

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 58-01-04-03-0000 Superintendent RICHARD NATHAN Telephone (631) 226-6511 Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.



Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	417	444	453
Grade 1	462	418	457
Grade 2	475	457	425
Grade 3	510	488	465
Grade 4	519	522	488
Grade 5	528	539	514
Grade 6	535	527	547
Ungraded Elementary	1	2	16
Grade 7	556	546	525
Grade 8	605	564	558
Grade 9	608	624	579
Grade 10	629	585	610
Grade 11	588	600	570
Grade 12	571	610	598
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	5
Total K–12	7004	6926	6810

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Common Branch	21	22	22
Grade 8			
English	21	21	23
Mathematics	21	23	23
Science	24	23	24
Social Studies	23	23	24
Grade 10			
English	23	21	23
Mathematics	22	21	22
Science	25	26	26
Social Studies	23	22	23

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	7–08	200	8-09	2009–10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	724	10%	757	11%	972	14%
Reduced-Price Lunch	464	7%	513	7%	458	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	216	3%	219	3%	233	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	16	0%	14	0%	11	0%
Black or African American	175	2%	174	3%	183	3%
Hispanic or Latino	797	11%	844	12%	870	13%
Asian or Native	194	3%	207	3%	191	3%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	5773	82%	5664	82%	5541	81%
Multiracial	49	1%	23	0%	14	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007	-08	2008–09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	331	5%	380	5%	260	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Number of Teachers	585	569	559
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	4%	2%	2%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	56%	61%	68%
Total Number of Core Classes	1643	1657	1617
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	2218	2212	2208
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	14%	31%	32%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	10%	11%	9%

Staff Counts

2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
76	73	73
77	162	162
4	4	5
9	9	9
	76 77 4	76 73 77 162 4 4

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA A Good Standing		Science	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation	Rate 🔥 Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fund	ling			
	2008-	09	2009-10	2010-11			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Lo	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	 	v	v	 ✓ 	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	✓	✓	••••	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	••••	✓	✓	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	v	 		-	-			
White	~	V	••••	v	 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial	_	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	 Image: A start of the start of	~		✓ SH	~			
Limited English Proficient	✓	 	••••	–	–	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 ✓ 	••••	v	 ✓ 	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 8 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1	🗸 5 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	✔ 1 of 1		



District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (3184:3071)	~	v	99%	V	185	153		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	_	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (87:79)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	98%	~	181	144		
Hispanic or Latino (419:381)	✓	 	98%	 	176	149	•••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (105:104)	✓	 Image: A start of the start of	99%	✓	190	145		
White (2560:2499)	✓	~	99%	 ✓ 	186	153	••• •••••	••••
Multiracial (7:2)	-	_	-	–	-	-	••• •••••	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (513:506)	 Image: A start of the start of	~	96%	 	153	150		
Limited English Proficient (76:127)	✓	~	97%	✓	163	146		
Economically Disadvantaged (789:741)	✓	✓	98%	✓	177	151		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 8 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (1511:1449)			98%		189	152		
Male (1673:1622)	••••••••	•••••	99%		182	152	•••	••••
Migrant (2:2)	••••••••		-	•••••	-	-	••• •••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		n Test Perform		rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (3186:3079)	~	v	99%	V	193	133		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (87:80)	 	~	98%	~	193	124		
Hispanic or Latino (419:385)	✓	 	99%	 	188	129	•••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (105:105)	<	✓	100%	~	199	125		
White (2562:2501)	✓	 	99%	 ✓ 	193	133	•••	••••
Multiracial (7:2)	-	-	-	–	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (514:511)	 Image: A start of the start of	~	97%	 	168	130		
Limited English Proficient (76:129)	✓	~	97%	✓	183	126		
Economically Disadvantaged (791:750)	✓	✓	99%	~	188	131		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (1511:1454)			99%		194	132		
Male (1675:1625)	••••••••	•••••	99%		192	132	••• •••••	•••• ••••••
Migrant (2:2)	••••••••••••	••••••	-		-	-	••• ••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1073:1039)	~	Qualified	~	99%	V	192	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (28:27)		-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Hispanic or Latino (142:135)		Qualified	✓	99%	 	190	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (41:40)		Qualified	~	98%	~	195	100	
White (853:831)		Qualified	<	99%	~	193	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (5:2)		–	-	-	-	-	-	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (155:159)		Qualified	~	97%	~	170	100	
Limited English Proficient (25:21)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (261:250)		Qualified	~	99%	~	186	100	
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (502:482)				99%		193	100	
Male (571:557)				99%		191	100	
Migrant (0:0)	• ••••	••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	•••••	

