

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 District TULLY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 42-19-02-04-0000 Superintendent KRAIG PRITTS Telephone (315) 696-6204 Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.



Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 42-19-02-04-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	51	78	60
Grade 1	91	53	77
Grade 2	81	86	56
Grade 3	73	77	88
Grade 4	93	72	80
Grade 5	75	88	76
Grade 6	85	83	89
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	103	88	88
Grade 8	101	106	103
Grade 9	88	100	99
Grade 10	107	91	93
Grade 11	99	104	103
Grade 12	89	99	97
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	1136	1125	1109

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2007–08	2008–09	2009-10
Common Branch	18	19	19
Grade 8			
English		17	23
Mathematics	20	23	24
Science	18	21	23
Social Studies	20	21	24
Grade 10			
English	21	19	19
Mathematics	18	18	19
Science	21		23
Social Studies	21	23	20

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2007-08		200	2008–09		9–10
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	122	11%	118	10%	145	13%
Reduced-Price Lunch	78	7%	84	7%	86	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	6 1% 6		1%	8	1%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	5	0%	7	1%
Black or African American	15	1%	24	2%	22	2%
Hispanic or Latino	2	0%	3	0%	4	0%
Asian or Native	14	1%	12	1%	16	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1100	97%	1079	96%	1060	96%
Multiracial	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	41	3%	15	1%	43	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008-09	2009–10
Total Number of Teachers	95	93	91
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	9%	9%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	6%	5%	4%
Total Number of Core Classes	289	283	252
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	387	369	345
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	0%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience		25%	27%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers		11%	10%

Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Other Professional Staff	6	7	8
Total Paraprofessionals*	24	23	23
Assistant Principals	3	2	2
Principals	2	2	2

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District TULLY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 42-19-02-04-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing					
Status (2010–11)	ELA	▲ Good Standing	Science	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation R	ate 🔥 Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2008-	09	2009–10	2010-11		
	YES		YES	YES		

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Elementary/Middle Level		Secondary L	evel			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	 	v	v	 ✓ 	v	v
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_				
Black or African American	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-				
White	~	 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	~	 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial		••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	 ✓ 		_	_	
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	 ✓ 	 ✓ 	••••	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1

P Status	Accountability Status Levels		
Made AYP	Federal	State	
	Good Standing 🙏	Good Standing	
Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target	Improvement (Year 1) ,	📕 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)	
Did not make AYP	Improvement (Year 2) ,	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)	
Insufficient Number of Students	Improvement (Year 3) 🔥	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)	
to Determine AYP Status	Improvement (Year 4) 🔥	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)	
	Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔥	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above	
	Pending – Rec	uires Special Evaluation	

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

ercentage ested 100% –	Met Criterion	Performance Index 180	Effective AMO 150	Safe Harboi 2009–10	r Target 2010–11
				2009–10	2010-11
-	V	180	150		
-	V	180	150		
-					
-					
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	-	-		-
-	-	-	-	••••••••	-
-	_	-	-	•••••••••••••••	-
-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-
100%	~	181	150		
100%	✓ SH	135	144	128	106
-	–	-	-		-
100%	 	174	145		•••••
100%		183	148		
100%	••••••	177	148	•••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••	•••
	100% _ 100% 100%	 100% ✓	 100% 174 100% 183	 100% 174 145 100% 183 148	

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 42-19-02-04-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (514:506)	~	v	100%	V	192	130		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	-	-	_	-	_		-
Black or African American (7:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	-	-	–	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (501:493)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	192	130	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (68:71)	 	~	100%	 	169	124		
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (118:115)	~	 	100%	~	189	125		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	4						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (266:260)			100%		192	128		
Male (248:246)	•••••••	•••••	100%	••••••	192	128	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2009-10	_
Accountability Groups			_						
All Students (174:171)	~	Qualified	~	98%	~	193	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American 1:1)	•••••	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••	•••	•••••	•••	••••••		•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (171:168)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	✓	98%	 	193	100		•••••
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (20:20)		-	_	-	-	-	-		_
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	•••••								
Economically Disadvantaged (42:41)		Qualified	~	98%	~	193	100		
Final AYP Determination	V 1 o	f 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (87:86)				99%		193	100		
Male (87:85)				98%		193	100		
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••			•••••		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Symbols				Lisoful Torm	s for Unde	erstandina A	ccountab	ili+v	

