

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 School JHS 98 HERMAN RIDDER District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #12 School ID 32-12-00-01-0098 Principal CLARALEE IROBUNDA Telephone (718) 589-8200 Grades 6-8, UE

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

#### Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about

the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

## **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	108	113	157
Ungraded Elementary	12	18	1
Grade 7	139	128	142
Grade 8	137	127	159
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	52	43	0
Total K–12	448	429	459

## Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Common Branch	25	26	26
Grade 8			
English	27	22	22
Mathematics	28	24	24
Science	27	23	23
Social Studies	27	28	28
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	200	7–08	200	8-09	2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	428	96%	340	79%	391	85%
Reduced-Price Lunch	9	2%	29	7%	12	3%
Student Stability*		88%		87%		75%
Limited English Proficient	84	19%	75	17%	85	19%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	1%	5	1%	4	1%
Black or African American	130	29%	132	31%	143	31%
Hispanic or Latino	294	66%	272	63%	283	62%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	4%	17	4%	25	5%
White	4	1%	3	1%	4	1%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		89%		88%	90%	
Student Suspensions	24	5%	26	6%	12	3%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Number of Teachers	35	41	37
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	6%	5%	3%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	23%	10%	27%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	20%	20%	19%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	34%	34%	27%
Total Number of Core Classes	121	165	97
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School	18%	12%	22%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	15%	12%	11%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	151	185	110
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	19%	11%	26%

## **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	27%	42%	20%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	31%	17%	17%

### **Staff Counts**

2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
0	7	5
N/A	N/A	N/A
0	4	4
0	1	1
	0	0 7

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### **3 Third Indicator**

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### 2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### **Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics**

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the SIRS Manual at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/sirs/.

http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/sirs/.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

#### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

#### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

#### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

*Science:* The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

*Graduation Rate*: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: 2008–09 PI + (200 – the 2008–09 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

#### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

#### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

#### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State participates in the Differentiated Accountability pilot program, as approved by the United States Department of Education in January 2009. Under this program, each public school in the State is assigned an accountability "phase" (Good Standing, Improvement, Corrective Action, or Restructuring) and, for schools not in Good Standing, a "category" (Basic, Focused, or Comprehensive) for each measure for which the school is accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. Generally, the school's overall accountability status is its most advanced accountability phase and its highest category within that phase. A school in any year of the phase (that is not Good Standing) that makes AYP for the measure remains in the same phase/category the following year. An identified school that makes AYP in the identified measure for two consecutive years returns to Good Standing. Once a school is identified with a category within a phase, it cannot move to a less intensive category in the following school year within that phase.

Each school district with one or more Title I schools and each Title I charter school designated as Improvement (year 1 and year 2), Corrective Action, or Restructuring must make Supplemental Educational Services available for eligible students in the identified Title I school(s). A school district with one or more schools designated as Improvement (year 2), Corrective Action, or Restructuring must also provide Public School Choice to eligible students in identified Title I school(s). For more information on the Differentiated Accountability program and a list of interventions for schools not in Good Standing,

see http://www.p12.nysed.gov/nyc/APA/Differentiated\_Accountability/DA\_home.html.

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status (continued)**

Phase

#### Phase/Category

Good Standing A school that has not been designated as Improvement, Corrective Action, or Restructuring.

**Improvement (year 1)** A school that failed to make AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure; or a school that was designated as Improvement (year 1) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure and is in Good Standing.

**Improvement (year 2)** A school that was designated as a school in Improvement (year 1) in the current school year and failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Improvement (year 2) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure.

#### Improvement/Basic:

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for one accountability group, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in only science or graduation rate. **Improvement/Focused:** 

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for more than one accountability group, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school whose worst status is Improvement/Basic for at least two measures.

#### Improvement/Comprehensive:

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for every accountability group for which there are at least two, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math AND in science or graduation rate.

#### **Corrective Action or Restructuring/Focused:**

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for one or more accountability groups, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in science or graduation rate but made AYP in ELA and math.

(year 1) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure. **Corrective Action (year 2)** A school that was designated as a school in Corrective Action (year 1) in the current school year that failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Corrective Action (year 2) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified

measure.

