

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 School PS 127 AEROSPACE SCIENCE MAGNET District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #30 School ID 34-30-00-01-0127 Principal EVITA SANABRIA Telephone (718) 446-4700 Grades PK-8, UE, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

#### Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

## **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Pre-K	67	61	70
Kindergarten	128	127	164
Grade 1	123	145	142
Grade 2	127	119	149
Grade 3	131	102	145
Grade 4	126	133	131
Grade 5	98	115	142
Grade 6	109	93	111
Ungraded Elementary	53	97	11
Grade 7	110	102	103
Grade 8	88	103	108
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	1
Total K–12	1093	1136	1207

## Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
Common Branch	22	23	23
Grade 8			
English	23	26	26
Mathematics	23	26	26
Science	23	25	25
Social Studies	23	26	26
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

# **Demographic Factors**

	2007-08		200	8–09	200	9-10
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	700	64%	884	78%	1019	84%
Reduced-Price Lunch	141	13%	119	10%	88	7%
Student Stability*		89%		95%		88%
Limited English Proficient	303	28%	324	29%	347	29%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	1	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	295	27%	270	24%	240	20%
Hispanic or Latino	674	62%	746	66%	835	69%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	97	9%	85	7%	93	8%
White	26	2%	34	3%	37	3%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2006-07		2007-	-08	2008-	-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		93%		92%
Student Suspensions	32	3%	59	5%	10	1%

# Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

# **Teacher Qualifications**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Number of Teachers	77	80	80
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	5%	5%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	19%	8%	6%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	16%	15%	14%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	36%	38%	36%
Total Number of Core Classes	127	160	181
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School	13%	6%	4%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	9%	5%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	165	193	217
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	17%	7%	6%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	10%	21%	31%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	6%	17%	23%

### **Staff Counts**

2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
0	8	10
N/A	N/A	N/A
0	3	3
0	1	1
	0 N/A 0	0 8 N/A N/A 0 3

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### **3 Third Indicator**

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### 2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### **Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics**

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the SIRS Manual at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/sirs/.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

#### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

# Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

#### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

#### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

*Science:* The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

*Graduation Rate*: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: 2008–09 PI + (200 – the 2008–09 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

#### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

#### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

#### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #30

School PS 127 AEROSPACE SCIENCE MAGNET School ID 34-30-00-01-0127

# **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State participates in the Differentiated Accountability pilot program, as approved by the United States Department of Education in January 2009. Under this program, each public school in the State is assigned an accountability "phase" (Good Standing, Improvement, Corrective Action, or Restructuring) and, for schools not in Good Standing, a "category" (Basic, Focused, or Comprehensive) for each measure for which the school is accountable. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. Generally, the school's overall accountability status is its most advanced accountability phase and its highest category within that phase. A school in any year of the phase (that is not Good Standing) that makes AYP for the measure remains in the same phase/category the following year. An identified school that makes AYP in the identified measure for two consecutive years returns to Good Standing. Once a school is identified with a category within a phase, it cannot move to a less intensive category in the following school year within that phase.

Each school district with one or more Title I schools and each Title I charter school designated as Improvement (year 1 and year 2), Corrective Action, or Restructuring must make Supplemental Educational Services available for eligible students in the identified Title I school(s). A school district with one or more schools designated as Improvement (year 2), Corrective Action, or Restructuring must also provide Public School Choice to eligible students in identified Title I school(s). For more information on the Differentiated Accountability program and a list of interventions for schools not in Good Standing,

see http://www.p12.nysed.gov/nyc/APA/Differentiated\_Accountability/DA\_home.html.

# **Understanding Your School Accountability Status (continued)**

Phase

Phase/Category

Good Standing A school that has not been designated as Improvement, Corrective Action, or Restructuring.

**Improvement (year 1)** A school that failed to make AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure; or a school that was designated as Improvement (year 1) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure and is in Good Standing.

**Improvement (year 2)** A school that was designated as a school in Improvement (year 1) in the current school year and failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Improvement (year 2) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure.

