

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 District SHENENDEHOWA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 52-03-02-06-0000 Superintendent L OLIVER ROBINSON Telephone (518) 881-0610 Grades K-12, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.



Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2007–08	2008–09	2009-10
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	701	725	649
Grade 1	771	761	771
Grade 2	758	777	759
Grade 3	733	770	786
Grade 4	777	749	805
Grade 5	723	803	747
Grade 6	728	738	830
Ungraded Elementary	23	23	0
Grade 7	766	740	741
Grade 8	760	755	748
Grade 9	806	778	765
Grade 10	761	798	743
Grade 11	673	739	781
Grade 12	765	651	717
Ungraded Secondary	0	11	12
Total K–12	9745	9818	9854

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Common Branch	22	22	22
Grade 8			
English	20	19	20
Mathematics	23	21	21
Science	23	23	22
Social Studies	23	23	23
Grade 10			
English	23	21	23
Mathematics	22	22	22
Science	24	22	21
Social Studies	21	23	21

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2007-08		200	8-09	2009–10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	518	6%	605	7%	650	7%
Reduced-Price Lunch	308	3%	288	3%	282	3%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	59	1%	76	1%	73	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	21	0%	27	0%	27	0%
Black or African American	248	3%	256	3%	266	3%
Hispanic or Latino	177	2%	206	2%	232	2%
Asian or Native	375	4%	475	5%	498	5%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	8915	91%	8784	89%	8693	88%
Multiracial	9	0%	70	1%	138	1%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007	-08	2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	206	2%	202	2%	214	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Total Number of Teachers	702	723	703
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	7%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	10%	10%
Total Number of Core Classes	1874	1881	1691
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	1%	1%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	2609	2669	2589
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	11%	19%	21%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	11%	12%

Staff Counts

2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
70	94	93
320	283	280
11	11	11
12	12	11
	70 320 11	70 94 320 283 11 11

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District SHENENDEHOWA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)	▲ Good Standing					
	Status (2010–11) ELA Good Standing Math Good Standing		Science	▲ Good Standing		
			Graduation Rat	e 🔥 Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fundin	g		
	2008-09		2009-10	2010-11		
	YES		YES	YES		

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	 	v	v	 ✓ 	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	-			
Black or African American	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	••••	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	v	 		_	-			
White	~	~	••••	~	~	••••		
Multiracial	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	~		 ✓ 	~			
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	 	••••	 ✓ 	 	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 7 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	✔ 1 of 1		



District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	×	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion	n Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (4652:4578)	V	V	100%	V	185	153		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (10:10)	_	-	-	_	-	-		_
Black or African American (137:130)	 	v	100%	 	168	146		
Hispanic or Latino (108:107)	<	 	99%	~	175	145	••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (219:216)	✓	v	100%	✓	193	147		
White (4117:4062)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	186	153	••••	••••
Multiracial (61:53)	~	~	100%	~	177	142		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (616:658)	X	~	100%	X	146	151	151	118
Limited English Proficient (32:27)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (548:528)	~	 	100%	~	168	150		
Final AYP Determination	🗙 7 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (2198:2160)			100%		189	153		
Male (2454:2418)	••••••••		100%		182	153	••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion	Test Perfo	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (4652:4575)	~	V	100%	V	192	133			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (10:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (137:129)	 	 	99%	~	177	126			
Hispanic or Latino (108:107)	<	 	99%	 ✓ 	189	125	•••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (219:216)	 	v	100%	~	197	127			
White (4116:4060)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	193	133	••••		
Multiracial (62:53)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	185	122			
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (617:656)	~	~	99%	~	158	131			
Limited English Proficient (32:28)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (547:526)	 	 	100%	~	176	130			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8	3							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (2196:2160)			100%		193	133			
Male (2456:2415)	••••••••		100%		192	133	••••	••••	
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	••••	••••	

