



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2009 – 10**

District **HAVERSTRAW-STONY POINT CSD  
(NORTH ROCKLAND)**

District ID **50-02-01-06-0000**

Superintendent **ILEANA ECKERT**

Telephone **(845) 942-3002**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

#### **1 Get District Profile information.**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### **2 Review District Accountability Status.**

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

#### **3 View School Accountability Status.**

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

#### **4 Review an Overview of District Performance.**

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: [dataquest@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:dataquest@mail.nysed.gov)

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Pre-K	178	267	282
Kindergarten	494	501	531
Grade 1	533	539	556
Grade 2	593	550	557
Grade 3	562	609	578
Grade 4	554	572	622
Grade 5	603	561	605
Grade 6	562	616	573
Ungraded Elementary	0	58	4
Grade 7	572	583	617
Grade 8	623	608	595
Grade 9	766	690	643
Grade 10	690	773	704
Grade 11	621	663	711
Grade 12	688	582	618
Ungraded Secondary	19	18	45
<b>Total K-12</b>	<b>7880</b>	<b>7923</b>	<b>7959</b>

### Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
<b>Common Branch</b>	20	22	21
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	23	21	21
Mathematics	21	20	21
Science	20	23	23
Social Studies	21	20	22
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	19	21	21
Mathematics	21	23	20
Science	22	22	23
Social Studies	19	20	21

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **HAVERSTRAW-STONY POINT CSD (NORTH ROCKLAND)**District ID **50-02-01-06-0000**

## Demographic Factors

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1984	27%	1678	23%	1874	25%
Reduced-Price Lunch	621	8%	542	7%	568	8%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	839	11%	927	12%	940	12%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	31	0%	40	1%	36	0%
Black or African American	975	12%	1032	13%	1040	13%
Hispanic or Latino	3030	38%	3140	40%	3200	40%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	280	4%	282	4%	324	4%
White	3552	45%	3421	43%	3318	42%
Multiracial	12	0%	8	0%	41	1%

\* Available only at the school level.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	95%		95%		95%	
Student Suspensions	534	7%	662	8%	509	6%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
<b>Total Number of Teachers</b>	653	649	643
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	4%	3%	2%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	4%	4%	2%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	63%	69%	73%
<b>Total Number of Core Classes</b>	1913	1873	1850
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	3%	3%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
<b>Total Number of Classes</b>	2492	2494	2488
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	5%	3%	3%

## Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	15%	28%	43%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	10%	9%	13%

## Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Other Professional Staff	81	78	87
Total Paraprofessionals*	231	219	198
Assistant Principals	13	12	13
Principals	9	10	10

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSS process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### 2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts).

### Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

### Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/sirs>.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

### Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.



## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment (“Total” or “12<sup>th</sup> Graders”) shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the “Percentage Tested” shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

**Science:** The current year’s Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year’s Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

**Graduation Rate:** The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year’s graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target =  $[(80 - \text{percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008}) \times 0.20] + \text{percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008}$ .

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

### Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:

$$2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show “Qualified.” If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show “Did not qualify.” A “\*” symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

### State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

### Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

### Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a “—” in the Test Performance column in the table.

### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

**Pending** – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.



## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)

▲ Good Standing

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Graduation Rate ▲ Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2008–09

2009–10

2010–11

YES

YES

YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	—
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓	—	—	—	—
White	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	✗	✓	—	✓	✓	—
Limited English Proficient	✗	✓	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	—
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	✗ 6 of 8	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 1 of 1

#### AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal                               | State  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing ▲                       | Good Standing ■                                |
| Improvement (Year 1) ▲                | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■         |
| Improvement (Year 2) ▲                | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■         |
| Improvement (Year 3) ▲                | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■         |
| Improvement (Year 4) ▲                | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■         |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲        | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■ |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation |  |

## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

6 of 8

Student groups making AYP in English language arts



Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10      2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (3695:3542)	✓	✓	100%	✓	174	153	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (12:12)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (489:463)	✓	✓	100%	✓	167	150	
Hispanic or Latino (1501:1400)	✓	✓	100%	✓	161	152	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (132:124)	✓	✓	98%	✓	188	146	
White (1547:1534)	✓	✓	100%	✓	187	152	
Multiracial (14:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (434:449)	✗	✓	99%	✗	133	150	143      98
Limited English Proficient (424:484)	✗	✓	100%	✗	133	150	141      101
Economically Disadvantaged (1552:1433)	✓	✓	100%	✓	157	152	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✗ 6 of 8						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (1772:1705)			100%		178	152	
Male (1923:1837)			100%		170	152	
Migrant (0:0)							

#### Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP



Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment



Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

8 of 8

Student groups making AYP in mathematics



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10    2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (3695:3582)	✓	✓	100%	✓	184	133	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (12:12)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (490:463)	✓	✓	100%	✓	178	130	
Hispanic or Latino (1503:1439)	✓	✓	100%	✓	174	132	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (132:127)	✓	✓	98%	✓	196	126	
White (1544:1532)	✓	✓	100%	✓	195	132	
Multiracial (14:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (434:447)	✓	✓	99%	✓	149	130	
Limited English Proficient (425:524)	✓	✓	100%	✓	153	130	
Economically Disadvantaged (1552:1470)	✓	✓	100%	✓	172	132	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 8 of 8						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (1774:1725)			100%		185	132	
Male (1921:1857)			100%		184	132	
Migrant (0:0)							

#### Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP



Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment



Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  
for This Subject  
(2010–11)

Good Standing

## Accountability Measures

1 of 1

Student groups making AYP in science



Made AYP

## Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2009–10	Target 2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>									
<b>All Students</b> (1254:1211)	✓	Qualified	✓	99%	✓	181	100		
<b>Ethnicity</b>									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (176:162)		Qualified	✓	98%	✓	177	100		
Hispanic or Latino (506:488)		Qualified	✓	100%	✓	166	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (40:38)		Qualified	✓	100%	✓	192	100		
White (524:517)		Qualified	✓	100%	✓	195	100		
Multiracial (5:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>									
Students with Disabilities (144:141)		Qualified	✓	97%	✓	150	100		
Limited English Proficient (138:178)		Qualified	✓	100%	✓	143	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (536:510)		Qualified	✓	99%	✓	166	100		
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓	1 of 1							
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>									
Female (605:586)				99%		181	100		
Male (649:625)				99%		181	100		
Migrant (0:0)									

## Symbols



Made AYP



Did not make AYP



Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

6 of 6

Student groups making AYP in English language arts



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10      2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (646:636)	✓	✓	99%	✓	187	173	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (89:90)	✓	✓	99%	✓	189	167	
Hispanic or Latino (210:204)	✓	✓	98%	✓	173	169	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (22:21)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (322:318)	✓	✓	100%	✓	195	171	
Multiracial (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (85:88)	✓	✓	95%	✓	174	166	
Limited English Proficient (26:26)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (195:204)	✓	✓	99%	✓	178	169	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 6 of 6						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (297:295)			99%		190	171	
Male (349:341)			99%		185	171	
Migrant (0:0)							

#### Symbols

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## Secondary-Level Mathematics

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

6 of 6

Student groups making AYP in mathematics



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10      2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (646:636)	✓	✓	100%	✓	195	169	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (89:90)	✓	✓	100%	✓	191	163	
Hispanic or Latino (210:204)	✓	✓	100%	✓	192	165	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (22:21)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (322:318)	✓	✓	100%	✓	197	167	
Multiracial (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (85:88)	✓	✓	100%	✓	185	162	
Limited English Proficient (26:26)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (195:204)	✓	✓	100%	✓	195	165	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 6 of 6						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (297:295)			100%		195	167	
Male (349:341)			100%		195	167	
Migrant (0:0)							


#### Symbols

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.



## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)**  Good Standing

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation			Objectives State Standard	Progress Target	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate		2009–102010–11	
Accountability Groups						
All Students (636)	✓	✓	79%	80%	78%	80%
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		–	–	–		
Black or African American (82)		✓	74%	80%	74%	75%
Hispanic or Latino (233)		✓	66%	80%	65%	69%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (21)		–	–	–		
White (298)		✓	89%	80%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (114)		✓	56%	80%	56%	61%
Limited English Proficient (47)		✓	40%	80%	16%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged (187)		✓	68%	80%	64%	70%
Final AYP Determination	✓ 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (308)			83%	80%		
Male (328)			75%	80%		
Migrant (0)						

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

#### Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **84%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

