

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 District CAMDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 41-06-01-04-0000 Superintendent JEFFREY BRYANT Telephone (315) 245-4075 Grades PK-12, US

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.



# Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 41-06-01-04-0000

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Pre-K	101	105	100
Kindergarten	164	141	176
Grade 1	157	180	157
Grade 2	163	158	172
Grade 3	178	159	162
Grade 4	167	185	159
Grade 5	185	167	193
Grade 6	170	188	178
Ungraded Elementary	11	8	0
Grade 7	203	173	188
Grade 8	211	208	170
Grade 9	253	235	222
Grade 10	202	222	212
Grade 11	197	180	210
Grade 12	213	202	185
Ungraded Secondary	0	4	2
Total K–12	2474	2410	2386

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Common Branch	18	18	19
Grade 8			
English	21	17	18
Mathematics	21	18	18
Science	17	20	18
Social Studies	20	17	21
Grade 10			
English	20	20	20
Mathematics	17	16	
Science	19		19
Social Studies	15	19	19

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2007-08		200	2008–09		9–10
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	753	30%	774	32%	944	40%
Reduced-Price Lunch	354	14%	389	16%	399	17%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	5	0%	6	0%	5	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	10	0%	14	1%	14	1%
Hispanic or Latino	39	2%	13	1%	7	0%
Asian or Native	11	0%	7	0%	5	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2400	97%	2374	99%	2353	99%
Multiracial	13	1%	2	0%	6	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	166	7%	141	6%	165	7%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Number of Teachers	220	225	221
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	2%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	12%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	16%	15%	15%
Total Number of Core Classes	634	634	560
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	883	943	941
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	3%	1%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	18%	12%	24%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	12%	15%

### **Staff Counts**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Other Professional Staff	35	43	26
Total Paraprofessionals*	57	50	69
Assistant Principals	3	4	4
Principals	6	6	6

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### **3** Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### 2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.** 

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

#### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

### Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

#### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

#### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.** 

#### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

*Science:* The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ 

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

#### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

#### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

#### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District CAMDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-06-01-04-0000

### Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	▲ Good Standing					
Status (2010–11)	ELA	▲ Good Standing	Science	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation R	ate 🔥 Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2008-	09	2009–10	2010-11		
	YES		YES	YES		

#### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Elementary/Middle Level		Secondary L	evel			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	~	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	_	_	••••	••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	••••	•••••••••••		••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	–				
White	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>V</b>	••••	<b>v</b>	~	••••
Multiracial	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	~		_	_	
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	<b>~</b>	••••	<b>~</b>	<	••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✔ 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 1 of 1

P Status	Accountability S	tatus Levels
Made AYP	Federal	State
	Good Standing 🙏	Good Standing
Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target	Improvement (Year 1) ,	📕 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)
Did not make AYP	Improvement (Year 2) ,	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
Insufficient Number of Students	Improvement (Year 3) 🔥	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
to Determine AYP Status	Improvement (Year 4) 🔥	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
	Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔥	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above
	Pending – Rec	uires Special Evaluation

### Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
<b>All Students</b> (1053:1025)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	176	152		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (10:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (4:3)	–	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1036:1009) Multiracial (0:0)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	177	152	••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (165:167)	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>v</b>	99%	✔ SH	124	147	124	85
Limited English Proficient (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (527:509)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	168	150		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	4						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (519:508)			100%		180	150		
Male (534:517)	•••••••	••••••	100%		172	150	••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)							····	···· ·

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 41-06-01-04-0000

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participa		on Test Per		est Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009–10	2010-11	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (1054:1024)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	188	132			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (10:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (4:3)	_	_	-	_	-	-		_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (1037:1009)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	189	132	•••••••••••••••		
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (167:167)	<b>~</b>	~	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	143	127			
Limited English Proficient (3:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (527:507)	~	<b>v</b>	100%	✓	184	130			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	4							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (519:508)			100%		189	130			
Male (535:516)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	100%		188	130	•••••••••••••	••••	
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••••••		••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••••••••••	••••	