Symbols

Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	ion	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (98:99)	~	 Image: A set of the set of the	100%	V	190	167		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (4:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:0)	–	–	-	-	-	-	••••	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (93:94)	~	 	100%	 ✓ 	193	167	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (10:13)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (18:18)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🗸 2 of 2	2						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (45:43)			100%		191	162		
Male (53:56)			100%		189	164		
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 42-19-02-04-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation			Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (98:99)	~	 	100%	 	190	163		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (4:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:0)	- -	_	-	–	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (93:94)	✓	 	100%	 ✓ 	193	163	•••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••		••••		••••		••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (10:13)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (18:18)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🗸 2 of 2	2						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (45:43)			100%		188	158		
Male (53:56)	••••••••••••		100%		191	160	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 42-19-02-04-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduatio	n	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (107)	✓	~	84%	80%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (2)	•••••••	_	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino (1)		_	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	-	-	••••	••••••••••••••••
White (101)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<	83%	80%	••••	••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••	••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (17)		-	_	_		
Limited English Proficient (1)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged (25)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	_	-	••••	••••••••••••••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (54)			89%	80%		
Male (53)	•••••••		79%	80%		
Migrant (0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••	••••	•••••••

Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **87%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

In Good Standing 2 schools identified 100% of total TULLY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

TULLY JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	58%		90
Grade 4	60%		82
Grade 5	61%		74
Grade 6	67%		92
Grade 7	60%		83
Grade 8	65%		92
Mathematics			
Grade 3	53%		90
Grade 4	71%		82
Grade 5	74%		74
Grade 6	72%		92
	86%		83
Grade 8	54%		92
Science			
Grade 4	93%		80
Grade 8	93%		73
	Percentage o	of students that	2006 Total
	scored at or	above Level 3	Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
Esta Rala	0.00/		102

.....

90%

91%

District ID 42-19-02-04-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

103

103

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

English

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 667	*Range:	643-780	662-780	694-780			
2009 Mean Score: 676	100%	92% 93%	80%		86% 95%	76%	
2009-10 2008-09				13% 21%			17% 11%
Number of Tested Students:		83 74	52 64	12 17			

Results bv	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09 \$	2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
emale Iale merican Indian or Alaska Native lack or African American lispanic or Latino sian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific vhite fultiracial mall Group Totals seneral-Education Students tudents with Disabilities nglish Proficient imited English Proficient conomically Disadvantaged lot Disadvantaged ligrant	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4		
All Students	90	92 %	58%	13%	80	93%	80%	21%		
Female	47	94%	64%	15%	42	98%	93%	19%		
Male	43	91%	51%	12%	38	87%	66%	24%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American				•••••	1	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander				1	-	-	-		
White	89	-	-	-	78	-	-	-		
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals	90	92%	58%	13%	80	93%	80%	21%		
General-Education Students	75	96%	65%	16%	71	99%	90%	24%		
Students with Disabilities	15	73%	20%	0%	9	44%	0%	0%		
English Proficient	90	92%	58%	13%	80	93%	80%	21%		
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged	21	90%	43%	10%	25	88%	68%	4%		
Not Disadvantaged	69	93%	62%	14%	55	95%	85%	29%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	90	92%	58%	13%	80	93%	80%	21%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	5	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 684	*Range:	661-770	684-770	707-770			
2009 Mean Score: 686 2009–10 2008–09	100%	92% 100%	94%	13% 16%	91%	93% 59%	24% 27%
Number of Tested Students:		83 80	48 75	12 13			_

