



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2009 – 10**

District **NEW ROCHELLE CITY SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **66-11-00-01-0000**

Superintendent **RICHARD ORGANISCIAK**

Telephone **(914) 576-4200**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Pre-K	309	538	519
Kindergarten	700	743	814
Grade 1	766	766	791
Grade 2	755	765	770
Grade 3	745	754	768
Grade 4	774	754	775
Grade 5	777	786	767
Grade 6	782	771	740
Ungraded Elementary	48	52	44
Grade 7	763	818	791
Grade 8	700	762	826
Grade 9	1134	859	979
Grade 10	781	970	752
Grade 11	662	721	870
Grade 12	778	803	804
Ungraded Secondary	58	65	60
Total K-12	10223	10389	10551

Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Common Branch	21	21	22
Grade 8			
English	20	22	21
Mathematics	19	19	21
Science	20	22	22
Social Studies	19	21	22
Grade 10			
English	25	24	24
Mathematics	24	24	24
Science	26	25	29
Social Studies	21	24	21

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District NEW ROCHELLE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-11-00-01-0000

Demographic Factors

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	3389	35%	3353	34%	3491	33%
Reduced-Price Lunch	1054	11%	917	9%	917	9%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	1155	11%	1100	11%	1024	10%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	13	0%	25	0%	9	0%
Black or African American	2523	25%	2567	25%	2586	25%
Hispanic or Latino	3835	38%	4046	39%	4203	40%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	409	4%	407	4%	434	4%
White	3443	34%	3319	32%	3297	31%
Multiracial	0	0%	25	0%	22	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		91%		95%		92%
Student Suspensions	529	5%	561	5%	551	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District NEW ROCHELLE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-11-00-01-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Number of Teachers	749	765	768
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	2%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	4%	5%	4%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	56%	55%	56%
Total Number of Core Classes	1897	1956	1915
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	1%	1%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	2359	2413	2467
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	2%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	N/A	13%	19%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	8%	9%	9%

Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Other Professional Staff	141	136	119
Total Paraprofessionals*	206	202	208
Assistant Principals	16	15	17
Principals	10	11	11

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/sirs>.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment (“Total” or “12th Graders”) shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the “Percentage Tested” shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

Science: The current year’s Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year’s Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year’s graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = $[(80 - \text{percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008}) \times 0.20] + \text{percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008}$.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:
2008–09 PI + (200 – the 2008–09 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show “Qualified.” If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show “Did not qualify.” A “*” symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a “—” in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)

 **Good Standing**

ELA  Good Standing

Science  Good Standing

Math  Good Standing

Graduation Rate  Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2008–09

2009–10

2010–11

YES

YES

YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—				
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White						
Multiracial	—	—		—	—	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities						
Limited English Proficient				—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	 7 of 8	 8 of 8	 1 of 1	 6 of 7	 7 of 7	 1 of 1

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---|--|
| Good Standing  |  Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1)  |  Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2)  |  Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3)  |  Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4)  |  Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above)  |  Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2010–11)

Accountability Measures 7 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10 2010–11
Accountability Groups							
All Students (4791:4633)			100%		177	153	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (1158:1099)			100%		170	152	
Hispanic or Latino (1971:1894)			100%		172	152	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (178:169)			100%		191	147	
White (1478:1465)			100%		188	152	
Multiracial (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (595:603)			100%		145	151	151 115
Limited English Proficient (419:689)			100%		161	151	
Economically Disadvantaged (2544:2450)			100%		169	153	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 8						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (2260:2186)			100%		181	153	
Male (2531:2447)			100%		174	153	
Migrant (0:0)							

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2010–11)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10 2010–11
Accountability Groups							
All Students (4791:4649)			100%		190	133	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (1159:1101)			100%		183	132	
Hispanic or Latino (1968:1906)			100%		189	133	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (178:171)			100%		196	127	
White (1480:1465)			100%		195	132	
Multiracial (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (596:601)			100%		166	131	
Limited English Proficient (419:709)			100%		184	131	
Economically Disadvantaged (2542:2462)			100%		186	133	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (2258:2196)			100%		191	133	
Male (2533:2453)			100%		189	133	
Migrant (0:0)							

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2010–11)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2009–10 2010–11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1627:1563)		Qualified		99%		186	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—		—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (394:372)		Qualified		99%		178	100	
Hispanic or Latino (667:637)		Qualified		99%		183	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (58:54)		Qualified		98%		196	100	
White (507:499)		Qualified		99%		194	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (218:219)		Qualified		99%		166	100	
Limited English Proficient (127:228)		Qualified		98%		172	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (871:828)		Qualified		99%		180	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (745:715)				99%		187	100	
Male (882:848)				99%		185	100	
Migrant (0:0)								