Symbols

Made AYP

Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (600:579)	~	V	100%	V	192	172		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (17:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (67:57)	<	 ✓ 	100%	 ✓ 	188	164	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (504:498)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	192	172	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••		••••				••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (76:91)	✓ SH	~	99%	✓ SH	166	167	157	169
Limited English Proficient (4:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (104:96)	~	 	100%	~	186	167		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 9	5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (275:264)			100%		191	170		
Male (325:315)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		100%		192	171	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (600:579)	V	V	99%	V	194	168		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (17:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (67:57)	<	 	100%	~	195	160	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (504:498)	<	 	99%	 ✓ 	195	168	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••		••••		••••		••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (76:91)	~	~	96%	~	170	163		
Limited English Proficient (4:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (104:96)	~	~	99%	~	191	163		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 5 of 5	5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (275:264)			99%		194	166		
Male (325:315)			99%		195	167	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduatio	on	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (630)	 	 	89%	80%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (6)	•••••••	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino (69)		~	77%	80%	73%	78%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6)	••••••	-	_	-	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
White (549)	•••••••	✓	91%	80%		
Multiracial (0)	••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (87)		v	69%	80%	65%	71%
Limited English Proficient (5)	•••••••	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (108)	••••••	✓	90%	80%		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (307)			93%	80%		
Male (323)	•••••••••		86%	80%		
Migrant (0)	••••••••	••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

— Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **90%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

In Good Standing

9 schools identified 100% of total ALBANY AVENUE SCHOOL ALLEGHANY AVENUE SCHOOL DANIEL STREET SCHOOL EDWARD W BOWER SCHOOL HARDING AVENUE SCHOOL LINDENHURST MIDDLE SCHOOL LINDENHURST SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL WEST GATES AVENUE SCHOOL WILLIAM RALL SCHOOL

Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	73%	,	470
Grade 4	73%		495
Grade 5	66%		523
Grade 6	71%		542
Grade 7	61%		516
Grade 8	68%		554
Mathematics			
Grade 3	73%		469
Grade 4	78%		494
Grade 5	74%		523
Grade 6	69%		547
Grade 7	70%		524
Grade 8	62%		553
Science			
Grade 4	98%		495
Grade 8	84%		431
	-	of students that above Level 3	2006 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%

......

91%

93%

District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

615

615

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

English

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 680	*Range:	643-780	662-780	694-780				
2009 Mean Score: 682	100%	96% 99%	91% 73%		86% 95%	76%		
2009-10 2008-09				29%		н.	17% 11%	
Number of Tested Students:		450 478	342 442	137 82				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	470	96%	73%	29%	484	99%	91%	17%	
Female	221	98%	76%	31%	237	100%	95%	22%	
Male	249	94%	70%	28%	247	98%	87%	12%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	6	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	78	95%	69%	26%	75	93%	79%	8%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	100%	75%	20	100%	100%	25%	
White	377	96%	73%	29%	379	100%	93%	19%	
Multiracial	•••••							•••••	
Small Group Totals	7	86%	71%	0%	10	100%	100%	0%	
General-Education Students	411	100%	78%	32%	421	99%	95%	19%	
Students with Disabilities	59	68%	34%	8%	63	95%	67%	5%	
English Proficient	452	96%	74%	30%	462	99%	92%	18%	
Limited English Proficient	18	78%	39%	11%	22	91%	68%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	118	94%	64%	18%	128	98%	86%	9%	
Not Disadvantaged	352	96%	76%	33%	356	99%	93%	20%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	470	96%	73%	29%	484	99%	91%	17%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	7	7	6	6	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) [†] : Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 701	*Range:	661-770	684-770	707-770				
2009 Mean Score: 704	100%	98% 100%	100%		91%	93% 59%		
2009-10 2008-09				33% 36%	н.		24% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:		458 488	343 486	153 176				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	469	98%	73%	33%	488	100%	100%	36%	
Female	221	98%	71%	33%	239	100%	99%	37%	
Male	248	98%	75%	32%	249	100%	100%	35%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	6	-	-	–	9	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	78	95%	60%	14%	77	100%	99%	19%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	100%	75%	21	100%	100%	48%	
White	376	98%	76%	35%	380	100%	100%	39%	
Multiracial	•••••						•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	7	86%	57%	43%	10	100%	100%	30%	
General-Education Students	411	99%	77%	36%	423	100%	100%	38%	
Students with Disabilities	58	91%	48%	9%	65	100%	97%	25%	
English Proficient	451	98%	74%	33%	465	100%	100%	37%	
Limited English Proficient	18	78%	61%	11%	23	100%	100%	13%	
Economically Disadvantaged	117	95%	68%	25%	131	100%	100%	28%	
Not Disadvantaged	352	99%	75%	35%	357	100%	99%	39%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	469	98%	73%	33%	488	100%	100%	36%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	7	c	2	2	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	I	I	0	3	5	_	_	_