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (1531:1505)	~	Qualified	v	100%	V	195	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	_	-	-	-	-	_	
Black or African American (37:35)		Qualified	_	-	~	191	100		
Hispanic or Latino (38:38)	••••••	Qualified	–	-	 	195	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (77:77)		Qualified	~	100%	~	199	100		
White (1359:1340)	••••••	Qualified	<	100%	~	196	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (18:13)	•••••••	–	–	-	-	-	-	–	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (207:213)		Qualified	~	99%	~	177	100		
Limited English Proficient (14:14)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (166:158)		Qualified	~	99%	~	185	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (726:717)				100%		197	100		
Male (805:788)				99%		194	100		
Migrant (0:0)	••••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		

Symbols

Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participa		ion	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (707:704)	~	V	100%	V	195	173		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:6)	_	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (16:17)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (17:14)		_	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (25:24)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (637:640)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	194	173	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (5:3)	_	_	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (77:88)	~	v	96%	~	172	166		
Limited English Proficient (2:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (51:55)	~	~	100%	~	187	164		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 4 of 4	4						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (337:335)			99%		197	171		
Male (370:369)	•••••••••••••		100%		192	171	••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••						••••	

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives			
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
~	V	99%	V	196	169		
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
-	-	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
<	 	99%	 ✓ 	196	169	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
~	v	95%	~	178	162		
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
✓	~	96%	~	187	160		
🗸 4 of 4	4						
		99%		197	167		
••••••••••••		99%		194	167	••••	••••
•••••••••						••••	
	Status	Status Met Criterion ✓ ✓ – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	Met Criterion Percentage Tested ✓ 99% – –	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion ✓ 99% ✓ – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – ✓ 95% ✓ ✓ 96% ✓ ✓ 4 of 4 – – – – – – 99% – – –	Met Status Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Image: Criterion	Met Status Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO Image: Criterion Image: C	Met Status Percentage (riterion Met Tested Performance (riterion Effective Index Safe Harbo AMO V 99% V 196 169 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	v	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	ion	Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11	
Accountability Groups							
All Students (703)	~	 ✓ 	89%	80%			
Ethnicity			·				
American Indian or Alaska Native (5)		-	_	-			
Black or African American (11)	•••••••	-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino (17)		_	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (24)		_	_	-	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
White (644)	••••••	✓	90%	80%	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (2)	••••••	-	-	-	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (95)		X	58%	80%	61%	62%	
Limited English Proficient (2)	••••••	_	_	-	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (65)	••••••	X	69%	80%	76%	71%	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 2	L					
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (350)			91%	80%			
Male (353)	••••••••		86%	80%			
Migrant (0)	•••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

— Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **91%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010-11 accountability status.

In Good Standing

12 schools identified 100% of total

ACADIA MIDDLE SCHOOL ARONGEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CHANGO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL GOWANA MIDDLE SCHOOL KARIGON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL KODA MIDDLE SCHOOL OKTE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ORENDA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL SHATEKON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL SKANO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TESAGO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Summary of 2009–10 **District Performance**

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%		100%
Grade 3	70%		780
Grade 4	72%		792
Grade 5	68%		743
Grade 6	77%		826
	73%		741
Grade 8	69%		729
Mathematics			
Grade 3	74%		781
Grade 4	75%		793
Grade 5	75%		744
Grade 6	77%		824
Grade 7	84%		741
Grade 8	72%		728
Science			
Grade 4	96%		792
Grade 8	95%		664
	-	of students that above Level 3	2006 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	93%	÷	734

