



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2009 – 10**

District **HICKSVILLE UNION FREE SCHOOL  
DISTRICT**

District ID **28-05-17-03-0000**

Superintendent **MAUREEN BRIGHT**

Telephone **(516) 733-6600**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

#### **1 Get District Profile information.**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### **2 Review District Accountability Status.**

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

#### **3 View School Accountability Status.**

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

#### **4 Review an Overview of District Performance.**

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: [dataquest@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:dataquest@mail.nysed.gov)

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Pre-K	93	93	89
Kindergarten	343	338	373
Grade 1	367	373	367
Grade 2	396	378	378
Grade 3	369	408	387
Grade 4	376	382	413
Grade 5	391	406	375
Grade 6	407	401	431
Ungraded Elementary	6	1	8
Grade 7	433	440	401
Grade 8	422	442	436
Grade 9	481	465	467
Grade 10	436	466	452
Grade 11	405	438	442
Grade 12	409	388	426
Ungraded Secondary	17	18	20
<b>Total K-12</b>	<b>5258</b>	<b>5344</b>	<b>5376</b>

### Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
<b>Common Branch</b>	20	21	22
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	24	26	26
Mathematics	24	25	28
Science	23	24	23
Social Studies	26	24	26
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	24	25	26
Mathematics	27	23	24
Science	22	21	24
Social Studies	26	27	28

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **HICKSVILLE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **28-05-17-03-0000**

## Demographic Factors

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	564	11%	718	13%	761	14%
Reduced-Price Lunch	253	5%	311	6%	290	5%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	469	9%	473	9%	446	8%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	2	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	124	2%	150	3%	168	3%
Hispanic or Latino	1056	20%	1166	22%	1183	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1245	24%	1320	25%	1371	26%
White	2811	53%	2690	50%	2617	49%
Multiracial	20	0%	16	0%	34	1%

\* Available only at the school level.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	95%		95%		94%	
Student Suspensions	171	3%	204	4%	148	3%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
<b>Total Number of Teachers</b>	433	437	427
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	8%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	24%	29%	32%
<b>Total Number of Core Classes</b>	1040	1099	1050
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
<b>Total Number of Classes</b>	1557	1545	1521
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

## Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	N/A	32%	33%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	14%	10%

## Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Other Professional Staff	55	55	58
Total Paraprofessionals*	76	103	104
Assistant Principals	5	6	6
Principals	9	9	9

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### 2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts).

### Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

### Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/sirs>.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

### Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.



## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment (“Total” or “12<sup>th</sup> Graders”) shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the “Percentage Tested” shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

**Science:** The current year’s Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year’s Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

**Graduation Rate:** The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year’s graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target =  $[(80 - \text{percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008}) \times 0.20] + \text{percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008}$ .

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

### Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  
 $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show “Qualified.” If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show “Did not qualify.” A “\*” symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

### State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

### Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

### Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a “—” in the Test Performance column in the table.

### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

**Pending** – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.



## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)

▲ Good Standing

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Graduation Rate ▲ Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2008–09

2009–10

2010–11

YES

YES

YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—				
Black or African American	✓	✓		—	—	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓		✓	✓	
White	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Multiracial	—	—		—	—	
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	✗	✓		✓	✓ <sup>SH</sup>	
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓		—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓		✓	✓	
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	✗ 7 of 8	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 1 of 1

#### AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### Accountability Status Levels

##### Federal

- Good Standing ▲
- Improvement (Year 1) ▲
- Improvement (Year 2) ▲
- Improvement (Year 3) ▲
- Improvement (Year 4) ▲
- Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲
- Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

##### State

- Good Standing ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■

## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

7 of 8

Student groups making AYP in English language arts



Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10 2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (2482:2407)	✓	✓	100%	✓	181	153	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (76:72)	✓	✓	96%	✓	172	144	
Hispanic or Latino (531:504)	✓	✓	99%	✓	168	150	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (625:599)	✓	✓	100%	✓	185	151	
White (1235:1217)	✓	✓	100%	✓	184	152	
Multiracial (12:12)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (373:385)	✗	✓	99%	✗	139	149	146 112
Limited English Proficient (170:290)	✓	✓	98%	✓	154	149	
Economically Disadvantaged (354:335)	✓	✓	100%	✓	171	149	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✗ 7 of 8						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (1174:1142)			100%		183	152	
Male (1308:1265)			100%		178	152	
Migrant (0:0)							

#### Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP



Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment



Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

8 of 8

Student groups making AYP in mathematics



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10 2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (2482:2419)	✓	✓	100%	✓	191	133	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (76:73)	✓	✓	99%	✓	184	124	
Hispanic or Latino (531:511)	✓	✓	100%	✓	183	130	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (625:603)	✓	✓	100%	✓	197	131	
White (1235:1217)	✓	✓	100%	✓	193	132	
Multiracial (12:12)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (373:386)	✓	✓	100%	✓	167	129	
Limited English Proficient (170:301)	✓	✓	100%	✓	178	129	
Economically Disadvantaged (354:339)	✓	✓	100%	✓	189	129	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 8 of 8						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (1174:1146)			100%		192	132	
Male (1308:1273)			100%		191	132	
Migrant (0:0)							

#### Symbols

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

















## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2010–11)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2009–10 2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>								
<b>All Students</b> (856:832)		Qualified		99%		189	100	
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (28:26)	—		—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (187:181)		Qualified		99%		182	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (210:204)		Qualified		100%		191	100	
White (428:418)		Qualified		99%		192	100	
Multiracial (3:3)	—		—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (147:149)		Qualified		97%		165	100	
Limited English Proficient (58:96)		Qualified		98%		175	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (133:126)		Qualified		99%		194	100	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>								
Female (400:391)				100%		188	100	
Male (456:441)				99%		190	100	
Migrant (0:0)								

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

6 of 6

Student groups making AYP in English language arts



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10      2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (446:460)	✓	✓	99%	✓	191	172	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (9:8)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (78:82)	✓	✓	99%	✓	179	166	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (121:125)	✓	✓	100%	✓	193	168	
White (238:244)	✓	✓	99%	✓	194	170	
Multiracial (0:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (56:67)	✓	✓	98%	✓	175	165	
Limited English Proficient (13:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (83:86)	✓	✓	99%	✓	181	166	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 6 of 6						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (225:228)			100%		195	170	
Male (221:232)			99%		186	170	
Migrant (0:0)							

#### Symbols

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## Secondary-Level Mathematics

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

6 of 6

Student groups making AYP in mathematics



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10      2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (446:460)	✓	✓	100%	✓	189	168	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (9:8)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (78:82)	✓	✓	99%	✓	178	162	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (121:125)	✓	✓	100%	✓	195	164	
White (238:244)	✓	✓	100%	✓	190	166	
Multiracial (0:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (56:67)	✓ <sup>SH</sup>	✓	98%	✓ <sup>SH</sup>	160	161	149      164
Limited English Proficient (13:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (83:86)	✓	✓	99%	✓	187	162	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 6 of 6						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (225:228)			100%		192	166	
Male (221:232)			100%		187	166	
Migrant (0:0)							

#### Symbols

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.



## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)**  Good Standing

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation			Objectives State Standard	Progress Target	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate		2009–10	2010–11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (428)	✓	✓	87%	80%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (8)						
Hispanic or Latino (82)						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (111)						
White (226)						
Multiracial (1)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (65)						
Limited English Proficient (25)						
Economically Disadvantaged (60)						
Final AYP Determination						
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (209)						
Male (219)						
Migrant (0)						

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

#### Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **89%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

# 3 School Accountability Status

District **HICKSVILLE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-05-17-03-0000**

## 2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

---

### **In Good Standing**

9 schools identified 100% of total

FORK LANE SCHOOL  
BURNS AVENUE SCHOOL  
DUTCH LANE SCHOOL  
EAST STREET SCHOOL  
HICKSVILLE HIGH SCHOOL  
HICKSVILLE MIDDLE SCHOOL  
LEE AVENUE SCHOOL  
OLD COUNTRY ROAD SCHOOL  
WOODLAND AVENUE SCHOOL