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2010–11)

Accountability Measures 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10 2010–11
Accountability Groups							
All Students (870:769)			99%		180	173	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (270:229)			100%		176	170	
Hispanic or Latino (253:214)			98%		170	169	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (35:33)		—	—		194	160	
White (310:292)			99%		189	171	
Multiracial (2:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (81:103)			98%		141	167	165 147
Limited English Proficient (34:24)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (322:305)			99%		176	171	
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 7						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (431:372)			100%		186	171	
Male (439:397)			99%		175	171	
Migrant (0:0)							

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2010–11)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10 2010–11
Accountability Groups							
All Students (870:769)			99%		183	169	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (270:229)			99%		174	166	
Hispanic or Latino (253:214)			100%		175	165	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (35:33)		—	—		197	156	
White (310:292)			99%		193	167	
Multiracial (2:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (81:103)			98%		147	163	145 152
Limited English Proficient (34:24)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (322:305)			100%		177	167	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (431:372)			99%		186	167	
Male (439:397)			100%		179	167	
Migrant (0:0)							

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)  Good Standing

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation			Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target	
					2009–10	2010–11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (785)			77%	80%	76%	78%
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (206)			72%	80%	72%	74%
Hispanic or Latino (230)			61%	80%	65%	65%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (33)			97%	80%		
White (315)			90%	80%		
Multiracial (1)		—	—	—		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (103)			64%	80%	60%	67%
Limited English Proficient (29)		—	—	—		
Economically Disadvantaged (261)			73%	80%	70%	74%
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (387)			81%	80%		
Male (398)			73%	80%		
Migrant (0)						

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **81%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

3 School Accountability Status

District **NEW ROCHELLE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-11-00-01-0000**

2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

In Good Standing

10 schools identified 100% of total

ALBERT LEONARD MIDDLE SCHOOL
COLUMBUS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
DANIEL WEBSTER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
GEORGE M DAVIS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
HENRY BARNARD SCHOOL
ISAAC E YOUNG MIDDLE SCHOOL
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
NEW ROCHELLE HIGH SCHOOL
TRINITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
WILLIAM B WARD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NEW ROCHELLE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-11-00-01-0000**

Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	58%			763
Grade 4	72%			765
Grade 5	59%			765
Grade 6	60%			770
Grade 7	54%			780
Grade 8	47%			822
Mathematics				
Grade 3	66%			768
Grade 4	76%			768
Grade 5	73%			771
Grade 6	64%			777
Grade 7	68%			789
Grade 8	51%			829
Science				
Grade 4	94%			766
Grade 8	72%			584

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2006 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	85%			809
Mathematics	84%			809

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	763	92%	58%	20%	740	98%	84%	16%
Female	360	94%	58%	25%	327	99%	86%	18%
Male	403	89%	59%	15%	413	97%	82%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	176	89%	49%	13%	165	96%	76%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	326	90%	54%	16%	316	97%	80%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	32	94%	72%	25%	29	-	-	-
White	229	95%	70%	30%	229	100%	93%	29%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					30	100%	93%	23%
General-Education Students	688	95%	62%	22%	674	99%	88%	18%
Students with Disabilities	75	64%	23%	5%	66	83%	41%	5%
English Proficient	646	93%	63%	22%	610	98%	88%	19%
Limited English Proficient	117	83%	35%	8%	130	95%	63%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	423	87%	49%	13%	374	96%	76%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	340	97%	70%	29%	366	99%	91%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	763	92%	58%	20%	740	98%	84%	16%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	19	18	17	17	11	11	11	11
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	11	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	768	95%	66%	30%	753	100%	97%	32%
Female	360	94%	66%	28%	333	100%	97%	31%
Male	408	95%	66%	31%	420	100%	97%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	176	91%	49%	15%	169	99%	93%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	331	95%	64%	28%	325	100%	97%	26%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	32	94%	78%	44%	29	100%	100%	55%
White	229	96%	81%	41%	230	100%	100%	47%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	693	97%	70%	32%	686	100%	98%	34%
Students with Disabilities	75	75%	35%	9%	67	99%	90%	12%
English Proficient	645	95%	68%	31%	612	100%	98%	35%
Limited English Proficient	123	93%	56%	21%	141	100%	95%	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	428	92%	56%	23%	383	100%	95%	22%
Not Disadvantaged	340	98%	79%	38%	370	100%	99%	42%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	768	95%	66%	30%	753	100%	97%	32%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	19	19	16	16	11	11	11	11