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	90	92%	53%	13%	80	100%	94%	16%	
Female	47	89%	51%	9%	42	100%	98%	14%	
Male	43	95%	56%	19%	38	100%	89%	18%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino				••••••		••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r				1	-	-	-	
White	89	-	-	-	78	-	-	-	
Multiracial				••••••		••••			
Small Group Totals	90	92%	53%	13%	80	100%	94%	16%	
General-Education Students	75	96%	57%	12%	71	100%	97%	18%	
Students with Disabilities	15	73%	33%	20%	9	100%	67%	0%	
English Proficient	90	92%	53%	13%	80	100%	94%	16%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	21	90%	52%	10%	25	100%	100%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	69	93%	54%	14%	55	100%	91%	20%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	90	92%	53%	13%	80	100%	94%	16%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	_	_	0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 675	*Range:	637-775	668-775	720-775			
2009 Mean Score: 674	100%	89%	89% 60%	4% 5%	92% 96%	77%	<u>6%</u> 7%
Number of Tested Students:		73 72	49 65	3 4			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	82	89 %	60%	4%	73	99%	89%	5%
Female	42	95%	64%	7%	32	100%	84%	13%
Male	40	83%	55%	0%	41	98%	93%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 1	-	-	-				
White	80	-	-	-	73	99%	89%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	82	89%	60%	4%				
General-Education Students	71	97%	66%	4%	63	100%	94%	6%
Students with Disabilities	11	36%	18%	0%	10	90%	60%	0%
English Proficient	82	89%	60%	4%	73	99%	89%	5%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	24	88%	38%	4%	13	100%	77%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	58	90%	69%	3%	60	98%	92%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	82	89%	60%	4%	73	99%	89%	5%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	scoring at lev 3–4 N/A	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pul	olic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 689	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800			
2009 Mean Score: 693	100%	96% 100%	97% 71%	34%	95% 96%	87%	35%
2008-09				23%			26%
Number of Tested Students:		79 73	58 71	19 25			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4		
All Students	82	96%	71%	23%	73	100%	97%	34%		
Female	42	98%	69%	19%	32	100%	94%	31%		
Male	40	95%	73%	28%	41	100%	100%	37%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino	•••••			••••••			•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 1	-	-	-						
White	80	-	-	-	73	100%	97%	34%		
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals	82	96%	71%	23%						
General-Education Students	71	99%	77%	27%	63	100%	98%	38%		
Students with Disabilities	11	82%	27%	0%	10	100%	90%	10%		
English Proficient	82	96%	71%	23%	73	100%	97%	34%		
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged	24	100%	67%	8%	13	100%	92%	8%		
Not Disadvantaged	58	95%	72%	29%	60	100%	98%	40%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	82	96%	71%	23%	73	100%	97%	34%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pul	blic			
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 79	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100					
2009 Mean Score: 81 2009-10 2008-09	100%	99% 99%	93% 90%	40% 41%	97% 97%	88% 88%	55% ^{59%}		
Number of Tested Students:		79 72	74 66	32 30					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	80	99%	93%	40%	73	99%	90%	41%
Female	41	100%	95%	39%	32	97%	81%	41%
Male	39	97%	90%	41%	41	100%	98%	41%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				•••••
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 1	-	-	-				
White	78	-	-	–	73	99%	90%	41%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	80	99%	93%	40%				
General-Education Students	69	99%	94%	45%	63	98%	92%	43%
Students with Disabilities	11	100%	82%	9%	10	100%	80%	30%
English Proficient	80	99%	93%	40%	73	99%	90%	41%
Limited English Proficient								•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	23	100%	91%	26%	13	100%	77%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	57	98%	93%	46%	60	98%	93%	45%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	80	99%	93%	40%	73	99%	90%	41%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Publ	ic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 673	*Range:	647-795	666-795	700-795			
2009 Mean Score: 680	100%	93% 98%	88%		88%	82% 52%	
2008-09				11% 17%			13% 14%
Number of Tested Students:		69 90	45 81	8 16			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4		
All Students	74	93%	61%	11%	92	98%	88%	17%		
Female	33	91%	67%	18%	50	98%	86%	18%		
Male	41	95%	56%	5%	42	98%	90%	17%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American					2	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isl	ander									
White	74	93%	61%	11%	89	-	_	_		
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals					92	98%	88%	17%		
General-Education Students	62	98%	65%	11%	81	100%	95%	19%		
Students with Disabilities	12	67%	42%	8%	11	82%	36%	9%		
English Proficient	74	93%	61%	11%	91	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged	15	80%	40%	7%	14	100%	86%	7%		
Not Disadvantaged	59	97%	66%	12%	78	97%	88%	19%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	74	93%	61%	11%	92	98%	88%	17%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) [†] : Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pul	blic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 686	*Range:	640-780	674-780	702-780				
2009 Mean Score: 693 2009–10 2008–09	100%	99% 99%	92%	46%	94% 98%	88% 65%	36%	
Number of Tested Students:		73 92	55 86	12 43				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008-09	2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	74	99%	74%	16%	93	99%	92%	46%
Female	33	97%	73%	18%	51	98%	88%	43%
Male	41	100%	76%	15%	42	100%	98%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	er							
White	74	99%	74%	16%	90	-	_	_
Yultiracial								
Small Group Totals					93	99%	92%	46%
General-Education Students	62	100%	81%	18%	82	100%	96%	50%
Students with Disabilities	12	92%	42%	8%	11	91%	64%	18%
English Proficient	74	99%	74%	16%	92	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	15	100%	60%	7%	15	100%	87%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	59	98%	78%	19%	78	99%	94%	53%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	74	99%	74%	16%	93	99%	92%	46%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 670	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785			
2009 Mean Score: 671	100%	93% 100%	89% 67%		89%	81%	
2009-10							
2008-09				8% 11%			7% 9%
