

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
District ID 41-23-00-01-0000
Superintendent JAMES WILLIS
Telephone (315) 792-2222
Grades PK-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
0	584	519
705	763	784
739	754	790
697	785	774
711	714	781
666	715	724
678	697	718
689	687	694
150	36	42
645	710	700
632	613	698
769	791	755
695	649	745
527	555	571
498	538	564
55	64	50
8856	9071	9390
	0 705 739 697 711 666 678 689 150 645 632 769 695 527 498	0 584 705 763 739 754 697 785 711 714 666 715 678 697 689 687 150 36 645 710 632 613 769 791 695 649 527 555 498 538 55 64

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Common Branch	20	20	20
Grade 8			
English	15	15	15
Mathematics	21	23	19
Science	23	20	22
Social Studies	21	18	18
Grade 10			
English	20	17	22
Mathematics	21	23	19
Science	20	24	20
Social Studies	23	23	23

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	5606	63%	5969	66%	5992	64%
Reduced-Price Lunch	876	10%	936	10%	897	10%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	951	11%	1154	13%	1203	13%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	0%	9	0%	12	0%
Black or African American	2576	29%	2590	29%	2717	29%
Hispanic or Latino	1272	14%	1297	14%	1472	16%
Asian or Native	650	7%	884	10%	995	11%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	4323	49%	4254	47%	4150	44%
Multiracial	26	0%	37	0%	44	0%

^{*} Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		93%		93%
Student Suspensions	1182	13%	1108	13%	1029	11%

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Number of Teachers	736	739	780
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	3%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	10%	11%	9%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	33%	35%	38%
Total Number of Core Classes	1638	1381	1639
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	4%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	2379	2117	2535
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	4%	1%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	21%	16%	12%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	13%	13%	12%

Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Other Professional Staff	86	90	120
Total Paraprofessionals*	388	249	160
Assistant Principals	12	15	15
Principals	12	12	12

 $^{^{\}star}$ Not available at the school level.

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The Percent Teaching Out of Certification is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.p12.nysed.qov/irts/accountability/.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006-07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006-07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the SIRS Manual at http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) \times 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009-10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)	Improvement (Year 6)						
	ELA		Science	♠ Good Standing			
	Math	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	♦ Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received T	itle I Part A Funding				
2008-09		09 20	09–10	2010-11			
	YES			YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary /i	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	✓	✓	~	X	V	X	
Ethnicity		'	,				
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		-	_		
Black or African American	X	~	••••	X	X	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	•••	X	~	•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	X	~		X	~		
White	~	V	•••	~	V	••••••••	
Multiracial	_	_		_	_		
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	✓		X	X		
Limited English Proficient	X	~	••••	X	X	•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	~	V	••••	X	V	•••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 4 of 8	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	X 1 of 8	X 5 of 8	X 0 of 1	



Pending - Requires Special Evaluation

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will remain In Need of Improvement

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Improvement (Year 6)
Accountability Measures	4 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
-	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 7) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

(Year 6) in 2011-12. [210]