#### Improvement/Basic:

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for one accountability group, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in only science or graduation rate. **Improvement/Focused:** 

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for more than one accountability group, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school whose worst status is Improvement/Basic for at least two measures.

#### Improvement/Comprehensive:

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for every accountability group for which there are at least two, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math AND in science or graduation rate.

#### **Corrective Action or Restructuring/Focused:**

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for one or more accountability groups, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in science or graduation rate but made AYP in ELA and math.

(year 1) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure. **Corrective Action (year 2)** A school that was designated as a school in Corrective Action (year 1) in the current school year that failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Corrective Action (year 2) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified

measure.

Corrective Action (year 1) A school that was designated as a

school in Improvement (year 2) in the current school year and failed

to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Corrective Action

**Restructuring (year 1)** A school that was designated as a school in Corrective Action (year 2) in the current school year and failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Restructuring (year 1) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure. Restructuring (year 2) A school that was designated as a school in Restructuring (year 1) in the current school year that failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Restructuring (year 2) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure. Restructuring (Advanced) A school that was designated as a school in Restructuring (year 2) in the current school year that failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Restructuring (Advanced) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure.

**Corrective Action or Restructuring/Comprehensive:** A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for every accountability group except the All Students group for which there are at least two, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math AND in science or graduation rate.

**SURR:** A school that is identified for registration review (SURR) during a school year in which it is designated as a school in Improvement or Corrective Action shall, in the next school year, be designated as **Restructuring (year 1)/Comprehensive.** 

**Pending** — A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability

School PS 127 AEROSPACE SCIENCE MAGNET School ID 34-30-00-01-0127

2010-11

YES

### Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	Improvement (year 2) Basic				
Status (2010–11)	Elementar	y/Middle Level	Secondary Level		
	ELA	Improvement (year 2) Basic	ELA		
	Math	In Good Standing	Math		
	Science	In Good Standing	Graduation Rate		
Title I Part A Funding	Years th	e School Received Title I	Part A Funding		

2009-10

YES

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

2008-09

YES

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	V	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	V			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_				
Black or African American	✓	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••	
White	–	–	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<ul> <li></li> </ul>				
Limited English Proficient	✓SH	✓	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<	••••		••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 7 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🗸 1 of 1			

#### **AYP Status**



<sup>6H</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did not make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #30

# **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)		Improvement (year 2) Basic
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
		Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion	Test Performance Performance		Performa	mance Objectives	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2010–11
	Status	CITICITION	lesteu	Citterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (708:656)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	100%		158	151		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (178:169)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	154	147	•••••••••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino (454:419)	<	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	158	150	••••••••••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (55:50)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	✓	100%	~	178	142		
White (18:16)	–	–	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–
Multiracial (2:1)	_		-	_	-	_		–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (76:74)	✔ SH	<b>v</b>	99%	✔ SH	122	144	117	82
Limited English Proficient (178:211)	SH	~	100%	<b>V</b> SH	145	147	140	111
Economically Disadvantaged (652:606)	~	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	158	151	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7					·		
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (326:299)			100%		161	149		
Male (382:357)			100%		156	149		
Migrant (0:0)								

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)		In Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009–10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (709:659)	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>v</b>	180	131		
Ethnicity	,							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (177:169)	<	~	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	170	127	••••••••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino (455:422)	<	~	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	182	130		•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (56:50)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	98%	~	188	122		
White (18:16)	–	–	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–
Multiracial (2:1)			_	_	-			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (76:74)	~	~	99%	<b>V</b>	145	124		
Limited English Proficient (179:214)	~	~	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	172	127	•••••••••••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged (652:609)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	179	131	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7							
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (327:302)			99%		183	129		
Male (382:357)			100%		176	129		
Migrant (0:0)								