Number of Tested Students:		86 82	62 73	79			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	92	93%	67%	8%	82	100%	89%	11%
Female	53	92%	60%	8%	46	100%	91%	13%
Male	39	95%	77%	8%	36	100%	86%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	_	_			•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	ler		••••••	•••••	1	-	-	-
White	88	-	–	–	77	100%	88%	12%
Multiracial			••••••	•••••			•••••	
Small Group Totals	92	93%	67%	8%	5	100%	100%	0%
General-Education Students	80	99%	75%	9%	71	100%	93%	13%
Students with Disabilities	12	58%	17%	0%	11	100%	64%	0%
English Proficient	91	-	_	_	82	100%	89%	11%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	21	95%	48%	0%	21	100%	81%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	71	93%	73%	10%	61	100%	92%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	92	93%	67%	8%	82	100%	89%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		,	2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sc	oring at leve	əl(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 687	*Range:	640-780	674-780	699-780			
2009 Mean Score: 678 2009–10 2008–09	100%	98% 99%	85%	39%	92% 96%	83% 61%	27% 28%
Number of Tested Students:		90 83	66 71	36 16			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	92	98%	72%	39 %	84	99%	85%	19%	
Female	53	98%	66%	42%	46	100%	83%	15%	
Male	39	97%	79%	36%	38	97%	87%	24%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r				1	-	-	-	
White	88	-	-	-	79	99%	86%	18%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	92	98%	72%	39%	5	100%	60%	40%	
General-Education Students	80	100%	80%	43%	71	100%	92%	23%	
Students with Disabilities	12	83%	17%	17%	13	92%	46%	0%	
English Proficient	91	-	-	-	84	99%	85%	19%	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged	21	100%	67%	14%	22	95%	77%	9%	
Not Disadvantaged	71	97%	73%	46%	62	100%	87%	23%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	92	98%	72%	39%	84	99%	85%	19%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 670	*Range:	642-790	664-790	698-790			
2009 Mean Score: 677	100%	96% 100%	90% 60%		90%	80%	
2009-10							
2008-09				11% 16%			11% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		80 89	50 80	9 14			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	83	96%	60%	11%	89	100%	90%	16%
Female	45	98%	71%	9%	44	100%	95%	20%
Male	38	95%	47%	13%	45	100%	84%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-			•••••	
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	78	96%	62%	12%	88	_	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	0%	89	100%	90%	16%
General-Education Students	75	100%	63%	12%	78	100%	96%	18%
Students with Disabilities	8	63%	38%	0%	11	100%	45%	0%
English Proficient	83	96%	60%	11%	89	100%	90%	16%
Limited English Proficient	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	19	95%	58%	5%	16	100%	88%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	64	97%	61%	13%	73	100%	90%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	83	96%	60%	11%	89	100%	90%	16%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year		,	2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sc	oring at leve	əl(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 692	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800			
2009 Mean Score: 678	100%	99% 100%	86% 91%	36%	92% 99%	87% 62%	29% 30%
2008-09				18%			
Number of Tested Students:		82 88	71 80	30 16			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	83	99%	86%	36%	88	100%	91%	18%	
Female	45	100%	91%	33%	44	100%	89%	18%	
Male	38	97%	79%	39%	44	100%	93%	18%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	4	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	78	99%	86%	36%	87	-	-	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	40%	88	100%	91%	18%	
General-Education Students	75	100%	91%	40%	78	100%	97%	21%	
Students with Disabilities	8	88%	38%	0%	10	100%	40%	0%	
English Proficient	83	99%	86%	36%	88	100%	91%	18%	
Limited English Proficient				•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	19	100%	84%	21%	16	100%	88%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	64	98%	86%	41%	72	100%	92%	21%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	83	99%	86%	36%	88	100%	91%	18%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested					2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	blic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 672	*Range:	627-790	658-790	699-790				
2009 Mean Score: 667	100%	99% 99%	65% 72%		91% 98%	69% 51%		
2009-10								
2008-09				^{14%} 8%			8% 5%	
Number of Tested Students:		91 105	60 76	13 8				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	92	99%	65%	14%	106	99%	72%	8%
Female	45	100%	73%	20%	51	100%	71%	12%
Male	47	98%	57%	9%	55	98%	73%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	•••••				4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	_	-	2	-	-	-
White	91	-	-	-	100	99%	73%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	92	99%	65%	14%	6	100%	50%	17%
General-Education Students	83	100%	70%	16%	91	100%	84%	9%
Students with Disabilities	9	89%	22%	0%	15	93%	0%	0%
English Proficient	92	99%	65%	14%	105	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••				1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	56%	0%	20	100%	55%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	74	99%	68%	18%	86	99%	76%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	92	99%	65%	14%	106	99%	72%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	əl(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4 3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 675	*Range:	639-775	673-775	702-775					
2009 Mean Score: 677	100%	98% 99%	92%		91% 96%	80% 55%			
2009-10							100/		
2008-09				7% 14%			18% 19%		
Number of Tested Students:		90 104	50 97	6 15					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	92	98%	54%	7 %	105	99%	92%	14%	
Female	45	96%	62%	4%	51	98%	90%	16%	
Male	47	100%	47%	9%	54	100%	94%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American					4	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino		••••							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	91	-	–	-	99	100%	94%	14%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	92	98%	54%	7%	6	83%	67%	17%	
General-Education Students	83	98%	60%	7%	89	100%	100%	17%	
Students with Disabilities	9	100%	0%	0%	16	94%	50%	0%	
English Proficient	92	98%	54%	7%	104	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	18	94%	17%	6%	19	100%	89%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	74	99%	64%	7%	86	99%	93%	17%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	92	98%	54%	7%	105	99%	92%	14%	