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (4469:4196)	/	V	99%	V	157	153		
Ethnicity	,							
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (1270:1213)	X	✓	99%	X	150	152	152	116
Hispanic or Latino (723:677)	V	V	99%	V	153	151	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (502:430)	X	✓	100%	X	132	150	150	103
White (1947:1854)	/	/	99%	V	170	152	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Multiracial (23:18)	_	_	-	_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (933:921)	X	~	99%	X	122	151	143	88
Limited English Proficient (602:645)	X	~	100%	X	118	151	136	87
Economically Disadvantaged (3643:3414)	✓	✓	99%	~	153	153		
Final AYP Determination	X 4 of 8	8						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (2184:2052)			99%		163	153		
Male (2285:2144)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		99%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	152	153	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Migrant (0:0)			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (4457:4235)	/	/	99%	V	173	133			
Ethnicity	,								
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (1270:1218)	~	V	99%	v	166	132			
Hispanic or Latino (717:682)	/	/	99%	V	169	131			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (498:442)	~	V	100%	~	155	130			
White (1945:1870)	/	/	99%	V	184	132	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	
Multiracial (23:19)		-	-	_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (931:918)	V	~	98%	~	144	131			
Limited English Proficient (596:677)	✓	~	100%	v	144	131			
Economically Disadvantaged (3631:3454)	✓	~	100%	✓	171	133			
Final AYP Determination	✓ 8 of 8	3							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (2178:2070)			99%		174	133			
Male (2279:2165)	•••••••	•••••••	99%		173	133	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups									
All Students (1471:1380)	/	Qualified	V	98%	V	171	100	,	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (415:391)		Qualified	~	97%	~	167	100		
Hispanic or Latino (235:220)		Qualified	V	99%	V	166	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (171:152)	••••••	Qualified	~	99%	~	127	100	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
White (642:610)	••••••	Qualified	~	99%	/	185	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (8:7)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	-	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (307:291)		Qualified	V	96%	~	149	100		
Limited English Proficient (216:229)		Qualified	~	99%	~	129	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (1187:1117)		Qualified	~	99%	~	167	100		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 1 o	f 1	1						
Non-Accountability Groups	,								,
Female (720:675)			••••	99%		169	100		
Male (751:705)				98%		173	100		
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•• ••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •

Symbols



ade AYP



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Improvement (Year 6)
Accountability Measures	1 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 7) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will remain In Need of Improvement

(Year 6) in 2011-12. [210]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
Accountability Groups								
All Students (574:546)	X	V	99%	X	170	172	172‡	173
Ethnicity	,					1		
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (132:124)	X	✓	100%	X	160	168	167	164
Hispanic or Latino (75:73)	X	/	99%	X	160	166	152‡	164
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (53:46)	X	/	100%	X	146	163	163	151
White (314:301)	/	V	98%	V	180	171	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:1)	- -	-	-	_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (80:100)	X	✓	96%	X	118	167	118‡	126
Limited English Proficient (43:47)	X	~	100%	X	87	163	137	98
Economically Disadvantaged (317:319)	X	/	100%	X	163	171	170	167
Final AYP Determination	X 1 of 8							
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (301:278)			99%		178	170		
Male (273:268)	••••••••	••••••	98%	••••	162	170	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••

Symbols



ade AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AVD

- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	YP Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
Accountability Groups	Jiaius	Criterion	lested	Citterion	index	Ano	2009 10	2010 11
All Students (574:546)			99%		169	168		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (132:124)	X	✓	99%	X	155	164	164	160
Hispanic or Latino (75:73)	/	V	100%	/	162	162	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (53:46)	~	/	100%	~	170	159	••••••	•••
White (314:301)	/	/	98%	V	178	167	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Multiracial (0:1)	- -	_	-	_		_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (80:100)	X	•	96%	X	117	163	115‡	125
Limited English Proficient (43:47)	×	/	100%	X	128	159	149	135
Economically Disadvantaged (317:319)	~	V	100%	V	167	167	••••••••	••••••••
Final AYP Determination	X 5 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (301:278)			100%		176	166		
Male (273:268)	••••••	•••••	98%		163	166	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	***************************************		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••

Symbols



ade AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AVD

- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in graduation rate for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [203]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	ion	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (751)	X	X	64%	80%	65%	67%
Ethnicity		'	,			
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		-	_	-		
Black or African American (216)	••••••	✓	58%	80%	58%	62%
Hispanic or Latino (95)	••••••	X	48%	80%	58%	54%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (53)	••••••	V	62%	80%	59%	66%
White (385)	••••••	X	72%	80%	73%	74%
Multiracial (0)	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (149)		X	43%	80%	45%	50%
Limited English Proficient (72)	••••••	✓	51%	80%	48%	57%
Economically Disadvantaged (450)	••••••	✓	69%	80%	65%	71%
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 1	1				
Non-Accountability Groups						
- Female (359)			72%	80%		
Male (392)			57%	80%		
Migrant (0)	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		

Symbols



Made AYP



Did not make AYP

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **68%** and, therefore, this district *did not* meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

School Accountability Status

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

2010-11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010-11 accountability status.