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)		In Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	V	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performa	nce Obje	ctives
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2009-10	5 Target 2010–11
Accountability Groups									
All Students (234:212)	~	Qualified	~	98%	<b>v</b>	172	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (59:56)		Qualified	~	97%	~	157	100		
Hispanic or Latino (144:131)		Qualified	~	99%	~	176	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (21:17)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (9:8)		-	-	-	-	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Multiracial (1:0)	• •••••	-	-	-	-	-	–	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (31:27)		-	-	-	-	-	_		_
Limited English Proficient (59:58)		Qualified	<	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	169	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (215:197)		Qualified	<	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	171	100		••••••
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (108:97)				99%		170	100		
Male (126:115)	• •••••	•••••••	••••••	98%		173	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Migrant (0:0)	• •••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••	••••••

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## Summary of 2009–10 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		ge of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	35%		137
Grade 4	38%		119
Grade 5	37%		128
Grade 6	32%		103
Grade 7	30%		97
Grade 8	31%		105
Mathematics			
Grade 3	44%		139
Grade 4	49%		127
Grade 5	56%		131
Grade 6	44%		104
Grade 7	46%		97
Grade 8	37%		105
Science			
Grade 4	85%		129
Grade 8	61%		101

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #30

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of the school district and public schools Statewide.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Scho	ool		School D	District		NY State	NY State Public			
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			scoring at l	evel(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2–4	3–4 4		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 657	*Range: 643-780	662-780 694	4–780								
2009 Mean Score: 655	100% 81% <sup>89%</sup>			86% 95%	75%		86% 95%	76%			
2009-10 2008-09		60% 35%	4%		49%	12% 8%		55%	17% 11%		
Number of Tested Students	111 108	48 73 6	5	2494 2755	1427 2157	362 234					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	137	81%	35%	4%	121	89%	60%	4%	
Female	67	82%	30%	3%	56	96%	68%	4%	
Male	70	80%	40%	6%	65	83%	54%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	30	87%	40%	10%	27	89%	63%	4%	
Hispanic or Latino	91	78%	32%	2%	70	87%	57%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	82%	45%	0%	18	94%	72%	11%	
White	4	-	–	-	6	100%	50%	0%	
Multiracial	1	-	-	-					
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	20%					
General-Education Students	122	84%	36%	5%	98	94%	67%	5%	
Students with Disabilities	15	53%	27%	0%	23	70%	30%	0%	
English Proficient	95	91%	41%	6%	81	95%	70%	6%	
Limited English Proficient	42	60%	21%	0%	40	78%	40%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	127	80%	33%	3%	113	89%	59%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	10	90%	60%	20%	8	88%	75%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	137	81%	35%	4%	121	89%	60%	4%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009-10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 4 3 - 44 2 - 43 - 42 - 4New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language 2 N/A N/A N/A 1 N/A N/A N/A Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)<sup>†</sup>: Grade 3 Total Total Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on 2 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3

t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

# This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	This Scho	ool		School I	District		NY State	e Public	
	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s)		
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 681	*Range:661-770	684-770	707-770						
2009 Mean Score: 682	100% 89%	93%		91%	94%		91% 99%	93%	
2009–10 2008–09		44%	9% <sup>15%</sup>		57%	24% <sup>29%</sup>		59%	24% 27%
Number of Tested Students	124 119	61 112	13 18	2708 2950	1701 2800	723 855			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	Tested 2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	139	89%	44%	<b>9</b> %	120	99%	93%	15%
Female	68	90%	32%	6%	55	100%	98%	18%
Male	71	89%	55%	13%	65	98%	89%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	30	87%	47%	7%	26	100%	96%	15%
Hispanic or Latino	93	88%	38%	8%	70	99%	91%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	64%	18%	18	100%	94%	17%
White	4	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	17%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	40%				
General-Education Students	124	91%	46%	10%	98	100%	96%	16%
Students with Disabilities	15	73%	27%	0%	22	95%	82%	9%
English Proficient	95	95%	54%	11%	79	100%	96%	22%
Limited English Proficient	44	77%	23%	7%	41	98%	88%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	129	89%	43%	10%	111	99%	94%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	10	90%	50%	0%	9	100%	89%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	139	89%	44%	9%	120	99%	93%	15%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009–10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2 - 43 - 44 2 - 43 - 44 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent

# This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School I	District		NY State	Public		
	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			e scoring at le	evel(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 662	*Range: 637-775	668-77	5 720-775							
2009 Mean Score: 661	100%	70%	3% 7%	91% 95%	73%	4% 7%	92% 96%	77%	6% 7%	
Number of Tested Students	102 115	45 90	49	2647 2684	1491 2071	130 193				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	119	86%	38%	3%	129	89%	70%	7%	
Female	56	89%	39%	2%	64	92%	69%	8%	
Male	63	83%	37%	5%	65	86%	71%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	22	86%	27%	0%	32	97%	75%	3%	
Hispanic or Latino	72	85%	42%	6%	89	85%	65%	8%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	88%	38%	0%	7	-	-	-	
White	8	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	•••••			•••••	
Small Group Totals	9	89%	33%	0%	8	100%	100%	13%	
General-Education Students	95	91%	45%	4%	115	94%	76%	8%	
Students with Disabilities	24	67%	8%	0%	14	50%	21%	0%	
English Proficient	77	90%	45%	5%	89	99%	88%	10%	
Limited English Proficient	42	79%	24%	0%	40	68%	30%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	112	85%	37%	4%	118	88%	69%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	7	100%	57%	0%	11	100%	82%	18%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	119	86%	38%	3%	129	89%	70%	7%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other		chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

# This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Scho	ool		School [	District		NY State	e Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 677	*Range	e:636-800	676-800	707-800							
2009 Mean Score: 675	100%	91% 93%	81%	16% 22%	95% 96%	88% 63%	27%	95% 96%	87%	26%	
Number of Tested Students	:	116 125	62 109	20 30	28342761	1879 2526	803 1074				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	127	<b>91</b> %	<b>49</b> %	16%	135	93%	81%	22%	
Female	61	90%	43%	15%	67	94%	78%	24%	
Male	66	92%	55%	17%	68	91%	84%	21%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	22	91%	41%	5%	32	91%	81%	13%	
Hispanic or Latino	78	94%	50%	18%	95	93%	79%	22%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 18	94%	56%	22%	7	-	-	-	
White	8	-	-	–	1	-	-	-	
Multiracial	1	-	-	–					
Small Group Totals	9	67%	44%	11%	8	100%	100%	63%	
General-Education Students	103	95%	53%	18%	119	97%	87%	24%	
Students with Disabilities	24	75%	29%	4%	16	56%	38%	6%	
English Proficient	77	94%	58%	22%	88	95%	91%	31%	
Limited English Proficient	50	88%	34%	6%	47	87%	62%	6%	
Economically Disadvantaged	120	93%	49%	17%	121	93%	82%	23%	
Not Disadvantaged	7	71%	43%	0%	14	86%	71%	14%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	127	91%	49%	16%	135	93%	81%	22%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year	2008–09 School Year					
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Scho	ool		School I	District		NY State	e Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2–4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 80	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100							
2009 Mean Score: 82 2009–10 2008–09	100%	98% 95%	85% 85%	48%	97% 95%	84% 82%	48% 50%	97% 97%	88% 88%	55% 59%	
Number of Tested Students	: 1	26 126	110 112	62 79	28892731	2510 2356	1441 1428				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	129	98%	85%	48%	132	95%	85%	60%	
Female	62	98%	85%	42%	65	100%	85%	62%	
Male	67	97%	85%	54%	67	91%	85%	58%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	22	100%	86%	41%	30	93%	83%	47%	
Hispanic or Latino	80	98%	86%	51%	93	96%	84%	60%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	94%	89%	56%	8	-	-	-	
White	8	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Multiracial	1	-	-	-					
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	22%	9	100%	100%	100%	
General-Education Students	104	99%	88%	53%	116	100%	91%	66%	
Students with Disabilities	25	92%	76%	28%	16	63%	44%	13%	
English Proficient	79	99%	86%	61%	86	98%	94%	70%	
Limited English Proficient	50	96%	84%	28%	46	91%	67%	41%	
Economically Disadvantaged	121	98%	86%	48%	117	96%	85%	62%	
Not Disadvantaged	8	88%	75%	50%	15	93%	80%	47%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	129	98%	85%	48%	132	95%	85%	60%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				2	_	_	-	