734

94%

District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor* and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 675	*Range:	643-780	662-780	694-780					
2009 Mean Score: 683	100%	94% 97%	88%		86% 95%	76%			
2009-10 2008-09				24% 18%	н.		17% 11%		
Number of Tested Students:		732 767	549 691	189 143					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	780	94%	70%	24%	787	97%	88%	18%
Female	374	94%	76%	28%	372	99%	91%	23%
Male	406	94%	65%	21%	415	96%	85%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	-
Black or African American	26	85%	46%	15%	15	93%	80%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	13	85%	54%	15%	26	96%	77%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	40	98%	78%	30%	40	95%	90%	28%
White	686	94%	72%	24%	698	98%	88%	19%
Multiracial	15	93%	47%	20%	6	-	-	-
Small Group Totals					8	88%	88%	0%
General-Education Students	708	97%	75%	26%	682	100%	94%	20%
Students with Disabilities	72	60%	26%	4%	105	82%	45%	4%
English Proficient	776	_	_	-	780	98%	88%	18%
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_	_	7	71%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	84%	55%	17%	75	89%	67%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	703	95%	72%	25%	712	98%	90%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	780	94%	70%	24%	787	97%	88%	18%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	7	6	5	6	6	6	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	т	his District			NY State Public			
	P	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 702	*Range: 6	61-770	684-770	707-770				
2009 Mean Score: 701	100% 9	6% 99%	97% 74%		91%	93%		
2009-10 2008-09				33% 37%	н.	н.	24% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:	7	49 785	575 764	259 289				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	781	96%	74%	33%	790	99%	97%	37%
Female	376	96%	74%	33%	371	99%	97%	40%
Male	405	96%	74%	33%	419	99%	97%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	26	96%	42%	27%	15	100%	93%	27%
Hispanic or Latino	13	100%	54%	15%	25	100%	96%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	41	100%	78%	51%	40	100%	98%	48%
White	686	96%	75%	33%	702	99%	97%	37%
Multiracial	15	93%	67%	27%	6	-	-	-
Small Group Totals					8	100%	100%	25%
General-Education Students	709	98%	77%	36%	684	100%	99%	41%
Students with Disabilities	72	71%	36%	8%	106	95%	79%	8%
English Proficient	774	96%	74%	33%	781	99%	97%	37%
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	43%	0%	9	89%	89%	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	82%	42%	9%	75	100%	88%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	704	97%	77%	36%	715	99%	98%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	781	96%	74%	33%	790	99%	97%	37%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	8	6	1	6	6	6	4

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 681	*Range:	637-775	668-775	720-775				
2009 Mean Score: 681	100%	96% 97%	89% 72%		92% 96%	77%		
2009-10 2008-09				7% 10%		н.	6% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		757 718	567 660	59 74				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	792	96%	72%	7%	738	97%	89%	10%	
Female	379	97%	76%	10%	350	98%	92%	16%	
Male	413	94%	67%	5%	388	96%	87%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Black or African American	19	95%	58%	5%	14	86%	64%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	24	88%	46%	0%	16	100%	88%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	37	97%	89%	14%	30	100%	87%	17%	
White	702	96%	72%	7%	668	97%	90%	10%	
Multiracial	8	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	10	90%	60%	10%	10	100%	100%	20%	
General-Education Students	694	99%	78%	9%	640	100%	95%	11%	
Students with Disabilities	98	71%	23%	0%	98	81%	51%	1%	
English Proficient	785	96%	72%	8%	733	97%	90%	10%	
Limited English Proficient	7	57%	14%	0%	5	100%	40%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	80	84%	48%	3%	93	92%	69%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	712	97%	74%	8%	645	98%	92%	11%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	792	96%	72%	7%	738	97%	89%	10%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	8	8	8	7	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 693	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800				
2009 Mean Score: 694	100%	96% 98%	93% 75%	32% ^{39%}	95% 96%	87% 64%	26%	
Number of Tested Students:		763 725	594 689	254 289				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	793	96%	75%	32%	743	98%	93%	39%
Female	380	97%	75%	31%	351	97%	93%	36%
Male	413	96%	75%	33%	392	98%	93%	41%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	19	89%	42%	21%	16	88%	56%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	24	92%	42%	21%	16	94%	88%	38%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 37	97%	92%	46%	31	97%	94%	61%
White	703	96%	76%	32%	670	98%	94%	38%
Multiracial	8	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	10	100%	50%	10%	10	100%	100%	60%
General-Education Students	694	99%	81%	36%	646	100%	97%	44%
Students with Disabilities	99	74%	30%	6%	97	85%	65%	5%
English Proficient	786	96%	75%	32%	735	98%	93%	39%
Limited English Proficient	7	71%	29%	14%	8	88%	75%	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	81	86%	41%	10%	95	92%	75%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	712	97%	79%	35%	648	98%	95%	42%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	793	96%	75%	32%	743	98%	93%	39%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	G	G	c	5	8	0	0	c
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0	6	0	5	0	0	0	6