# 3 School Accountability Status

District **HAVERSTRAW-STONY POINT CSD (NORTH ROCKLAND)**

District ID **50-02-01-06-0000**

## 2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

---

### In Good Standing

8 schools identified 80% of total

GERALD F NEARY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL  
JAMES A FARLEY MIDDLE SCHOOL  
NORTH GARNERVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL  
NORTH ROCKLAND HIGH SCHOOL  
STONY POINT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL  
THIELLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL  
WEST HAVERSTRAW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL  
WILLOW GROVE MIDDLE SCHOOL

---

### Corrective Action (year 1) Focused

2 schools identified 20% of total







FIELDSTONE SECONDARY SCHOOL  
HAVERSTRAW MIDDLE SCHOOL

---







District **HAVERSTRAW-STONY POINT CSD (NORTH ROCKLAND)**District ID **50-02-01-06-0000**

## Summary of 2009–10 District Performance



Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.



English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	61%			561
Grade 4	62%			616
Grade 5	44%			605
Grade 6	54%			568
Grade 7	49%			619
Grade 8	65%			592

### Mathematics

Grade 3	61%		579
Grade 4	64%		621
Grade 5	57%		619
Grade 6	63%		583
Grade 7	65%		631
Grade 8	53%		606

### Science

Grade 4	89%		624
Grade 8	77%		605

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2006 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	87%			676
Mathematics	91%			676

## About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts).


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

## This District's N/RC Category:

### Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>561</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>11%</b>
Female	268	91%	65%	18%	288	97%	82%	12%
Male	293	85%	57%	16%	312	94%	75%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	69	90%	45%	13%	76	97%	62%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	247	80%	49%	12%	247	91%	69%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	-	-	-	24	-	-	-
White	215	95%	77%	22%	251	99%	91%	18%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	30	93%	73%	30%	26	100%	88%	12%
General-Education Students	506	92%	65%	18%	550	97%	82%	12%
Students with Disabilities	55	47%	20%	4%	50	74%	36%	0%
English Proficient	479	93%	68%	19%	513	97%	85%	12%
Limited English Proficient	82	57%	21%	2%	87	84%	39%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	240	78%	44%	10%	252	91%	63%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	321	95%	74%	22%	348	99%	89%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	561	88%	61%	17%	600	96%	78%	11%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	9	9	9	9	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	16	N/A	N/A	N/A	11	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>579</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>603</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>29%</b>
Female	275	89%	62%	27%	287	99%	94%	28%
Male	304	90%	60%	25%	316	100%	92%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native					3	—	—	—
Black or African American	70	86%	46%	16%	74	99%	85%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	263	84%	49%	21%	254	99%	89%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	—	—	—	23	—	—	—
White	216	97%	77%	33%	249	100%	98%	39%
Multiracial	3	—	—	—				
Small Group Totals	30	93%	80%	50%	26	100%	100%	50%
General-Education Students	524	92%	65%	28%	551	99%	95%	30%
Students with Disabilities	55	65%	18%	4%	52	98%	75%	8%
English Proficient	481	94%	67%	29%	511	100%	95%	32%
Limited English Proficient	98	69%	30%	12%	92	97%	80%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	255	82%	47%	17%	259	98%	87%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	324	96%	72%	33%	344	100%	97%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	579	90%	61%	26%	603	99%	93%	29%

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


## Other

## Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	9	9	9	5	4	—	—	—

District **HAVERSTRAW-STONY POINT CSD (NORTH ROCKLAND)**District ID **50-02-01-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>583</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>10%</b>
Female	293	96%	68%	7%	278	100%	85%	12%
Male	323	92%	57%	2%	305	96%	78%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Black or African American	73	89%	47%	3%	83	98%	80%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	255	89%	47%	0%	225	96%	70%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	100%	83%	9%	16	—	—	—
White	257	99%	79%	7%	257	98%	91%	18%
Multiracial	5	—	—	—				
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	25%	18	100%	89%	17%
General-Education Students	560	96%	67%	5%	517	99%	87%	11%
Students with Disabilities	56	70%	16%	0%	66	85%	36%	2%
English Proficient	545	96%	68%	5%	508	98%	87%	12%
Limited English Proficient	71	79%	18%	0%	75	92%	37%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	272	88%	42%	1%	233	95%	66%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	344	99%	78%	6%	350	99%	91%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	616	94%	62%	4%	583	98%	81%	10%

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other


#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	6	6	5	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	15	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.



## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>35%</b>
Female	296	94%	65%	28%	283	97%	91%	35%
Male	325	96%	63%	24%	309	94%	86%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Black or African American	72	94%	50%	11%	81	91%	80%	30%
Hispanic or Latino	262	90%	49%	16%	235	94%	83%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	100%	73%	41%	16	—	—	—
White	257	99%	82%	37%	258	98%	95%	49%
Multiracial	5	—	—	—				
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	63%	18	100%	100%	78%
General-Education Students	564	97%	69%	28%	527	98%	92%	39%
Students with Disabilities	57	77%	18%	2%	65	80%	57%	3%
English Proficient	543	97%	70%	29%	507	97%	92%	39%
Limited English Proficient	78	78%	26%	3%	85	87%	68%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	278	91%	49%	14%	241	92%	79%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	343	99%	77%	36%	351	98%	95%	46%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	621	95%	64%	26%	592	96%	88%	35%

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	3	6	6	6	2

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>624</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>597</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>60%</b>
Female	296	99%	90%	62%	282	98%	91%	59%
Male	328	98%	89%	62%	315	98%	90%	61%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	—	—	—	3	—	—	—
Black or African American	74	100%	85%	50%	82	99%	89%	49%
Hispanic or Latino	262	96%	81%	43%	238	97%	84%	38%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	100%	96%	87%	16	—	—	—
White	257	100%	98%	82%	258	99%	97%	83%
Multiracial	5	—	—	—				
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	100%	19	100%	100%	63%
General-Education Students	567	99%	92%	66%	531	99%	92%	64%
Students with Disabilities	57	96%	65%	28%	66	94%	80%	29%
English Proficient	545	99%	94%	68%	509	99%	96%	67%
Limited English Proficient	79	94%	54%	19%	88	92%	58%	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	279	97%	80%	43%	244	97%	81%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	345	100%	97%	78%	353	99%	97%	78%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	624	98%	89%	62%	597	98%	91%	60%

### NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	5

District **HAVERSTRAW-STONY POINT CSD (NORTH ROCKLAND)**District ID **50-02-01-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>11%</b>
Female	285	87%	48%	11%	272	100%	80%	11%
Male	320	81%	40%	8%	284	98%	78%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
Black or African American	88	80%	30%	5%	73	97%	66%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	241	74%	28%	2%	205	99%	67%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	—	—	—	26	—	—	—
White	255	94%	62%	15%	248	100%	93%	17%
Multiracial	1	—	—	—				
Small Group Totals	21	86%	71%	43%	30	100%	80%	3%
General-Education Students	543	89%	48%	10%	491	100%	84%	12%
Students with Disabilities	62	40%	6%	0%	65	92%	43%	3%
English Proficient	543	89%	49%	10%	512	99%	84%	12%
Limited English Proficient	62	35%	3%	0%	44	93%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	256	72%	26%	3%	215	98%	62%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	349	92%	57%	14%	341	99%	90%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	605	84%	44%	9%	556	99%	79%	11%

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	9	9	9	7
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	13	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>568</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>38%</b>
Female	294	93%	56%	17%	279	99%	89%	39%
Male	325	91%	58%	20%	289	98%	86%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
Black or African American	89	94%	45%	9%	73	96%	81%	23%
Hispanic or Latino	253	84%	40%	8%	215	97%	82%	27%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	—	—	—	26	—	—	—
White	255	98%	76%	32%	250	100%	95%	52%
Multiracial	1	—	—	—				
Small Group Totals	22	100%	86%	27%	30	97%	83%	33%
General-Education Students	557	93%	61%	21%	502	99%	91%	42%
Students with Disabilities	62	84%	19%	0%	66	94%	64%	2%
English Proficient	543	97%	63%	21%	512	99%	91%	41%
Limited English Proficient	76	59%	13%	0%	56	89%	54%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	268	85%	35%	8%	224	96%	78%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	351	97%	74%	27%	344	100%	94%	48%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	619	92%	57%	19%	568	98%	88%	38%