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 681	*Range:	637-775	668-775	720-775			
2009 Mean Score: 679	100%	98% 100%	94% 73%		92% 96%	77%	
2009-10				5% 6%		н.	6% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		486 508	359 477	25 29			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	495	98%	73%	5%	510	100%	94%	6%	
Female	241	99%	78%	7%	241	99%	95%	8%	
Male	254	97%	68%	4%	269	100%	92%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	8	-	-	-	18	100%	89%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	72	92%	58%	0%	53	100%	89%	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	100%	82%	5%	21	100%	95%	10%	
White	390	99%	75%	6%	418	100%	94%	6%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	-					
Small Group Totals	11	100%	55%	0%					
General-Education Students	429	99%	78%	6%	437	100%	97%	7%	
Students with Disabilities	66	91%	38%	0%	73	97%	74%	0%	
English Proficient	478	98%	74%	5%	499	100%	94%	6%	
Limited English Proficient	17	94%	41%	0%	11	100%	82%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	125	95%	60%	4%	129	98%	88%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	370	99%	77%	5%	381	100%	96%	7%	
Migrant					1	-	_	_	
Not Migrant	495	98%	73%	5%	509	_			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 696	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800					
2009 Mean Score: 702	100%	99% 99%	95% 78%	47% 30%	95% 96%	87% 64%	26% 35%		
Number of Tested Students:		491 504	385 485	147 241					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	494	99%	78%	30%	511	99%	95%	47%	
Female	240	99%	79%	33%	241	99%	95%	45%	
Male	254	100%	77%	26%	270	99%	94%	49%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	8	-	-	-	18	100%	94%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	72	100%	58%	14%	55	98%	91%	29%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	100%	95%	41%	21	100%	95%	57%	
White	389	99%	80%	33%	417	99%	95%	51%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	-					
Small Group Totals	11	100%	82%	9%					
General-Education Students	428	100%	83%	34%	439	100%	97%	53%	
Students with Disabilities	66	95%	45%	5%	72	92%	79%	13%	
English Proficient	477	99%	79%	30%	495	99%	96%	48%	
Limited English Proficient	17	100%	35%	12%	16	94%	63%	19%	
Economically Disadvantaged	125	98%	67%	22%	129	98%	90%	29%	
Not Disadvantaged	369	100%	82%	33%	382	99%	97%	53%	
Migrant					1	-	-	-	
Not Migrant	494	99%	78%	30%	510	-	-	-	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

	chool Year		2008–09 School Year				
Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
5	5	5	4	3	_	_	-
		Total	Total	Tested 2–4 3–4 4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested	Tested 2–4 3–4 4 Tested 2–4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