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

	This D	istrict		NY State	NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	Percent	tage scoring at level(s):		Percentage				
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 87	Range: 45–10	0 65-100	85-100					
2009 Mean Score: 87 2009–10 2008–09	100% 99	96% 96%	70% 72%	97% 97%	88% 88%	55% 59%		
Number of Tested Students:	790 7	13 759 688	553 516					

2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
792	100%	96%	70%	720	99%	96%	72%
380	100%	98%	70%	341	99%	95%	71%
412	100%	94%	69%	379	99%	96%	73%
2	-	-	-	4	-	-	_
18	100%	89%	44%	15	93%	73%	33%
24	100%	92%	46%	14	100%	100%	79%
r 37	100%	97%	86%	31	100%	94%	77%
703	100%	96%	71%	650	99%	96%	72%
8	-	-	–	6	-	-	-
10	90%	90%	50%	10	100%	100%	90%
693	100%	98%	77%	626	100%	98%	79%
99	98%	78%	21%	94	93%	81%	26%
785	100%	96%	70%	712	99%	96%	72%
7	86%	57%	29%	8	100%	75%	38%
80	100%	86%	43%	93	98%	85%	44%
712	100%	97%	73%	627	99%	97%	76%
792	100%	96%	70%	720	99%	96%	72%
	Total Tested 792 380 412 2 18 24 7 37 703 8 10 693 99 785 7 80 712	Join Percentag Total Percentag Tested 2-4 792 100% 380 100% 412 100% 2 - 18 100% 24 100% 703 100% 8 - 10 90% 693 100% 99 98% 785 100% 7 86% 80 100% 712 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4 792 100% 96% 380 100% 98% 412 100% 94% 2 - - 18 100% 89% 24 100% 92% 703 100% 96% 8 - - 10 90% 90% 693 100% 98% 785 100% 96% 7 86% 57% 80 100% 86% 712 100% 97%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 792 100% 96% 70% 380 100% 98% 70% 412 100% 94% 69% 2 - - - 18 100% 89% 44% 24 100% 92% 46% 703 100% 97% 86% 703 100% 96% 71% 8 - - - 10 90% 90% 50% 693 100% 98% 77% 99 98% 78% 21% 785 100% 96% 70% 7 86% 57% 29% 80 100% 86% 43% 712 100% 97% 73%	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): Total TestedTotal Tested792100%96%70%720380100%98%70%341412100%94%69%3792418100%89%44%1524100%92%46%14r37100%96%71%6508861090%90%50%10693100%98%77%6269998%78%21%94786%57%29%880100%86%43%93712100%97%73%627	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage Tested Percentage 2-4 792 100% 96% 70% 720 99% 380 100% 98% 70% 341 99% 412 100% 94% 69% 379 99% 2 - - - 4 - 18 100% 89% 44% 15 93% 24 100% 92% 46% 14 100% 703 100% 96% 71% 650 99% 8 - - - 6 - 10 90% 90% 50% 10 100% 693 100% 98% 77% 626 100% 99 98% 78% 21% 94 93% 785 100% 96% 70% 712 99% 712 100% 97% <td>Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage scoring at 2-4 Percentage scoring at 2-4 792 100% 96% 70% 720 99% 96% 380 100% 98% 70% 341 99% 95% 412 100% 94% 69% 379 99% 96% 2 - - - 4 - - 18 100% 89% 44% 15 93% 73% 24 100% 92% 46% 14 100% 94% 703 100% 96% 71% 650 99% 96% 8 - - - 6 - - 10 90% 90% 50% 10 100% 98% 99 98% 78% 21% 94 93% 81% 785 100% 96% 70% 712 9</td>	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage scoring at 2-4 Percentage scoring at 2-4 792 100% 96% 70% 720 99% 96% 380 100% 98% 70% 341 99% 95% 412 100% 94% 69% 379 99% 96% 2 - - - 4 - - 18 100% 89% 44% 15 93% 73% 24 100% 92% 46% 14 100% 94% 703 100% 96% 71% 650 99% 96% 8 - - - 6 - - 10 90% 90% 50% 10 100% 98% 99 98% 78% 21% 94 93% 81% 785 100% 96% 70% 712 9