---

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **HICKSVILLE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-05-17-03-0000**

## Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
<b>English Language Arts</b>				
Grade 3	69%	<div></div>		382
Grade 4	71%	<div></div>		405
Grade 5	69%	<div></div>		380
Grade 6	61%	<div></div>		415
Grade 7	59%	<div></div>		399
Grade 8	60%	<div></div>		431
<b>Mathematics</b>				
Grade 3	70%	<div></div>		388
Grade 4	71%	<div></div>		411
Grade 5	81%	<div></div>		382
Grade 6	71%	<div></div>		424
Grade 7	76%	<div></div>		401
Grade 8	50%	<div></div>		431
<b>Science</b>				
Grade 4	97%	<div></div>		407
Grade 8	85%	<div></div>		430

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2006 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
<b>Secondary Level</b>				
English	88%	<div></div>		489
Mathematics	87%	<div></div>		489

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

**Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.**  
Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

**Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.**  
Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

**Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.**  
Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

**Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.**  
Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts).


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>14%</b>
Female	173	95%	69%	25%	205	100%	87%	16%
Male	209	91%	68%	24%	198	97%	81%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	11	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	97	87%	55%	23%	104	99%	72%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	90	96%	71%	31%	100	100%	94%	22%
White	182	97%	76%	24%	184	98%	85%	15%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	13	77%	54%	0%	15	100%	93%	20%
General-Education Students	340	97%	74%	27%	341	100%	91%	16%
Students with Disabilities	42	64%	26%	5%	62	92%	45%	3%
English Proficient	337	96%	75%	28%	348	99%	88%	16%
Limited English Proficient	45	71%	22%	2%	55	100%	58%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	107	85%	45%	19%	113	100%	80%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	275	96%	78%	27%	290	98%	86%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	382	93%	69%	25%	403	99%	84%	14%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 Accountability and Overview Reports.


### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	6	3	1
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>409</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>35%</b>
Female	179	98%	66%	27%	206	100%	98%	34%
Male	209	96%	74%	35%	203	100%	97%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	11	—	—	—	12	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	101	95%	63%	20%	105	100%	95%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	91	100%	78%	41%	105	100%	100%	52%
White	183	97%	70%	34%	183	99%	97%	34%
Multiracial	2	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
Small Group Totals	13	85%	62%	15%	16	100%	100%	38%
General-Education Students	345	99%	73%	34%	346	100%	99%	41%
Students with Disabilities	43	84%	47%	12%	63	98%	87%	5%
English Proficient	337	98%	74%	36%	349	100%	98%	39%
Limited English Proficient	51	90%	45%	2%	60	100%	93%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	110	94%	54%	22%	116	100%	99%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	278	98%	77%	35%	293	100%	97%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	388	97%	70%	31%	409	100%	97%	35%

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

## Other

## Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	—	—	—	6	6	4	2

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>6%</b>
Female	203	96%	71%	10%	184	97%	85%	7%
Male	202	95%	71%	6%	192	98%	84%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	—	—	—
Black or African American	14	—	—	—	10	100%	80%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	102	90%	55%	3%	66	91%	64%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	110	100%	80%	12%	90	99%	87%	10%
White	176	95%	74%	10%	204	99%	90%	7%
Multiracial	3	—	—	—	5	—	—	—
Small Group Totals	17	100%	76%	0%	6	100%	100%	0%
General-Education Students	344	99%	80%	10%	321	100%	92%	7%
Students with Disabilities	61	75%	21%	0%	55	85%	42%	0%
English Proficient	365	96%	75%	9%	347	99%	89%	7%
Limited English Proficient	40	88%	30%	0%	29	83%	28%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	129	95%	57%	4%	89	93%	65%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	276	96%	78%	10%	287	99%	91%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	405	96%	71%	8%	376	98%	85%	6%

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other


#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	7	7	6	4	11	9	8	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.



## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>411</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>41%</b>
Female	203	98%	70%	24%	187	100%	92%	41%
Male	208	97%	71%	25%	191	98%	94%	41%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	14	-	-	-	10	100%	100%	40%
Hispanic or Latino	103	95%	47%	9%	66	97%	74%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	114	99%	82%	40%	91	99%	98%	46%
White	177	97%	77%	22%	205	100%	96%	45%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	17	100%	71%	29%	6	100%	100%	33%
General-Education Students	349	99%	78%	28%	323	100%	96%	46%
Students with Disabilities	62	87%	27%	2%	55	96%	76%	13%
English Proficient	367	98%	76%	26%	348	99%	96%	44%
Limited English Proficient	44	93%	25%	7%	30	97%	57%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	132	98%	58%	16%	89	98%	80%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	279	97%	77%	28%	289	100%	97%	47%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	411	97%	71%	24%	378	99%	93%	41%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

## Other

## Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	7	7	3	1	11	10	10	1

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>407</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>78%</b>
Female	201	100%	96%	74%	187	99%	95%	77%
Male	206	99%	97%	74%	188	99%	97%	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	14	-	-	-	10	100%	100%	90%
Hispanic or Latino	103	98%	92%	50%	66	97%	85%	58%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	112	100%	98%	82%	90	100%	99%	83%
White	175	100%	98%	82%	203	100%	99%	83%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	17	100%	100%	88%	6	100%	100%	67%
General-Education Students	346	100%	98%	80%	321	99%	97%	84%
Students with Disabilities	61	97%	87%	43%	54	100%	91%	46%
English Proficient	364	99%	98%	79%	344	100%	99%	83%
Limited English Proficient	43	100%	86%	35%	31	94%	71%	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	129	99%	94%	60%	89	98%	89%	62%
Not Disadvantaged	278	100%	98%	81%	286	100%	99%	84%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	407	100%	97%	74%	375	99%	96%	78%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	7	7	7	4	11	10	9	9

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>18%</b>
Female	186	96%	70%	23%	196	100%	90%	21%
Male	194	94%	68%	19%	209	100%	92%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	10	100%	80%	30%	10	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	69	83%	49%	14%	95	99%	86%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	95	97%	68%	24%	102	100%	95%	19%
White	200	98%	76%	21%	197	100%	92%	17%
Multiracial	5	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	83%	67%	33%	11	100%	73%	9%
General-Education Students	329	98%	76%	24%	337	100%	96%	21%
Students with Disabilities	51	73%	25%	2%	68	99%	63%	1%
English Proficient	358	97%	73%	22%	388	100%	93%	19%
Limited English Proficient	22	50%	9%	0%	17	94%	41%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	107	87%	50%	10%	107	99%	85%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	273	98%	76%	25%	298	100%	93%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	380	95%	69%	21%	405	100%	91%	18%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	13	13	7	4	5	5	3	0
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>411</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>46%</b>
Female	186	99%	76%	35%	200	100%	97%	45%
Male	196	99%	85%	41%	211	100%	96%	48%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
Black or African American	10	100%	90%	40%	12	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	70	97%	56%	19%	97	100%	92%	32%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	96	100%	91%	44%	103	100%	99%	60%
White	200	100%	85%	43%	198	99%	97%	48%
Multiracial	5	—	—	—				
Small Group Totals	6	83%	67%	17%	13	100%	92%	15%
General-Education Students	331	99%	86%	42%	343	100%	98%	52%
Students with Disabilities	51	98%	49%	12%	68	99%	87%	19%
English Proficient	358	100%	85%	41%	389	100%	98%	48%
Limited English Proficient	24	92%	17%	0%	22	100%	68%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	97%	69%	27%	110	100%	91%	37%
Not Disadvantaged	274	100%	85%	43%	301	100%	98%	50%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	382	99%	81%	38%	411	100%	96%	46%

