



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2009 – 10**

District **PHOENIX CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **46-20-01-06-0000**

Superintendent **RITA RACETTE**

Telephone **(315) 695-1555**

Grades **PK-12, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Pre-K	48	61	61
Kindergarten	165	168	154
Grade 1	164	166	164
Grade 2	165	159	163
Grade 3	171	164	170
Grade 4	173	180	158
Grade 5	140	173	187
Grade 6	159	148	176
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	188	151	141
Grade 8	202	185	152
Grade 9	170	221	190
Grade 10	177	160	202
Grade 11	205	168	156
Grade 12	188	217	176
Ungraded Secondary	14	0	10
Total K-12	2281	2260	2199

Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Common Branch	20	19	20
Grade 8			
English	19	17	15
Mathematics	19	17	17
Science	19	17	17
Social Studies	17	16	16
Grade 10			
English	16	19	18
Mathematics	17	15	18
Science	17	17	20
Social Studies	13	17	18

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

Demographic Factors

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	564	25%	644	28%	716	33%
Reduced-Price Lunch	244	11%	226	10%	196	9%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	4	0%	5	0%	4	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	35	2%	33	1%	30	1%
Black or African American	28	1%	19	1%	24	1%
Hispanic or Latino	18	1%	22	1%	18	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	1%	18	1%	15	1%
White	2186	96%	2168	96%	2112	96%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	95%		94%		94%	
Student Suspensions	71	3%	102	4%	115	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Number of Teachers	197	197	196
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	2%	4%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	10%	11%
Total Number of Core Classes	559	553	526
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	1%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	689	691	685
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	1%	2%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	0%	15%	15%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	7%	12%	17%

Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Other Professional Staff	34	31	16
Total Paraprofessionals*	69	83	86
Assistant Principals	3	4	4
Principals	4	3	3

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.


Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/sirs>.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment (“Total” or “12th Graders”) shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the “Percentage Tested” shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

Science: The current year’s Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year’s Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year’s graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = $[(80 - \text{percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008}) \times 0.20] + \text{percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008}$.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:

$$2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show “Qualified.” If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show “Did not qualify.” A “*” symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a “—” in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)

▲ Good Standing

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Graduation Rate ▲ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2008–09

2009–10

2010–11

YES

YES

YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—	—	—	—	—
White	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✗	✓	—	✓ SH	✓ SH	—
Limited English Proficient	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✗ 3 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1


AYP Status


- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing ▲ | Good Standing ■ |
| Improvement (Year 1) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 2) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 3) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 4) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■ |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |














Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2010–11)




Accountability Measures 3 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10 2010–11
Accountability Groups							
All Students (980:959)			99%		175	151	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (15:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (7:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (14:12)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (939:920)			100%		175	151	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (128:132)			98%		123	146	124 89
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (442:430)			99%		163	150	
Final AYP Determination	 3 of 4						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (471:462)			100%		177	150	
Male (509:497)			99%		173	150	
Migrant (0:0)							

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

4 of 4

Student groups making AYP in mathematics



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?


Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10 2010–11
Accountability Groups							
All Students (980:958)	✓	✓	99%	✓	189	131	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (15:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (7:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (14:12)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (939:919)	✓	✓	99%	✓	189	131	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (128:131)	✓	✓	98%	✓	150	126	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (442:430)	✓	✓	99%	✓	183	130	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (471:461)			100%		190	130	
Male (509:497)			99%		189	130	
Migrant (0:0)							


Symbols

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.











Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2010–11)



Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2009–10	2010–11
Accountability Groups									
All Students (311:299)		Qualified		99%		196	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (3:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (299:289)		Qualified		99%		196	100		
Multiracial (0:0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (43:39)		Qualified		95%		179	100		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (141:135)		Qualified		99%		195	100		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (153:146)				99%		196	100		
Male (158:153)				99%		197	100		
Migrant (0:0)									

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

4 of 4

Student groups making AYP in English language arts



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
							2009–10	2010–11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (175:170)	✓	✓	99%	✓	184	169		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Black or African American (3:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
White (169:166)	✓	✓	99%	✓	184	169		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (21:35)	✓ ^{SH}	–	–	✓ ^{SH}	123	161	20	131
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (59:58)	✓	✓	100%	✓	179	164		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (86:83)			99%		188	166		
Male (89:87)			99%		180	166		
Migrant (0:0)								

Symbols

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

4 of 4

Student groups making AYP in mathematics



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?


Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
							2009–10	2010–11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (175:170)	✓	✓	99%	✓	182	165		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Black or African American (3:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
White (169:166)	✓	✓	99%	✓	181	165		
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (21:35)	✓ ^{SH}	–	–	✓ ^{SH}	126	157	20	133
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (59:58)	✓	✓	100%	✓	179	160		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (86:83)			99%		186	162		
Male (89:87)			99%		178	162		
Migrant (0:0)								


Symbols

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)  Good Standing



Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation			Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target	
					2009–10	2010–11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (232)	✓	✓	83%	80%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		—	—	—		
Black or African American (3)		—	—	—		
Hispanic or Latino (1)		—	—	—		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		—	—	—		
White (225)		✓	83%	80%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (38)		✓	42%	80%	16%	50%
Limited English Proficient (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (63)		✓	76%	80%	76%	77%
Final AYP Determination	✓ 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (108)			92%	80%		
Male (124)			75%	80%		
Migrant (0)						

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **85%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

3 School Accountability Status

District **PHOENIX CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **46-20-01-06-0000**

2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

In Good Standing

2 schools identified 67% of total

EMERSON J DILLON MIDDLE SCHOOL
JOHN C BIRDLEBOUGH HIGH SCHOOL

Improvement (year 1) Basic

1 school identified 33% of total







MICHAEL A MAROUN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District PHOENIX CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT







District ID 46-20-01-06-0000

Summary of 2009–10 District Performance



Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.



English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	57%			164
Grade 4	66%			156
Grade 5	48%			184
Grade 6	63%			174
Grade 7	51%			142
Grade 8	54%			145

Mathematics

Grade 3	51%		166
Grade 4	71%		156
Grade 5	60%		184
Grade 6	62%		172
Grade 7	67%		142
Grade 8	65%		144

Science

Grade 4	100%		157
Grade 8	92%		147

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2006 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	81%			188
Mathematics	81%			188

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	164	88%	57%	15%	168	96%	78%	6%
Female	82	88%	52%	16%	82	100%	85%	9%
Male	82	88%	61%	15%	86	93%	71%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
Black or African American					1	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	2	—	—	—				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
White	160	—	—	—	161	97%	79%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	164	88%	57%	15%	7	86%	57%	14%
General-Education Students	145	93%	62%	17%	152	99%	85%	7%
Students with Disabilities	19	47%	16%	0%	16	75%	13%	0%
English Proficient	164	88%	57%	15%	168	96%	78%	6%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	88	83%	45%	6%	78	96%	69%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	76	93%	70%	26%	90	97%	86%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	164	88%	57%	15%	168	96%	78%	6%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	166	90%	51%	14%	165	99%	94%	27%
Female	83	89%	46%	12%	79	100%	99%	30%
Male	83	92%	55%	17%	86	98%	90%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
Black or African American					1	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	2	—	—	—				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
White	162	—	—	—	158	99%	95%	27%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	166	90%	51%	14%	7	100%	71%	14%
General-Education Students	146	94%	55%	16%	149	100%	99%	30%
Students with Disabilities	20	65%	15%	0%	16	88%	50%	0%
English Proficient	166	90%	51%	14%	165	99%	94%	27%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	90	88%	44%	11%	74	99%	92%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	76	93%	58%	18%	91	99%	96%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	166	90%	51%	14%	165	99%	94%	27%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	156	97%	66%	4%	181	95%	73%	2%
Female	77	99%	75%	8%	76	96%	78%	4%
Male	79	95%	57%	0%	105	94%	70%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	—	—	—	3	—	—	—
Black or African American					1	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino					5	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	—	—	—				
White	150	97%	67%	4%	172	96%	74%	2%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	83%	50%	0%	9	78%	56%	0%
General-Education Students	143	99%	71%	4%	155	99%	83%	3%
Students with Disabilities	13	69%	8%	0%	26	69%	15%	0%
English Proficient	156	97%	66%	4%	181	95%	73%	2%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	70	93%	59%	1%	71	92%	56%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	86	100%	72%	6%	110	97%	84%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	156	97%	66%	4%	181	95%	73%	2%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	3	—	—	—
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	156	98%	71%	29%	183	96%	81%	33%
Female	77	100%	73%	31%	78	96%	82%	29%
Male	79	96%	68%	27%	105	96%	81%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	—	—	—	3	—	—	—
Black or African American					1	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino					5	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	—	—	—				
White	150	99%	72%	30%	174	98%	83%	33%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	67%	33%	0%	9	67%	56%	33%
General-Education Students	143	100%	75%	31%	156	99%	90%	38%
Students with Disabilities	13	77%	23%	0%	27	78%	33%	4%
English Proficient	156	98%	71%	29%	183	96%	81%	33%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	70	96%	60%	20%	73	93%	73%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	86	100%	79%	36%	110	98%	87%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	156	98%	71%	29%	183	96%	81%	33%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	3	—	—	—

