

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 District NORTH BABYLON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 58-01-03-03-0000 Superintendent ROBERT ALOISE Telephone (631) 321-3226 Grades PK-12

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.



# Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

# **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

# Enrollment

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Pre-K	0	0	15
Kindergarten	352	320	346
Grade 1	342	345	333
Grade 2	346	317	348
Grade 3	378	363	331
Grade 4	376	376	367
Grade 5	370	378	384
Grade 6	405	380	379
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	405	395	391
Grade 8	402	399	398
Grade 9	405	419	404
Grade 10	423	378	400
Grade 11	370	428	360
Grade 12	344	341	399
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	4918	4839	4840

# Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Common Branch	21	21	23
Grade 8			
English	21	22	25
Mathematics	23	24	24
Science	21	22	26
Social Studies	25	24	23
Grade 10			
English	22	23	26
Mathematics	21	25	25
Science	21	19	23
Social Studies	27	24	27

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

# **Demographic Factors**

	200	7–08	2008-09		2009–10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	597	12%	672	14%	858	18%
Reduced-Price Lunch	390	8%	372	8%	322	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	109	2%	126	3%	149	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	4	0%	4	0%
Black or African American	1090	22%	1071	22%	1080	22%
Hispanic or Latino	643	13%	658	14%	689	14%
Asian or Native	180	4%	209	4%	222	5%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3000	61%	2897	60%	2845	59%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	329	7%	586	12%	244	5%

District ID 58-01-03-03-0000

# Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

# **Teacher Qualifications**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Total Number of Teachers	387	386	358
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	3%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	8%	2%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	58%	60%	66%
Total Number of Core Classes	1101	1077	947
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	3%	2%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1388	1406	1309
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	2%	1%

# **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	9%	16%	47%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	7%	11%	18%

### **Staff Counts**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Other Professional Staff	52	54	40
Total Paraprofessionals*	100	112	105
Assistant Principals	4	4	4
Principals	7	7	7

\* Not available at the school level.

# Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District ID 58-01-03-03-0000

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### **3** Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### 2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.** 

### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

# Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.** 

### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

*Science:* The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

*Graduation Rate:* The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ 

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

# **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District NORTH BABYLON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 58-01-03-03-0000

### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA A Good Standing		Sc	cience	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Gr	raduation Rate	▲ Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part	t A Funding			
	2008-09		2009-10		2010-11		
	YES		YES		YES		

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	V	<b>V</b>		-	_		
Black or African American	✓	✓	••••	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	••••	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>		-	-		
White	~	<b>V</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial		••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		X	X		
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	••••	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✔ 8 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1	<b>X</b> 5 of 6	<b>X</b> 5 of 6	🖌 1 of 1	



District ID 58-01-03-03-0000

# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (2319:2259)	~	V	100%	<b>V</b>	182	153		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (118:115)	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	100%	<b>v</b>	175	145		
Black or African American (542:508)	✓	<b>v</b>	100%	~	176	150		
Hispanic or Latino (13:13)	-	-	-	–	-	-	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (101:101)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	~	187	145		
White (1545:1522)	<	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	185	152	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (460:488)	~	~	100%	<b>v</b>	151	150		
Limited English Proficient (70:96)	✓	~	99%	<b>v</b>	145	145		
Economically Disadvantaged (720:680)	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	176	151		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 8 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (1106:1074)			100%		185	152		
Male (1213:1185)	•••••••••	•••••	100%		180	152	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 58-01-03-03-0000

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives			
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
~	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	189	133		
~	<b>v</b>	100%	~	190	125		
<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	99%	~	181	130		
_	_	-	-	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	100%	~	194	125		
<	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	191	132	••••	••••
••••							
<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	100%	~	160	130		
<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	172	125		
<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>/</b>	100%	~	185	131		
🖌 8 of 8	3						
		100%		189	132		
••••••••	•••••	100%		189	132	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••• ••••••
•••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Status  Status	Met       Status     Met       Criterion       V	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         V       100%       V         V       100%       V         V       100%       V         V       99%       V         V       100%       V	Status       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       189         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       189         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       190         ✓       ✓       99%       ✓       181         –       –       –       –       –         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       194         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       191         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       191         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       160         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       185         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       185         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       185         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       189	Met Status         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           V         100%         V         189         133           V         100%         V         189         133           V         100%         V         189         133           V         V         100%         V         190         125           V         Y         99%         V         181         130           -         -         -         -         -         -           V         100%         V         194         125           V         100%         V         191         132           V         100%         V         160         130           V         100%         V         185         131           V         100%         V         185         131           V         100%         V         189         132	Met Criterion         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO         Safe Harbo 2009-10           V         100%         V         189         133