In Good Standing

9 schools identified 75% of total

ALBANY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

CHRISTOPHER COLUMBUS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

GENERAL HERKIMER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

HUGH R JONES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

JOHN F HUGHES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

KERNAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

MARTIN LUTHER KING JR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

THOMAS JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

WATSON WILLIAMS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Corrective Action (year 2) Focused

1 school identified 8% of total

JOHN F KENNEDY MIDDLE SCHOOL

Restructuring (advanced) Focused

1 school identified 8% of total

THOMAS R PROCTOR HIGH SCHOOL

Restructuring (advanced) Comprehensive

1 school identified 8% of total

SENATOR JAMES H DONOVAN MIDDLE SCHOOL

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2009–10
District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3					
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%				
Grade 3	48%		770				
Grade 4	43%		704				
Grade 5	43%		712				
Grade 6	42%		661				
Grade 7	28%		703				
Grade 8	35%		713				
Mathematics							
Grade 3	55%		788				
Grade 4	53%		725				
Grade 5	51%		729				
Grade 6	40%		698				
Grade 7	41%		722				
Grade 8	34%		721				
Science							
Grade 4	84%		720				
Grade 8	62%		619				
	-	of students that above Level 3	2006 Total Cohort				
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%				
English	64%		734				
Mathematics	63%		734				

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:


High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10 \$	ichool Yea	r		2008-09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	770	79%	48%	14%	685	93%	75%	8%
Female	366	84%	53%	15%	338	94%	77%	8%
Male	404	75%	44%	12%	347	91%	73%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	205	77%	39%	10%	231	90%	71%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	132	78%	43%	10%	107	93%	66%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	80	61%	39%	6%	53	_	·····	-
White	348	86%	58%	19%	291	97%	83%	11%
Multiracial	4	_	_	_	3	-		-
Small Group Totals	5	60%	40%	0%	56	80%	66%	7%
General-Education Students	599	88%	57%	16%	566	95%	81%	9%
Students with Disabilities	171	50%	18%	4%	119	82%	45%	2%
English Proficient	656	84%	53%	15%	602	96%	80%	9%
Limited English Proficient	114	53%	23%	4%	83	72%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	654	77%	45%	11%	556	92%	72%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	116	93%	68%	30%	129	98%	88%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	770	79%	48%	14%	685	93%	75%	8%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	6	6	4	3	7	7	4	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	18	N/A	N/A	N/A	23	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10 S	ichool Yea	r		2008-09 S	chool Yea	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	788	86%	55%	20%	710	98%	88%	28%			
Female	374	88%	55%	19%	344	98%	88%	27%			
Male	414	84%	56%	21%	366	98%	88%	28%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-							
Black or African American	208	84%	50%	16%	231	99%	87%	19%			
Hispanic or Latino	132	83%	53%	14%	111	95%	84%	24%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	89	76%	43%	21%	71	-					
White	354	90%	63%	25%	294	99%	94%	34%			
Multiracial	4	_	_	_	3	_	- -				
Small Group Totals	5	60%	40%	0%	74	96%	78%	31%			
General-Education Students	617	93%	63%	24%	589	99%	93%	32%			
Students with Disabilities	171	61%	29%	7%	121	92%	64%	7%			
English Proficient	655	90%	61%	23%	603	99%	92%	31%			
Limited English Proficient	133	67%	30%	7%	107	95%	66%	11%			
Economically Disadvantaged	673	84%	54%	17%	579	98%	87%	24%			
Not Disadvantaged	115	95%	65%	36%	131	98%	95%	44%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	788	86%	55%	20%	710	98%	88%	28%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	6	5	7	7	7	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0	0	6	3	r		ľ	4	