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	c	6	c	0	8	8	8	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0	6	0	0	o	0	0	0	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 680	*Range:	647-795	666-795	700-795				
2009 Mean Score: 688 ■ 2009–10 ■ 2008–09	100%	96% 100%	95% 68%	18% 22%	88%	82% 52%	13% 14%	
Number of Tested Students:		712 802	505 761	134 180	-		-	

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	743	96%	68%	18%	804	100%	95%	22%
Female	351	96%	73%	21%	382	100%	97%	24%
Male	392	95%	64%	15%	422	100%	93%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	19	74%	21%	0%	28	100%	93%	21%
Hispanic or Latino	16	100%	69%	13%	27	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	32	94%	69%	31%	40	100%	95%	25%
White	659	96%	69%	18%	705	100%	95%	23%
Multiracial	13	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	17	100%	71%	12%	31	100%	90%	6%
General-Education Students	649	99%	75%	21%	684	100%	99%	25%
Students with Disabilities	94	76%	20%	0%	120	98%	72%	8%
English Proficient	738	96%	68%	18%	800	-	-	_
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	40%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	101	85%	35%	7%	100	99%	85%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	642	98%	73%	20%	704	100%	96%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	743	96%	68%	18%	804	100%	95%	22%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	ichool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	8	7	7	3	5	5	5	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 691	*Range:	640-780	674-780	702-780			
2009 Mean Score: 695 2009–10 2008–09	100%	97% 99%	96% 75%	47% 29%	94% 98%	88% 65%	36%
Number of Tested Students:		720 800	560 776	218 378			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	744	97%	75%	29%	805	99%	96%	47%
Female	352	97%	75%	30%	381	99%	97%	47%
Male	392	97%	76%	29%	424	99%	96%	47%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	19	74%	37%	11%	28	96%	89%	36%
Hispanic or Latino	16	100%	56%	25%	27	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 32	97%	75%	47%	42	100%	98%	62%
White	660	97%	77%	29%	704	99%	97%	47%
Multiracial	13	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	17	100%	71%	18%	31	100%	94%	45%
General-Education Students	650	100%	82%	33%	690	100%	99%	52%
Students with Disabilities	94	78%	26%	3%	115	96%	82%	14%
English Proficient	739	97%	75%	29%	797	99%	96%	47%
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	60%	20%	8	100%	88%	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	101	90%	47%	10%	100	98%	88%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	643	98%	80%	32%	705	100%	98%	50%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	744	97%	75%	29%	805	99%	96%	47%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0	8	0	6	6	6	5	4
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	0	0	0	0	0	J	4

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 675	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785			
2009 Mean Score: 678	100%	96% 100%	93% 77%		89%	81%	
2009-10 2008-09				13% 16%	н.		7% 9%
Number of Tested Students:		796 736	639 688	110 117			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	826	96%	77%	13%	736	100%	93%	16%
Female	396	98%	83%	15%	337	100%	95%	22%
Male	430	95%	73%	12%	399	100%	92%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	30	93%	60%	3%	19	100%	100%	26%
Hispanic or Latino	26	88%	65%	4%	12	100%	100%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	40	98%	93%	28%	30	100%	100%	43%
White	723	97%	78%	13%	665	100%	93%	14%
Multiracial	5	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	7	100%	29%	29%	10	100%	100%	30%
General-Education Students	711	100%	86%	15%	636	100%	98%	18%
Students with Disabilities	115	77%	27%	1%	100	100%	64%	2%
English Proficient	822	_	-	-	736	100%	93%	16%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	104	90%	58%	4%	90	100%	80%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	722	97%	80%	15%	646	100%	95%	18%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	826	96%	77%	13%	736	100%	93%	16%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	4	4	6	6	6	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 689	*Range:	640-780	674-780	699-780			
2009 Mean Score: 689 ■ 2009–10 ■ 2008–09	100%	97% 98%	93%	38% 39%	92% 96%	83% 61%	27% 28%
Number of Tested Students:		796 724	636 684	312 286			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	824	97 %	77%	38%	737	98%	93%	39%	
Female	395	97%	78%	39%	335	99%	93%	40%	
Male	429	96%	76%	37%	402	98%	93%	38%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	30	87%	43%	20%	21	100%	90%	43%	
Hispanic or Latino	26	92%	58%	27%	13	100%	100%	38%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	40	98%	83%	53%	31	100%	94%	71%	
White	721	97%	79%	38%	662	98%	93%	37%	
Multiracial	5	-	-	–	8	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	43%	10	100%	80%	60%	
General-Education Students	710	100%	86%	43%	638	100%	98%	44%	
Students with Disabilities	114	77%	21%	4%	99	87%	61%	6%	
English Proficient	820	_	-	-	734	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	104	87%	53%	15%	90	92%	82%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged	720	98%	81%	41%	647	99%	94%	43%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	824	97%	77%	38%	737	98%	93%	39%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	E	Δ	Λ	4	6	G	c	F
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	4	4	4	0	0	0	5