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	АҮР		Participat	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2009-10	5 Target 2010–11
Accountability Groups									
All Students (331:320)	~	Qualified	~	100%	~	188	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (5:5)	•••••••	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (2:1)	•••••••	_	_	-	-	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)			••••						
White (324:314)		Qualified	<	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	188	100	••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••		•••••			••••	•••••	••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (53:53)		Qualified	~	100%	~	166	100		
Limited English Proficient (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (160:153)		Qualified	~	100%	~	181	100		
Final AYP Determination	<b>/</b> 1 o	f 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (165:159)				100%		188	100		
Male (166:161)				100%		187	100		
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••	••••••	•••••	••••	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	••••••

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

### Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)         Met Status         Percentage Triterion         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO         Safe Harbor Target 2009-10           Accountability Groups         Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion <td< th=""><th colspan="2">AYP Particip</th><th>Participat</th><th>ion</th><th>Test Perfo</th><th colspan="2">Test Performance</th><th colspan="3">Performance Objectives</th></td<>	AYP Particip		Participat	ion	Test Perfo	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Accountability Groups       Image: Comparison of the second	Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (188:186) ✓ 100% 184 169   Ethnicity American Indian or Alaska Native (r.c) Image: Students with Pacific Islander (0:0) Image: Students with Disabilities (1:88:186) Image: Students with Disabilities (1:4:20) <td< th=""><th>(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)</th><th>Status</th><th>Criterion</th><th>Tested</th><th>Criterion</th><th>Index</th><th>AMO</th><th>2009-10</th><th>2010-11</th></td<>	(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11	
Ethnicity   American Indian or Alaska Native   (0:0)   Black or African American   (0:0)   Hispanic or Latino (0:0)   Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific   Islander (0:0)   White (188:186)   Vilti (188:186)   Multiracial (0:0)   Other Groups   Students with Disabilities   (14:20)   Limited English Proficient   (0:0)   Economically Disadvantaged   (67:66)   Final AYP Determination   Ya of 3   Fenale (102:102)	Accountability Groups									
American Indian or Alaska Native   (0:0)   Black or African American   (0:0)   Hispanic or Latino (0:0)   Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific   Islander (0:0)   White (188:186)   White (188:186)   Muttiracial (0:0)   Other Groups   Students with Disabilities   (14:20)   - </th <th>All Students (188:186)</th> <th><b>~</b></th> <th><b>V</b></th> <th>100%</th> <th><b>V</b></th> <th>184</th> <th>169</th> <th></th> <th></th>	All Students (188:186)	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	184	169			
(0:0) Black or African American (0:0)   Hispanic or Latino (0:0)   Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)   White (188:186)   Image: Constraint of the termination of t	Ethnicity									
(0:0)Image: Constraint of the second sec										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0) White (188:186) I OO% I 184 169 Multiracial (0:0) Other Groups Students with Disabilities (14:20)										
Islander (0:0)   White (188:186)   Multiracial (0:0)   Other Groups   Students with Disabilities (14:20)   Imited English Proficient (0:0)   Imited English (0:0)   Imited English (0:0)   Imited English (0:0) <td< td=""><td>Hispanic or Latino (0:0)</td><td>••••••</td><td>•••••</td><td>••••</td><td></td><td>•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••</td><td></td><td>••••</td><td>•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••</td></td<>	Hispanic or Latino (0:0)	••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)       Image: Constraint of the constraint of										
Other GroupsImage: Constraint of the cons	White (188:186)	<	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	184	169	••••	••••	
Students with Disabilities   (14:20)   Limited English Proficient   (0:0)   Economically Disadvantaged   (67:66)   Final AYP Determination   ✓ 3 of 3   Non-Accountability Groups   Female (102:102)   100%   100%   191   167	Multiracial (0:0)	• ••••	••••••	••••		••••	•••••••	••••	••••	
(14:20) </td <td>Other Groups</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Other Groups									
(0:0)     Image: Constraint of the second seco		-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Economically DisadvantagedImage: Marcol and the second a	(0:0)							••••		
Non-Accountability Groups100%191167	Economically Disadvantaged	~	•	100%	~	174	165	••••	••••	
Female (102:102)         100%         191         167	Final AYP Determination	🗸 3 of 3	3							
	Non-Accountability Groups									
Male (86:84) 100% 175 166	Female (102:102)			100%		191	167			
	Male (86:84)			100%		175	166	· · · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Migrant (0:0)	Migrant (0:0)									