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 58-01-03-03-0000

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
-	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	АҮР		Participati	ion	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (790:770)	~	Qualified	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>v</b>	188	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (48:47)		Qualified	~	100%	~	185	100		
Black or African American (172:161)		Qualified	~	99%	~	182	100		
Hispanic or Latino (7:7)	••••••	_	–	-	–	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (29:29)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
White (534:526)	••••••	Qualified	<	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	190	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••		•••••				•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (173:174)		Qualified	~	99%	~	162	100		
Limited English Proficient (28:26)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (240:227)		Qualified	~	100%	~	181	100		
Final AYP Determination	<b>/</b> 1 o	of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (359:346)				100%		186	100		
Male (431:424)				100%		190	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District ID 58-01-03-03-0000

# Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

AYP Participation		ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	187	172		
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>~</b>	99%	~	177	167		
<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	194	163		
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	189	170	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
•••••••••	••••••••••	••••		••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
x	~	99%	x	156	167	164	160
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
<	•	100%	~	190	166		
<b>X</b> 5 of 6	6						
		100%		191	169		
••••••••••••		100%		183	169	••••	•••• ••••••
•••••••••••						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Status	Status     Met Criterion       ✓     ✓       –     –       ✓     ✓	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       99%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       99%         ✓       100%         ✓       99%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       99%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       187         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       187         ✓       ✓       99%       ✓       177         ✓       ✓       99%       ✓       177         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       194         –       –       –       –       –         ✓       100%       ✓       189       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓       189       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓       189       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓       190       ✓         ズ       ✓       99%       ズ       156         –       –       –       –       –         ✓       100%       ✓       190       ✓         ズ       ✓       100%       ✓       190         ズ       ✓       100%       ✓       191	Met Status         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           Image: Criterion         Image: C	Met Status       Percentage (riterion       Met rested       Performance (riterion       Effective Index       Safe Harbo AMO         V       100%       V       187       172         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         V       99%       V       177       167         V       99%       V       194       163         -       -       -       -       -         V       100%       V       189       170         V       100%       V       189       163         -       -       -       -       -         V       100%       V       189       170         X       99%       X       156       167       164         -       -       -       -       -       -         X       99%       X       156       167       164         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         X       99%       X       190       166       -       -         X       100%       190       166

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 58-01-03-03-0000

# **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participatio		on Test Performa		mance Perfor		formance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	_	
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (414:408)	V	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	~	185	168			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (95:93)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	98%	~	172	163			
Hispanic or Latino (51:48)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	194	159			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (22:21)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (245:245)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	187	166	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (140:96)	x	~	96%	x	148	163	161	153	
Limited English Proficient (5:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (81:84)	<	~	100%	~	189	162		•••••	
Final AYP Determination	X 5 of 6	5							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (194:189)			99%		189	165			
Male (220:219)	••••••••••••		98%		181	165	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••	••••••					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

### Symbols

### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 58-01-03-03-0000

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	<b>v</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduatio	on	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (385)	<b>~</b>	~	85%	80%		
Ethnicity		·	·			
American Indian or Alaska Native <b>(0)</b>						
Black or African American (94)	••••••	~	82%	80%		
Hispanic or Latino (46)		~	89%	80%		••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (14)		-	-	-		
White (231)		~	86%	80%		
Multiracial (0)			•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (75)		~	67%	80%	66%	70%
Limited English Proficient (9)		-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (63)		X	78%	80%	80%	79%
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (189)			87%	80%		
Male (196)			83%	80%		
Migrant (0)			••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

— Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

### **Aspirational Goal**

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **88%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

District ID 58-01-03-03-0000

# 2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

### In Good Standing

7 schools identified 100% of total

BELMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MARION G VEDDER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL NORTH BABYLON HIGH SCHOOL PARLIAMENT PLACE SCHOOL ROBERT MOSES MIDDLE SCHOOL WILLIAM E DELUCA JR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL WOODS ROAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

# Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	65%		334
Grade 4	75%		376
Grade 5	59%		391
Grade 6	74%		390
Grade 7	55%		391
Grade 8	62%		405
Mathematics			
Grade 3	62%		335
Grade 4	75%		377
Grade 5	72%		392
Grade 6	57%		391
Grade 7	65%		392
Grade 8	48%		406
Science			
Grade 4	97%		376
Grade 8	78%		331
		of students that above Level 3	2006 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%

87%

86%

District ID 58-01-03-03-0000

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

### **Average Need Districts**

430

430

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

English

**Mathematics** 

# This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 677	*Range:	643-780	662-780	694-780				
2009 Mean Score: 680	100%	91%	86%		86%	76% 55%		
2009-10				21% 14%			<sup>17%</sup> 11%	
Number of Tested Students:		305 364	217 316	71 52				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	334	91%	65%	21%	368	<b>99</b> %	86%	14%
Female	158	92%	66%	24%	171	98%	89%	21%
Male	176	91%	64%	19%	197	99%	83%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	13	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	76	84%	54%	16%	70	94%	76%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	56	100%	91%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	100%	58%	16%	13	-	-	-
White	224	93%	70%	24%	228	100%	87%	17%
Multiracial	•••••			•••••				
Small Group Totals	15	93%	60%	13%	14	100%	93%	21%
General-Education Students	283	95%	72%	24%	299	100%	92%	17%
Students with Disabilities	51	73%	24%	6%	69	94%	59%	1%
English Proficient	327	92%	66%	22%	355	99%	86%	15%
Limited English Proficient	7	71%	29%	0%	13	92%	77%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	92%	58%	21%	105	100%	80%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	235	91%	68%	21%	263	98%	88%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	334	91%	65%	21%	368	99%	86%	14%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	7	7	6	6	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

# This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 696	*Range:	661-770	684-770	707-770				
2009 Mean Score: 698	100%	97% 99%	94%	25% 33%	91% 99%	93% 59%	24% 27%	
2008-09								
Number of Tested Students:		326 369	207 352	83 122				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	335	97%	62%	25%	373	99%	94%	33%
Female	158	97%	61%	26%	172	98%	94%	37%
Male	177	97%	63%	24%	201	100%	95%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native	13	-	-	-	1	-	_	-
Black or African American	75	97%	55%	17%	73	95%	82%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	56	100%	96%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 19	95%	68%	42%	13	-	-	-
White	226	97%	64%	27%	230	100%	98%	40%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Small Group Totals	15	100%	53%	13%	14	100%	93%	50%
General-Education Students	283	99%	70%	29%	303	100%	96%	38%
Students with Disabilities	52	87%	19%	2%	70	94%	87%	11%
English Proficient	327	97%	63%	25%	360	99%	94%	33%
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	0%	0%	13	92%	92%	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	98%	55%	18%	107	100%	93%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	236	97%	65%	28%	266	98%	95%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	335	97%	62%	25%	373	99%	94%	33%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	7	c	5	2	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	I	I	0	5	5	_	_	_

# This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 682	*Range:	637-775	668-775	720-775				
2009 Mean Score: 678	100%	97% 99%	88%		92% 96%	77% 57%		
2009-10								
2008-09				7% 7%			6% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		364 374	283 334	25 27				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	376	97%	75%	7%	379	99%	88%	7%	
Female	173	95%	75%	9%	198	98%	90%	8%	
Male	203	98%	75%	5%	181	99%	86%	7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	24	96%	63%	0%					
Black or African American	74	93%	64%	4%	81	99%	83%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	_	-	50	98%	88%	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 17	-	-	-	14	100%	100%	7%	
White	259	98%	80%	7%	234	99%	89%	9%	
Multiracial	••••••			••••••	•••••				
Small Group Totals	19	95%	79%	16%				••••••	
General-Education Students	305	100%	83%	8%	316	100%	96%	9%	
Students with Disabilities	71	83%	41%	0%	63	92%	49%	0%	
English Proficient	367	97%	76%	7%	366	99%	89%	7%	
Limited English Proficient	9	100%	44%	0%	13	77%	54%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	104	97%	65%	2%	122	98%	82%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	272	97%	79%	8%	257	99%	91%	9%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	376	97%	75%	7%	379	99%	88%	7%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