	This Dis	strict		NY State P	Public			
	Percenta	ge scoring at level(s):		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 89	Range: 45–100	65-100	85-100					
2009 Mean Score: 89 2009–10 2008–09	100% 100%100	% 98% 98%	76% 81%	97% 97%	88% 88%	55% 59%		
Number of Tested Students:	495 513	3 485 501	377 415					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	495	100%	98%	76%	513	100%	98%	81%
Female	242	100%	98%	79%	242	100%	98%	75%
Male	253	100%	98%	74%	271	100%	98%	86%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	9	-	-	-	18	100%	100%	67%
Hispanic or Latino	72	100%	97%	63%	55	100%	93%	75%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 22	100%	100%	82%	21	100%	95%	86%
White	389	100%	98%	79%	419	100%	98%	82%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	12	100%	100%	67%				
General-Education Students	429	100%	100%	82%	440	100%	99%	85%
Students with Disabilities	66	100%	88%	39%	73	100%	88%	53%
English Proficient	477	100%	98%	77%	496	100%	98%	81%
Limited English Proficient	18	100%	100%	44%	17	100%	88%	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	125	100%	97%	64%	129	100%	96%	66%
Not Disadvantaged	370	100%	98%	80%	384	100%	98%	86%
Migrant					1	-	_	-
Not Migrant	495	100%	98%	76%	512	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	E	F	Б	Δ	2	_	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	3	_	_	_	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 676	*Range:	647-795	666-795	700-795				
2009 Mean Score: 680	100%	95% 100%	88%		99% 88%	82%		
2009-10								
2008-09				15% 14%			13% 14%	
Number of Tested Students:		498 527	344 465	76 76				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	523	95%	66%	15%	527	100%	88%	14%
Female	247	96%	69%	17%	256	100%	93%	13%
Male	276	95%	63%	13%	271	100%	83%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	19	84%	58%	0%	15	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	61	90%	52%	10%	60	100%	78%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	100%	62%	29%	17	100%	94%	18%
White	422	96%	68%	15%	434	100%	89%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					16	100%	94%	0%
General-Education Students	449	97%	72%	16%	450	100%	94%	17%
Students with Disabilities	74	84%	30%	3%	77	100%	56%	0%
English Proficient	512	96%	67%	15%	519	100%	89%	15%
Limited English Proficient	11	82%	18%	0%	8	100%	50%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	141	90%	52%	8%	146	100%	80%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	382	97%	71%	17%	381	100%	91%	16%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	522	-	-	_	527	100%	88%	14%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 689	*Range:	640-780	674-780	702-780				
2009 Mean Score: 691 2009–10 2008–09	100%	97% 99%	94% 74%	39% 25%	94% 98%	88% 65%	^{36%}	
Number of Tested Students:		508 530	387 499	133 206				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	523	97%	74%	25%	533	99%	94%	39%
Female	247	98%	71%	26%	259	100%	96%	38%
Male	276	97%	76%	25%	274	99%	92%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	19	95%	53%	5%	16	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	62	95%	52%	16%	62	98%	82%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	100%	76%	48%	17	100%	100%	59%
White	421	97%	78%	27%	437	100%	95%	42%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					17	100%	100%	24%
General-Education Students	449	99%	82%	29%	455	100%	98%	43%
Students with Disabilities	74	84%	28%	1%	78	96%	68%	12%
English Proficient	511	97%	76%	26%	521	99%	95%	39%
Limited English Proficient	12	92%	8%	0%	12	100%	50%	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	142	92%	58%	12%	149	100%	89%	28%
Not Disadvantaged	381	99%	80%	30%	384	99%	95%	43%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	522	_	_	_	533	99%	94%	39%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	_	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scor		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 670	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785			
2009 Mean Score: 670	100%	94% 100%	87% 71%		89%	81%	
2009-10 2008-09				8% 10%	н.	н.	7% 9%
Number of Tested Students:		508 518	387 450	46 51			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Y ear			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	542	94%	71%	8%	518	100%	87%	10%
Female	263	96%	76%	8%	249	100%	93%	14%
Male	279	92%	67%	9%	269	100%	81%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	18	-	-	-	11	100%	64%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	65	78%	43%	2%	61	100%	74%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	100%	11%	18	100%	94%	6%
White	438	96%	74%	10%	428	100%	89%	11%
Multiracial	2	-	-	–				•••••
Small Group Totals	21	100%	100%	10%			•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	461	98%	81%	10%	413	100%	95%	12%
Students with Disabilities	81	70%	19%	0%	105	100%	53%	1%
English Proficient	533	95%	72%	9%	511	100%	88%	10%
Limited English Proficient	9	33%	11%	0%	7	100%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	143	92%	59%	8%	116	100%	80%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	399	94%	76%	9%	402	100%	89%	12%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	542	94%	71%	8%	517	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	ichool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	11	11	8	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 684	*Range:	640-780	674-780	699-780				
2009 Mean Score: 685	100%	95% 98%	91% 69%	28% 32%	92% 96%	83% 61%	27% 28%	
2008-09								
Number of Tested Students:		522 513	376 476	153 169				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	547	95%	69%	28%	524	98%	91%	32%
Female	266	97%	68%	27%	253	99%	92%	34%
Male	281	94%	70%	28%	271	97%	89%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	18	-	-	-	13	100%	62%	23%
Hispanic or Latino	67	87%	43%	10%	59	100%	85%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	100%	67%	18	100%	100%	44%
White	441	97%	72%	29%	434	97%	92%	35%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	21	95%	62%	24%				
General-Education Students	464	99%	77%	32%	417	100%	97%	38%
Students with Disabilities	83	75%	25%	4%	107	91%	68%	11%
English Proficient	536	96%	70%	28%	515	98%	91%	33%
Limited English Proficient	11	82%	27%	9%	9	89%	56%	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	146	92%	62%	22%	120	98%	86%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	401	97%	71%	30%	404	98%	92%	38%
Migrant					1	-	_	_
Not Migrant	547	95%	69%	28%	523	-	-	_