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participa		on Test Pe		est Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11	
<ul> <li></li> </ul>	V	100%	<b>V</b>	186	165			
•••••••	••••••	••••			•••••	••••	••••	
						••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
<	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	186	165	••• ••••	••••	
•••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••	
_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
~	•	100%	~	176	161	••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
🗸 3 of 3	3							
		100%		192	163			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		100%		179	162	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Status	Status Met Criterion	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         V       100%         I       I00%         I       I00%	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion <td>Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       176         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       172</td> <td>Met Status       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index       Effective AMO         Image: Criterion       100%       Image: Criterion       186       165         Image: Criterion       100%       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Im</td> <td>Met Status       Percentage Criterion       Met Index       Performance Index       Effective AMO       Safe Harbo 2009-10         V       100%       V       186       165         V       100%       V       176       161         V       100%       192       163       163</td>	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       186         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       176         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       172	Met Status       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index       Effective AMO         Image: Criterion       100%       Image: Criterion       186       165         Image: Criterion       100%       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Im	Met Status       Percentage Criterion       Met Index       Performance Index       Effective AMO       Safe Harbo 2009-10         V       100%       V       186       165         V       100%       V       176       161         V       100%       192       163       163	

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- 🗶 🛛 Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 41-06-01-04-0000

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	on	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Prog	ress Target
(2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (229)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	81%	80%		
Ethnicity		·	·			
American Indian or Alaska Native <b>(0)</b>						
Black or African American (2)	••••••••••••••••••••••	-	–	-		••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino (3)	••••••••••••••••••••••	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••
White (224)	••••••	~	81%	80%	••••	•••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0)	••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (42)		<b>v</b>	48%	80%	16%	54%
Limited English Proficient (0)	••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (83)	••••••	~	82%	80%	••••	•••••••••••••••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (117)			89%	80%		
Male (112)	••••••••••••••••••••••		73%	80%		
Migrant (0)	••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••		•••••

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

#### **Aspirational Goal**

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **84%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

### 2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

#### In Good Standing

6 schools identified 100% of total

ANNSVILLE AREA SCHOOL CAMDEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CAMDEN MIDDLE SCHOOL CAMDEN SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL MCCONNELLSVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL NORTH BAY AREA SCHOOL

### Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	65%		165
Grade 4	69%		154
Grade 5	57%		194
Grade 6	54%		171
Grade 7	51%		187
Grade 8	50%		174
Mathematics			
Grade 3	63%		164
Grade 4	72%		154
	67%		195
Grade 6	67%		171
	63%		187
Grade 8	49%		175
Science			
Grade 4	98%		154
Grade 8	81%		176
	-	of students that above Level 3	2006 Total Cohort
Secondary Lovel		50%	100%

	scored at or a	bove Level 3	Cohort	
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%	
English	80%	·	212	_
Mathematics	82%		212	