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	765	97%	72%	11%	743	98%	88%	16%
Female	338	98%	75%	14%	366	99%	91%	19%
Male	427	96%	69%	9%	377	98%	85%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	183	97%	64%	8%	177	98%	85%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	318	95%	63%	6%	315	97%	82%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	-	-	-	26	-	-	-
White	233	98%	87%	20%	223	100%	97%	36%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	31	100%	87%	19%	28	100%	100%	32%
General-Education Students	681	98%	76%	12%	678	99%	91%	18%
Students with Disabilities	84	86%	38%	5%	65	89%	54%	0%
English Proficient	681	98%	76%	12%	657	99%	91%	18%
Limited English Proficient	84	88%	33%	1%	86	93%	60%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	429	95%	62%	5%	362	98%	81%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	336	99%	84%	19%	381	99%	94%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	765	97%	72%	11%	743	98%	88%	16%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	14	13	13	13	11	10	10	10
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	768	98%	76%	35%	750	98%	93%	45%
Female	341	98%	78%	35%	373	99%	94%	47%
Male	427	98%	74%	35%	377	98%	93%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	183	97%	66%	23%	175	98%	87%	29%
Hispanic or Latino	321	97%	73%	26%	324	98%	93%	39%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	-	-	-	27	-	-	-
White	232	100%	84%	53%	223	100%	98%	66%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	32	100%	88%	63%	28	96%	96%	64%
General-Education Students	684	98%	79%	38%	687	99%	95%	48%
Students with Disabilities	84	96%	45%	13%	63	90%	71%	13%
English Proficient	679	98%	78%	39%	657	99%	95%	49%
Limited English Proficient	89	97%	54%	9%	93	96%	85%	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	431	97%	70%	23%	370	98%	90%	35%
Not Disadvantaged	337	99%	82%	51%	380	99%	97%	56%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	768	98%	76%	35%	750	98%	93%	45%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	14	14	14	14	11	11	11	10

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	766	99%	94%	66%	739	99%	94%	72%
Female	342	99%	94%	67%	369	99%	95%	70%
Male	424	100%	93%	65%	370	99%	94%	73%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	181	98%	91%	54%	172	99%	92%	59%
Hispanic or Latino	323	99%	92%	60%	317	99%	92%	63%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	-	-	-	26	-	-	-
White	231	100%	98%	83%	221	100%	99%	90%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	31	100%	100%	74%	29	100%	97%	93%
General-Education Students	683	99%	95%	68%	676	99%	95%	75%
Students with Disabilities	83	100%	81%	47%	63	100%	84%	40%
English Proficient	676	100%	96%	70%	649	100%	96%	76%
Limited English Proficient	90	98%	74%	33%	90	97%	83%	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	428	99%	91%	55%	364	99%	92%	59%
Not Disadvantaged	338	100%	97%	79%	375	100%	97%	84%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	766	99%	94%	66%	739	99%	94%	72%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	14	14	14	14	11	11	11	10

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	765	94%	59%	19%	778	100%	88%	20%
Female	388	96%	61%	22%	372	100%	91%	23%
Male	377	91%	57%	17%	406	100%	85%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	179	91%	53%	13%	183	100%	84%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	329	91%	47%	10%	321	100%	83%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	-	-	-	33	100%	97%	30%
White	227	99%	80%	36%	241	100%	95%	37%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	30	100%	80%	37%				
General-Education Students	697	96%	62%	21%	679	100%	92%	22%
Students with Disabilities	68	68%	28%	6%	99	99%	60%	7%
English Proficient	708	95%	63%	21%	711	100%	91%	22%
Limited English Proficient	57	75%	14%	0%	67	100%	55%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	406	90%	46%	8%	367	100%	82%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	359	98%	74%	32%	411	100%	92%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	765	94%	59%	19%	778	100%	88%	20%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	13	13	13	12	9	9	9	9
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	771	97%	73%	29%	786	99%	92%	44%
Female	391	97%	72%	30%	377	99%	92%	43%
Male	380	98%	75%	28%	409	100%	93%	45%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	179	97%	60%	16%	183	100%	87%	28%
Hispanic or Latino	332	96%	68%	20%	327	99%	92%	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	-	-	-	33	100%	100%	67%
White	230	99%	90%	50%	243	100%	96%	63%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	30	100%	87%	40%				
General-Education Students	703	98%	77%	32%	685	100%	96%	48%
Students with Disabilities	68	93%	35%	3%	101	99%	70%	15%
English Proficient	709	98%	76%	31%	712	100%	93%	46%
Limited English Proficient	62	85%	39%	6%	74	99%	85%	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	410	96%	64%	19%	373	100%	90%	34%
Not Disadvantaged	361	99%	84%	41%	413	99%	94%	53%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	771	97%	73%	29%	786	99%	92%	44%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	13	13	13	11	9	9	9	9

