

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 District PORT JERVIS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 44-18-00-05-0000 Superintendent JOHN XANTHIS Telephone (845) 858-3175 Grades PK-12, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.



Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 44-18-00-05-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
Pre-K	0	200	46
Kindergarten	213	216	226
Grade 1	194	208	207
Grade 2	257	202	209
Grade 3	213	247	205
Grade 4	210	213	247
Grade 5	215	226	216
Grade 6	206	219	232
Ungraded Elementary	7	6	0
Grade 7	245	223	227
Grade 8	258	251	226
Grade 9	407	267	249
Grade 10	233	360	273
Grade 11	235	247	350
Grade 12	220	209	195
Ungraded Secondary	5	10	2
Total K-12	3118	3104	3064

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Common Branch	21	21	21
Grade 8			
English	22	20	18
Mathematics	22	22	18
Science	23	22	18
Social Studies	23	22	18
Grade 10			
English	19	19	21
Mathematics	18	17	20
Science	20	17	24
Social Studies	18	21	20

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	7–08	200	8-09	200	9–10
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	899	29%	1201	39%	1294	42%
Reduced-Price Lunch	294	9%	358	12%	372	12%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	25	1%	26	1%	19	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	21	1%	20	1%	19	1%
Black or African American	235	8%	241	8%	261	9%
Hispanic or Latino	228	7%	222	7%	219	7%
Asian or Native	24	1%	32	1%	36	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2610	84%	2557	82%	2499	82%
Multiracial	0	0%	32	1%	30	1%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		92%		91%
Student Suspensions	294	9%	226	7%	331	11%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Number of Teachers	239	247	244
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	2%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	9%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	20%	18%	18%
Total Number of Core Classes	695	659	658
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	3%	3%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	794	808	818
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	4%	2%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	17%	17%	21%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	8%	10%

Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Total Other Professional Staff	27	20	32
Total Paraprofessionals*	41	37	43
Assistant Principals	5	5	5
Principals	4	4	4

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

Federal Title Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District PORT JERVIS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 44-18-00-05-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)	▲ Good Standing					
	ELA		Science	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Funding			
	2008-	·09	2009–10	2010-11		
	YES		YES	YES		

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	 	 Image: A start of the start of	v	 ✓ 	v	 		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	-			
Black or African American	 ✓ 	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	–	••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	✓	 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	–	••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_		_	-			
White	~	V	••••	 	V	••••		
Multiracial		••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	X		✓ SH	✔ѕн			
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	 	••••	X	X	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 5 of 6	X 5 of 6	🖌 1 of 1	X 3 of 4	X 3 of 4	✔ 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	ion	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1392:1324)	~	v	99%	V	163	152		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (9:9)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (139:126)	 	 Image: A start of the start of	99%	~	151	146		
Hispanic or Latino (112:99)	<	 	99%	 ✓ 	156	145	•••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1116:1076)	<	 ✓ 	99%	 ✓ 	164	152	••• •••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••• •••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (236:234)	x	~	99%	x	114	148	119	74
Limited English Proficient (4:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (761:728)	✓	 	99%	~	153	151		
Final AYP Determination	🗙 5 of 6	5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (689:658)			100%		167	151		
Male (703:666)	•••••••••	••••••	99%		159	151	••• ••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••		••••••			•••••	••• •••••	•••• •••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participati		ion	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1390:1322)	~	V	99%	~	174	132		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (9:9)	_	_	-	_	-	_		-
Black or African American (138:127)	 	v	100%	✓	161	126		
Hispanic or Latino (112:99)	✓	<	98%	<	176	125	•••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1115:1073)	✓	 	99%	<	176	132	•••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••	••••••						••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (234:233)	X	~	99%	x	119	128	128	84
Limited English Proficient (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (759:727)	✓	v	99%	✓	168	131		••••
Final AYP Determination	X 5 of 6	6						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (688:657)			99%		175	131		
Male (702:665)	•••••••••	•••••	99%	•••••	174	131	•••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••••					•••••	••• •••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion	Test Perfo	Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (479:449)	~	Qualified	v	97%	v	173	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	_	-	-	-	-	-	
Black or African American (50:46)		Qualified	~	98%	~	161	100		
Hispanic or Latino (38:35)		Qualified	–	-	~	171	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:7)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
White (381:359)		Qualified	<	96%	 	174	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	• •••••		••••••			••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (85:82)		Qualified	~	95%	~	127	100		
Limited English Proficient (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (257:242)		Qualified	~	96%	~	163	100		
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (230:218)				98%		167	100		
Male (249:231)				96%		178	100		
Migrant (0:0)	• •••••	•••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Symbols

Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

AYP Participatio		ion	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
 Image: A second s	v	99%	V	171	170		
_	-	-	-	-	_		_
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
–	-	-	–	-	-	••• •••	-
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
<	 	98%	 ✓ 	171	169	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••
SH	_	-	✓ SH	109	160	69	118
_	-	-	-	-	-		-
X	 	100%	X	160	167	131‡	164
🗙 3 of 4	4						
		100%		179	168		
•••••••••••		97%		161	167	••••	••••
•••••••••••						••••	••••
	Status	Status Met Criterion ✓ ✓ – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	Met Criterion Percentage Tested ✓ 99% ✓ 99% – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – ✓ 98% ✓ 98% ✓ 100%	Status Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion ✓ 99% ✓ – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – × ✓ 98% × ✓ 98% × ✓ 100%	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index ✓ ✓ 99% ✓ 171 – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – V 98% V 171 – – – – – VSH – – – – – X V 100% X 160 X X 3 of 4 – – – – – 100% 100% 179 – – – 100% 100	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO Image: Criterion Image: Criterion <td>Met Status Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO Safe Harbo 2009-10 V 99% V 171 170 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - V 98% V 100% X 160 167 131⁴ X V 100% X 160 167 131⁴ </td>	Met Status Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO Safe Harbo 2009-10 V 99% V 171 170 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - V 98% V 100% X 160 167 131 ⁴ X V 100% X 160 167 131 ⁴

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (207:249)	~	~	99%	V	166	166		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	-	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (19:23)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (12:15)	-	-	-	–	-	-	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (173:208)	 ✓ 	 	98%	 ✓ 	168	165	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••		••••				••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (28:33)	✓ SH	_	-	✓ SH	88	156	71	99
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (62:96)	X	 	100%	X	152	163	128‡	157
Final AYP Determination	🗙 3 of 4	4						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (107:133)			100%		170	164		
Male (100:116)	•••••••••••		97%		162	163	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••	••••••				•••••	••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 44-18-00-05-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduatio	on	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (287)	 	 	71%	80%	70%	73%
Ethnicity			·			
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		_	_	-		
Black or African American (19)	••••••••••••••••••••••	-	–	-		
Hispanic or Latino (17)	•••••••••	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)	••••••	-	_	-	••••	
White (248)	••••••	 	71%	80%	70%	73%
Multiracial (0)	••••••	••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (48)		v	31%	80%	31%	41%
Limited English Proficient (3)	•••••••••••••••••••••	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (90)	•••••••••••••••••••••	X	56%	80%	64%	61%
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (139)			76%	80%		
Male (148)	••••••••••••••••••••••		66%	80%		
Migrant (0)	••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	

Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

— Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **74%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

In Good Standing	
3 schools identified 75% of total	
ANNA S KUHL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
N A HAMILTON BICENTENIAL SCHOOL	
PORT JERVIS MIDDLE SCHOOL	
Improvement (year 1) Focused	
1 school identified 25% of total	
PORT JERVIS SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL	

Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	46%		208
Grade 4	48%		250
Grade 5	37%		218
Grade 6	54%		234
Grade 7	38%		228
Grade 8	36%		226
Mathematics			
Grade 3	57%		207
Grade 4	43%		251
Grade 5	55%		219
Grade 6	52%		234
Grade 7	52%		227
Grade 8	31%		223
Science			
Grade 4	84%		248
Grade 8	68%		211
	-	of students that above Level 3	2006 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	74%		280