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	704	87%	43%	2%	695	93%	73%	2%
Female	338	91%	47%	2%	350	97%	76%	3%
Male	366	84%	40%	1%	345	89%	70%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	-
Black or African American	222	86%	33%	0%	216	95%	72%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	114	85%	35%	0%	106	92%	62%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	73	62%	40%	1%	60	80%	63%	0%
White	289	95%	56%	3%	307	94%	80%	4%
Multiracial	6	100%	33%	0%	4	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	•				6	100%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	578	91%	49%	2%	561	97%	79%	3%
Students with Disabilities	126	71%	17%	0%	134	78%	48%	0%
English Proficient	620	92%	48%	2%	619	95%	77%	3%
Limited English Proficient	84	50%	13%	0%	76	76%	39%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	580	85%	39%	1%	571	92%	69%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	124	98%	64%	6%	124	98%	92%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	704	87%	43%	2%	695	93%	73%	2%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	ichool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	23	N/A	N/A	N/A	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	


t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10 S	chool Yea	r		2008-09	Total				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	725	88%	53%	15%	717	94%	84%	27%		
Female	352	88%	53%	11%	360	97%	88%	26%		
Male	373	88%	53%	18%	357	91%	80%	28%		
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	-		
Black or African American	221	88%	48%	7%	216	94%	82%	24%		
Hispanic or Latino	122	84%	43%	12%	110	95%	86%	22%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	82	71%	41%	13%	70	83%	69%	30%		
White	294	95%	64%	22%	315	96%	88%	31%		
Multiracial	6	100%	83%	17%	4	-	_	_		
Small Group Totals					6	100%	83%	17%		
General-Education Students	596	91%	59%	16%	581	96%	87%	30%		
Students with Disabilities	129	74%	25%	6%	136	83%	70%	13%		
English Proficient	619	93%	60%	17%	628	96%	87%	29%		
Limited English Proficient	106	58%	15%	1%	89	79%	61%	11%		
Economically Disadvantaged	600	86%	48%	11%	593	93%	81%	23%		
Not Disadvantaged	125	98%	78%	32%	124	99%	97%	47%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	725	88%	53%	15%	717	94%	84%	27%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	6	2	4				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	6	0	0	3	4				

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



lesults by	2009-10 \$	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	720	94%	84%	45%	718	96%	89%	54%
Female	348	94%	84%	43%	357	97%	92%	55%
Male	372	95%	83%	48%	361	95%	86%	53%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	_
Black or African American	220	97%	84%	45%	215	98%	91%	47%
Hispanic or Latino	120	92%	80%	32%	113	95%	85%	52%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	82	77%	55%	34%	69	80%	70%	39%
White	292	99%	93%	54%	315	99%	94%	63%
Multiracial	6	100%	100%	67%	4	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				6	100%	67%	33%
General-Education Students	595	95%	87%	49%	580	96%	91%	59%
Students with Disabilities	125	90%	69%	28%	138	96%	80%	34%
English Proficient	614	98%	91%	51%	629	99%	93%	59%
Limited English Proficient	106	75%	42%	12%	89	79%	64%	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	595	93%	81%	41%	594	96%	87%	49%
Not Disadvantaged	125	100%	97%	68%	124	99%	98%	77%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	720	94%	84%	45%	718	96%	89%	54%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Number scoring at level			(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	6	5	4	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0	U	6	3	4			_	