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

## Other

## Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	9	9	8	7

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>568</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>606</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>6%</b>
Female	277	91%	61%	8%	297	100%	81%	7%
Male	291	87%	48%	5%	309	100%	80%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	—	—	—	3	—	—	—
Black or African American	72	88%	43%	3%	74	100%	76%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	212	81%	42%	3%	226	100%	71%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	92%	58%	17%	22	—	—	—
White	255	95%	68%	10%	281	100%	88%	8%
Multiracial	2	—	—	—				
Small Group Totals	5	80%	40%	0%	25	100%	96%	12%
General-Education Students	505	93%	60%	7%	524	100%	87%	7%
Students with Disabilities	63	51%	8%	2%	82	100%	40%	0%
English Proficient	523	93%	59%	7%	567	100%	86%	7%
Limited English Proficient	45	38%	2%	0%	39	100%	10%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	225	78%	35%	2%	237	100%	67%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	343	96%	67%	10%	369	100%	90%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	568	89%	54%	7%	606	100%	81%	6%

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	10	9	9	9	4	—	—	—
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>583</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>28%</b>
Female	285	93%	64%	31%	305	95%	84%	25%
Male	298	89%	62%	30%	318	97%	86%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	—	—	—	3	—	—	—
Black or African American	72	92%	60%	15%	74	88%	73%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	227	85%	47%	19%	242	95%	76%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	92%	67%	42%	22	—	—	—
White	255	96%	78%	45%	282	99%	94%	37%
Multiracial	2	—	—	—				
Small Group Totals	5	60%	40%	0%	25	100%	96%	60%
General-Education Students	519	95%	69%	34%	539	99%	91%	32%
Students with Disabilities	64	59%	13%	6%	84	79%	42%	2%
English Proficient	523	95%	70%	34%	571	97%	89%	31%
Limited English Proficient	60	53%	7%	2%	52	83%	37%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	240	83%	44%	13%	251	93%	73%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	343	97%	76%	43%	372	98%	93%	38%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	583	91%	63%	31%	623	96%	85%	28%

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

## Other


## Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	10	9	9	8	4	—	—	—



District **HAVERSTRAW-STONY POINT CSD (NORTH ROCKLAND)**District ID **50-02-01-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>580</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	301	94%	54%	10%	294	100%	86%	5%
Male	318	92%	43%	10%	286	100%	81%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	—	—	—				
Black or African American	76	93%	38%	5%	94	100%	79%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	232	89%	34%	6%	206	100%	72%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	100%	95%	26%	14	100%	86%	7%
White	286	95%	59%	13%	266	100%	94%	9%
Multiracial	3	—	—	—				
Small Group Totals	6	83%	67%	0%				
General-Education Students	540	96%	54%	11%	517	100%	88%	5%
Students with Disabilities	79	67%	10%	1%	63	100%	48%	0%
English Proficient	578	95%	52%	10%	546	100%	88%	5%
Limited English Proficient	41	66%	2%	0%	34	97%	15%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	229	86%	28%	2%	229	100%	71%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	390	96%	61%	14%	351	100%	92%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	619	93%	49%	10%	580	100%	84%	5%

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	9	9	9	9
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	15	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>631</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>597</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>28%</b>
Female	304	90%	63%	27%	302	99%	92%	25%
Male	327	94%	66%	29%	295	99%	94%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	—	—	—				
Black or African American	76	89%	51%	9%	95	99%	91%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	241	86%	50%	19%	220	98%	87%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	100%	86%	55%	15	100%	93%	40%
White	286	98%	79%	39%	267	100%	98%	42%
Multiracial	3	—	—	—				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	33%				
General-Education Students	552	96%	70%	32%	531	99%	95%	32%
Students with Disabilities	79	67%	25%	5%	66	95%	74%	0%
English Proficient	579	96%	69%	31%	548	99%	95%	30%
Limited English Proficient	52	60%	15%	0%	49	94%	65%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	240	85%	43%	16%	246	98%	85%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	391	97%	78%	36%	351	100%	98%	38%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	631	93%	65%	28%	597	99%	93%	28%

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


## Other

## Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	9	9	9	8

District **HAVERSTRAW-STONY POINT CSD (NORTH ROCKLAND)**District ID **50-02-01-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>601</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	294	97%	70%	10%	294	100%	78%	6%
Male	298	93%	59%	7%	307	99%	73%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native					3	—	—	—
Black or African American	95	95%	60%	5%	83	100%	71%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	219	90%	49%	3%	213	98%	60%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	82%	18%	27	—	—	—
White	261	98%	79%	13%	275	100%	87%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					30	100%	90%	0%
General-Education Students	523	97%	72%	10%	525	99%	82%	6%
Students with Disabilities	69	80%	7%	0%	76	97%	29%	0%
English Proficient	550	98%	69%	9%	557	100%	80%	6%
Limited English Proficient	42	52%	5%	0%	44	91%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	234	90%	48%	4%	217	99%	58%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	358	98%	76%	11%	384	99%	85%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	592	95%	65%	8%	601	99%	75%	5%