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	770	93%	60%	11%	762	100%	87%	13%
Female	365	94%	63%	14%	377	100%	91%	15%
Male	405	92%	58%	9%	385	100%	83%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	186	89%	52%	7%	190	100%	84%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	313	90%	47%	4%	287	100%	82%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	-	-	-	25	-	-	-
White	237	98%	81%	24%	259	100%	95%	22%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	34	97%	82%	18%	26	100%	100%	23%
General-Education Students	670	96%	66%	13%	691	100%	90%	14%
Students with Disabilities	100	69%	19%	1%	71	99%	58%	1%
English Proficient	718	94%	63%	12%	722	100%	90%	14%
Limited English Proficient	52	75%	19%	0%	40	100%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	417	90%	45%	3%	332	100%	79%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	353	96%	78%	22%	430	100%	93%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	770	93%	60%	11%	762	100%	87%	13%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	11	11	11	11	12	10	9	8
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	9	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	777	95%	64%	26%	764	98%	84%	24%
Female	367	96%	64%	26%	377	98%	87%	24%
Male	410	95%	63%	25%	387	97%	81%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	189	90%	43%	14%	190	96%	75%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	317	96%	58%	15%	291	98%	80%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	-	-	-	25	-	-	-
White	237	97%	84%	46%	257	98%	93%	38%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	34	100%	88%	47%	26	100%	96%	50%
General-Education Students	676	97%	69%	29%	694	98%	87%	26%
Students with Disabilities	101	80%	30%	4%	70	89%	54%	3%
English Proficient	720	96%	66%	28%	716	98%	85%	25%
Limited English Proficient	57	89%	39%	4%	48	92%	63%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	419	95%	54%	14%	339	96%	76%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	358	96%	75%	40%	425	99%	90%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	777	95%	64%	26%	764	98%	84%	24%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	11	11	10	10	12	12	12	11

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	780	93%	54%	11%	805	100%	82%	9%
Female	376	95%	59%	12%	386	100%	86%	9%
Male	404	91%	49%	10%	419	100%	78%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	199	88%	43%	8%	201	100%	74%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	296	91%	42%	3%	320	100%	79%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	-	-	-	24	100%	88%	17%
White	259	98%	73%	21%	260	100%	91%	18%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	26	100%	73%	23%				
General-Education Students	704	95%	58%	12%	710	100%	87%	11%
Students with Disabilities	76	75%	13%	1%	95	100%	47%	0%
English Proficient	746	95%	56%	11%	787	100%	84%	10%
Limited English Proficient	34	56%	12%	0%	18	100%	11%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	377	88%	37%	3%	360	100%	71%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	403	98%	70%	18%	445	100%	91%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	780	93%	54%	11%	805	100%	82%	9%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	13	13	12	12	11	11	9	9
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	9	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	789	96%	68%	32%	820	100%	91%	30%
Female	383	96%	69%	33%	388	100%	93%	30%
Male	406	96%	67%	32%	432	100%	90%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	200	94%	55%	22%	206	100%	85%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	302	96%	64%	23%	329	100%	91%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	26	-	-	-	24	100%	92%	38%
White	260	98%	81%	48%	261	100%	96%	45%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	27	96%	93%	63%				
General-Education Students	712	97%	73%	36%	722	100%	94%	33%
Students with Disabilities	77	87%	25%	0%	98	99%	70%	6%
English Proficient	746	97%	71%	34%	793	100%	92%	31%
Limited English Proficient	43	79%	26%	2%	27	100%	70%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	381	95%	59%	21%	371	100%	88%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	408	97%	76%	43%	449	100%	94%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	789	96%	68%	32%	820	100%	91%	30%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	13	12	12	12	11	11	11	9

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	822	92%	47%	5%	755	99%	73%	8%
Female	385	96%	53%	6%	386	100%	80%	11%
Male	437	88%	42%	4%	369	99%	67%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	207	88%	39%	3%	200	99%	66%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	327	92%	37%	2%	273	99%	65%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	63%	4%	33	-	-	-
White	264	95%	65%	11%	248	100%	86%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					34	100%	94%	21%
General-Education Students	713	96%	53%	6%	624	100%	82%	10%
Students with Disabilities	109	67%	10%	0%	131	95%	34%	0%
English Proficient	801	93%	49%	5%	729	99%	75%	9%
Limited English Proficient	21	48%	0%	0%	26	96%	23%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	417	88%	32%	2%	302	100%	62%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	405	96%	63%	9%	453	99%	81%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	822	92%	47%	5%	755	99%	73%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.