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	157	100%	100%	82%	181	97%	90%	64%
Female	78	100%	100%	87%	78	97%	90%	59%
Male	79	100%	100%	76%	103	97%	89%	68%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	—	—	—	3	—	—	—
Black or African American					1	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino					5	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	—	—	—				
White	151	100%	100%	83%	172	98%	91%	65%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	50%	9	78%	67%	44%
General-Education Students	144	100%	100%	88%	155	99%	94%	70%
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	100%	15%	26	85%	65%	31%
English Proficient	157	100%	100%	82%	181	97%	90%	64%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	70	100%	100%	70%	72	96%	83%	50%
Not Disadvantaged	87	100%	100%	91%	109	98%	94%	73%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	157	100%	100%	82%	181	97%	90%	64%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	3	—	—	—

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	184	89%	48%	11%	173	99%	82%	12%
Female	81	88%	54%	11%	78	100%	79%	12%
Male	103	89%	44%	12%	95	98%	84%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	176	89%	49%	11%	169	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	88%	38%	13%	173	99%	82%	12%
General-Education Students	159	94%	55%	13%	149	100%	91%	13%
Students with Disabilities	25	52%	4%	4%	24	92%	25%	0%
English Proficient	184	89%	48%	11%	173	99%	82%	12%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	77	82%	29%	4%	65	98%	75%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	107	93%	63%	17%	108	99%	86%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	184	89%	48%	11%	173	99%	82%	12%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	184	92%	60%	18%	171	99%	94%	37%
Female	81	91%	57%	16%	78	100%	92%	40%
Male	103	92%	63%	20%	93	99%	95%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	—	—	—	3	—	—	—
Black or African American	2	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	4	—	—	—				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	176	92%	60%	19%	166	99%	93%	37%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	88%	63%	13%	5	100%	100%	40%
General-Education Students	159	96%	67%	21%	148	100%	98%	43%
Students with Disabilities	25	64%	16%	0%	23	96%	65%	4%
English Proficient	184	92%	60%	18%	171	99%	94%	37%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	77	90%	45%	6%	64	98%	95%	25%
Not Disadvantaged	107	93%	71%	27%	107	100%	93%	45%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	184	92%	60%	18%	171	99%	94%	37%

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	—	—	—	0			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	174	91%	63%	6%	153	99%	75%	11%
Female	81	94%	63%	7%	77	100%	81%	16%
Male	93	89%	63%	4%	76	99%	70%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
Black or African American	2	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino					4	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	—	—	—
White	169	92%	63%	5%	142	99%	75%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	80%	60%	20%	11	100%	73%	18%
General-Education Students	153	97%	70%	7%	135	100%	81%	13%
Students with Disabilities	21	52%	14%	0%	18	94%	28%	0%
English Proficient	174	91%	63%	6%	153	99%	75%	11%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	67	84%	43%	1%	63	98%	68%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	107	96%	76%	8%	90	100%	80%	18%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	174	91%	63%	6%	153	99%	75%	11%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				3	—	—	—
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	172	97%	62%	28%	150	97%	88%	24%
Female	80	98%	63%	31%	77	97%	90%	26%
Male	92	96%	62%	25%	73	96%	86%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
Black or African American	2	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino					4	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	—	—	—
White	167	96%	62%	28%	139	96%	88%	24%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	40%	11	100%	82%	18%
General-Education Students	152	99%	68%	31%	134	99%	93%	26%
Students with Disabilities	20	75%	15%	5%	16	75%	50%	6%
English Proficient	172	97%	62%	28%	150	97%	88%	24%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	66	91%	50%	17%	63	95%	83%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	106	100%	70%	35%	87	98%	92%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	172	97%	62%	28%	150	97%	88%	24%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				3	—	—	—