Corrective Action (year 1) A school that was designated as a

school in Improvement (year 2) in the current school year and failed

to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was

identified; or a school that was designated as Corrective Action

**Restructuring (year 1)** A school that was designated as a school in Corrective Action (year 2) in the current school year and failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Restructuring (year 1) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure. Restructuring (year 2) A school that was designated as a school in Restructuring (year 1) in the current school year that failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Restructuring (year 2) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure. Restructuring (Advanced) A school that was designated as a school in Restructuring (year 2) in the current school year that failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Restructuring (Advanced) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure.

**Corrective Action or Restructuring/Comprehensive:** A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for every accountability group except the All Students group for which there are at least two, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math AND in science or graduation rate.

**SURR:** A school that is identified for registration review (SURR) during a school year in which it is designated as a school in Improvement or Corrective Action shall, in the next school year, be designated as **Restructuring (year 1)/Comprehensive.** 

**Pending** — A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability

School JHS 98 HERMAN RIDDER School ID 32-12-00-01-0098

YES

### Summary

Overall Accountability	Restructuring (advanced) Comprehensive					
Status (2010–11)	Elementa	ry/Middle Level	Secondary Level			
	ELA	Restructuring (advanced) Comprehensive	ELA			
	Math In Good Standing		Math			
	Science	In Good Standing	Graduation Rate			
Title I Part A Funding	Years th	e School Received Title	l Part A Funding			
	2008–09	2009-	-10 2010-11			

YES

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

YES

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	X	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_				
Black or African American	X	✓	••••		•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino	X	✓	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••
White	–	-	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>				
Limited English Proficient	<b>√</b> SH	✓	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	×	<b>~</b>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 1 of 6	🗸 6 of 6	🗸 1 of 1			

#### **AYP Status**



✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did not make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)		Restructuring (advanced) Comprehensive
Accountability Measures	1 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	×	Did not make AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		es
Student Group	<u> </u>	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (446:402)	X	<b>v</b>	98%	X	142	150	150	111
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (141:125)	X	<	99%	X	139	146	146	108
Hispanic or Latino (271:245)	X	<	97%	X	142	148	148	113
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (23:22)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (5:4)	–	-	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–
Multiracial (2:2)	_	_	-	_	-	_		–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (83:81)	X	<b>V</b>	95%	X	116	144	117	88
Limited English Proficient (78:90)	SH	~	96%	<b>V</b> SH	126	145	126	89
Economically Disadvantaged (446:402)	X	~	98%	X	142	150	150	111
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 1 of 6	;				·		
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (226:206)			99%		147	147		
Male (220:196)			97%		137	147		
Migrant (0:0)								

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)		In Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<b>v</b>	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2009–10	or Target 2010-11
Accountability Groups							2009 10	2010 11
All Students (449:407)	<b>~</b>	~	99%	<b>v</b>	178	130		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (140:125)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	170	126	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino (274:250)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	98%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	181	128	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (24:22)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (5:4)	-	–	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Multiracial (2:2)	_	_	–	_	–	_	•••••••••••••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (83:80)	~	<b>~</b>	96%	<b>V</b>	159	124		
Limited English Proficient (78:93)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	97%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	180	125	•••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (449:407)	~	~	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	178	130	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6	5				·		
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (227:208)			99%		183	127		
Male (222:199)			99%		172	127		
Migrant (0:0)								

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)		In Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performa	nce Obje	ctives
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2009–10	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (160:127)	~	Qualified	~	88%	<b>v</b>	120	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		_		-	_	-	_		
Black or African American (38:26)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (104:87)		Qualified	<	88%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	114	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:10)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (2:1)		-	_	-	–	-	-		-
Multiracial (2:2)	• ••••	–	_	-	-	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (24:16)		_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Limited English Proficient (32:24)	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (160:127)		Qualified	<	88%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	120	100		
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups		_							
Female (82:66)				93%		127	100		
Male (78:61)	• •••••	••••••		83%		111	100	•••••	
Migrant (0:0)	• ••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

### Summary of 2009–10 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	22%		153
Grade 7	22%		127
Grade 8	20%		152
Mathematics			
Grade 6	40%		156
Grade 7	47%		130
Grade 8	42%		158
Science			
Grade 8	39%		141

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #12

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of the school district and public schools Statewide.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School I	District		NY State	e Public	
	Percentage	e scoring at level(s	\$):	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
	2-4	3–4 4		2-4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 653	*Range: 644-785	662-785 694	4-785						
2009 Mean Score: 653	100% 99% 80%			99%			89%	81%	
2009-10 2008-09		59% 22%	1%		28%	<u>1%</u> 1%		54%	7% 9%
Number of Tested Students	123 127	33 76 2	1	13911813	502 1077	11 20	-		