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	712	84%	43%	8%	682	99%	82%	8%	
Female	361	86%	49%	11%	321	100%	83%	10%	
Male	351	82%	36%	5%	361	98%	82%	7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	_	1	-	_	-	
Black or African American	214	81%	37%	3%	197	99%	76%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	109	83%	31%	5%	96	100%	78%	7%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 70	69%	44%	7%	51	90%	78%	10%	
White	313	90%	51%	12%	333	100%	88%	9%	
Multiracial	4	_	_	_	4	-	-	_	
Small Group Totals	6	67%	0%	0%	5	100%	100%	40%	
General-Education Students	570	90%	49%	10%	545	99%	88%	10%	
Students with Disabilities	142	60%	15%	0%	137	99%	61%	1%	
English Proficient	644	88%	46%	8%	636	100%	86%	9%	
Limited English Proficient	68	47%	10%	1%	46	89%	35%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	591	82%	39%	6%	524	99%	80%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	121	95%	61%	14%	158	100%	91%	15%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	712	84%	43%	8%	682	99%	82%	8%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	8	7	7	5	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	22	N/A	N/A	N/A	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09 \$	ichool Yea	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	729	90%	51%	16%	709	96%	85%	29%			
Female	367	91%	53%	14%	338	95%	83%	25%			
Male	362	89%	49%	17%	371	97%	88%	33%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	_	1	-	_	_			
Black or African American	217	90%	41%	7%	200	96%	81%	23%			
Hispanic or Latino	111	86%	46%	15%	103	96%	84%	24%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 82	73%	49%	20%	67	87%	69%	31%			
White	313	95%	61%	20%	334	98%	92%	33%			
Multiracial	4	_	_	-	4	-	_	_			
Small Group Totals	6	83%	33%	0%	5	100%	100%	40%			
General-Education Students	589	93%	57%	18%	569	97%	90%	34%			
Students with Disabilities	140	77%	26%	6%	140	93%	66%	7%			
English Proficient	641	94%	56%	17%	639	99%	90%	31%			
Limited English Proficient	88	58%	16%	2%	70	73%	46%	7%			
Economically Disadvantaged	607	88%	47%	13%	551	95%	83%	26%			
Not Disadvantaged	122	97%	70%	26%	158	98%	94%	39%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	729	90%	51%	16%	709	96%	85%	29%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year					
Assessments	Total	otal Number scoring at level(s): Total ^N						Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment	E	5	-	4	0	7	7	5		
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	3	4	0	1	ľ	5		

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	recentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 00% 68% 4% .00% 74% 5% .00% 63% 3% .00% 60% 3%			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	661	78%	42%	3%	677	100%	68%	4%		
Female	322	79%	40%	3%	335	100%	74%	5%		
Male	339	77%	44%	2%	342	100%	63%	3%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American	195	70%	29%	1%	201	100%	60%	3%		
Hispanic or Latino	107	77%	31%	0%	109	100%	67%	4%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isla	ander 58		_	_	58	_	_	_		
White	298	88%	54%	4%	308	99%	77%	3%		
Multiracial	2	_	_	_	1	-	_	-		
Small Group Totals	61	62%	46%	7%	59	100%	54%	7%		
General-Education Students	532	88%	51%	3%	530	100%	76%	5%		
Students with Disabilities	129	36%	8%	0%	147	99%	40%	0%		
English Proficient	606	82%	46%	3%	623	100%	73%	4%		
Limited English Proficient	55	38%	5%	0%	54	100%	19%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	518	76%	39%	1%	554	100%	64%	3%		
Not Disadvantaged	143	85%	55%	7%	123	100%	86%	7%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	661	78%	42%	3%	677	100%	68%	4%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	 Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	7	6	5	11	11	8	5	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	66% 13% 65% 9% 64% 5% 63% 11% - - 77% 14% - - 61% 16% 23% 14% 39% 1%		
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	698	82%	40%	11%	703	94%	65%	11%		
Female	340	81%	39%	9%	353	93%	66%	13%		
Male	358	83%	41%	13%	350	95%	65%	9%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-						
Black or African American	203	72%	26%	5%	208	92%	54%	5%		
Hispanic or Latino	112	86%	29%	6%	111	95%	63%	11%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Is	lander 67	_	_	_	75		_	_		
White	312	91%	53%	16%	308	95%	77%	14%		
Multiracial	3	_	_	_	1	_	_	-		
Small Group Totals	71	62%	38%	13%	76	93%	51%	16%		
General-Education Students	571	89%	47%	13%	554	95%	73%	14%		
Students with Disabilities	127	51%	6%	0%	149	88%	39%	1%		
English Proficient	629	88%	44%	12%	632	95%	71%	12%		
Limited English Proficient	69	29%	4%	0%	71	82%	20%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	551	80%	36%	9%	578	93%	61%	10%		
Not Disadvantaged	147	90%	56%	17%	125	96%	86%	16%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	698	82%	40%	11%	703	94%	65%	11%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	7	7	7	11	11	11	9	