280

69%

District ID 44-18-00-05-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 661	*Range:	643-780	662-780	694-780			
2009 Mean Score: 663	100%	95% 81%	70%		86% 95%	76% 55%	
2008-09				13% 8%			17% 11%
Number of Tested Students:		168 238	95 175	27 21			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	208	81%	46%	13%	251	95%	70%	8%
Female	113	86%	54%	16%	121	96%	76%	7%
Male	95	75%	36%	9%	130	94%	64%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	22	73%	41%	9%	18	89%	56%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	19	-	-	–	15	93%	47%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	164	80%	47%	14%	213	95%	73%	9%
Multiracial	•••••						•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	22	91%	41%	9%	5	100%	60%	0%
General-Education Students	180	90%	52%	14%	214	99%	79%	10%
Students with Disabilities	28	21%	7%	4%	37	70%	16%	0%
English Proficient	208	81%	46%	13%	251	95%	70%	8%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	128	79%	38%	9%	123	92%	59%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	80	84%	58%	20%	128	98%	80%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	208	81%	46%	13%	251	95%	70%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 S e	chool Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 690	*Range:	661-770	684-770	707-770			
2009 Mean Score: 691 ■ 2009–10 ■ 2008–09	100%	91%	91%	20% 27%	91%	93% 59%	24% 27%
Number of Tested Students:		188 250	118 228	42 68			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	207	91 %	57%	20%	251	100%	91%	27%
Female	112	96%	61%	24%	122	100%	89%	25%
Male	95	85%	53%	16%	129	99%	92%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	3	-	_	-
Black or African American	22	86%	41%	5%	19	100%	84%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	19	-	-	–	16	100%	88%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	–	2	-	-	-
White	163	91%	59%	21%	211	100%	92%	29%
Multiracial	•••••							
Small Group Totals	22	95%	59%	32%	5	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	180	97%	64%	22%	215	100%	95%	31%
Students with Disabilities	27	52%	11%	7%	36	97%	67%	3%
English Proficient	207	91%	57%	20%	251	100%	91%	27%
Limited English Proficient	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	127	91%	49%	15%	121	99%	84%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	80	90%	70%	29%	130	100%	97%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	207	91%	57%	20%	251	100%	91%	27%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	5	3	0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 667	*Range:	637-775	668-775	720-775			
2009 Mean Score: 666	100%	88% 92%	70%		92% 96%	77% 57%	
2009-10 2008-09				5% 6%	н.	н.	6% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		219 198	119 151	13 13			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09 \$	2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	250	88%	48%	5%	215	92%	70%	6%	
Female	122	89%	51%	8%	105	92%	71%	8%	
Male	128	87%	45%	2%	110	92%	69%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	
Black or African American	21	86%	24%	0%	25	92%	68%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	15	87%	33%	7%	15	93%	53%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	207	87%	50%	5%	167	92%	71%	7%	
Multiracial	•••••			•••••			•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	14%	8	100%	88%	13%	
General-Education Students	208	95%	56%	6%	188	96%	77%	7%	
Students with Disabilities	42	52%	5%	0%	27	63%	22%	0%	
English Proficient	250	88%	48%	5%	215	92%	70%	6%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Economically Disadvantaged	133	83%	40%	1%	123	89%	56%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	117	93%	56%	10%	92	97%	89%	13%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	250	88%	48%	5%	215	92%	70%	6%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 671	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800			
2009 Mean Score: 674 ■ 2009–10 ■ 2008–09	100%	92% 93%	79%	11% 19%	95% 96%	87% 64%	26%
Number of Tested Students:		230 200	109 168	28 40			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	251	92%	43%	11%	214	93%	79%	19%
Female	124	90%	39%	6%	104	94%	80%	19%
Male	127	94%	48%	17%	110	93%	77%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	6	-	_	-
Black or African American	22	86%	23%	0%	26	92%	73%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	16	100%	38%	13%	14	93%	64%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 5	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	206	91%	44%	12%	165	94%	80%	20%
Multiracial	•••••							
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	29%	9	89%	89%	56%
General-Education Students	210	96%	51%	13%	186	97%	84%	21%
Students with Disabilities	41	71%	2%	0%	28	71%	43%	4%
English Proficient	250	-	-	-	213	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	133	90%	32%	5%	116	91%	68%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	118	93%	57%	19%	98	97%	91%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	251	92%	43%	11%	214	93%	79%	19%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 78	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100			
2009 Mean Score: 79 2009–10 2008–09	100%	98% 95%	84% 83%	48% 36%	97% 97%	88% 88%	55% 59%
Number of Tested Students:		243 204	209 179	90 103			_