District ID 41-06-01-04-0000

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

#### This District's N/RC Category:

#### High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 672	*Range:	643-780	662-780	694-780			
2009 Mean Score: 671	100%	92% 96%	84% 65%		86% 95%	76%	
<ul><li>2009-10</li><li>2008-09</li></ul>				21%	н.		17% 11%
Number of Tested Students:		151 156	107 136	34 15			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	165	92%	65%	21%	162	96%	84%	9%	
Female	85	94%	69%	28%	78	100%	94%	13%	
Male	80	89%	60%	13%	84	93%	75%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	3	-	–	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 2	-	-	-					
White	162	-	-	-	159	-	–	–	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	165	92%	65%	21%	162	96%	84%	9%	
General-Education Students	143	97%	73%	23%	133	100%	97%	11%	
Students with Disabilities	22	59%	9%	5%	29	79%	24%	0%	
English Proficient	164	-	_	-	161	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	93	86%	55%	17%	84	94%	74%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	72	99%	78%	25%	78	99%	95%	13%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	165	92%	65%	21%	162	96%	84%	9%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sc	oring at leve	əl(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 698	*Range:	661-770	684-770	707-770			
2009 Mean Score: 699	100%	93% 97%	94%		91%	93%	
2009-10 2008-09				27% 34%			24% 27%
Number of Tested Students:		152 158	103 154	45 55			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	164	93%	63%	27%	163	97%	94%	34%	
Female	83	94%	63%	27%	78	99%	97%	38%	
Male	81	91%	63%	28%	85	95%	92%	29%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American					3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	–		•••••••••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 1	-	-	-					
White	162	-	-	-	160	-	-	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	164	93%	63%	27%	163	97%	94%	34%	
General-Education Students	141	96%	71%	32%	134	100%	100%	40%	
Students with Disabilities	23	70%	13%	0%	29	83%	69%	7%	
English Proficient	164	93%	63%	27%	162	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••	1	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	91	89%	53%	22%	85	95%	91%	26%	
Not Disadvantaged	73	97%	75%	34%	78	99%	99%	42%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	164	93%	63%	27%	163	97%	94%	34%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10 S	ichool Year		2008–09 School Year					
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0				

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 683	*Range:	637-775	668-775	720-775			
2009 Mean Score: 676	100%	95% 95%	69%		92% 96%	77% 57%	
2009-10 2008-09				14% 12%	н.		6% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		146 177	106 151	22 22			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	154	95%	69%	14%	187	95%	81%	12%
Female	74	100%	84%	23%	89	94%	81%	11%
Male	80	90%	55%	6%	98	95%	81%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific I	slander				1	-	-	-
White	150	_	_	-	182	95%	81%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	154	95%	69%	14%	5	80%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	129	100%	81%	17%	162	99%	90%	14%
Students with Disabilities	25	68%	8%	0%	25	64%	20%	0%
English Proficient	153	-	-	-	185	_	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	81	91%	63%	9%	90	92%	74%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	73	99%	75%	21%	97	97%	87%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	154	95%	69%	14%	187	95%	81%	12%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year		,	2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2–4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 688	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800			
2009 Mean Score: 683	100%	98% <sub>93%</sub>	86% 72%	22% 30%	95% 96%	87%	26% 35%
2008-09				22%			
Number of Tested Students:		151 176	111 163	34 57			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	154	98%	72%	22%	189	93%	86%	30%	
Female	75	99%	76%	24%	87	90%	83%	29%	
Male	79	97%	68%	20%	102	96%	89%	31%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island					1	-	-	-	
White	150	-	-	-	184	93%	87%	31%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	154	98%	72%	22%	5	80%	60%	0%	
General-Education Students	129	100%	81%	26%	164	99%	95%	35%	
Students with Disabilities	25	88%	28%	0%	25	52%	28%	0%	
English Proficient	153	-	-	-	187	-	-	_	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	80	98%	66%	16%	91	92%	84%	30%	
Not Disadvantaged	74	99%	78%	28%	98	94%	89%	31%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	154	98%	72%	22%	189	93%	86%	30%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	_