# This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Scho	ool		School I	District		NY State	e Public			
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 662	*Range: 647-795	666-795	700-795								
2009 Mean Score: 655	100% 97% 80%			88%	78%		99% 88%	82%			
<ul> <li>2009-10</li> <li>2008-09</li> </ul>		54% 37%	5% 3%		51%	14% 10%		52%	13% 14%		
Number of Tested Students	103 113	47 63	74	2536 2880	1463 2260	397 301					

Results by	2009-10 \$	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	128	80%	37%	5%	116	<b>97</b> %	54%	3%
Female	67	84%	43%	9%	54	100%	57%	2%
Male	61	77%	30%	2%	62	95%	52%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	29	62%	17%	3%	37	100%	59%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	91	85%	42%	7%	66	97%	48%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	-	9	-	–	-
White	1	–	-	-	4	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	50%	0%	13	92%	69%	0%
General-Education Students	114	86%	41%	6%	108	98%	56%	4%
Students with Disabilities	14	36%	0%	0%	8	88%	25%	0%
English Proficient	91	84%	43%	8%	95	99%	64%	4%
Limited English Proficient	37	73%	22%	0%	21	90%	10%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	120	80%	36%	6%	105	97%	51%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	8	88%	50%	0%	11	100%	82%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	128	80%	37%	5%	116	97%	54%	3%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 9	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

# This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This Scho	ool		School I	District		NY State	Public			
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3–4	4	2–4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 679	*Range: 640-780	674-780	702-780								
2009 Mean Score: 664	100% 92% 94%	56%	24%	95% 98%	89%	27%	94% 98%	88%	36%		
Number of Tested Students	120 108	73 81	31 13	2801 2925	1981 2640	799 1111					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	131	92%	56%	24%	115	94%	70%	11%	
Female	69	94%	52%	17%	55	95%	76%	11%	
Male	62	89%	60%	31%	60	93%	65%	12%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	29	79%	24%	7%	35	94%	57%	17%	
Hispanic or Latino	94	95%	65%	28%	65	94%	74%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	-	10	100%	100%	40%	
White	1	-	-	-	5	80%	60%	20%	
Multiracial	•••••								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	63%	38%					
General-Education Students	117	96%	60%	25%	108	95%	73%	12%	
Students with Disabilities	14	57%	21%	14%	7	71%	29%	0%	
English Proficient	91	92%	59%	24%	94	96%	78%	14%	
Limited English Proficient	40	90%	48%	23%	21	86%	38%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	123	91%	56%	24%	103	93%	69%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged	8	100%	50%	13%	12	100%	83%	17%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	131	92%	56%	24%	115	94%	70%	11%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2008–09 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School [	District		NY State	Public		
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4 4		2–4	3–4 4		2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 656	*Range: 644–785	662-785	694-785							
2009 Mean Score: 654	100% 87%			100% 87%	79%		100% 89%	81%		
2009-10 2008-09		65% 32%	1% 2%	н	49%	9%		54%	7% 9%	
Number of Tested Students	90 91	33 59	1 2	2717 3048	15202409 153	288				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	103	<b>87</b> %	32%	1%	91	100%	65%	2%	
Female	49	86%	33%	2%	34	100%	68%	6%	
Male	54	89%	31%	0%	57	100%	63%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	30	87%	20%	0%	27	100%	63%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	60	87%	33%	0%	57	100%	63%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		-	-	-	5	-	-	-	
White	3	-	-	-	1	–	-	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	13	92%	54%	8%	7	100%	86%	29%	
General-Education Students	100	-	-	-	79	100%	71%	3%	
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	12	100%	25%	0%	
English Proficient	94	93%	35%	1%	69	100%	74%	3%	
Limited English Proficient	9	33%	0%	0%	22	100%	36%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	95	86%	33%	1%	84	100%	64%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	8	100%	25%	0%	7	100%	71%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	103	87%	32%	1%	91	100%	65%	2%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