# **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 695	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800			
2009 Mean Score: 698 ■ 2009-10 ■ 2008-09	100%	96% 99%	96% 75%	35%	95% 96%	87% 64%	26%
Number of Tested Students:		361 381	284 367	132 173			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	377	96%	75%	35%	383	99%	96%	45%
Female	173	95%	73%	35%	197	99%	95%	47%
Male	204	97%	77%	35%	186	99%	97%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Native	24	96%	67%	21%				
Black or African American	74	86%	61%	22%	83	100%	92%	34%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	50	98%	94%	40%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 17	-	-	–	15	100%	100%	60%
White	260	98%	80%	38%	235	100%	97%	49%
Multiracial	••••••			••••••				
Small Group Totals	19	95%	84%	58%				
General-Education Students	305	100%	82%	40%	318	100%	99%	52%
Students with Disabilities	72	79%	46%	13%	65	97%	80%	14%
English Proficient	366	96%	77%	36%	369	99%	96%	46%
Limited English Proficient	11	91%	36%	18%	14	100%	93%	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	105	93%	68%	26%	125	100%	94%	41%
Not Disadvantaged	272	97%	78%	39%	258	99%	97%	47%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	377	96%	75%	35%	383	99%	96%	45%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	_	_

# This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 88	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2009 Mean Score: 88	100%	99% 100%	97% 97%	76% 78%	97% 97%	88% 88%	55% 59%	
2008-09								
Number of Tested Students:		372 380	364 368	284 299				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	376	99%	97%	76%	381	100%	97%	78%	
Female	173	98%	95%	75%	197	99%	97%	79%	
Male	203	100%	99%	76%	184	100%	96%	78%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	24	100%	96%	63%					
Black or African American	73	96%	92%	63%	83	99%	96%	71%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	51	100%	96%	71%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 17	-	-	-	15	100%	100%	67%	
White	260	100%	98%	80%	232	100%	97%	84%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••				•••••	
Small Group Totals	19	100%	95%	79%					
General-Education Students	304	100%	100%	81%	320	100%	98%	84%	
Students with Disabilities	72	94%	83%	53%	61	98%	89%	51%	
English Proficient	365	99%	97%	76%	366	100%	97%	80%	
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	100%	55%	15	100%	87%	33%	
Economically Disadvantaged	103	100%	96%	64%	125	99%	95%	68%	
Not Disadvantaged	273	99%	97%	80%	256	100%	97%	84%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	376	99%	97%	76%	381	100%	97%	78%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	_	_	3	_	_	_	

# This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	olic				
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2010 Mean Score: 674	*Range:	647-795	666-795	700-795						
2009 Mean Score: 682	100%	93% 99%	91% 59%		88% 99%	82% 52%				
2008-09				12% 18%			13% 14%			
Number of Tested Students:		364 384	231 352	48 68						

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	391	93%	59%	12%	388	<b>99</b> %	91%	18%
Female	200	93%	69%	16%	190	100%	92%	16%
Male	191	93%	49%	8%	198	98%	89%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	18	83%	56%	11%				
Black or African American	87	91%	47%	9%	95	98%	83%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	• •••••				37	100%	95%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 15	100%	80%	13%	23	91%	91%	35%
White	271	94%	62%	13%	233	100%	93%	21%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				•••••			
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••				•••••	••••••
General-Education Students	318	98%	69%	15%	321	100%	96%	21%
Students with Disabilities	73	73%	18%	0%	67	94%	64%	1%
English Proficient	378	95%	61%	13%	381	99%	91%	18%
Limited English Proficient	13	38%	8%	0%	7	100%	71%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	130	90%	47%	8%	119	99%	84%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	261	95%	65%	14%	269	99%	94%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	391	93%	59%	12%	388	99%	91%	18%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