2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r	
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
153	80%	22%	1%	128	99%	59%	1%
80	84%	25%	3%	68	100%	63%	1%
73	77%	18%	0%	60	98%	55%	0%
1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
56	80%	21%	2%	50	98%	58%	0%
85	80%	24%	1%	73	100%	59%	1%
ler 9	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
2	-	–	–			••••••	
••••			•••••			••••••	
12	83%	8%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%
128	85%	23%	2%	99	100%	70%	1%
25	56%	12%	0%	29	97%	24%	0%
126	82%	25%	2%	110	99%	67%	1%
27	74%	7%	0%	18	100%	11%	0%
153	80%	22%	1%	123	99%	59%	1%
				5	100%	80%	0%
153	80%	22%	1%	128	99%	59%	1%
	Total Tested 153 80 73 1 56 85 12 2 12 128 25 126 27 153	Total         Percentage           Tested         2-4           153         80%           80         84%           73         77%           1         -           56         80%           85         80%           85         80%           12         83%           128         85%           25         56%           126         82%           27         74%           153         80%	Tested         2-4         3-4           153         80%         22%           80         84%         25%           73         77%         18%           1         -         -           56         80%         24%           85         80%         24%           ler         9         -         -           2         -         -         -           12         83%         8%         128           128         85%         23%         25           25         56%         12%           126         82%         25%           27         74%         7%           153         80%         22%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s):           153         80%         22%         1%           80         84%         25%         3%           73         77%         18%         0%           1         -         -         -           56         80%         21%         2%           85         80%         24%         1%           ler         9         -         -           12         83%         8%         0%           128         85%         23%         2%           25         56%         12%         0%           126         82%         25%         2%           27         74%         7%         0%           153         80%         22%         1%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total Tested           153         80%         22%         1%         128           80         84%         25%         3%         68           73         77%         18%         0%         60           1         -         -         -         2           56         80%         21%         2%         50           85         80%         24%         1%         73           ler         9         -         -         -         3           2         -         -         -         3         2           12         83%         8%         0%         5           128         85%         23%         2%         99           25         56%         12%         0%         29           126         82%         25%         2%         110           27         74%         7%         0%         18           153         80%         22%         1%         123           5         5	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total Tested         Percentage 2-4           153         80%         22%         1%         128         99%           80         84%         25%         3%         68         100%           73         77%         18%         0%         60         98%           1         -         -         2         -           56         80%         21%         2%         50         98%           85         80%         24%         1%         73         100%           1er         9         -         -         3         -           2         -         -         3         -         -           12         83%         8%         0%         5         100%           128         85%         23%         2%         99         100%           25         56%         12%         0%         29         97%           126         82%         25%         2%         110         99%           27         74%         7%         0%         18         100%           153         80%         22%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total Tested         Percentage scoring at 2-4         Percentage scoring at 3-4           153         80%         22%         1%         128         99%         59%           80         84%         25%         3%         68         100%         63%           73         77%         18%         0%         60         98%         55%           1         -         -         -         -         -         -           56         80%         21%         2%         50         98%         58%           85         80%         24%         1%         73         100%         59%           1er         9         -         -         -         -         -           12         83%         8%         0%         5         100%         80%           128         85%         23%         2%         99         100%         70%           25         56%         12%         0%         29         97%         24%           126         82%         25%         2%         110         99%         67%           27         74%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the <b>Other</b>		School Year		ccountability an	2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #12

School JHS 98 HERMAN RIDDER School ID 32-12-00-01-0098

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

	This Sch	ool	Schoo	l District	NY State Public	:
	Percentag	e scoring at level	l(s): Percenta	ge scoring at level(s):	Percentage scoring	at level(s):
	2-4	3–4 4	2-4	3–4 4	2-4 3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 669	*Range: 640–780	674-780 69	99-780			
2009 Mean Score: 664	100% 91% 95%	66%	83% 909	62% 37%	92% 96% 83 61%	% 27% 28%
Number of Tested Students:	142 124	62 87 21	3%         13%           1         17         1536167	10% 10% 0 691 1154 184 186		

