



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2009 – 10**

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

Superintendent **VINCENT VECCHIARELLA**

Telephone **(716) 807-3500**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 40-09-00-01-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Pre-K	0	96	100
Kindergarten	288	271	254
Grade 1	280	288	275
Grade 2	277	282	275
Grade 3	302	287	280
Grade 4	282	311	284
Grade 5	279	278	318
Grade 6	298	282	272
Ungraded Elementary	0	3	5
Grade 7	317	319	278
Grade 8	337	307	317
Grade 9	371	367	325
Grade 10	393	344	352
Grade 11	395	384	319
Grade 12	356	380	373
Ungraded Secondary	6	13	14
Total K-12	4181	4116	3941

Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Common Branch	20	21	21
Grade 8			
English	25	22	22
Mathematics	22	22	24
Science	25	23	24
Social Studies	24	23	24
Grade 10			
English	22	19	21
Mathematics	23	19	24
Science	26	13	29
Social Studies	23	20	21

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 40-09-00-01-0000

Demographic Factors

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	835	20%	819	20%	841	21%
Reduced-Price Lunch	344	8%	350	9%	369	9%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	44	1%	28	1%	37	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	91	2%	92	2%	94	2%
Black or African American	70	2%	84	2%	85	2%
Hispanic or Latino	79	2%	66	2%	70	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	1%	23	1%	27	1%
White	3911	94%	3846	93%	3664	93%
Multiracial	0	0%	5	0%	1	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	94%		94%		95%	
Student Suspensions	211	5%	345	8%	212	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 40-09-00-01-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Number of Teachers	332	333	329
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	4%	5%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	18%	19%	19%
Total Number of Core Classes	945	903	893
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	1%	1%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1193	1152	1161
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience		22%	23%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers		8%	12%

Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Other Professional Staff	36	28	37
Total Paraprofessionals*	91	97	94
Assistant Principals	4	3	3
Principals	7	8	7

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/sirs>.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment (“Total” or “12th Graders”) shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the “Percentage Tested” shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

Science: The current year’s Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year’s Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year’s graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = $[(80 - \text{percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008}) \times 0.20] + \text{percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008}$.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:
2008–09 PI + (200 – the 2008–09 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show “Qualified.” If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show “Did not qualify.” A “*” symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a “—” in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

■ A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2008–09	2009–10	2010–11
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native				–	–	
Black or African American				–	–	
Hispanic or Latino				–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	–	–		–	–	
White						
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities						
Limited English Proficient	–	–		–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	6 of 7	7 of 7	1 of 1	3 of 4	3 of 4	0 of 1

AYP Status


- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did not make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts






















Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2010–11)

Accountability Measures 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP




Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10 2010–11	
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1784:1730)			99%		174	152		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (56:52)			98%		175	142		
Black or African American (49:45)			100%		160	141		
Hispanic or Latino (34:30)		—	—		147	138		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
White (1629:1588)			99%		175	152		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (298:290)			98%		130	149	141 96	
Limited English Proficient (14:11)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged (703:672)			100%		165	151		
Final AYP Determination		6 of 7						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (831:811)			100%		179	151		
Male (953:919)			99%		170	151		
Migrant (0:0)								


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.






















Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2010–11)




Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?


Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10 2010–11
Accountability Groups							
All Students (1784:1733)			100%		188	132	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (56:53)			100%		191	122	
Black or African American (49:45)			100%		171	121	
Hispanic or Latino (34:31)		—	—		165	118	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1629:1589)			100%		189	132	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (298:291)			99%		156	129	
Limited English Proficient (14:13)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (703:674)			100%		185	131	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (831:813)			100%		189	131	
Male (953:920)			99%		187	131	
Migrant (0:0)							


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.











Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2010-11)



Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2009-10 2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (608:592)		Qualified		99%		188	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (14:13)	—		—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (18:16)	—		—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (13:12)	—		—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	—		—	—	—	—	—	—
White (557:545)		Qualified		99%		188	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (110:107)		Qualified		98%		161	100	
Limited English Proficient (5:5)	—		—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (232:224)		Qualified		100%		183	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (272:263)				99%		189	100	
Male (336:329)				99%		187	100	
Migrant (0:0)								


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts













Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2010–11)

Accountability Measures 3 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP




Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10 2010–11
Accountability Groups							
All Students (383:356)			99%		190	171	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (374:348)			99%		190	171	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (27:38)		—	—		137	161	124 [‡] 143
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (59:59)			100%		169	164	
Final AYP Determination	 3 of 4						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (180:164)			99%		193	169	
Male (203:192)			100%		187	169	
Migrant (0:0)							


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.













Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2010–11)




Accountability Measures 3 of 4 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10 2010–11
Accountability Groups							
All Students (383:356)			98%		189	167	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (374:348)			98%		189	167	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (27:38)		—	—		129	157	135‡ 136
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (59:59)			98%		168	160	
Final AYP Determination	 3 of 4						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (180:164)			97%		188	165	
Male (203:192)			99%		190	165	
Migrant (0:0)							


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.







Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)  Good Standing



Accountability Measures 0 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status A district that fails to make AYP in graduation rate for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [203]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation			Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target	
					2009–10	2010–11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (425)			76%	80%	78%	77%
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (4)		—	—	—		
Black or African American (1)		—	—	—		
Hispanic or Latino (6)		—	—	—		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		—	—	—		
White (413)			76%	80%	78%	77%
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (69)			43%	80%	48%	50%
Limited English Proficient (1)		—	—	—		
Economically Disadvantaged (66)			70%	80%	63%	72%
Final AYP Determination	 0 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (201)			85%	80%		
Male (224)			68%	80%		
Migrant (0)						

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **81%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

3 School Accountability Status

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

In Good Standing

7 schools identified 100% of total

DRAKE SCHOOL

GILMORE SCHOOL

MEADOW SCHOOL

NORTH TONAWANDA HIGH SCHOOL

NORTH TONAWANDA MIDDLE SCHOOL

OHIO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

SPRUCE SCHOOL

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	55%			278
Grade 4	66%			282
Grade 5	51%			316
Grade 6	57%			278
Grade 7	51%			277
Grade 8	48%			316
Mathematics				
Grade 3	54%			281
Grade 4	63%			282
Grade 5	64%			318
Grade 6	66%			279
Grade 7	66%			277
Grade 8	46%			315
Science				
Grade 4	95%			280
Grade 8	83%			264

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2006 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	88%			381
Mathematics	87%			381

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	278	88%	55%	15%	282	96%	76%	5%
Female	133	90%	58%	18%	137	96%	82%	6%
Male	145	86%	53%	12%	145	97%	70%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	80%	50%	20%	10	90%	70%	10%
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	10	90%	60%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	5	80%	60%	20%	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
White	257	89%	56%	14%	253	97%	77%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	67%	33%	17%	9	100%	67%	11%
General-Education Students	238	95%	62%	16%	245	98%	82%	5%
Students with Disabilities	40	48%	18%	5%	37	84%	35%	3%
English Proficient	277	-	-	-	280	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	112	83%	46%	6%	109	94%	71%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	166	92%	61%	20%	173	98%	79%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	278	88%	55%	15%	282	96%	76%	5%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	3	3
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	281	93%	54%	21%	282	99%	91%	21%
Female	135	92%	53%	20%	136	99%	87%	18%
Male	146	95%	55%	21%	146	99%	96%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	80%	30%	0%	10	90%	90%	30%
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	10	100%	100%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	5	80%	80%	60%	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	260	94%	55%	21%	254	100%	91%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	83%	33%	17%	8	100%	88%	13%
General-Education Students	240	96%	59%	24%	244	100%	95%	24%
Students with Disabilities	41	76%	24%	2%	38	95%	71%	5%
English Proficient	279	-	-	-	280	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	112	88%	46%	20%	110	99%	89%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	169	96%	59%	21%	172	99%	93%	25%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	281	93%	54%	21%	282	99%	91%	21%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	4	2

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	282	95%	66%	7%	315	98%	83%	5%
Female	137	95%	70%	9%	162	98%	88%	6%
Male	145	94%	63%	5%	153	99%	77%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	89%	78%	11%	13	92%	85%	15%
Black or African American	8	100%	38%	0%	8	100%	38%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	6	100%	67%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	0%
White	258	95%	67%	7%	282	99%	84%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	86%	43%	14%				
General-Education Students	245	99%	73%	8%	271	100%	90%	6%
Students with Disabilities	37	68%	19%	0%	44	91%	39%	2%
English Proficient	280	-	-	-	312	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	119	92%	57%	2%	111	99%	76%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	163	96%	73%	10%	204	98%	87%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	282	95%	66%	7%	315	98%	83%	5%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	282	95%	63%	20%	317	97%	90%	24%
Female	137	94%	57%	20%	162	98%	91%	23%
Male	145	97%	69%	21%	155	96%	88%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	89%	78%	33%	13	100%	100%	0%
Black or African American	8	88%	50%	0%	8	88%	63%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	7	86%	57%	29%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	50%
White	258	96%	64%	21%	283	98%	91%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	86%	29%	14%				
General-Education Students	245	98%	69%	23%	273	100%	95%	27%
Students with Disabilities	37	81%	24%	3%	44	82%	57%	7%
English Proficient	280	-	-	-	313	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	119	95%	57%	12%	113	96%	85%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	163	96%	67%	26%	204	98%	93%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	282	95%	63%	20%	317	97%	90%	24%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	4	3	5	5	5	3