District ID 58-01-03-03-0000

# This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 686	*Range:	640-780	674-780	702-780					
2009 Mean Score: 691 2009-10 2008-09	100%	97% 98%	93% 72%	41%	94% 98%	88%	36%		
Number of Tested Students:		382 378	281 360	78 157					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	392	97%	72%	20%	386	98%	93%	41%	
Female	201	98%	70%	21%	191	99%	94%	39%	
Male	191	97%	73%	18%	195	97%	92%	43%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	18	100%	67%	11%					
Black or African American	88	97%	57%	11%	95	96%	88%	27%	
Hispanic or Latino					37	97%	95%	22%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	100%	80%	47%	21	95%	90%	71%	
White	271	97%	76%	22%	233	99%	95%	46%	
Multiracial	•••••			••••••					
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students	319	99%	79%	23%	319	99%	97%	47%	
Students with Disabilities	73	92%	41%	5%	67	91%	73%	9%	
English Proficient	378	98%	74%	21%	377	98%	94%	41%	
Limited English Proficient	14	93%	21%	0%	9	78%	67%	33%	
Economically Disadvantaged	131	97%	64%	18%	121	98%	87%	29%	
Not Disadvantaged	261	98%	75%	21%	265	98%	96%	46%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	392	97%	72%	20%	386	98%	93%	41%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10 S	chool Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	_	-	-	4	-	_	_

# This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 671	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785				
2009 Mean Score: 671	100%	95% 100%	74%		89%	81%		
2009-10								
2008-09				12% 12%			7% 9%	
Number of Tested Students:		369 383	287 322	46 46				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	390	95%	74%	12%	383	100%	84%	12%	
Female	191	96%	75%	12%	186	100%	88%	18%	
Male	199	93%	72%	12%	197	100%	80%	7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	14	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	106	92%	63%	7%	90	100%	79%	8%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	64	100%	80%	9%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	90%	85%	20%	15	-	-	-	
White	248	96%	77%	14%	213	100%	86%	14%	
Multiracial	•••••								
Small Group Totals	16	94%	75%	0%	16	100%	100%	19%	
General-Education Students	324	99%	84%	14%	305	100%	93%	15%	
Students with Disabilities	66	71%	24%	0%	78	100%	47%	1%	
English Proficient	383	95%	75%	12%	374	100%	86%	12%	
Limited English Proficient	7	57%	0%	0%	9	100%	22%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	127	92%	62%	6%	139	100%	74%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	263	96%	79%	14%	244	100%	90%	15%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	390	95%	74%	12%	383	100%	84%	12%	

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	3	3	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

# This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 675	*Range:	640-780	674-780	699-780				
2009 Mean Score: 676 2009–10 2008–09	100%	91% 95%	82% 57%	17% 22%	92% 96%	83% 61%	27% 28%	
Number of Tested Students:		357 369	223 318	68 84				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	391	<b>91</b> %	57%	17%	388	95%	82%	22%	
Female	191	91%	58%	16%	192	95%	83%	24%	
Male	200	92%	57%	19%	196	95%	81%	19%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	15	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	107	83%	39%	7%	89	97%	78%	15%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	67	93%	76%	13%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 20	95%	75%	10%	15	-	-	-	
White	247	95%	64%	24%	216	95%	84%	26%	
Multiracial	• •••••			•••••••			••••••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	17	82%	47%	0%	16	100%	100%	38%	
General-Education Students	327	97%	66%	21%	310	99%	93%	27%	
Students with Disabilities	64	64%	9%	0%	78	79%	38%	1%	
English Proficient	383	92%	58%	18%	374	96%	84%	22%	
Limited English Proficient	8	50%	0%	0%	14	64%	21%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	127	87%	44%	8%	141	94%	74%	13%	
Not Disadvantaged	264	93%	63%	22%	247	96%	86%	27%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	391	91%	57%	17%	388	95%	82%	22%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	2–4 3–4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	4	4	2	-	-	-