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
	-	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 87	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100			
2009 Mean Score: 87 2009–10 2008–09	100%   1	00% 99%	98% 93%	69%	97% 97%	88% 88%	55% 59%
Number of Tested Students:		154 187	151 176	106 145			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	154	100%	98%	<b>69</b> %	189	99%	93%	77%
Female	75	100%	99%	69%	87	98%	93%	70%
Male	79	100%	97%	68%	102	100%	93%	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r				1	-	-	-
White	150	-	-	-	185	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	154	100%	98%	69%	189	99%	93%	77%
General-Education Students	129	100%	99%	79%	163	100%	99%	85%
Students with Disabilities	25	100%	92%	16%	26	92%	58%	27%
English Proficient	153	-	-	-	188	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	80	100%	99%	59%	91	98%	89%	68%
Not Disadvantaged	74	100%	97%	80%	98	100%	97%	85%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	154	100%	98%	69%	189	99%	93%	77%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the part group and the part group of students are group and the part of the students are group and the part of the students are group and the part of the students are group and the students are group are gro

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	_	_	-

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 672	*Range:	647-795	666-795	700-795			
2009 Mean Score: 678	100%	89% 89%	89%		88%	82% 52%	
2009-10 2008-09				13% 13%			13% 14%
Number of Tested Students:		172 174	110 157	25 23			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	194	89%	57%	13%	176	99%	89%	13%
Female	88	88%	56%	17%	82	99%	89%	12%
Male	106	90%	58%	9%	94	99%	89%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-			•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	er 1	-	-	-				
White	190	-	-	–	175	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	194	89%	57%	13%	176	99%	89%	13%
General-Education Students	166	96%	66%	15%	149	100%	98%	15%
Students with Disabilities	28	46%	4%	0%	27	93%	41%	4%
English Proficient	193	-	-	-	176	99%	89%	13%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-			•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	97	84%	43%	9%	93	98%	85%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	97	94%	70%	16%	83	100%	94%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	194	89%	57%	13%	176	99%	89%	13%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year		
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) <sup>†</sup> : Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pul	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 684	*Range:	640-780	674-780	702-780			
2009 Mean Score: 684	100%	93% 97%	93%	29%	94% 98%	88%	36%
2008-09				19%			
Number of Tested Students:		181 172	130 164	38 51			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	195	93%	67%	19%	177	97%	93%	29%
Female	88	91%	65%	17%	84	96%	94%	21%
Male	107	94%	68%	21%	93	98%	91%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-			•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	–	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	–		••••	••••••	
White	191	-	-	-	176	-	-	-
Multiracial	•••••			••••••				
Small Group Totals	195	93%	67%	19%	177	97%	93%	29%
General-Education Students	166	100%	77%	23%	148	100%	99%	33%
Students with Disabilities	29	52%	10%	0%	29	83%	62%	7%
English Proficient	194	-	-	-	177	97%	93%	29%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-			•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	98	91%	61%	10%	94	95%	89%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	97	95%	72%	29%	83	100%	96%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	195	93%	67%	19%	177	97%	93%	29%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 663	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785			
2009 Mean Score: 672	100%	91% 100%	85%		89%	81%	
2009-10 2008-09				4% 10%		н.	7% 9%
Number of Tested Students:		156 193	92 164	7 20			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	171	91%	54%	4%	193	100%	85%	10%
Female	87	93%	55%	3%	95	100%	92%	14%
Male	84	89%	52%	5%	98	100%	79%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander							
White	171	91%	54%	4%	192	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					193	100%	85%	10%
General-Education Students	146	99%	63%	5%	158	100%	96%	13%
Students with Disabilities	25	48%	0%	0%	35	100%	37%	0%
English Proficient	171	91%	54%	4%	193	100%	85%	10%
Limited English Proficient					•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	88	85%	44%	3%	83	100%	77%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	83	98%	64%	5%	110	100%	91%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	171	91%	54%	4%	193	100%	85%	10%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) <sup>†</sup> : Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 679	*Range:	640-780	674-780	699-780			
2009 Mean Score: 681	100%	96% 94%	87% 67%		92% 96%	83%	
2009-10 2008-09				23% 27%			27% 28%
Number of Tested Students:		164 182	115 167	40 52			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	171	96%	67%	23%	193	94%	87%	27%
Female	87	95%	64%	20%	96	96%	88%	29%
Male	84	96%	70%	27%	97	93%	86%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	er							
White	171	96%	67%	23%	192	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					193	94%	87%	27%
General-Education Students	146	100%	76%	27%	158	100%	99%	32%
Students with Disabilities	25	72%	16%	0%	35	69%	31%	3%
English Proficient	171	96%	67%	23%	193	94%	87%	27%
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••			•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	88	93%	58%	17%	82	93%	83%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	83	99%	77%	30%	111	95%	89%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	171	96%	67%	23%	193	94%	87%	27%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10 S	ichool Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	0			