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	703	75%	28%	3%	695	99%	66%	2%		
Female	353	79%	33%	5%	345	99%	69%	2%		
Male	350	71%	22%	1%	350	98%	63%	3%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	211	68%	15%	2%	204	99%	56%	0%		
Hispanic or Latino	114	70%	25%	1%	106	100%	60%	1%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	der 75	_	_	_	60	_	_	-		
White	300	88%	40%	4%	323	100%	77%	4%		
Multiracial	3	_	_	_	2	-	_	-		
Small Group Totals	78	50%	18%	3%	62	90%	48%	2%		
General-Education Students	553	82%	33%	4%	538	99%	75%	3%		
Students with Disabilities	150	49%	7%	0%	157	99%	35%	1%		
English Proficient	632	81%	31%	3%	626	100%	72%	3%		
Limited English Proficient	71	23%	1%	0%	69	88%	12%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	560	72%	24%	2%	562	98%	63%	2%		
Not Disadvantaged	143	87%	43%	6%	133	100%	79%	6%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	703	75%	28%	3%	695	99%	66%	2%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	ng at level(s):		Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	11	10	10	9	8	8	8	6	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	23	N/A	N/A	N/A	20	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 725 96% 78% 10%				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	722	82%	41%	11%	725	96%	78%	10%		
Female	361	81%	43%	12%	360	98%	80%	8%		
Male	361	83%	39%	9%	365	94%	76%	13%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	213	75%	33%	9%	208	96%	69%	2%		
Hispanic or Latino	114	80%	40%	11%	107	94%	74%	10%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	88	_	_	_	81	_	_	_		
White	304	91%	50%	12%	327	99%	90%	15%		
Multiracial	3	_	_	_	2	_	_	_		
Small Group Totals	91	70%	30%	11%	83	90%	59%	13%		
General-Education Students	575	87%	47%	13%	566	97%	83%	13%		
Students with Disabilities	147	62%	15%	2%	159	95%	62%	1%		
English Proficient	629	86%	45%	12%	632	98%	84%	12%		
Limited English Proficient	93	54%	11%	0%	93	83%	41%	1%		
Economically Disadvantaged	578	81%	38%	9%	590	96%	77%	8%		
Not Disadvantaged	144	88%	51%	17%	135	99%	83%	19%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	722	82%	41%	11%	725	96%	78%	10%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s):						
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	11	9	0	0	0	8	0	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	11	9	9	0	0	0	0	4	

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	ercentage scoring at level(s): 2-4				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	713	80%	35%	3%	639	97%	55%	1%			
Female	355	81%	37%	2%	325	98%	62%	3%			
Male	358	78%	34%	3%	314	95%	47%	0%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	187	71%	20%	1%	197	96%	47%	1%			
Hispanic or Latino	109	79%	27%	0%	92	99%	47%	0%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	der 81	_	_	_	49	84%	51%	0%			
White	334	92%	50%	4%	296	98%	64%	3%			
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	5	100%	60%	0%			
Small Group Totals	83	51%	23%	2%							
General-Education Students	558	86%	43%	3%	534	97%	62%	2%			
Students with Disabilities	155	57%	7%	0%	105	93%	22%	0%			
English Proficient	621	87%	40%	3%	590	99%	59%	2%			
Limited English Proficient	92	30%	1%	0%	49	71%	6%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged	563	78%	30%	2%	472	96%	54%	1%			
Not Disadvantaged	150	85%	55%	6%	167	99%	59%	2%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	713	80%	35%	3%	639	97%	55%	1%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total					Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	9	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	18	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	721	79%	34%	7%	662	92%	64%	6%			
Female	359	80%	32%	5%	333	92%	68%	7%			
Male	362	79%	36%	8%	329	91%	60%	6%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	187	71%	16%	0%	201	86%	56%	3%			
Hispanic or Latino	109	77%	30%	3%	97	93%	58%	5%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	er 88	_	_	_	62	90%	58%	10%			
White	335	88%	46%	11%	297	96%	73%	8%			
Multiracial	2	_	_	-	5	100%	60%	0%			
Small Group Totals	90	69%	33%	9%				•••••			
General-Education Students	567	86%	41%	8%	550	93%	69%	8%			
Students with Disabilities	154	53%	8%	1%	112	86%	39%	0%			
English Proficient	617	84%	38%	8%	595	94%	69%	7%			
Limited English Proficient	104	51%	10%	1%	67	78%	24%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged	573	79%	30%	5%	496	93%	65%	5%			
Not Disadvantaged	148	82%	51%	13%	166	88%	64%	9%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	721	79%	34%	7%	662	92%	64%	6%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	10	10	10	6	10	10	a	7		
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	10	10	10	O .	10	10	3	'		