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 683	*Range:	642-790	664-790	698-790					
2009 Mean Score: 681	100%	97% 100%	95% 73%		90%	80%			
2008-09				23% 15%			11% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		718 734	541 696	169 107					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	741	97%	73%	23%	734	100%	95%	15%
Female	339	99%	80%	27%	348	100%	98%	17%
Male	402	95%	67%	19%	386	100%	92%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	22	91%	68%	32%	24	100%	96%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	100%	29%	15	100%	93%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	100%	86%	14%	39	100%	92%	38%
White	664	97%	72%	22%	649	100%	95%	14%
Multiracial	11	-	-	-	7	100%	86%	14%
Small Group Totals	13	100%	77%	38%				
General-Education Students	641	100%	80%	26%	635	100%	99%	16%
Students with Disabilities	100	79%	27%	2%	99	100%	70%	3%
English Proficient	739	-	-	-	731	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	87	89%	48%	10%	88	100%	88%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	654	98%	76%	24%	646	100%	96%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	741	97%	73%	23%	734	100%	95%	15%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 691	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800			
2009 Mean Score: 689 2009–10 2008–09	100%	97% 100%	96%	51%	92% 99%	87% 62%	29% 30%
Number of Tested Students:		721 732	619 707	381 263			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	741	97%	84%	51%	733	100%	96%	36%
Female	339	98%	83%	51%	347	100%	98%	32%
Male	402	97%	84%	52%	386	100%	95%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	22	95%	91%	59%	22	100%	86%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	93%	50%	14	100%	93%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 28	100%	93%	79%	40	100%	95%	73%
White	664	97%	83%	50%	650	100%	97%	35%
Multiracial	11	-	-	–	7	100%	86%	57%
Small Group Totals	13	92%	85%	46%			•••••	
General-Education Students	641	100%	92%	58%	634	100%	99%	40%
Students with Disabilities	100	81%	32%	12%	99	99%	80%	10%
English Proficient	739	-	-	-	728	100%	97%	36%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	5	100%	40%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	87	91%	64%	22%	88	100%	88%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	654	98%	86%	55%	645	100%	98%	38%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	741	97%	84%	51%	733	100%	96%	36%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	blic			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2–4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 671	*Range:	627-790	658-790	699-790					
2009 Mean Score: 671	100%	97% 100%	83% 69%		91% 98%	69% 51%			
2009-10									
2008–09				13% 8%			8% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		710 754	502 631	97 63					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	729	97%	69%	13%	756	100%	83%	8%	
Female	345	99%	73%	14%	369	100%	86%	12%	
Male	384	96%	65%	13%	387	99%	81%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	18	100%	67%	11%	26	100%	65%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	57%	0%	14	100%	79%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	40	95%	78%	20%	31	100%	87%	16%	
White	648	98%	69%	13%	676	100%	84%	8%	
Multiracial	9	89%	44%	11%	7	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals					9	100%	89%	11%	
General-Education Students	628	100%	77%	15%	653	100%	90%	10%	
Students with Disabilities	101	82%	21%	3%	103	98%	40%	0%	
English Proficient	722	98%	70%	13%	754	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	7	71%	0%	0%	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	86	90%	45%	5%	83	100%	70%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	643	98%	72%	14%	673	100%	85%	9%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	729	97%	69%	13%	756	100%	83%	8%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	ichool Year		,	2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	6	6	5	4	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 687	*Range:	639-775	673-775	702-775					
2009 Mean Score: 686	100%	97% 100%	94% 72%	23% 25%	91% 96%	80%	18% 19%		
Number of Tested Students:		706 752	525 711	167 188					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	728	97 %	72%	23%	755	100%	94%	25%	
Female	344	98%	73%	21%	369	100%	96%	28%	
Male	384	96%	71%	24%	386	99%	93%	22%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	18	94%	50%	0%	28	100%	86%	11%	
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	79%	7%	15	100%	93%	13%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	40	100%	88%	55%	31	100%	97%	45%	
White	646	97%	72%	22%	673	100%	94%	25%	
Multiracial	10	90%	40%	10%	6	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	••••••			••••••	8	100%	100%	50%	
General-Education Students	629	100%	79%	26%	654	100%	97%	28%	
Students with Disabilities	99	81%	31%	5%	101	97%	77%	3%	
English Proficient	721	97%	72%	23%	751	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	57%	29%	4	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	84	93%	50%	18%	83	99%	87%	10%	
Not Disadvantaged	644	98%	75%	24%	672	100%	95%	27%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	728	97%	72%	23%	755	100%	94%	25%	