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	13	13	9	3	5	5	4	1

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>415</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>8%</b>
Female	204	94%	63%	11%	195	100%	87%	9%
Male	211	91%	60%	7%	205	100%	84%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—				
Black or African American	11	—	—	—	15	100%	80%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	91	91%	45%	3%	73	100%	71%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	116	95%	76%	12%	96	100%	92%	10%
White	194	92%	64%	10%	216	100%	88%	9%
Multiracial	2	—	—	—				
Small Group Totals	14	86%	7%	0%				
General-Education Students	355	97%	68%	10%	354	100%	92%	9%
Students with Disabilities	60	65%	20%	3%	46	100%	41%	0%
English Proficient	401	95%	63%	9%	387	100%	88%	8%
Limited English Proficient	14	36%	0%	0%	13	100%	23%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged					90	100%	80%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	415	93%	61%	9%	310	100%	87%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	415	93%	61%	9%	400	100%	86%	8%

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	3	1	4	—	—	—
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>39%</b>
Female	208	96%	72%	34%	194	99%	94%	42%
Male	216	94%	70%	38%	207	99%	93%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—				
Black or African American	13	—	—	—	15	100%	87%	27%
Hispanic or Latino	95	91%	60%	23%	73	99%	84%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	119	99%	86%	51%	97	100%	96%	55%
White	194	95%	71%	35%	216	99%	96%	42%
Multiracial	2	—	—	—				
Small Group Totals	16	94%	19%	13%				
General-Education Students	363	98%	77%	40%	355	100%	96%	43%
Students with Disabilities	61	80%	33%	10%	46	96%	72%	7%
English Proficient	404	97%	73%	38%	386	99%	95%	40%
Limited English Proficient	20	60%	25%	5%	15	93%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged					90	99%	84%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	424	95%	71%	36%	311	99%	96%	43%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	424	95%	71%	36%	401	99%	93%	39%

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


## Other

## Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	4	0	4	—	—	—



## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	193	96%	64%	17%	195	99%	87%	6%
Male	206	91%	54%	9%	239	99%	84%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—				
Black or African American	14	—	—	—	14	100%	71%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	67	88%	30%	0%	82	99%	66%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	101	97%	65%	21%	93	100%	90%	9%
White	216	94%	64%	13%	245	99%	91%	4%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	87%	67%	0%				
General-Education Students	357	97%	64%	14%	360	100%	93%	6%
Students with Disabilities	42	64%	17%	0%	74	97%	49%	1%
English Proficient	387	95%	60%	13%	421	100%	88%	5%
Limited English Proficient	12	58%	0%	0%	13	92%	8%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged					97	99%	73%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	399	94%	59%	13%	337	99%	89%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	399	94%	59%	13%	434	99%	85%	5%

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	5	5	7	7	7	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>437</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>36%</b>
Female	194	98%	79%	38%	197	100%	94%	40%
Male	207	96%	74%	33%	240	100%	96%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—				
Black or African American	14	—	—	—	14	100%	86%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	68	93%	56%	13%	85	100%	89%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	102	99%	84%	53%	94	100%	99%	50%
White	216	98%	80%	35%	244	100%	96%	40%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	100%	67%	27%				
General-Education Students	359	99%	82%	39%	363	100%	98%	43%
Students with Disabilities	42	86%	31%	5%	74	99%	80%	4%
English Proficient	387	99%	78%	36%	421	100%	96%	38%
Limited English Proficient	14	57%	29%	7%	16	100%	69%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged					99	100%	92%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	401	97%	76%	35%	338	100%	96%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	401	97%	76%	35%	437	100%	95%	36%

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

## Other

## Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	4	7	7	6	6

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>439</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Female	193	94%	67%	9%	235	98%	75%	4%
Male	238	93%	54%	3%	204	98%	72%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	92%	38%	0%	15	100%	60%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	80	86%	46%	0%	92	96%	58%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	95	95%	68%	11%	107	99%	81%	5%
White	243	96%	62%	6%	225	99%	77%	2%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	360	98%	68%	7%	382	100%	82%	3%
Students with Disabilities	71	72%	15%	1%	57	88%	14%	0%
English Proficient	418	96%	61%	6%	423	98%	76%	3%
Limited English Proficient	13	31%	0%	0%	16	94%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged					88	97%	61%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	431	94%	60%	6%	351	99%	77%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	431	94%	60%	6%	439	98%	74%	3%