Total Tested <b>156</b> 81 75 1	Percentage 2–4 <b>91%</b> 93%	e scoring at 3–4 <b>40%</b> 43%	4 <b>13%</b>	Total Tested <b>131</b>	Percentag 2–4 <b>95%</b>	e scoring at 3–4	4
<b>156</b> 81 75	<b>91%</b> 93%	40%	13%				
81 75	93%			131	95%	660/	
75		43%				<b>66</b> %	13%
-	89%		17%	68	94%	63%	12%
1	0.570	36%	9%	63	95%	70%	14%
-	-	-	-	2	-	_	-
56	88%	36%	13%	50	92%	60%	10%
88	92%	45%	14%	76	96%	70%	14%
9		_	_	3	-	-	-
2	-	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••		•••••
	••••			••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••		
12	100%	17%	17%	5	100%	80%	20%
130	98%	46%	16%	101	97%	72%	17%
26	58%	8%	0%	30	87%	47%	0%
129	90%	39%	16%	113	95%	70%	15%
27	96%	44%	4%	18	94%	44%	0%
156	91%	40%	13%	125	94%	66%	12%
	••••	•••••	•••••	6	100%	67%	33%
156	91%	40%	13%	131	95%	66%	13%
	9 2 12 130 26 129 27 156	9       -         2       -         12       100%         130       98%         26       58%         129       90%         27       96%         156       91%	9       -       -         2       -       -         12       100%       17%         130       98%       46%         26       58%       8%         129       90%       39%         27       96%       44%         156       91%       40%	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year	Year 2008-09 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				

## This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This Scho	ool		School [	District		NY State	e Public	
	Percentage	e scoring at le	evel(s):	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 652	*Range: 642-790	664-790	698-790						
2009 Mean Score: 650	100% 99% 80%			98%			90%	80%	
2009-10 2008-09		54% 22%	2% 1%		20%	2% 1%		50%	11% 7%
Number of Tested Students	101 135	28 73	22	1423 1752	371 955	29 14			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	127	80%	22%	2%	136	99%	54%	1%
Female	63	87%	24%	2%	68	100%	60%	3%
Male	64	72%	20%	2%	68	99%	47%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	46	78%	22%	2%	28	100%	46%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	75	80%	21%	0%	92	99%	55%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	10	100%	70%	0%
White	1	-	–	-	3	-	–	-
Multiracial	•••••		••••••		1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	83%	33%	17%	6	100%	33%	0%
General-Education Students	96	86%	27%	2%	116	100%	61%	2%
Students with Disabilities	31	58%	6%	0%	20	95%	10%	0%
English Proficient	111	86%	25%	2%	116	100%	59%	2%
Limited English Proficient	16	38%	0%	0%	20	95%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	127	80%	22%	2%	120	99%	54%	2%
Not Disadvantaged					16	100%	50%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	127	80%	22%	2%	136	99%	54%	1%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the <b>Other</b>		are available in th School Year		ccountability an	nd Overview Reports. 2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	•			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

## This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This Sch	ool		School [	District		NY State	e Public			
	Percentag	e scoring at l	level(s):	Percentage	e scoring at le	evel(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 668	*Range: 639-800	670-800	694-800								
2009 Mean Score: 667	100% 94% 97%	84% 47%	12% 11%	96% 83%	68% 35%	7% 8%	92% 99%	87% 62%	29% 30%		
Number of Tested Students	: 122 140	61 122	15 16	15411741	650 1232	135 148					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	130	94%	47%	12%	145	97%	84%	11%	
Female	64	94%	53%	11%	70	99%	87%	16%	
Male	66	94%	41%	12%	75	95%	81%	7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	46	91%	39%	7%	27	96%	81%	7%	
Hispanic or Latino	78	95%	50%	13%	101	96%	85%	12%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 3	-	-	–	11	100%	91%	18%	
White	1	-	-	–	3	-	-	-	
Multiracial	•••••				1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	33%	6	100%	67%	0%	
General-Education Students	99	96%	53%	14%	123	98%	87%	13%	
Students with Disabilities	31	87%	29%	3%	22	86%	68%	0%	
English Proficient	112	96%	52%	13%	118	99%	86%	14%	
Limited English Proficient	18	83%	17%	0%	27	85%	78%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	130	94%	47%	12%	126	96%	84%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged					19	100%	84%	5%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	130	94%	47%	12%	145	97%	84%	11%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008–09 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0					