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	248	98%	84%	36%	215	95%	83%	48%
Female	122	98%	80%	31%	107	93%	80%	46%
Male	126	98%	88%	41%	108	96%	86%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Black or African American	21	95%	71%	10%	24	88%	79%	29%
Hispanic or Latino	15	100%	93%	20%	14	86%	71%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 5	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	205	98%	84%	40%	168	97%	85%	52%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	57%	9	89%	78%	67%
General-Education Students	208	99%	88%	42%	190	96%	86%	51%
Students with Disabilities	40	95%	63%	8%	25	84%	60%	24%
English Proficient	247	-	-	_	214	_	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	132	97%	80%	26%	115	93%	77%	32%
Not Disadvantaged	116	99%	90%	48%	100	97%	90%	66%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	248	98%	84%	36%	215	95%	83%	48%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				3	-	_	_	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Publ	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scori	ing at level(s):	
		2–4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 665	*Range:	647-795	666-795	700-795			
2009 Mean Score: 671	100%	100% 82%	79%		99%	82%	
2009-10 2008-09			37%	7% 9%	ы.		13% 14%
Number of Tested Students:		179 228	80 181	15 20			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	218	82%	37%	7%	228	100%	79%	9%
Female	106	87%	41%	8%	116	100%	81%	9%
Male	112	78%	33%	5%	112	100%	78%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-				
Black or African American	30	77%	40%	3%	13	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	19	79%	47%	0%	14	100%	93%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	162	84%	34%	8%	199	100%	78%	8%
Multiracial	• •••••		••••••	•••••	••••••	••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	7	71%	57%	14%	15	100%	80%	13%
General-Education Students	189	88%	41%	8%	197	100%	87%	10%
Students with Disabilities	29	45%	7%	0%	31	100%	29%	0%
English Proficient	217	-	_	_	226	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–	2	-	–	-
Economically Disadvantaged	130	73%	25%	4%	115	100%	76%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	88	95%	55%	11%	113	100%	83%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	218	82%	37%	7%	228	100%	79%	9%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	əl(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	2–4 3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) [†] : Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 676	*Range:	640-780	674-780	702-780			
2009 Mean Score: 674	100%	94% 96%	81% 55%	15% 19%	94% 98%	88%	36%
Number of Tested Students:		205 218	120 184	33 44			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09 \$	2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	219	94%	55%	15%	226	96%	81%	19%	
Female	106	94%	55%	14%	115	98%	80%	15%	
Male	113	93%	55%	16%	111	95%	83%	24%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-					
Black or African American	31	84%	42%	16%	13	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	19	100%	37%	11%	15	93%	87%	33%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 3	-	-	–	2	-	-	-	
White	162	95%	59%	16%	196	97%	81%	19%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••					
Small Group Totals	7	86%	57%	0%	15	93%	87%	13%	
General-Education Students	189	98%	61%	17%	194	99%	88%	22%	
Students with Disabilities	30	67%	17%	3%	32	78%	41%	6%	
English Proficient	218	-	-	-	223	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	131	92%	43%	8%	111	95%	79%	14%	
Not Disadvantaged	88	97%	73%	25%	115	98%	83%	24%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	219	94%	55%	15%	226	96%	81%	19%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	4	-	-	_	

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 663	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785			
2009 Mean Score: 663	100%	100% 89%	78%		100%	81%	
 2009-10 2008-09 				6% 5%		ы.	7% 9%
Number of Tested Students:		209 217	126 169	14 11			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	234	89 %	54%	6%	217	100%	78%	5%
Female	116	90%	54%	6%	105	100%	82%	9%
Male	118	89%	53%	6%	112	100%	74%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	14	100%	57%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	18	78%	39%	0%	13	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	198	90%	55%	7%	188	100%	79%	5%
Multiracial	••••••							
Small Group Totals	18	89%	61%	6%	15	100%	80%	0%
General-Education Students	195	95%	63%	7%	174	100%	92%	6%
Students with Disabilities	39	59%	10%	0%	43	100%	21%	0%
English Proficient	233	-	-	-	216	-	-	_
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	119	85%	46%	3%	103	100%	65%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	115	94%	62%	10%	114	100%	89%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	234	89%	54%	6%	217	100%	78%	5%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	_
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 671	*Range:	640-780	674-780	699-780			
2009 Mean Score: 672 ■ 2009–10 ■ 2008–09	100%	91% 96%	82% 52%	15% 17%	92% 96%	83% 61%	27% 28%
Number of Tested Students:		214 207	121 177	35 36			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	234	91%	52%	15%	216	96%	82%	17%
Female	116	91%	53%	15%	105	95%	83%	16%
Male	118	92%	50%	15%	111	96%	81%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	-	-	-	14	93%	64%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	18	89%	39%	17%	13	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	199	92%	53%	16%	187	96%	83%	17%
Multiracial	•••••							•••••
Small Group Totals	17	88%	47%	6%	15	100%	87%	33%
General-Education Students	196	97%	58%	17%	173	100%	94%	21%
Students with Disabilities	38	61%	18%	3%	43	79%	35%	0%
English Proficient	233	-	_	-	215	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	119	88%	45%	8%	102	94%	75%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	115	95%	58%	22%	114	97%	89%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	234	91%	52%	15%	216	96%	82%	17%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	_	4	-	_	_