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **41-23-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	619	88%	62%	13%	566	90%	63%	11%
Female	311	87%	57%	9%	279	91%	63%	8%
Male	308	89%	67%	18%	287	89%	62%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	175	89%	56%	6%	190	87%	53%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	101	87%	55%	10%	85	91%	58%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	der 75	_	_	_	54	65%	41%	6%
White	266	95%	77%	21%	232	98%	77%	17%
Multiracial	2	_	_	_	5	100%	80%	40%
Small Group Totals	77	64%	32%	9%	•••••			•••••
General-Education Students	468	90%	68%	16%	460	90%	67%	13%
Students with Disabilities	151	84%	43%	4%	106	88%	45%	3%
English Proficient	513	94%	69%	15%	498	95%	70%	12%
Limited English Proficient	106	62%	30%	4%	68	51%	9%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	521	87%	61%	11%	441	90%	62%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	98	95%	67%	24%	125	91%	63%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	619	88%	62%	13%	566	90%	63%	11%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Test	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	10	10	10	0	10	10	0	8
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	10	10	10	9	10	10	9	0
Regents Science	92	92	91	54	94	94	93	43

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 Cohor	2005 Cohort**						
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	734	70%	64%	13%	761	71%	63%	12%
Female	370	75%	71%	17%	364	78%	72%	13%
Male	364	64%	57%	9%	397	65%	54%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	_
Black or African American	183	61%	54%	6%	218	67%	55%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	100	62%	55%	7%	100	56%	45%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	57	_	_	_	51	_	_	_
White	392	77%	72%	18%	391	77%	71%	17%
Multiracial	1	_		-		•••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	59	66%	58%	12%	52	75%	65%	8%
General-Education Students	576	80%	75%	16%	614	79%	71%	14%
Students with Disabilities	158	35%	26%	0%	147	41%	26%	3%
English Proficient	678	73%	67%	14%	705	73%	65%	12%
Limited English Proficient	56	38%	29%	0%	56	46%	27%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	393	74%	65%	8%	455	77%	67%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	341	66%	63%	19%	306	62%	56%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	734	70%	64%	13%	761	71%	63%	12%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

^{** 2005} cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-23-00-01-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 Cohor		2005 Cohort**					
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	734	72%	63%	11%	761	69%	60%	11%
Female	370	77%	69%	14%	364	76%	66%	10%
Male	364	68%	57%	8%	397	63%	54%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	_
Black or African American	183	60%	48%	5%	218	64%	50%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	100	62%	56%	9%	100	53%	41%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	57	_	_	_	51	_	_	_
White	392	78%	71%	15%	391	75%	68%	15%
Multiracial	1	_	_	<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	59	90%	68%	7%	52	77%	73%	12%
General-Education Students	576	84%	74%	14%	614	77%	69%	13%
Students with Disabilities	158	30%	24%	2%	147	35%	20%	1%
English Proficient	678	73%	65%	12%	705	70%	62%	11%
Limited English Proficient	56	64%	41%	0%	56	54%	32%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	393	78%	66%	8%	455	76%	65%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	341	65%	59%	14%	306	58%	52%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	734	72%	63%	11%	761	69%	60%	11%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

^{** 2005} cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.