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	·	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 667	*Range:	642-790	664-790	698-790			
2009 Mean Score: 667	100%	99% 91%	82%		90%	80%	
2009-10 2008-09				11% 6%			11% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		171 173	96 143	20 11			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	187	<b>91</b> %	51%	11%	174	99%	82%	6%	
Female	95	93%	62%	12%	90	100%	84%	8%	
Male	92	90%	40%	10%	84	99%	80%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino					4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isl	ander								
White	186	-	-	-	169	99%	82%	7%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	187	91%	51%	11%	5	100%	80%	0%	
General-Education Students	155	99%	61%	13%	148	100%	91%	7%	
Students with Disabilities	32	56%	6%	0%	26	96%	31%	0%	
English Proficient	187	91%	51%	11%	174	99%	82%	6%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	84	85%	33%	5%	82	100%	73%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	103	97%	66%	16%	92	99%	90%	10%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	187	91%	51%	11%	174	99%	82%	6%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ing at level(s):		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 672	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800			
2009 Mean Score: 678	100%	90%	91%		92% 99%	87% 62%	29% 30%
2008-09				18% <sup>23%</sup>			
Number of Tested Students:		169 170	118 156	34 40			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	187	90%	63%	18%	172	99%	91%	23%	
Female	95	93%	64%	20%	89	99%	90%	24%	
Male	92	88%	62%	16%	83	99%	92%	23%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino					4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	er								
White	186	-	-	-	167	99%	91%	24%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	187	90%	63%	18%	5	100%	80%	0%	
General-Education Students	155	99%	75%	22%	147	100%	97%	27%	
Students with Disabilities	32	50%	3%	0%	25	92%	56%	0%	
English Proficient	187	90%	63%	18%	172	99%	91%	23%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	84	86%	43%	11%	82	99%	87%	16%	
Not Disadvantaged	103	94%	80%	24%	90	99%	94%	30%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	187	90%	63%	18%	172	99%	91%	23%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	blic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 659	*Range:	627-790	658-790	699-790			
2009 Mean Score: 658	100%	94% 97%	68% 50%		91% 98%	69% 51%	
2009-10 2008-09				6% 3%			8% 5%
Number of Tested Students:		163 197	87 139	10 6			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	174	94%	50%	<b>6</b> %	204	97%	68%	3%	
Female	89	96%	61%	7%	107	98%	76%	5%	
Male	85	92%	39%	5%	97	95%	60%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific									
White	171	-	-	-	204	97%	68%	3%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	174	94%	50%	6%					
General-Education Students	149	99%	58%	7%	170	100%	78%	4%	
Students with Disabilities	25	60%	4%	0%	34	79%	18%	0%	
English Proficient	174	94%	50%	6%	204	97%	68%	3%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	78	92%	36%	0%	105	95%	55%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	96	95%	61%	10%	99	98%	82%	5%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	174	94%	50%	6%	204	97%	68%	3%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) <sup>†</sup> : Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 675	*Range:	639-775	673-775	702-775			
2009 Mean Score: 676	100%	95% 94%	87%		91% 96%	80% 55%	
2009-10 2008-09				13% 17%			18% 19%
Number of Tested Students:		166 189	86 176	22 34			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	175	95%	49%	13%	202	94%	87%	17%
Female	90	96%	49%	12%	107	97%	91%	20%
Male	85	94%	49%	13%	95	89%	83%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander							
White	172	-	-	-	202	94%	87%	17%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	175	95%	49%	13%				
General-Education Students	149	99%	56%	15%	168	100%	98%	20%
Students with Disabilities	26	69%	8%	0%	34	62%	35%	0%
English Proficient	175	95%	49%	13%	202	94%	87%	17%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	79	94%	37%	4%	103	91%	82%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	96	96%	59%	20%	99	96%	93%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	175	95%	49%	13%	202	94%	87%	17%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0				

