

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000
Superintendent RONALD SMALLS
Telephone (914) 761-6000
Grades PK-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Pre-K	0	159	163
Kindergarten	128	123	157
Grade 1	110	132	120
Grade 2	116	113	131
Grade 3	100	116	105
Grade 4	117	85	123
Grade 5	122	111	96
Grade 6	127	114	129
Ungraded Elementary	61	62	27
Grade 7	115	122	106
Grade 8	88	120	103
Grade 9	126	110	121
Grade 10	114	120	110
Grade 11	145	108	128
Grade 12	150	132	110
Ungraded Secondary	42	31	57
Total K-12	1661	1599	1623

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Common Branch	19	19	20
Grade 8			
English	17	19	18
Mathematics	13	19	20
Science	16	19	22
Social Studies	23	20	20
Grade 10			
English	14	15	19
Mathematics	18	17	16
Science	15	15	25
Social Studies	18	23	20

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	557	34%	396	25%	504	31%
Reduced-Price Lunch	234	14%	165	10%	167	10%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	126	8%	156	10%	123	8%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	862	52%	805	50%	802	49%
Hispanic or Latino	493	30%	487	30%	507	31%
Asian or Native	120	7%	106	7%	115	7%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	179	11%	188	12%	181	11%
Multiracial	7	0%	13	1%	18	1%

^{*} Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	120	7%	89	5%	55	3%

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Number of Teachers	166	180	176
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	5%	8%	2%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	61%	57%	60%
Total Number of Core Classes	450	465	412
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	539	599	563
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	N/A	46%	37%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	18%	14%

Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Other Professional Staff	23	27	30
Total Paraprofessionals*	86	90	97
Assistant Principals	3	3	1
Principals	6	6	6

^{*} Not available at the school level.

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The Percent Teaching Out of Certification is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.


District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006-07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006-07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the SIRS Manual at http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) \times 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009-10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA	♠ Good Standing	Science	♠ Good Standing			
	Math	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Funding	J _			
	2008-	09	2009-10	2010-11			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Cuerns	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	V	✓	~	✓	V	~	
Ethnicity		'	,				
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	V	~		V	V		
Hispanic or Latino	V	~	•••••••	_	_	•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	~		-	_		
White	~	V	•••	_	_	•••••••	
Multiracial	_	_					
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	✓		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	X	~	••••		_	•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	~	V	•••	V	~	••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 6 of 8	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 1 of 1	



Pending - Requires Special Evaluation

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (726:692)	/	/	100%	V	169	151		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (374:358)	~	V	100%	v	168	149		
Hispanic or Latino (216:202)	/	'	100%	V	164	147		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (54:53)	~	/	100%	✓	185	142		
White (73:70)	/	/	100%	V	181	144	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Multiracial (9:9)	_	-	-	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (133:133)	X	~	100%	X	129	146	141	97
Limited English Proficient (52:64)	X	/	100%	X	136	143	143	107
Economically Disadvantaged (334:316)	✓	~	100%	~	159	149		
Final AYP Determination	X 6 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (337:320)			100%		174	149		
Male (389:372)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	100%		165	149	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••

Symbols



Made AYP

✓SH

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12 [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (725:692)	<u> </u>	/	100%	V	180	131		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (374:358)	~	V	100%	V	177	129		
Hispanic or Latino (216:204)	'	'	100%	V	179	127		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (53:52)	~	V	100%	V	194	122		
White (73:69)	/	'	99%	V	190	123		
Multiracial (9:9)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (133:132)	V	~	99%	V	145	126		
Limited English Proficient (52:65)	~	/	100%	/	171	123		
Economically Disadvantaged (334:318)	~	~	100%	V	173	129		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 8 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (337:321)			100%		181	129		
Male (388:371)	•••••••	•••••••	100%		179	129	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group	_	Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups									
All Students (254:236)	V	Qualified	~	99%	V	184	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (120:111)		Qualified	~	99%	~	182	100		
Hispanic or Latino (83:78)	•	Qualified	V	99%	V	182	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (17:17)		_	_	-	_	-	_	••••••	-
White (31:27)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	_	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • •	-
Multiracial (3:3)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		_	_	-	<u> </u>	• ••• • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (46:42)		Qualified	✓	98%	✓	176	100		
Limited English Proficient (17:13)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	-	-	-	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Economically Disadvantaged (112:106)		Qualified	~	99%	✓	177	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Final AYP Determination	1 1 c	of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups			1					ı	,
Female (134:123)				99%		180	100		
Male (120:113)				99%		188	100		
Migrant (0:0)									