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	280	100%	95%	63%	317	99%	96%	64%
Female	136	100%	95%	57%	163	100%	96%	61%
Male	144	99%	95%	69%	154	99%	95%	66%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	100%	89%	67%	14	100%	100%	64%
Black or African American	8	100%	88%	25%	8	100%	100%	50%
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	7	86%	71%	57%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	100%
White	256	100%	95%	65%	282	100%	96%	63%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	29%				
General-Education Students	243	100%	97%	68%	273	100%	98%	69%
Students with Disabilities	37	97%	81%	32%	44	95%	82%	30%
English Proficient	278	-	-	-	313	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	119	99%	94%	50%	111	99%	93%	52%
Not Disadvantaged	161	100%	96%	73%	206	100%	97%	70%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	280	100%	95%	63%	317	99%	96%	64%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	316	91%	51%	8%	277	100%	84%	12%
Female	159	94%	60%	8%	139	100%	88%	11%
Male	157	87%	41%	7%	138	99%	79%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	13	92%	38%	0%	9	100%	89%	11%
Black or African American	7	71%	14%	0%	11	100%	73%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	6	50%	33%	17%	5	100%	40%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	57%	14%				
White	283	92%	52%	8%	252	100%	85%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	272	96%	57%	9%	234	100%	91%	13%
Students with Disabilities	44	57%	14%	0%	43	98%	42%	5%
English Proficient	311	91%	51%	8%	277	100%	84%	12%
Limited English Proficient	5	60%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	125	83%	38%	4%	105	100%	80%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	191	95%	59%	10%	172	99%	86%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	316	91%	51%	8%	277	100%	84%	12%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	318	94%	64%	21%	277	98%	88%	28%
Female	159	96%	66%	21%	139	99%	89%	29%
Male	159	93%	62%	20%	138	97%	88%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	14	93%	86%	14%	9	100%	89%	56%
Black or African American	7	86%	14%	14%	12	100%	75%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	6	83%	50%	33%	5	100%	80%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	71%	57%				
White	284	95%	64%	20%	251	98%	89%	28%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	274	99%	72%	24%	234	100%	95%	32%
Students with Disabilities	44	66%	16%	2%	43	88%	51%	7%
English Proficient	313	95%	65%	21%	277	98%	88%	28%
Limited English Proficient	5	60%	20%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	126	91%	52%	13%	105	97%	86%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	192	96%	72%	26%	172	99%	90%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	318	94%	64%	21%	277	98%	88%	28%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 666	*Range: 644-785	662-785	694-785			
2009 Mean Score: 666						
Number of Tested Students:	249	286	158	245	22	16

Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	278	90%	57%	8%	286	100%	86%	6%
Female	137	93%	62%	9%	130	100%	90%	8%
Male	141	87%	52%	7%	156	100%	82%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	-	-	-	9	100%	100%	11%
Black or African American	12	83%	25%	0%	9	100%	78%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	-	-
White	253	91%	59%	9%	260	100%	85%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	13	77%	46%	0%	8	100%	100%	25%
General-Education Students	234	96%	65%	9%	241	100%	93%	7%
Students with Disabilities	44	55%	16%	2%	45	100%	44%	0%
English Proficient	278	90%	57%	8%	286	100%	86%	6%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	119	88%	46%	6%	105	100%	82%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	159	91%	65%	9%	181	100%	88%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	278	90%	57%	8%	286	100%	86%	6%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	279	94%	66%	25%	286	98%	84%	25%
Female	139	97%	66%	30%	130	98%	86%	21%
Male	140	91%	66%	21%	156	97%	82%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	89%	78%	33%	9	100%	100%	33%
Black or African American	12	92%	58%	0%	9	100%	89%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	5	80%	60%	0%	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	-	-
White	253	95%	66%	27%	260	98%	83%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					8	100%	100%	25%
General-Education Students	235	98%	73%	29%	241	100%	91%	28%
Students with Disabilities	44	73%	30%	5%	45	87%	47%	7%
English Proficient	277	-	-	-	286	98%	84%	25%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	120	91%	59%	21%	105	98%	83%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	159	97%	72%	29%	181	98%	85%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	279	94%	66%	25%	286	98%	84%	25%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	277	95%	51%	11%	317	99%	81%	4%
Female	119	97%	56%	13%	129	98%	84%	6%
Male	158	93%	47%	9%	188	100%	78%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	100%	56%	22%	6	-	-	-
Black or African American	7	100%	43%	14%	9	100%	67%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	9	100%	78%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	255	95%	52%	11%	291	99%	81%	4%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	33%	0%	8	100%	100%	13%
General-Education Students	234	98%	59%	13%	255	100%	91%	5%
Students with Disabilities	43	77%	12%	0%	62	97%	39%	0%
English Proficient	277	95%	51%	11%	316	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	106	95%	42%	8%	106	98%	72%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	171	95%	57%	13%	211	100%	85%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	277	95%	51%	11%	317	99%	81%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	5	5	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	277	96%	66%	26%	317	100%	95%	34%
Female	119	98%	68%	24%	129	100%	96%	38%
Male	158	94%	65%	28%	188	99%	94%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	100%	56%	33%	7	-	-	-
Black or African American	7	86%	57%	29%	9	100%	89%	22%
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	9	100%	100%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	255	96%	67%	26%	290	100%	95%	35%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	50%	17%	9	100%	100%	33%
General-Education Students	234	100%	76%	30%	256	100%	99%	41%
Students with Disabilities	43	77%	14%	2%	61	98%	79%	5%
English Proficient	277	96%	66%	26%	315	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	106	97%	56%	19%	105	99%	90%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	171	95%	73%	30%	212	100%	98%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	277	96%	66%	26%	317	100%	95%	34%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	5	5	5