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	olic			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 661	*Range:	642-790	664-790	698-790					
2009 Mean Score: 657	100%	99% 87%	67%		90%	80% 50%			
2008-09				7% 3%			11% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		199 222	87 150	16 6					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	rcentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	228	87%	38%	7%	224	99%	67%	3%	
Female	111	93%	45%	5%	103	99%	66%	2%	
Male	117	82%	32%	9%	121	99%	68%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-					
Black or African American	15	-	–	-	27	100%	59%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	16	94%	44%	13%	21	-		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 1	-	–	–	1	-	–	-	
White	194	87%	40%	7%	175	99%	67%	3%	
Multiracial	••••••				•••••				
Small Group Totals	18	83%	11%	0%	22	100%	73%	0%	
General-Education Students	192	95%	45%	8%	179	100%	79%	3%	
Students with Disabilities	36	44%	0%	0%	45	96%	18%	0%	
English Proficient	227	-	_	-	223	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	–	-	1	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	113	83%	27%	2%	128	98%	59%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	115	91%	50%	12%	96	100%	78%	5%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	228	87%	38%	7%	224	99%	67%	3%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	4 3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 668	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800			
2009 Mean Score: 673	100%	98% 85%	83%	21% 23%	92% 99%	87% 62%	29% 30%
2008-09				21% 23%			
Number of Tested Students:		193 219	118 186	48 52			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	227	85%	52%	21%	223	98%	83%	23%	
Female	110	85%	51%	17%	106	97%	82%	21%	
Male	117	85%	53%	25%	117	99%	85%	26%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-					
Black or African American	15	60%	20%	0%	28	96%	82%	25%	
Hispanic or Latino	14	-	-	–	22	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	195	86%	55%	24%	172	99%	83%	24%	
Multiracial				••••••					
Small Group Totals	17	94%	47%	12%	23	96%	91%	17%	
General-Education Students	190	95%	61%	25%	178	100%	94%	29%	
Students with Disabilities	37	35%	5%	0%	45	91%	40%	0%	
English Proficient	226	-	_	_	222	-	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–	1	–	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	113	77%	42%	12%	127	98%	78%	13%	
Not Disadvantaged	114	93%	61%	30%	96	99%	91%	36%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	227	85%	52%	21%	223	98%	83%	23%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	3	-	_	-	

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 648	*Range:	627-790	658-790	699-790					
2009 Mean Score: 650 2009-10 2008-09	100%	97% 82%	53% 36%	1% 1%	91% 98%	69% 51%	8% _{5%}		
Number of Tested Students:		186 250	82 137	2 2					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	226	82 %	36%	1%	258	97%	53%	1%
Female	109	83%	37%	1%	127	98%	54%	1%
Male	117	82%	36%	1%	131	95%	53%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	30	77%	23%	0%	18	100%	44%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	23	-	_	–	14	100%	50%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 3	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
White	170	83%	40%	1%	216	97%	54%	1%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	26	85%	27%	0%	10	90%	60%	0%
General-Education Students	185	92%	44%	1%	220	99%	61%	1%
Students with Disabilities	41	39%	2%	0%	38	84%	5%	0%
English Proficient	226	82%	36%	1%	257	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	125	79%	26%	0%	119	95%	40%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	101	86%	50%	2%	139	99%	64%	1%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	226	82%	36%	1%	258	97%	53%	1%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 \$	School Year		,	2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