Symbols



Made AYP



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (109:106)	/	V	100%	V	179	167		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (66:63)	•	~	100%	~	181	165		•••
Hispanic or Latino (26:26)		_	_	_	_	-	••••••••	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	_	_	-	-	-	_		-
White (12:12)		_	_	_	-	–	••••••••	_
Multiracial (0:0)		••••••	•••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (19:22)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (3:2)	_	_	-	-	-	_		-
Economically Disadvantaged (34:32)	~	_	-	/	169	160		•••••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (45:45)			100%		187	163		
Male (64:61)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	100%		174	165	••••••••	•••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	••••••••

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
Accountability Groups		_						
All Students (109:106)	V	V	100%	V	183	163		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (66:63)	~	~	100%	~	179	161		
Hispanic or Latino (26:26)	_	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	_	_	-	_	-	_		_
White (12:12)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	-	_	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	•••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (19:22)	_	-	-	-	-	_		-
Limited English Proficient (3:2)	<u> </u>	_	_	_	-	_	•••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (34:32)	~	_	-	V	175	156	••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (45:45)			100%		193	159		
Male (64:61)	•••••••	•••••	100%		175	161	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••••	•••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••• ••••

Symbols



ade AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AVD

Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12 [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduat	ion	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Prog	ress Target
(2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (130)	V	V	92%	80%		
Ethnicity		,				
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (77)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	✓	95%	80%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino (30)	••••••	V	90%	80%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6)	•••••••	_	_	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
White (16)	•••••••	_	_			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (1)	•••••••	_	_	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (26)		_	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (4)	•••••••	_				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged (32)	•••••••	V	91%	80%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Final AYP Determination	✓ 1 of :	1				
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (63)			97%	80%		
Male (67)	••••••		88%	80%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Migrant (0)	•••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Symbols



Made AYP



Did not make AYP

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **95%** and, therefore, this district *did* meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

School Accountability Status

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

2010-11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010-11 accountability status.

In Good Standing

5 schools identified 100% of total

HIGHVIEW SCHOOL
LEE F JACKSON SCHOOL
RICHARD J BAILEY SCHOOL
WOODLANDS MIDDLE SCHOOL
WOODLANDS SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	54%		110
Grade 4	46%		124
Grade 5	32%		93
Grade 6	50%		122
Grade 7	43%		124
Grade 8	58%		121
Mathematics			
Grade 3	59%		111
Grade 4	49%		124
Grade 5	60%		93
Grade 6	41%		127
Grade 7	61%		124
Grade 8	58%		124
Science			
Grade 4	89%		124
Grade 8	80%		122
	-	of students that above Level 3	2006 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	84%	,	110
Mathematics	84%		110

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:


Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10 S	chool Yea	r		2008-09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	110	92%	54%	15%	119	99%	73%	4%
Female	47	87%	57%	21%	64	100%	73%	3%
Male	63	95%	51%	10%	55	98%	73%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	57	89%	54%	12%	45	100%	67%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	37	92%	43%	14%	53	98%	74%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Is	slander 5	_	_	_	10	_	_	-
White	10	100%	90%	40%	10	100%	80%	10%
Multiracial	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	-
Small Group Totals	6	100%	50%	0%	11	100%	91%	0%
General-Education Students	94	95%	57%	16%	103	99%	80%	5%
Students with Disabilities	16	75%	31%	6%	16	100%	31%	0%
English Proficient	97	95%	60%	16%	99	100%	78%	5%
Limited English Proficient	13	69%	8%	0%	20	95%	50%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	64	86%	42%	9%	71	99%	68%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	46	100%	70%	22%	48	100%	81%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	110	92%	54%	15%	119	99%	73%	4%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	5	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10 S	chool Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 94% 18% 89% 15% 98% 22%				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	111	96%	59%	23%	125	99%	94%	18%				
Female	48	96%	60%	19%	66	100%	89%	15%				
Male	63	97%	59%	27%	59	98%	98%	22%				
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American	57	95%	53%	23%	47	100%	94%	11%				
Hispanic or Latino	38	97%	63%	16%	56	98%	95%	16%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	5	-	_	_	11	100%	100%	55%				
White	10	100%	90%	50%	10	_	_	_				
Multiracial	1	-	_	_	1	_	_	_				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	50%	33%	11	100%	82%	27%				
General-Education Students	95	97%	61%	21%	107	99%	93%	18%				
Students with Disabilities	16	94%	50%	38%	18	100%	94%	22%				
English Proficient	97	98%	63%	27%	103	100%	95%	20%				
Limited English Proficient	14	86%	36%	0%	22	95%	86%	9%				
Economically Disadvantaged	65	94%	46%	15%	71	100%	92%	14%				
Not Disadvantaged	46	100%	78%	35%	54	98%	96%	24%				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	111	96%	59%	23%	125	99%	94%	18%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s)						(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	5	5	5	5	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3			_	5	J	ິ່ງ	5	

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

Page 20

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	ır	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	124	90%	46%	1%	96	99%	81%	8%
Female	68	90%	54%	1%	45	98%	84%	11%
Male	56	89%	36%	0%	51	100%	78%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	46	89%	46%	0%	52	98%	77%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	53	89%	40%	2%	23	100%	78%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	64%	0%	6	-	_	-
White	14	86%	57%	0%	12	100%	100%	25%
Multiracial					3	-	-	-
Small Group Totals					9	100%	89%	0%
General-Education Students	101	94%	55%	1%	77	100%	91%	10%
Students with Disabilities	23	70%	4%	0%	19	95%	42%	0%
English Proficient	114	91%	50%	1%	88	99%	85%	9%
Limited English Proficient	10	70%	0%	0%	8	100%	38%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	90%	40%	0%	38	97%	68%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	52	88%	54%	2%	58	100%	90%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	124	90%	46%	1%	96	99%	81%	8%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	4	3	2	3	-	_	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10 S	chool Yea	r		2008-09 S	chool Yea	ır	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	124	94%	49%	10%	94	94%	83%	26%
Female	69	94%	52%	10%	45	93%	84%	24%
Male	55	93%	45%	11%	49	94%	82%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	46	93%	46%	4%	51	90%	76%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	54	94%	43%	9%	23	96%	83%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	100%	50%	6	_	_	
White	14	86%	50%	7%	11	100%	100%	45%
Multiracial					3	-	_	_
Small Group Totals	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	9	100%	100%	67%
General-Education Students	101	96%	52%	13%	76	97%	92%	30%
Students with Disabilities	23	83%	35%	0%	18	78%	44%	6%
English Proficient	113	94%	52%	12%	86	94%	84%	28%
Limited English Proficient	11	91%	18%	0%	8	88%	75%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	96%	42%	8%	36	89%	69%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	52	90%	60%	13%	58	97%	91%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	124	94%	49%	10%	94	94%	83%	26%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at lev						vel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	4	_		_	2		_		
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4				3			_	

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by	2009-10 S	chool Yea	r		2008-09 \$	ichool Yea	ge scoring at level(s): 3-4 4				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	124	98%	89%	48%	94	99%	94%	52%			
Female	69	97%	87%	49%	46	98%	91%	50%			
Male	55	98%	91%	45%	48	100%	96%	54%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	47	96%	85%	47%	51	98%	92%	43%			
Hispanic or Latino	53	98%	89%	40%	23	100%	91%	52%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	100%	70%	6	-	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
White	14	100%	93%	64%	11	100%	100%	82%			
Multiracial					3	-	_	_			
Small Group Totals					9	100%	100%	67%			
General-Education Students	101	98%	90%	55%	77	99%	94%	57%			
Students with Disabilities	23	96%	83%	13%	17	100%	94%	29%			
English Proficient	113	98%	91%	52%	86	99%	95%	57%			
Limited English Proficient	11	91%	64%	0%	8	100%	75%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged	71	99%	89%	38%	36	100%	89%	36%			
Not Disadvantaged	53	96%	89%	60%	58	98%	97%	62%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	124	98%	89%	48%	94	99%	94%	52%			