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	142	94%	51%	9%	152	99%	86%	7%
Female	75	97%	61%	13%	75	100%	84%	7%
Male	67	90%	39%	4%	77	99%	88%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
Black or African American	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	4	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White	131	94%	53%	9%	149	—	—	—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Small Group Totals	11	91%	27%	9%	152	99%	86%	7%
General-Education Students	127	98%	56%	10%	127	100%	97%	9%
Students with Disabilities	15	53%	7%	0%	25	96%	32%	0%
English Proficient	142	94%	51%	9%	152	99%	86%	7%
Limited English Proficient	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	64	92%	34%	2%	69	100%	83%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	78	95%	64%	15%	83	99%	89%	10%
Migrant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Not Migrant	142	94%	51%	9%	152	99%	86%	7%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	142	94%	67%	29%	152	98%	91%	27%
Female	75	97%	73%	31%	74	97%	88%	27%
Male	67	91%	60%	27%	78	99%	94%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
Black or African American	2	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino	4	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	—	—	—				
White	131	95%	67%	30%	149	—	—	—
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	91%	64%	18%	152	98%	91%	27%
General-Education Students	127	99%	72%	31%	127	100%	98%	32%
Students with Disabilities	15	53%	20%	7%	25	88%	56%	0%
English Proficient	142	94%	67%	29%	152	98%	91%	27%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	64	94%	47%	8%	70	99%	86%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	78	95%	83%	46%	82	98%	95%	35%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	142	94%	67%	29%	152	98%	91%	27%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	—	—	—	2	—	—	—

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	145	94%	54%	8%	181	99%	75%	7%
Female	71	89%	61%	7%	81	100%	78%	10%
Male	74	99%	47%	8%	100	98%	72%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Black or African American	1	—	—	—	6	100%	67%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					3	—	—	—
White	142	—	—	—	168	99%	76%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	145	94%	54%	8%	7	100%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	122	99%	63%	9%	150	100%	85%	9%
Students with Disabilities	23	65%	4%	0%	31	94%	23%	0%
English Proficient	145	94%	54%	8%	180	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient					1	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	65	89%	46%	3%	69	99%	64%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	80	98%	60%	11%	112	99%	81%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	145	94%	54%	8%	181	99%	75%	7%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	3	—	—	—
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	144	94%	65%	12%	178	96%	80%	13%
Female	71	93%	69%	8%	77	96%	77%	12%
Male	73	96%	62%	15%	101	95%	83%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Black or African American	1	—	—	—	5	100%	60%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					3	—	—	—
White	141	—	—	—	166	96%	81%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	144	94%	65%	12%	7	86%	86%	14%
General-Education Students	121	98%	74%	14%	148	99%	86%	16%
Students with Disabilities	23	74%	22%	0%	30	80%	50%	0%
English Proficient	144	94%	65%	12%	177	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient					1	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	65	89%	48%	6%	68	97%	74%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	79	99%	80%	16%	110	95%	85%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	144	94%	65%	12%	178	96%	80%	13%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	3	—	—	—

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	147	98%	92%	41%	176	100%	91%	45%
Female	72	96%	90%	38%	76	100%	91%	38%
Male	75	100%	93%	45%	100	100%	91%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
Black or African American	1	—	—	—	5	100%	60%	60%
Hispanic or Latino	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					3	—	—	—
White	144	—	—	—	165	100%	92%	45%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	147	98%	92%	41%	6	100%	83%	33%
General-Education Students	123	100%	98%	48%	147	100%	96%	52%
Students with Disabilities	24	88%	63%	8%	29	100%	66%	10%
English Proficient	147	98%	92%	41%	175	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient					1	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	67	96%	88%	28%	67	100%	87%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	80	100%	95%	53%	109	100%	94%	56%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	147	98%	92%	41%	176	100%	91%	45%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	3	—	—	—
Regents Science	0				0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2006 Cohort				2005 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	188	85%	81%	38%	233	86%	81%	35%
Female	93	88%	84%	44%	109	89%	84%	49%
Male	95	82%	79%	33%	124	84%	77%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Black or African American	1	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino					1	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
White	183	85%	81%	38%	226	86%	81%	35%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	60%	7	86%	86%	29%
General-Education Students	153	93%	92%	46%	197	96%	91%	41%
Students with Disabilities	35	49%	34%	3%	36	33%	22%	0%
English Proficient	188	85%	81%	38%	233	86%	81%	35%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	64	86%	81%	33%	64	80%	70%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	124	85%	81%	41%	169	89%	85%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	188	85%	81%	38%	233	86%	81%	35%


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2006 Cohort				2005 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	188	86%	81%	31%	233	86%	84%	30%
Female	93	90%	86%	30%	109	89%	86%	34%
Male	95	81%	76%	32%	124	84%	81%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Black or African American	1	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino					1	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
White	183	85%	80%	31%	226	86%	84%	31%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	20%	7	86%	86%	14%
General-Education Students	153	96%	92%	37%	197	96%	94%	36%
Students with Disabilities	35	40%	31%	3%	36	33%	28%	0%
English Proficient	188	86%	81%	31%	233	86%	84%	30%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	64	89%	84%	20%	64	77%	75%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	124	84%	79%	36%	169	90%	87%	35%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	188	86%	81%	31%	233	86%	84%	30%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.