# This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

	This S	chool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public		
	Percent	age scoring a	at level(s):	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2–4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 669	*Range: 640–7	80 674-78	30 699-780	)						
2009 Mean Score: 664 2009–10 2008–09	100% 88% 96	% 68 44%	15% 7%	93% 96%	84% 64%	30% 31%	92% 96%	83%	27% 28%	
Number of Tested Students:	91 90	46 64	16 7	2966 3014	2043 2623	975 984				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	104	88%	44%	15%	94	96%	68%	7%	
Female	50	88%	44%	12%	33	94%	70%	9%	
Male	54	87%	44%	19%	61	97%	67%	7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	31	90%	26%	3%	27	96%	74%	4%	
Hispanic or Latino	60	85%	43%	17%	60	95%	63%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	
White	3	-	-	-	1	–	-	–	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	13	92%	92%	38%	7	100%	86%	57%	
General-Education Students	101	-	-	-	82	98%	73%	9%	
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	12	83%	33%	0%	
English Proficient	94	93%	49%	17%	69	99%	78%	10%	
Limited English Proficient	10	40%	0%	0%	25	88%	40%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	96	86%	43%	16%	85	96%	68%	7%	
Not Disadvantaged	8	100%	63%	13%	9	89%	67%	11%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	104	88%	44%	15%	94	96%	68%	7%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009–10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2 - 43 - 44 2 - 43 - 44 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This Scho	ool	School District	NY State Public
	Percentage	e scoring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):
	2-4	3–4 4	2-4 3-4 4	2-4 3-4 4
2010 Mean Score: 656	*Range: 642-790	664-790 698-790		
2009 Mean Score: 661	100%	74% 30%	100% 88% 45% 10% 6%	90% <sup>100%</sup> 80% 50% 11% 7%
Number of Tested Students	85 103	29 76 2 4	2555 2791 1307 2181 305 169	

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	97	88%	30%	<b>2</b> %	103	100%	74%	4%	
Female	30	83%	33%	7%	46	100%	76%	2%	
Male	67	90%	28%	0%	57	100%	72%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	28	89%	21%	4%	35	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	63	86%	32%	2%	66	100%	77%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	•••••								
Multiracial	••••••								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	50%	0%	37	100%	68%	5%	
General-Education Students	87	92%	32%	2%	98	100%	74%	4%	
Students with Disabilities	10	50%	10%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%	
English Proficient	74	93%	35%	3%	93	100%	80%	4%	
Limited English Proficient	23	70%	13%	0%	10	100%	20%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	86	88%	33%	2%	86	100%	71%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged	11	82%	9%	0%	17	100%	88%	6%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	97	88%	30%	2%	103	100%	74%	4%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

# This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This Scho	ool		School [	District		NY State	e Public		
	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s)		
	2–4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 664	*Range: 639-800	670-800	694-800							
2009 Mean Score: 668	100%	78%		92% 99%	88%		92% 99%	87%		
2009–10		1504			63%			62%		
2008-09		46%	8% 14%			32% 31%			29% 30%	
Number of Tested Students	88 104	45 81	8 15	27742876	1912 2543	978 891				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	97	91%	46%	8%	104	100%	78%	14%	
Female	30	87%	60%	17%	46	100%	78%	9%	
Male	67	93%	40%	4%	58	100%	78%	19%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	28	89%	50%	7%	36	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	63	90%	41%	6%	66	100%	83%	14%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	•••••		•••••	•••••		••••	•••••	••••••	
Multiracial	•••••	••••	••••••	•••••		••••	•••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	33%	38	100%	68%	16%	
General-Education Students	87	93%	49%	9%	99	100%	79%	15%	
Students with Disabilities	10	70%	20%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%	
English Proficient	74	95%	53%	11%	94	100%	81%	16%	
Limited English Proficient	23	78%	26%	0%	10	100%	50%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	86	92%	48%	9%	86	100%	79%	10%	
Not Disadvantaged	11	82%	36%	0%	18	100%	72%	33%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	97	91%	46%	8%	104	100%	78%	14%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2009-10 \$	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	0			