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	316	92%	48%	9%	310	99%	71%	2%
Female	133	94%	55%	12%	138	99%	76%	4%
Male	183	91%	43%	7%	172	98%	67%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	9	89%	44%	0%	9	100%	44%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	10	80%	20%	0%	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	290	93%	49%	10%	293	99%	72%	2%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	43%	0%	8	100%	75%	0%
General-Education Students	255	98%	58%	11%	253	100%	83%	3%
Students with Disabilities	61	67%	7%	0%	57	93%	19%	0%
English Proficient	313	-	-	-	307	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	112	88%	38%	4%	99	99%	58%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	204	95%	53%	11%	211	99%	78%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	316	92%	48%	9%	310	99%	71%	2%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	4	4	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	315	96%	46%	11%	312	97%	84%	10%
Female	133	96%	52%	11%	138	97%	84%	14%
Male	182	95%	42%	12%	174	97%	84%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	9	89%	11%	0%	9	89%	89%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	20%	0%	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	289	96%	48%	12%	295	97%	84%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	57%	0%	8	100%	100%	13%
General-Education Students	254	99%	54%	14%	256	100%	92%	12%
Students with Disabilities	61	82%	13%	2%	56	84%	50%	2%
English Proficient	312	-	-	-	309	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	112	95%	35%	5%	100	93%	77%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	203	96%	52%	15%	212	99%	88%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	315	96%	46%	11%	312	97%	84%	10%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	5	4	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2009-10 School Year

Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

2008-09 School Year

Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	264	96%	83%	31%	256	98%	82%	18%
Female	105	96%	81%	26%	103	97%	84%	12%
Male	159	96%	84%	35%	153	98%	81%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	–	–	–	5	–	–	–
Black or African American	9	89%	56%	22%	6	100%	67%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	80%	10%	1	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
White	240	96%	83%	32%	243	98%	83%	19%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	40%	7	100%	86%	14%
General-Education Students	205	99%	89%	39%	202	99%	88%	22%
Students with Disabilities	59	86%	59%	5%	54	93%	63%	6%
English Proficient	261	–	–	–	253	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	3	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	101	94%	73%	24%	88	98%	78%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	163	98%	88%	36%	168	98%	85%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	264	96%	83%	31%	256	98%	82%	18%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2009-10 School Year

Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

2008-09 School Year

Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

	2009-10	2008-09
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	2
Regents Science	47	49

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2006 Cohort

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

2005 Cohort**

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

	2006 Cohort			2005 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	381	89%	88%	34%	425	82%	82%	34%
Female	174	92%	91%	43%	201	89%	88%	42%
Male	207	86%	86%	26%	224	76%	76%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	6	67%	67%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	371	89%	89%	33%	413	83%	82%	34%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	80%	80%	40%	6	67%	67%	33%
General-Education Students	335	95%	95%	37%	361	89%	89%	39%
Students with Disabilities	46	43%	41%	7%	64	44%	41%	3%
English Proficient	380	-	-	-	424	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	67	75%	73%	22%	66	74%	73%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	314	92%	92%	36%	359	84%	83%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	381	89%	88%	34%	425	82%	82%	34%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

	2006 Cohort				2005 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	381	89%	87%	31%	425	85%	83%	31%
Female	174	89%	86%	25%	201	90%	89%	31%
Male	207	89%	88%	36%	224	80%	77%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	6	67%	67%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	371	89%	88%	31%	413	85%	83%	32%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	80%	80%	30%	6	83%	83%	0%
General-Education Students	335	96%	95%	35%	361	91%	91%	36%
Students with Disabilities	46	41%	30%	2%	64	48%	38%	2%
English Proficient	380	-	-	-	424	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	67	75%	70%	21%	66	82%	79%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	314	92%	91%	33%	359	85%	84%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	381	89%	87%	31%	425	85%	83%	31%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.