# This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic				
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2010 Mean Score: 670	*Range:	642-790	664-790	698-790						
2009 Mean Score: 666	100%	94% 100%	87%		90%	80%				
2009-10 2008-09				12% 4%	н.	11	11% 7%			
Number of Tested Students:		367 395	216 343	48 17						

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	391	94%	55%	12%	395	100%	87%	4%
Female	190	96%	66%	17%	174	100%	90%	7%
Male	201	92%	45%	7%	221	100%	85%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	23	83%	26%	4%				
Black or African American	96	95%	46%	9%	80	100%	86%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	70	100%	79%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 15	-	-	–	15	100%	93%	7%
White	255	94%	61%	14%	230	100%	89%	6%
Multiracial	••••••							
Small Group Totals	17	100%	65%	12%				
General-Education Students	311	98%	68%	15%	295	100%	96%	6%
Students with Disabilities	80	76%	6%	1%	100	100%	60%	0%
English Proficient	380	95%	57%	13%	384	100%	89%	4%
Limited English Proficient	11	55%	0%	0%	11	100%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	119	91%	45%	6%	147	100%	80%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	272	95%	60%	15%	248	100%	91%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	391	94%	55%	12%	395	100%	87%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

# This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 678	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800				
2009 Mean Score: 678 ■ 2009-10	100%	92% 99%	90%	29% 26%	92% 99%	87% 62%	29% 30%	
2008-09				25% 28%				
Number of Tested Students:		362 398	254 363	114 105				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	392	<b>92</b> %	65%	<b>29</b> %	402	99%	90%	26%
Female	190	94%	68%	33%	182	99%	90%	27%
Male	202	91%	62%	25%	220	99%	91%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native	23	87%	39%	9%				
Black or African American	97	91%	54%	21%	85	96%	82%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	67	100%	84%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 15	-	-	-	15	100%	93%	40%
White	255	93%	70%	34%	235	100%	95%	28%
Multiracial	••••••			••••••				
Small Group Totals	17	100%	88%	35%				
General-Education Students	312	98%	77%	36%	302	100%	98%	33%
Students with Disabilities	80	70%	18%	3%	100	96%	66%	5%
English Proficient	380	93%	67%	30%	386	99%	92%	27%
Limited English Proficient	12	58%	0%	0%	16	94%	44%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	120	92%	57%	23%	153	99%	85%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	272	93%	68%	32%	249	99%	94%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	392	92%	65%	29%	402	99%	90%	26%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested					2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	_	-	-	4	-	_	-

# This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 661	*Range:	627-790	658-790	699-790					
2009 Mean Score: 664	100%	96% 99%	77%		91% 98%	69% 51%			
2009-10 2008-09				3% 3%			8% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		390 401	252 310	12 14					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	405	96%	62%	3%	405	99%	77%	3%	
Female	184	98%	66%	3%	204	100%	83%	4%	
Male	221	95%	59%	3%	201	99%	70%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	24	100%	42%	0%					
Black or African American	96	94%	64%	4%	97	99%	77%	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	60%	0%	54	98%	59%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 12	100%	67%	0%	12	100%	100%	8%	
White	268	97%	63%	3%	242	99%	79%	5%	
Multiracial	••••••							•••••	
Small Group Totals	••••••		•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	
General-Education Students	311	99%	74%	4%	306	100%	90%	5%	
Students with Disabilities	94	87%	23%	0%	99	96%	35%	0%	
English Proficient	389	98%	64%	3%	397	99%	78%	4%	
Limited English Proficient	16	63%	13%	0%	8	88%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	136	93%	49%	1%	113	98%	67%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	269	98%	69%	4%	292	99%	80%	4%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	405	96%	62%	3%	405	99%	77%	3%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) <sup>†</sup> : Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

