350	97%	97%	85%	291	97%	97%	90%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	350	97%	97%	85%	296	97%	97%	90%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.