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number					er scoring at level(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	4	4	4	2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	4	4	4	3			

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10 S	chool Yea	r		2008-09 S	ichool Yea	entage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2% 79% 7% 0% 88% 5% 3% 70% 9% 0% 73% 7% 0% 81% 0%			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	93	90%	32%	4%	116	99%	79%	7%		
Female	48	85%	35%	2%	60	100%	88%	5%		
Male	45	96%	29%	7%	56	98%	70%	9%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	52	90%	21%	4%	70	99%	73%	7%		
Hispanic or Latino	23	87%	26%	0%	26	100%	81%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-		_	10	100%	100%	20%		
White	10	100%	80%	10%	8	-	_	_		
Multiracial	2	_	_	-	2	-	_	-		
Small Group Totals	8	88%	63%	13%	10	100%	100%	10%		
General-Education Students	75	97%	37%	5%	99	100%	88%	8%		
Students with Disabilities	18	61%	11%	0%	17	94%	29%	0%		
English Proficient	86	94%	35%	5%	114	-	_	_		
Limited English Proficient	7	43%	0%	0%	2	-	_	<u> </u>		
Economically Disadvantaged	43	84%	16%	0%	47	100%	70%	2%		
Not Disadvantaged	50	96%	46%	8%	69	99%	86%	10%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	93	90%	32%	4%	116	99%	79%	7%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	_	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10 S	chool Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	93	96%	60%	17%	121	93%	69%	12%			
Female	48	96%	56%	15%	63	92%	73%	8%			
Male	45	96%	64%	20%	58	95%	64%	16%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	52	94%	60%	13%	73	92%	62%	10%			
Hispanic or Latino	23	96%	57%	13%	28	93%	71%	4%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	_	_	_	10	100%	90%	30%			
White	10	100%	70%	30%	8	_	_	_			
Multiracial	2	_	_	-	2	_	_	_			
Small Group Totals	8	100%	63%	38%	10	100%	90%	30%			
General-Education Students	75	100%	69%	21%	102	93%	74%	14%			
Students with Disabilities	18	78%	22%	0%	19	95%	42%	0%			
English Proficient	86	97%	63%	19%	116	96%	71%	12%			
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	29%	0%	5	40%	20%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged	43	95%	53%	9%	47	96%	60%	0%			
Not Disadvantaged	50	96%	66%	24%	74	92%	74%	19%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	93	96%	60%	17%	121	93%	69%	12%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at le						vel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4				3			_	

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	122	87%	50%	3%	129	100%	81%	7%	
Female	58	90%	62%	3%	52	100%	83%	12%	
Male	64	84%	39%	3%	77	100%	81%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	75	85%	47%	3%	63	100%	78%	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	26	85%	46%	0%	37	100%	84%	5%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Is	lander 11	100%	73%	18%	11			_	
White	8	_		_	17	100%	88%	24%	
Multiracial	2	_	_	-	1	_	-	_	
Small Group Totals	10	90%	60%	0%	12	100%	83%	17%	
General-Education Students	104	93%	57%	4%	109	100%	89%	8%	
Students with Disabilities	18	50%	11%	0%	20	100%	40%	0%	
English Proficient	118	_	_	_	125	_	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	4	-	_	-	4	-	-	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	53	83%	32%	0%	51	100%	75%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	69	90%	64%	6%	78	100%	86%	12%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	122	87%	50%	3%	129	100%	81%	7%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	5	5	4	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10 S	chool Yea	r		2008-09 S	chool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	127	83%	41%	15%	134	92%	76%	19%
Female	61	93%	43%	15%	53	96%	81%	21%
Male	66	74%	39%	15%	81	89%	73%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	76	79%	37%	11%	66	89%	70%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	29	86%	34%	3%	39	90%	85%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	83%	58%	11	-	_	_
White	8	_	_	_	17	100%	88%	59%
Multiracial	2	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	10	90%	40%	30%	12	100%	67%	25%
General-Education Students	109	90%	46%	17%	113	95%	81%	22%
Students with Disabilities	18	44%	11%	0%	21	76%	52%	5%
English Proficient	118	85%	43%	15%	128	94%	77%	20%
Limited English Proficient	9	67%	11%	11%	6	50%	50%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	76%	25%	2%	53	87%	62%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	72	89%	53%	25%	81	95%	85%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	127	83%	41%	15%	134	92%	76%	19%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at le						evel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	4	_	_	_	5	5	5	1	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4			_	3	J	J	4	