Other

Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	12	12	10	9	10	10	9	9
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	829	93%	51%	14%	756	98%	84%	26%
Female	390	96%	53%	16%	387	99%	87%	29%
Male	439	91%	48%	12%	369	98%	82%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	207	94%	38%	9%	199	95%	74%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	333	89%	42%	8%	274	99%	81%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	100%	68%	32%	34	-	-	-
White	264	98%	69%	25%	248	100%	94%	38%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					35	100%	97%	69%
General-Education Students	722	96%	56%	16%	626	99%	90%	31%
Students with Disabilities	107	79%	12%	1%	130	92%	55%	1%
English Proficient	799	94%	52%	15%	723	98%	85%	27%
Limited English Proficient	30	77%	10%	3%	33	97%	61%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	422	91%	38%	7%	304	98%	78%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	407	96%	63%	22%	452	98%	88%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	829	93%	51%	14%	756	98%	84%	26%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009-10 data only. Ranges for the 2008-09 data are available in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	12	11	10	9	10	10	10	9

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2009-10 School Year

Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

2008-09 School Year

Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

	2009-10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	584	97%	72%	18%	512	96%	63%	7%
Female	260	99%	72%	18%	247	98%	60%	6%
Male	324	96%	72%	19%	265	95%	66%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	163	98%	64%	7%	157	96%	55%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	264	96%	70%	16%	221	95%	55%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	85%	23%	8	-	-	-
White	144	99%	83%	36%	125	99%	84%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					9	89%	89%	0%
General-Education Students	478	98%	76%	21%	385	96%	68%	8%
Students with Disabilities	106	93%	52%	6%	127	97%	48%	3%
English Proficient	555	98%	74%	19%	481	97%	65%	7%
Limited English Proficient	29	86%	24%	7%	31	90%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	345	97%	66%	13%	246	96%	53%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	239	98%	80%	26%	266	97%	72%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	584	97%	72%	18%	512	96%	63%	7%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2009-10 School Year

Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

2008-09 School Year

Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4

	2009-10 School Year	2008-09 School Year
	Total Tested	Total Tested
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	12	10
Regents Science	236	236

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2006 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2005 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2006 Cohort			2005 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	809	88%	85%	37%	784	87%	82%	31%
Female	384	92%	89%	43%	387	91%	85%	35%
Male	425	85%	80%	32%	397	83%	78%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	241	87%	83%	25%	206	86%	77%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	233	81%	77%	21%	229	78%	72%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	-	-	-	33	-	-	-
White	300	94%	91%	57%	315	94%	91%	52%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	35	97%	91%	51%	34	91%	88%	35%
General-Education Students	707	91%	89%	42%	698	89%	85%	34%
Students with Disabilities	102	67%	53%	4%	86	72%	58%	5%
English Proficient	780	89%	85%	38%	756	88%	83%	32%
Limited English Proficient	29	66%	62%	0%	28	57%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	321	87%	81%	22%	261	86%	78%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	488	89%	87%	47%	523	88%	84%	40%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	809	88%	85%	37%	784	87%	82%	31%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2006 Cohort

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

2005 Cohort**

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

	2006 Cohort			2005 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	809	92%	84%	26%	784	88%	80%	29%
Female	384	94%	86%	26%	387	89%	82%	30%
Male	425	90%	81%	26%	397	87%	77%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	241	90%	80%	14%	206	83%	74%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	233	88%	75%	13%	229	84%	70%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	-	-	-	33	-	-	-
White	300	97%	93%	44%	315	94%	89%	46%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	35	94%	94%	46%	34	97%	91%	62%
General-Education Students	707	95%	88%	29%	698	92%	85%	32%
Students with Disabilities	102	68%	54%	4%	86	57%	38%	6%
English Proficient	780	92%	85%	27%	756	89%	81%	30%
Limited English Proficient	29	79%	55%	3%	28	68%	46%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	321	91%	80%	12%	261	87%	79%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	488	93%	86%	35%	523	89%	80%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	809	92%	84%	26%	784	88%	80%	29%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008-09 *Accountability and Overview Report*.