	This	District		N	NY State Publi	с		
	Perce	ntage scoring at l	level(s):	P	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 661	*Range: 639–	775 673	8-775 70	2-775				
2009 Mean Score: 662	100%	95%	70%	9	1% ^{96%}	80%		
2009-10 2008-09		31%		5 8%		н.	18% 19%	
Number of Tested Students:	181	242 69	179 15	21				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	223	81 %	31%	7%	255	95%	70%	8%	
Female	108	80%	31%	5%	125	95%	71%	8%	
Male	115	83%	31%	9%	130	95%	69%	8%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	30	80%	27%	7%	18	94%	67%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	23	-	-	-	14	100%	43%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 3	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	
White	167	81%	33%	8%	213	94%	71%	8%	
Multiracial								•••••	
Small Group Totals	26	85%	23%	0%	10	100%	90%	40%	
General-Education Students	182	91%	37%	8%	217	100%	81%	10%	
Students with Disabilities	41	37%	2%	0%	38	68%	11%	0%	
English Proficient	223	81%	31%	7%	254	-	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••	1	-	–	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	123	76%	23%	5%	117	95%	56%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged	100	87%	41%	9%	138	95%	82%	13%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	223	81%	31%	7%	255	95%	70%	8%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	2009–10 School Year 2008–09 School Y						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	_	-	-	4	-	_	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This District			NY State Pub	olic		
	Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
1009 2009–10 2008–09	98%	68%	18%	94%	71%	26%	
Number of Tested Students:	- 238	- 167	- 45				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	211	91 %	68%	23%	244	98%	68%	18%	
Female	103	89%	63%	19%	118	98%	67%	11%	
Male	108	94%	72%	26%	126	97%	70%	25%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	28	89%	61%	18%	16	100%	56%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	23	-	-	–	14	100%	50%	7%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 3	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	
White	157	92%	69%	25%	204	98%	70%	19%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	26	92%	65%	15%	10	90%	90%	40%	
General-Education Students	173	97%	78%	27%	207	100%	76%	22%	
Students with Disabilities	38	66%	21%	3%	37	86%	27%	0%	
English Proficient	211	91%	68%	23%	243	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	114	89%	60%	11%	113	96%	55%	9%	
Not Disadvantaged	97	95%	77%	37%	131	99%	80%	27%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	211	91%	68%	23%	244	98%	68%	18%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	Δ	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	с		-	-	4		-	-
Regents Science	1	-	-	-	0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Public				
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s	s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
1009 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	78% 70%	66%	33% 36%	82% 81%	79% 77%	32% 32%		

Results by	2006 Coho r	t			2005 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	280	78%	74%	33%	289	70%	66%	36%
Female	148	82%	80%	39%	141	77%	72%	43%
Male	132	73%	67%	25%	148	64%	61%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	_	_	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	25	72%	72%	20%	21	57%	52%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	15	-	-	–	17	-	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	237	78%	73%	33%	248	70%	67%	38%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••
Small Group Totals	18	89%	89%	44%	20	85%	75%	25%
General-Education Students	235	85%	83%	39%	241	79%	77%	43%
Students with Disabilities	45	40%	27%	0%	48	25%	13%	0%
English Proficient	279	-	_	-	286	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	1	–	-	-	3	-	–	-
Economically Disadvantaged	108	72%	69%	24%	92	53%	49%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	172	81%	77%	38%	197	78%	75%	45%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	280	78%	74%	33%	289	70%	66%	36%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Public				
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	5):	Percentage s	(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100% 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	76% 70%	69% 62%	16% 18%	84% 83%	79% 77%	30% 30%		

Results by	2006 Coho r	t			2005 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	280	76%	69%	16%	289	70%	62%	18%	
Female	148	81%	72%	17%	141	75%	65%	23%	
Male	132	70%	66%	16%	148	65%	59%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	25	76%	60%	4%	21	43%	33%	5%	
Hispanic or Latino	15	-	-	–	17	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	237	76%	69%	18%	248	71%	64%	19%	
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
Small Group Totals	18	83%	83%	11%	20	80%	60%	20%	
General-Education Students	235	86%	81%	20%	241	80%	72%	21%	
Students with Disabilities	45	27%	7%	0%	48	19%	10%	0%	
English Proficient	279	-	-	-	286	_	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	108	71%	62%	7%	92	54%	43%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	172	79%	74%	22%	197	77%	70%	22%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	280	76%	69%	16%	289	70%	62%	18%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.