## This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This S	School		School	District		NY State	e Public
	Percer	itage scoring	at level(s):	Percentage	e scoring at level	(s):	Percentage	e scoring at level(s):
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4 4		2-4	3–4 4
2010 Mean Score: 640	*Range: 627-7	790 658-7	'90 699-79	0				
2009 Mean Score: 640	100%	4%		96% 79%			91% 98%	
2009–10 2008–09		3' 20%	9% 1% 0%		42%	% 1%		69% 51% <u>8%</u> 5%
Number of Tested Students	118 12	.0 31 50	) 2 0	1407 1747	394 756 13	3 10		

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	152	<b>78</b> %	20%	1%	128	94%	39%	0%	
Female	76	83%	26%	3%	59	97%	51%	0%	
Male	76	72%	14%	0%	69	91%	29%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	38	71%	11%	3%	47	100%	43%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	98	81%	22%	1%	76	89%	36%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 11	82%	36%	0%	4	-	-	-	
White	2	-	-	-					
Multiracial	2	-	-	-					
Small Group Totals	5	60%	20%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%	
General-Education Students	129	81%	23%	2%	113	96%	42%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	23	57%	4%	0%	15	80%	13%	0%	
English Proficient	124	82%	25%	2%	103	99%	46%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	28	57%	0%	0%	25	72%	12%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	152	78%	20%	1%	108	94%	40%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged					20	95%	35%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	152	78%	20%	1%	128	94%	39%	0%	

NOTES

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, \* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009-10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 4 3 - 44 2 - 43 - 42 - 4New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language 3 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)<sup>†</sup>: Grade 8 Total Total Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8

t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

	т	his Sch	ool				School [	District			NY State	e Public			
	P	ercentag	ige scoring at level(s):			_	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2	-4	3-4		4		2-4	3–4	4		2-4	3–4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 668	*Range: 6	39-775	673-	-775	702-775	5									
2009 Mean Score: 665 2009–10 2008–09	100%	96%	42%	71%	8% 11%	)	93% 81%	59% 31%	8% 7	·%	91% 96%	80% 55%	18% 19%		
Number of Tested Students	14	7 130	67	96	12 15		1466 1721	559 1083	145 1	37					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	158	93%	42%	8%	136	96%	71%	11%	
Female	80	98%	51%	10%	60	97%	78%	17%	
Male	78	88%	33%	5%	76	95%	64%	7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	38	92%	45%	5%	46	96%	67%	9%	
Hispanic or Latino	103	92%	36%	7%	84	95%	71%	11%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	75%	17%	5	-	-	-	
White	2	-	-	–		••••			
Multiracial	2	-	-	–					
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%	6	100%	83%	33%	
General-Education Students	135	94%	44%	7%	120	98%	73%	13%	
Students with Disabilities	23	87%	30%	9%	16	81%	50%	0%	
English Proficient	127	94%	49%	9%	103	96%	73%	14%	
Limited English Proficient	31	90%	16%	0%	33	94%	64%	3%	
Economically Disadvantaged	158	93%	42%	8%	115	95%	70%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged					21	100%	71%	10%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	158	93%	42%	8%	136	96%	71%	11%	


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009–10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2 - 43 - 44 2 - 43 - 44 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	141	80%	39%	<b>9</b> %	122	88%	39%	5%	
Female	76	83%	42%	11%	52	92%	44%	4%	
Male	65	77%	35%	8%	70	84%	34%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	33	85%	39%	12%	42	93%	33%	5%	
Hispanic or Latino	92	76%	36%	8%	75	84%	40%	4%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
White	1	-	-	-					
Multiracial	2	-	-	-					
Small Group Totals	16	94%	56%	13%	5	100%	60%	20%	
General-Education Students	122	82%	43%	11%	109	90%	40%	6%	
Students with Disabilities	19	68%	11%	0%	13	69%	23%	0%	
English Proficient	115	82%	44%	11%	92	93%	43%	5%	
Limited English Proficient	26	73%	15%	0%	30	70%	23%	3%	
Economically Disadvantaged	141	80%	39%	9%	101	87%	42%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged					21	90%	24%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	141	80%	39%	9%	122	88%	39%	5%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the port smallest group (a) are group students at the port of the students.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at level	(s):	
Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
0				0				
0				0				
	Total	Total Number sco		Total Number scoring at level(s):	Total   Number scoring at level(s):   Total	Total         Number scoring at level(s):         Total         Number score	Total         Number scoring at level(s):         Total         Number scoring at level	