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This Scho	ool		School Dis	strict	NY State	Public
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			coring at level(s):	Percentage	scoring at level(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4 3	3–4 4	2-4	3–4 4
2010 Mean Score: 651	*Range: 627-790	658-790	699-790				
2009 Mean Score: 663 2009–10 2008–09	100% 96% 99%	73%	4% 3%	91% 98%	67% 5%	91% 98%	69% 51% <u>8%</u> 5%
Number of Tested Students	101 99	33 73	4 3	26312814 13	3131926 184 1	45	

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	105	96%	31%	4%	100	99%	73%	3%	
Female	46	96%	37%	4%	54	98%	76%	4%	
Male	59	97%	27%	3%	46	100%	70%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	38	-	-	-	33	100%	76%	3%	
Hispanic or Latino	63	97%	32%	2%	58	98%	67%	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 3	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	
White	1	-	-	–	1	-	-	-	
Multiracial					1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	42	95%	31%	7%	9	100%	100%	11%	
General-Education Students	99	97%	33%	4%	96	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	6	83%	0%	0%	4	-	-	-	
English Proficient	96	98%	33%	4%	92	100%	77%	3%	
Limited English Proficient	9	78%	11%	0%	8	88%	25%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	94	96%	31%	4%	76	99%	72%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	11	100%	36%	0%	24	100%	75%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	105	96%	31%	4%	100	99%	73%	3%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

	This Sc	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			District		<b>NY State Public</b> Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	Percenta				e scoring at l	evel(s):				
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 666	*Range: 639–77	5 673-77	5 702-775							
2009 Mean Score: 665	100%	% 70% 37%	6% 6%	93% 97%	81% 58%	23% 21%	91% 96%	80% 55%	18% 19%	
Number of Tested Students	92 96	39 69	66	2775 2910	1715 2416	685 613				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	105	88%	37%	6%	98	<b>98</b> %	70%	6%	
Female	46	87%	35%	2%	54	96%	74%	11%	
Male	59	88%	39%	8%	44	100%	66%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	37	-	-	-	31	97%	71%	10%	
Hispanic or Latino	64	92%	42%	5%	58	98%	69%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 3	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	
White	1	-	-	–	1	-	–	–	
Multiracial					1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	41	80%	29%	7%	9	100%	78%	11%	
General-Education Students	99	89%	39%	6%	94	-	_	-	
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	0%	0%	4	-	-	-	
English Proficient	96	89%	41%	6%	90	99%	73%	6%	
Limited English Proficient	9	78%	0%	0%	8	88%	38%	13%	
Economically Disadvantaged	93	88%	35%	5%	75	99%	69%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	12	83%	50%	8%	23	96%	74%	9%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	105	88%	37%	6%	98	98%	70%	6%	


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports. Other 2009–10 School Year 2008-09 School Year Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2 - 43 - 44 2 - 43 - 44 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	101	95%	61%	10%	101	94%	65%	14%	
Female	45	96%	51%	2%	58	93%	62%	17%	
Male	56	95%	70%	16%	43	95%	70%	9%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	35	–	-	-	33	94%	64%	15%	
Hispanic or Latino	62	97%	68%	13%	58	95%	64%	12%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	
White	1	-	-	–	2	-	-	-	
Multiracial	•••••			••••••	1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	39	92%	51%	5%	10	90%	80%	20%	
General-Education Students	95	97%	63%	11%	97	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	33%	0%	4	-	-	-	
English Proficient	92	97%	66%	11%	92	97%	70%	15%	
Limited English Proficient	9	78%	11%	0%	9	67%	22%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	91	95%	58%	10%	75	96%	64%	15%	
Not Disadvantaged	10	100%	90%	10%	26	88%	69%	12%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	101	95%	61%	10%	101	94%	65%	14%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
0				0				
				0				
0				0				
	Total	Total Number sco		Total         Number scoring at level(s):	Total         Number scoring at level(s):         Total	Total         Number scoring at level(s):         Total         Number score	Total         Number scoring at level(s):         Total         Number scoring at level	