### **This District's Results in Grade 8 Science**

	This District			NY State Pu	ıblic		
	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
■ 2009-10 ■ 2008-09	96% 92%	81% 73%	32% 21%	94% 94%	74% 71%	33% 26%	
Number of Tested Students:	169 188	143 150	56 43				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008-09	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	176	96%	81%	32%	205	92%	73%	21%	
Female	90	97%	82%	31%	108	95%	76%	19%	
Male	86	95%	80%	33%	97	88%	70%	24%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander								
White	173	-	-	-	205	92%	73%	21%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	176	96%	81%	32%					
General-Education Students	149	99%	87%	38%	169	98%	84%	25%	
Students with Disabilities	27	81%	48%	0%	36	61%	22%	3%	
English Proficient	176	96%	81%	32%	205	92%	73%	21%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	79	92%	71%	22%	106	89%	61%	15%	
Not Disadvantaged	97	99%	90%	40%	99	95%	86%	27%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	176	96%	81%	32%	205	92%	73%	21%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested		4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	т т			_					
Regents Science	0				0				

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pu	blic	
	Percentage sc	oring at level(	s):	Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
10 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	86% 81%	80% 78%	28% 29%	82% 81%	79% 77%	32% 32%

Results by	2006 <b>Coho</b> i	t		2005 Coho	2005 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	212	86%	80%	28%	230	81%	78%	<b>29</b> %	
Female	110	94%	90%	40%	118	88%	86%	38%	
Male	102	77%	69%	15%	112	73%	70%	19%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American			•••••	•••••	2	–	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino					3	–	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	• •••••	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	
Pacific Islander									
White	212	86%	80%	28%	225	80%	77%	28%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	••••••		•••••	•••••	5	100%	100%	40%	
General-Education Students	187	90%	87%	32%	201	88%	86%	33%	
Students with Disabilities	25	56%	28%	0%	29	31%	24%	0%	
English Proficient	212	86%	80%	28%	230	81%	78%	29%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged	76	84%	72%	13%	84	82%	77%	20%	
Not Disadvantaged	136	87%	84%	36%	146	80%	78%	34%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	212	86%	80%	28%	230	81%	78%	29%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pu	blic	
	Percentage sc	oring at level(	s):	Percentage s	coring at level	.(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
100 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	88% 85%	82% 80%	25% 23%	84% 83%	79% 77%	30% 30%

Results by	2006 <b>Coho</b> i	t		2005 Coho	2005 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	212	88%	82%	25%	230	85%	80%	23%	
Female	110	95%	91%	34%	118	90%	86%	23%	
Male	102	80%	72%	15%	112	79%	72%	22%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American			•••••	•••••	2	-	–	–	
Hispanic or Latino					3	–	-	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	• •••••	•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••		
Pacific Islander									
White	212	88%	82%	25%	225	84%	80%	23%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	•••••••			•••••	5	100%	80%	20%	
General-Education Students	187	93%	88%	28%	201	92%	89%	26%	
Students with Disabilities	25	52%	36%	0%	29	34%	17%	0%	
English Proficient	212	88%	82%	25%	230	85%	80%	23%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	76	86%	72%	18%	84	90%	82%	21%	
Not Disadvantaged	136	89%	87%	28%	146	82%	78%	23%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	212		82%	25%	230	85%	80%	23%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.