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	11	11	8	6

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 675	*Range:	642-790	664-790	698-790				
2009 Mean Score: 675	100%	94% 100%	92%		90%	80% 50%		
2008-09				17% 8%			11% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		485 535	313 494	90 43				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	516	94%	61%	17%	535	100%	92%	8%
Female	252	96%	71%	25%	246	100%	94%	9%
Male	264	92%	51%	10%	289	100%	91%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native					3	-	-	-
Black or African American	14	100%	14%	7%	15	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	61	90%	44%	3%	58	100%	90%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	81%	19%	16	100%	94%	0%
White	425	94%	64%	20%	443	100%	93%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					18	100%	94%	0%
General-Education Students	411	99%	71%	21%	457	100%	98%	9%
Students with Disabilities	105	74%	19%	2%	78	100%	62%	1%
English Proficient	510	94%	61%	18%	533	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	17%	0%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	107	90%	44%	7%	128	100%	86%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	409	95%	65%	20%	407	100%	94%	9%
Migrant	1	-	_	-				
Not Migrant	515	-	-	-	535	100%	92%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	12	12	9	8	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 682	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800				
2009 Mean Score: 687	100%	95% 100%	96% 70%	32% ^{36%}	92% 99%	87% 62%	29% 30%	
2008-09								
Number of Tested Students:		500 533	368 512	170 192				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	524	95%	70%	32%	534	100%	96%	36%
Female	254	97%	75%	34%	244	100%	95%	35%
Male	270	94%	66%	31%	290	100%	97%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native					3	-	-	-
Black or African American	14	100%	64%	29%	14	100%	100%	36%
Hispanic or Latino	64	91%	53%	8%	60	100%	93%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 16	100%	94%	56%	16	100%	100%	56%
White	430	96%	72%	35%	439	100%	96%	37%
Multiracial	••••••				2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals					5	100%	80%	40%
General-Education Students	418	99%	81%	39%	454	100%	99%	41%
Students with Disabilities	106	81%	28%	6%	80	100%	80%	5%
English Proficient	516	96%	71%	33%	527	100%	97%	36%
Limited English Proficient	8	63%	25%	0%	7	86%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	114	91%	53%	13%	131	99%	92%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	410	97%	75%	38%	403	100%	97%	40%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	523	-	-	_	534	100%	96%	36%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	12	9	0	c	1	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	12	9	0	0	Ţ	_	_	_

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 667	*Range:	627-790	658-790	699-790					
2009 Mean Score: 670	100%	98% 100%	80% 68%		91% 98%	69% 51%			
2009-10									
2008-09				9% 8%			8% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		542 546	374 436	51 42					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	554	98%	68%	9%	548	100%	80%	8%	
Female	249	98%	72%	13%	263	100%	85%	10%	
Male	305	98%	64%	6%	285	99%	75%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	19	100%	53%	5%	18	94%	78%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	65	95%	55%	5%	62	100%	74%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	
White	448	98%	69%	10%	459	100%	80%	8%	
Multiracial	1	-	-	-					
Small Group Totals	22	100%	82%	18%	9	100%	89%	22%	
General-Education Students	478	99%	75%	11%	459	100%	89%	9%	
Students with Disabilities	76	89%	18%	0%	89	98%	30%	0%	
English Proficient	547	98%	68%	9%	538	100%	81%	8%	
Limited English Proficient	7	57%	0%	0%	10	100%	10%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	128	95%	56%	3%	105	99%	66%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged	426	99%	71%	11%	443	100%	83%	9%	
Migrant					1	-	-	-	
Not Migrant	554	98%	68%	9%	547	-	_	-	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 Sc	hool Year		
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	5	5	4	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 683	*Range:	639-775	673-775	702-775				
2009 Mean Score: 680	100%	96% 99%	91%	18% 17%	91% 96%	80% 55%	18% 19%	
Number of Tested Students:		533 553	342 506	100 93				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	553	96%	62%	18%	556	99%	91%	17%	
Female	252	98%	62%	17%	263	100%	91%	16%	
Male	301	95%	61%	19%	293	99%	91%	18%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Black or African American	19	-	-	-	18	100%	83%	17%	
Hispanic or Latino	66	94%	45%	6%	67	100%	84%	9%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	100%	74%	16%	8	-	-	-	
White	445	97%	63%	20%	460	99%	92%	18%	
Multiracial	1	-	-	-					
Small Group Totals	23	96%	74%	17%	11	100%	100%	18%	
General-Education Students	475	99%	69%	21%	466	100%	96%	20%	
Students with Disabilities	78	82%	18%	1%	90	97%	64%	2%	
English Proficient	547	97%	62%	18%	540	99%	92%	17%	
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	17%	0%	16	100%	50%	6%	
Economically Disadvantaged	130	92%	52%	12%	111	100%	83%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	423	98%	65%	20%	445	99%	93%	20%	
Migrant					1	-	-	-	
Not Migrant	553	96%	62%	18%	555	_	-	-	