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10 S	chool Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	6	6	6	4

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	664	100%	95%	55%	753	100%	94%	54%	
Female	320	100%	96%	53%	368	100%	93%	48%	
Male	344	100%	94%	58%	385	100%	94%	59%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	18	100%	94%	33%	28	100%	79%	32%	
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	100%	57%	15	100%	80%	20%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	100%	100%	54%	32	100%	91%	63%	
White	595	100%	95%	56%	669	100%	95%	55%	
Multiracial	9	100%	78%	33%	7	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals					9	100%	100%	33%	
General-Education Students	567	100%	98%	60%	655	100%	96%	59%	
Students with Disabilities	97	98%	76%	28%	98	100%	78%	18%	
English Proficient	657	100%	95%	56%	748	100%	94%	54%	
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	100%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	81	99%	85%	33%	85	100%	84%	33%	
Not Disadvantaged	583	100%	96%	58%	668	100%	95%	56%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	664	100%	95%	55%	753	100%	94%	54%	


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	6	6	5	5	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent							~		
Regents Science	60	60	60	60	0				

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 Coho i	t		2005 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	734	94%	93%	47%	703	90%	88%	51%
Female	346	96%	95%	55%	350	93%	92%	57%
Male	388	93%	90%	40%	353	88%	84%	45%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	17	100%	94%	12%	11	55%	45%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	15	93%	87%	33%	17	88%	82%	41%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	96%	58%	24	92%	88%	71%
White	669	94%	93%	48%	644	91%	89%	52%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	33%	7	71%	57%	14%
General-Education Students	638	97%	97%	54%	608	95%	94%	59%
Students with Disabilities	96	75%	67%	3%	95	64%	52%	4%
English Proficient	733	-	-	-	701	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–	2	–	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	60	87%	83%	13%	65	69%	65%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	674	95%	94%	50%	638	93%	91%	55%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	734	94%	93%	47%	703	90%	88%	51%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pul	olic	
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage so	coring at level(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
100% 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	95% 92%	94% 91%	54% 58%	84% 83%	79% 77%	30% 30%

Results by	2006 Cohor	t		2005 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	734	95%	94%	54%	703	92%	91%	58%
Female	346	96%	95%	57%	350	94%	94%	58%
Male	388	94%	92%	52%	353	90%	89%	57%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	17	94%	94%	29%	11	55%	55%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	15	93%	93%	27%	17	88%	88%	35%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	100%	79%	24	96%	96%	79%
White	669	95%	94%	55%	644	93%	92%	59%
Multiracial	3	-	-	–	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	56%	7	71%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	638	98%	97%	61%	608	96%	96%	65%
Students with Disabilities	96	76%	71%	10%	95	63%	61%	12%
English Proficient	733	-	-	-	701	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–	2	-	–	-
Economically Disadvantaged	60	87%	83%	25%	65	75%	75%	35%
Not Disadvantaged	674	96%	95%	57%	638	94%	93%	60%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	734	95%	94%	54%	703	92%	91%	58%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 ** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.