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


### Other

### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	1	–	–	–
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>17%</b>
Female	193	94%	51%	18%	237	96%	85%	16%
Male	238	95%	48%	18%	205	98%	86%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	77%	15%	0%	16	88%	69%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	80	85%	30%	6%	95	92%	71%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	95	99%	68%	35%	107	100%	97%	34%
White	243	97%	51%	16%	224	98%	88%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	360	98%	58%	21%	384	99%	92%	20%
Students with Disabilities	71	77%	10%	0%	58	79%	40%	0%
English Proficient	418	96%	51%	18%	424	97%	88%	18%
Limited English Proficient	13	54%	0%	0%	18	94%	28%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged					89	93%	78%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	431	95%	50%	18%	353	98%	88%	18%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	431	95%	50%	18%	442	97%	86%	17%

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	5	4	1	–	–	–

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>439</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>36%</b>
Female	194	97%	83%	33%	234	98%	81%	35%
Male	236	97%	87%	50%	205	97%	86%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	92%	62%	31%	16	88%	69%	38%
Hispanic or Latino	79	92%	75%	18%	91	92%	69%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	95	98%	85%	52%	110	100%	91%	55%
White	243	98%	90%	47%	222	99%	86%	32%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	361	99%	92%	48%	383	98%	89%	41%
Students with Disabilities	69	87%	48%	10%	56	91%	41%	0%
English Proficient	418	98%	87%	43%	420	98%	86%	37%
Limited English Proficient	12	50%	17%	0%	19	79%	11%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	-	-	-	-	84	95%	75%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	430	97%	85%	42%	355	98%	85%	40%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	430	97%	85%	42%	439	97%	83%	36%


### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	5	4	1	-	-	-
Regents Science	0	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



### Results by Student Group

	2006 Cohort				2005 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>489</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>427</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>50%</b>
Female	240	95%	93%	63%	209	93%	91%	58%
Male	249	87%	84%	45%	218	88%	85%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	89%	89%	56%	8	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	93	82%	78%	30%	82	78%	74%	30%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	129	95%	93%	66%	111	94%	91%	57%
White	258	92%	90%	56%	225	92%	91%	54%
Multiracial					1	—	—	—
Small Group Totals					9	100%	100%	67%
General-Education Students	418	94%	92%	61%	364	93%	92%	58%
Students with Disabilities	71	76%	66%	8%	63	71%	65%	5%
English Proficient	466	92%	91%	56%	402	92%	91%	53%
Limited English Proficient	23	61%	43%	0%	25	56%	44%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	93	87%	83%	45%	60	83%	80%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	396	92%	90%	56%	367	91%	89%	54%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	489	91%	88%	54%	427	90%	88%	50%

#### NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.



## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



## Results by Student Group

Results by Student Group	2006 Cohort				2005 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4		2–4	3–4	4
All Students	489	90%	87%	36%	427	90%	88%	37%
Female	240	93%	90%	37%	209	92%	90%	38%
Male	249	87%	83%	35%	218	89%	85%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	89%	89%	22%	8	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	93	81%	76%	20%	82	76%	73%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	129	95%	94%	56%	111	95%	93%	49%
White	258	91%	86%	32%	225	93%	90%	37%
Multiracial					1	–	–	–
Small Group Totals					9	100%	100%	67%
General-Education Students	418	94%	92%	41%	364	94%	93%	43%
Students with Disabilities	71	66%	52%	6%	63	68%	54%	2%
English Proficient	466	91%	88%	38%	402	92%	90%	39%
Limited English Proficient	23	65%	61%	0%	25	60%	56%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	93	88%	85%	27%	60	83%	82%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	396	90%	87%	38%	367	91%	89%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	489	90%	87%	36%	427	90%	88%	37%

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.