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	5	4	4	2

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	431	98%	84%	29 %	413	98%	84%	16%
Female	193	99%	85%	26%	198	98%	85%	16%
Male	238	98%	84%	32%	215	99%	83%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	17	100%	94%	29%	14	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	60	98%	77%	23%	59	98%	80%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 14	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	336	98%	86%	30%	336	98%	85%	17%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	18	100%	72%	33%	18	100%	78%	17%
General-Education Students	353	99%	91%	33%	328	100%	91%	18%
Students with Disabilities	78	95%	54%	12%	85	93%	59%	7%
English Proficient	424	99%	86%	30%	398	98%	85%	16%
Limited English Proficient	7	71%	0%	0%	15	93%	53%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	97%	74%	24%	99	99%	78%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	314	99%	88%	32%	314	98%	86%	18%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	431	98%	84%	29%	412	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	5	5	4	4	
Regents Science	126	126	126	97	137	137	136	100	

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pu	blic	
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	Percentage s	coring at level	(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
100 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	93% 90%	91% 88%	^{49%} 43%	82% 81%	79% 77%	32% 32%

Results by	2006 Coho r	2006 Cohort					2005 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	615	93%	91%	49 %	630	90%	88%	43%		
Female	276	95%	93%	52%	307	93%	92%	56%		
Male	339	92%	90%	46%	323	87%	83%	31%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	17	82%	82%	24%	6	67%	67%	17%		
Hispanic or Latino	64	89%	84%	34%	69	84%	78%	35%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	83%	83%	42%	6	100%	100%	33%		
White	522	94%	93%	52%	549	91%	89%	45%		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		••••••		••••••		•••••		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••		••••••		••••••		
General-Education Students	524	97%	96%	57%	550	95%	93%	49%		
Students with Disabilities	91	73%	65%	4%	80	59%	48%	1%		
English Proficient	611	-	_	-	625	91%	88%	44%		
Limited English Proficient	4	-	–	-	5	0%	0%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	106	91%	87%	36%	108	92%	90%	29%		
Not Disadvantaged	509	94%	92%	52%	522	90%	87%	46%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	615	93%	91%	49%	630	90%	88%	43%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pub	olic	
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage sc	oring at level(5):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
100% 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	95% 92%	93% 90%	48% 50%	84% 83%	79% 77%	30% 30%

Results by	2006 Cohor	t		2005 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	615	95%	93%	48%	630	92%	90%	50%
Female	276	97%	95%	46%	307	94%	93%	53%
Male	339	93%	91%	50%	323	89%	88%	47%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	17	82%	82%	12%	6	67%	67%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	64	91%	88%	36%	69	88%	86%	32%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	83%	83%	42%	6	100%	100%	67%
White	522	96%	94%	51%	549	92%	91%	52%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••		•••••		•••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••		••••••		•••••
General-Education Students	524	98%	97%	55%	550	95%	95%	55%
Students with Disabilities	91	76%	69%	9%	80	66%	61%	15%
English Proficient	611	-	-	-	625	92%	91%	50%
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	-	5	20%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	106	90%	89%	35%	108	95%	94%	53%
Not Disadvantaged	509	96%	94%	51%	522	91%	90%	49%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	615	95%	93%	48%	630	92%	90%	50%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.