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10 S	ichool Year			2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	73	100%	93%	32%	105	100%	88%	36%
Female	35	100%	89%	31%	50	100%	84%	36%
Male	38	100%	97%	32%	55	100%	91%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	er 1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	72	-	-	-	99	100%	89%	35%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	73	100%	93%	32%	6	100%	67%	50%
General-Education Students	64	100%	97%	34%	89	100%	94%	43%
Students with Disabilities	9	100%	67%	11%	16	100%	50%	0%
English Proficient	73	100%	93%	32%	104	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	94%	22%	18	100%	94%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	55	100%	93%	35%	87	100%	86%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	73	100%	93%	32%	105	100%	88%	36%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent					0				
Regents Science	18	18	18	18	0				

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 Coho i	t		2005 Cohort **				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	103	92%	90%	46%	108	87%	83%	57%
Female	44	93%	93%	59%	54	91%	89%	69%
Male	59	92%	88%	36%	54	83%	78%	46%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	80%	60%	0%	3	–	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	1	–	–	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			•••••	••••••	3	-	-	-
White	98	93%	92%	48%	101	86%	83%	58%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	7	100%	86%	43%
General-Education Students	90	94%	93%	50%	91	90%	90%	67%
Students with Disabilities	13	77%	69%	15%	17	71%	47%	6%
English Proficient	101	-	_	-	106	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2	-		-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	19	95%	95%	32%	26	77%	73%	46%
Not Disadvantaged	84	92%	89%	49%	82	90%	87%	61%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	103	92%	90%	46%	108	87%	83%	57%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pub	olic			
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
10 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	94% 91%	91% 90%	38%	84% 83%	79% 77%	30% 30%		

Results by	2006 Coho i	t		2005 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	103	94%	91%	38%	108	91%	90%	48%
Female	44	93%	91%	36%	54	93%	93%	57%
Male	59	95%	92%	39%	54	89%	87%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	80%	40%	20%	3	–	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	1	–	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				••••••	3	-	-	-
White	98	95%	94%	39%	101	91%	91%	50%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	••••••			•••••	7	86%	71%	29%
General-Education Students	90	97%	97%	42%	91	97%	96%	57%
Students with Disabilities	13	77%	54%	8%	17	59%	59%	0%
English Proficient	101	_	_	-	106	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2	-		-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	19	100%	95%	26%	26	81%	81%	42%
Not Disadvantaged	84	93%	90%	40%	82	94%	93%	50%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	103	94%	91%	38%	108	91%	90%	48%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.