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


### Other

#### Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	12	12	12	12	7	7	7	7
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	11	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>606</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>617</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>23%</b>
Female	302	93%	53%	14%	305	97%	86%	22%
Male	304	89%	54%	13%	312	96%	81%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native					4	—	—	—
Black or African American	97	85%	37%	9%	85	94%	81%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	234	86%	37%	6%	225	95%	75%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	88%	24%	28	—	—	—
White	258	97%	72%	20%	275	98%	91%	31%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					32	100%	91%	31%
General-Education Students	539	95%	58%	15%	541	98%	89%	26%
Students with Disabilities	67	55%	12%	0%	76	83%	47%	1%
English Proficient	549	94%	58%	14%	561	98%	87%	25%
Limited English Proficient	57	65%	9%	0%	56	86%	54%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	246	84%	37%	9%	230	93%	72%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	360	96%	64%	16%	387	98%	91%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	606	91%	53%	13%	617	96%	84%	23%

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

## Other

## Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	12	12	12	11	7	7	7	5

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



### Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>32%</b>
Female	301	94%	77%	27%	303	98%	79%	30%
Male	304	93%	77%	39%	311	96%	77%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native					4	—	—	—
Black or African American	97	96%	70%	22%	84	96%	69%	23%
Hispanic or Latino	232	86%	63%	17%	223	94%	65%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	88%	41%	28	—	—	—
White	259	99%	91%	51%	275	100%	90%	47%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					32	97%	88%	41%
General-Education Students	539	95%	82%	37%	538	97%	83%	35%
Students with Disabilities	66	82%	36%	2%	76	95%	41%	7%
English Proficient	548	97%	83%	36%	561	99%	83%	35%
Limited English Proficient	57	58%	18%	0%	53	79%	26%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	245	88%	61%	16%	227	94%	63%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	360	97%	87%	44%	387	99%	87%	43%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	605	94%	77%	33%	614	97%	78%	32%


#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	12	12	12	12	7	7	7	7
Regents Science	0				1	—	—	—

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



## Results by Student Group

	2006 Cohort				2005 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>676</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>635</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>43%</b>
Female	315	89%	89%	60%	306	88%	87%	56%
Male	361	87%	85%	45%	329	81%	78%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Black or African American	95	91%	88%	43%	83	82%	80%	33%
Hispanic or Latino	231	77%	74%	33%	231	74%	72%	26%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	–	–	–	21	–	–	–
White	326	94%	94%	67%	298	92%	90%	59%
Multiracial	1	–	–	–				
Small Group Totals	24	100%	100%	71%	23	91%	91%	57%
General-Education Students	582	91%	90%	59%	525	88%	87%	51%
Students with Disabilities	94	69%	67%	12%	110	66%	60%	5%
English Proficient	641	91%	90%	55%	600	87%	85%	46%
Limited English Proficient	35	34%	34%	6%	35	34%	34%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	219	84%	81%	37%	186	75%	73%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	457	90%	89%	59%	449	88%	86%	52%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	676	88%	87%	52%	635	84%	82%	43%

### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.



## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



### Results by Student Group

	2006 Cohort				2005 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>676</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>635</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>28%</b>
Female	315	95%	92%	22%	306	96%	92%	36%
Male	361	94%	90%	23%	329	91%	86%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Black or African American	95	95%	91%	17%	83	89%	83%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	231	91%	85%	7%	231	91%	83%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	–	–	–	21	–	–	–
White	326	96%	94%	33%	298	96%	94%	38%
Multiracial	1	–	–	–				
Small Group Totals	24	100%	100%	42%	23	96%	96%	52%
General-Education Students	582	97%	95%	25%	525	97%	94%	33%
Students with Disabilities	94	77%	69%	6%	110	76%	63%	3%
English Proficient	641	95%	92%	24%	600	94%	90%	29%
Limited English Proficient	35	83%	66%	0%	35	80%	74%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	219	95%	91%	11%	186	92%	87%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	457	94%	91%	28%	449	94%	90%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	676	95%	91%	22%	635	93%	89%	28%

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.