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	124	92%	43%	4%	124	100%	81%	6%
Female	42	95%	55%	7%	61	100%	89%	5%
Male	82	90%	37%	2%	63	100%	73%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	62	92%	35%	2%	67	100%	81%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	37	89%	46%	3%	32	100%	72%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	_		_	7	_	_	_
White	13	100%	69%	23%	16	100%	94%	0%
Multiracial	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	12	92%	42%	0%	9	100%	89%	0%
General-Education Students	105	99%	50%	5%	107	100%	88%	7%
Students with Disabilities	19	53%	5%	0%	17	100%	35%	0%
English Proficient	120	_	-	_	116	100%	84%	6%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	_	_	8	100%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	87%	37%	0%	26	100%	58%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	72	96%	47%	7%	98	100%	87%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	124	92%	43%	4%	124	100%	81%	6%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at lev	vel(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	5	5	0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	100% 90% 34%				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	124	91%	61%	24%	124	99%	88%	24%		
Female	42	93%	64%	33%	61	100%	90%	34%		
Male	82	90%	60%	20%	63	98%	86%	14%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	62	85%	53%	19%	67	100%	82%	19%		
Hispanic or Latino	37	95%	62%	24%	32	97%	94%	19%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islando	er 11	_	_	_	7	_	_	_		
White	13	100%	92%	54%	16	100%	100%	44%		
Multiracial	1	_	_	_	2	_	-	_		
Small Group Totals	12	100%	67%	17%	9	100%	89%	44%		
General-Education Students	105	96%	70%	29%	107	100%	93%	28%		
Students with Disabilities	19	63%	16%	0%	17	94%	59%	0%		
English Proficient	120	_	_	_	116	99%	88%	26%		
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_	_	8	100%	88%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	52	85%	46%	15%	25	96%	80%	8%		
Not Disadvantaged	72	96%	72%	31%	99	100%	90%	28%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	124	91%	61%	24%	124	99%	88%	24%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	Б	4	2	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0	5	4	3	U				

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		Total Percentage scoring at leve Tested 2-4 3-4					
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	121	93%	58%	11%	127	98%	75%	4%		
Female	60	98%	68%	18%	63	100%	86%	2%		
Male	61	89%	48%	3%	64	97%	64%	6%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	70	91%	53%	10%	72	99%	74%	4%		
Hispanic or Latino	26	96%	54%	8%	30	97%	70%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander 7	-	-	-	7			-		
White	15	100%	73%	13%	17	100%	88%	6%		
Multiracial	3	_		_	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_		
Small Group Totals	10	90%	80%	20%	8	100%	75%	13%		
General-Education Students	104	98%	65%	13%	107	99%	84%	5%		
Students with Disabilities	17	65%	12%	0%	20	95%	25%	0%		
English Proficient	118	_	_	_	123	_	_	_		
Limited English Proficient	3	_	_	_	4	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_		
Economically Disadvantaged	39	87%	28%	5%	38	97%	68%	0%		
Not Disadvantaged	82	96%	72%	13%	89	99%	78%	6%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	121	93%	58%	11%	127	98%	75%	4%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	_	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10 S	School Yea	r		2008-09					
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	124	95%	58%	23%	112	94%	80%	24%		
Female	61	97%	69%	30%	50	96%	84%	28%		
Male	63	94%	48%	16%	62	92%	77%	21%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	71	94%	55%	20%	64	95%	81%	23%		
Hispanic or Latino	28	96%	57%	18%	25	88%	72%	12%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	er 7	-	_	-	7	100%	100%	57%		
White	15	100%	67%	27%	16	94%	81%	31%		
Multiracial	3	-	-	-						
Small Group Totals	10	90%	70%	50%						
General-Education Students	107	96%	65%	26%	92	98%	90%	29%		
Students with Disabilities	17	88%	12%	0%	20	75%	35%	0%		
English Proficient	118	97%	61%	24%	105	95%	84%	26%		
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	0%	0%	7	71%	29%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	39	97%	38%	0%	30	97%	83%	10%		
Not Disadvantaged	85	94%	67%	33%	82	93%	79%	29%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	124	95%	58%	23%	112	94%	80%	24%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scorin							
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	_	_	