# This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pul	olic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 673	*Range:	639-775	673-775	702-775				
2009 Mean Score: 670	100%	94% 97%	79%		91% 96%	80% 55%		
<ul><li>2009-10</li><li>2008-09</li></ul>				12% 12%		н.	18% 19%	
Number of Tested Students:		381 400	195 326	47 49				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	406	94%	48%	12%	411	97%	79%	12%	
Female	185	93%	46%	9%	206	98%	83%	11%	
Male	221	95%	49%	14%	205	97%	76%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	24	100%	42%	8%					
Black or African American	97	89%	41%	7%	97	97%	74%	4%	
Hispanic or Latino	5	60%	40%	0%	57	95%	63%	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 12	100%	83%	42%	12	100%	92%	42%	
White	268	96%	50%	12%	245	98%	84%	16%	
Multiracial	•••••								
Small Group Totals	••••••						•••••		
General-Education Students	312	99%	58%	14%	310	100%	93%	16%	
Students with Disabilities	94	78%	14%	2%	101	89%	38%	0%	
English Proficient	389	95%	49%	12%	401	97%	80%	12%	
Limited English Proficient	17	65%	29%	6%	10	100%	60%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	137	90%	39%	6%	117	99%	71%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged	269	96%	52%	14%	294	97%	83%	15%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	406	94%	48%	12%	411	97%	79%	12%	

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	2	-	-	-

# This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	331	98%	78%	15%	349	98%	75%	13%	
Female	153	97%	75%	10%	174	99%	76%	16%	
Male	178	98%	80%	20%	175	97%	74%	10%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	22	100%	73%	14%					
Black or African American	86	94%	77%	8%	93	98%	71%	11%	
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	80%	20%	56	96%	64%	4%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	88%	13%	8	100%	100%	25%	
White	210	99%	78%	18%	192	98%	80%	16%	
Multiracial	••••••			••••••					
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students	241	99%	88%	19%	248	99%	88%	17%	
Students with Disabilities	90	94%	49%	6%	101	94%	44%	1%	
English Proficient	314	98%	80%	16%	339	98%	77%	13%	
Limited English Proficient	17	88%	35%	6%	10	100%	20%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	126	96%	71%	12%	112	96%	67%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	205	99%	82%	17%	237	98%	79%	17%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	331	98%	78%	15%	349	98%	75%	13%	


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent					2				
Regents Science	74	74	74	53	62	62	62	46	

# This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 <b>Coho</b> r	t	2005 Cohort**					
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	430	91%	87%	37%	385	90%	86%	40%
Female	195	94%	91%	51%	189	93%	90%	51%
Male	235	88%	84%	24%	196	88%	83%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_				
Black or African American	100	83%	80%	27%	94	86%	84%	31%
Hispanic or Latino	53	92%	89%	30%	46	89%	85%	30%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	-	-	-	14	71%	71%	36%
White	254	93%	89%	39%	231	93%	89%	46%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••
Small Group Totals	23	96%	96%	65%	••••••			•••••
General-Education Students	329	96%	95%	47%	312	94%	93%	49%
Students with Disabilities	101	73%	61%	3%	73	73%	59%	4%
English Proficient	426	_	_	_	376	91%	88%	41%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	9	33%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	89	93%	91%	33%	63	81%	76%	22%
Not Disadvantaged	341	90%	87%	38%	322	92%	89%	44%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	430	91%	87%	37%	385	90%	86%	40%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

# This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 <b>Coho</b> i	t		2005 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	430	91%	86%	24%	385	92%	87%	29%
Female	195	95%	90%	29%	189	94%	90%	34%
Male	235	87%	83%	20%	196	91%	85%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_				
Black or African American	100	82%	75%	14%	94	87%	78%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	53	94%	92%	21%	46	93%	91%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	-	-	-	14	86%	86%	36%
White	254	93%	89%	26%	231	95%	90%	33%
Multiracial	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••
Small Group Totals	23	96%	91%	61%	••••••••••	••••••		•••••
General-Education Students	329	98%	95%	31%	312	96%	94%	34%
Students with Disabilities	101	68%	56%	1%	73	77%	58%	4%
English Proficient	426	-	_	-	376	93%	88%	29%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	9	56%	56%	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	89	94%	89%	25%	63	87%	81%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	341	90%	85%	24%	322	93%	89%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	430	91%	86%	24%	385	92%	87%	29%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.