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	2008–09 School Year					
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	122	98%	80%	35%	126	96%	79%	33%
Female	61	98%	79%	39%	61	98%	89%	26%
Male	61	98%	82%	31%	65	94%	71%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	71	97%	82%	32%	71	99%	83%	34%
Hispanic or Latino	27	100%	67%	26%	31	90%	61%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Is	lander 7		_	_	7	_	_	_
White	14	100%	93%	50%	16	94%	88%	50%
Multiracial	3	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	10	100%	90%	60%	8	100%	100%	50%
General-Education Students	105	98%	84%	41%	108	97%	84%	38%
Students with Disabilities	17	100%	59%	0%	18	89%	50%	6%
English Proficient	116	99%	84%	37%	119	98%	83%	35%
Limited English Proficient	6	83%	17%	0%	7	57%	14%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	97%	62%	10%	38	95%	74%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	83	99%	89%	47%	88	97%	82%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	122	98%	80%	35%	126	96%	79%	33%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent								
Regents Science	0				0			

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 Cohor	2005 Cohort**						
Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	110	86%	84%	29%	136	90%	85%	26%
Female	47	89%	87%	36%	65	95%	92%	31%
Male	63	84%	81%	24%	71	85%	77%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	64	86%	86%	25%	80	90%	84%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	28	82%	75%	25%	33	91%	85%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	80%	6	_	_	-
White	13	92%	85%	38%	16	88%	88%	31%
Multiracial	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	1	_	_	
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	7	86%	86%	71%
General-Education Students	87	95%	95%	37%	110	95%	93%	32%
Students with Disabilities	23	52%	39%	0%	26	69%	50%	0%
English Proficient	106	_	_	_	129	91%	86%	26%
Limited English Proficient	4	_	-	<u> </u>	7	71%	57%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	84%	84%	16%	35	91%	83%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	78	87%	83%	35%	101	89%	85%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	110	86%	84%	29%	136	90%	85%	26%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

^{** 2005} cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

District GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-04-07-06-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 Cohor	2005 Cohort**						
Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	110	90%	84%	32%	136	90%	85%	27%
Female	47	91%	89%	28%	65	97%	94%	34%
Male	63	89%	79%	35%	71	83%	76%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	64	91%	83%	25%	80	93%	85%	21%
Hispanic or Latino	28	86%	82%	32%	33	88%	85%	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	80%	6	_	_	_
White	13	92%	85%	46%	16	81%	81%	38%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		•••••	1	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				7	86%	86%	29%
General-Education Students	87	98%	94%	40%	110	95%	92%	32%
Students with Disabilities	23	61%	43%	0%	26	69%	54%	8%
English Proficient	106	-	-	-	129	91%	86%	29%
Limited English Proficient	4		_	-	7	71%	57%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	88%	81%	25%	35	89%	80%	29%
Not Disadvantaged	78	91%	85%	35%	101	90%	86%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	110	90%	84%	32%	136	90%	85%	27%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

^{** 2005} cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.