

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000
Superintendent JACQUELYN TAYLOR
Telephone (914) 273-4082
Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	187	193	195
Grade 1	217	189	194
Grade 2	222	227	197
Grade 3	243	217	225
Grade 4	236	246	217
Grade 5	231	235	236
Grade 6	232	227	229
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	215	231	226
Grade 8	216	213	235
Grade 9	209	211	212
Grade 10	205	212	211
Grade 11	209	207	211
Grade 12	196	207	207
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	2818	2815	2795

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
22	22	22
21	21	22
21	21	23
21	20	23
21	21	23
20	18	18
20	18	19
18	20	18
19	20	21
	22 21 21 21 21 20 20 18	21 21 21 21 21 20 21 21 21 21 20 18 20 18 18 20

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2007-08		200	8-09	200	9-10
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	15	1%	19	1%	20	1%
Reduced-Price Lunch	0	0%	2	0%	1	0%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	12	0%	9	0%	9	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	2	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	10	0%	11	0%	13	0%
Hispanic or Latino	29	1%	36	1%	40	1%
Asian or Native	115	4%	111	4%	106	4%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2662	94%	2639	94%	2601	93%
Multiracial	0	0%	16	1%	34	1%

^{*} Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007	'-08 __	200	B-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	59	2%	49	2%	25	1%

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Number of Teachers	240	243	242
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	3%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	4%	4%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	50%	55%	58%
Total Number of Core Classes	603	623	622
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	3%	0%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	894	898	927
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	1%	2%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	9%	19%	19%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	7%	10%	10%

Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Other Professional Staff	30	30	31
Total Paraprofessionals*	90	90	76
Assistant Principals	5	5	5
Principals	4	4	4

^{*} Not available at the school level.

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The Percent Teaching Out of Certification is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.


District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006-07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006-07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the SIRS Manual at http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009-10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)	Good Standing						
	ELA Good Standing		Science	Good Standing			
	Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years th	e District Receiv	ed Title I Part A Funding				
	2008-09		2009-10	2010-11			
	NO		NO	NO			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary /	Middle Level		Secondary Lo	Secondary Level				
Student Crowns	English			English					
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate			
All Students	~	✓	~	✓	V	~			
Ethnicity		'	,						
American Indian or Alaska Native				-	_				
Black or African American	_	_		_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	_	_		-	_				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	V		-	-				
White	~	V		~	V	••••••			
Multiracial	_	_		_	_				
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓		_	_				
Limited English Proficient		_	••••	•••••••		••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	_	_		••••••	••••••	••••••			
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1			





Pending - Requires Special Evaluation

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures 4 c		Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1376:1364)	V	V	100%	V	193	152		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (6:5)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (20:19)		_	-	_	_	_		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (55:54)	~	V	100%	v	196	142		
White (1284:1275)	~	/	100%	V	193	152	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Multiracial (11:11)	-	_	-	_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (164:177)	~	~	100%	V	170	147		
Limited English Proficient (7:5)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (19:19)	-	_	-	_	_	-	••••••	_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4	4						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (668:664)			100%		194	151		
Male (708:700)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••	100%		192	151	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Migrant (0:0)		***************************************	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation			Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1376:1362)	/	/	100%	V	198	132		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (6:5)	_	_	-	_	-	_	••••••	_
Hispanic or Latino (20:19)		_	-	_	-	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- -
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (55:54)	~	✓	100%	~	198	122		
White (1284:1273)	/	/	100%	V	198	132		
Multiracial (11:11)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (164:175)	V	~	99%	~	184	127		
Limited English Proficient (7:5)	_	_	-	_	-	_		_
Economically Disadvantaged (19:19)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4	4						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (668:663)			100%		198	131		
Male (708:699)			100%		198	131		
Migrant (0:0)								

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

 Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups									
All Students (452:450)	/	Qualified	V	100%	V	199	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (2:2)	••••••	_	_	-	_	-	_		-
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)			_	-	-	-	- -	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:11)		_	_	-	_	-	_	•	_
White (434:433)		Oualified	~	100%	/	199	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • •	••••••
Multiracial (1:1)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	_	-	_	• •• • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (51:59)		Qualified	V	100%	~	193	100		
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	••••••	_	_	-	_	-	_		_
Economically Disadvantaged (4:4)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	✓ 1 o	f 1	1						
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (220:219)				100%		200	100		
Male (232:231)		,		100%		199	100		
Migrant (0:0)	••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••••	••••••		•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••

Symbols



1ade AYP



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (211:208)	/	V	100%	V	200	169		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (1:1)	_ _	_	_	_	-	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	- -	- -	_	_	_	_	••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:10)	_ _	_	_	_	-	_		_
White (197:194)	/	V	99%	V	200	169	•••••••	••••••••
Multiracial (1:1)	- -	_	_	_	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	••••••••	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (19:20)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	•	••••••••••					••••••	••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2	2						
Non-Accountability Groups	,							
Female (99:96)			100%		200	167		
Male (112:112)			99%		200	167		
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••				••••••	••••••••	••••••••

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (211:208)	/	V	100%	V	199	165		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (1:1)	–	<u>-</u>	-	_	-	_	•••••••	_
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		_	-	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:10)	_ _	_	-	_	-	_	•••••••	_
White (197:194)	V	V	99%	V	199	165	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (1:1)		_	-	_	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (19:20)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••					•••••••	•••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2	2						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (99:96)			100%		199	163		
Male (112:112)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	99%		198	163	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Migrant (0:0)								

Symbols



ade AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AVD

- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Graduation Rate

Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]
	V	Made AYP
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)		Good Standing

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Graduat	ion	Objectives			
	Met	Graduation	State	Prog	ress Target
AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
V	V	99%	80%		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	-	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	_	_	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		99%	80%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	_	-	-		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
✓ 1 of	1				
		99%	80%		
•••••		99%	80%		
••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	AYP	AYP Criterion V	Met Criterion Rate 99% 1 of 1	AYP Met Criterion Graduation Rate State Standard ✓ 99% 80%	Met AYP Graduation Rate State Standard Programment Programmen

Symbols



Made AYP



Did not make AYP

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **100%** and, therefore, this district *did* meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

School Accountability Status

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

2010-11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

In Good Standing

4 schools identified 100% of total

BYRAM HILLS HIGH SCHOOL COMAN HILL SCHOOL H C CRITTENDEN MIDDLE SCHOOL WAMPUS SCHOOL

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	71%		226
Grade 4	83%		2 18
Grade 5	76%		239
Grade 6	89%		226
Grade 7	86%		226
Grade 8	93%		233
Mathematics			
Grade 3	85%		227
Grade 4	87%		218
Grade 5	89%		239
Grade 6	91%		225
Grade 7	96%		226
Grade 8	97%		233
Science			
Grade 4	99%		218
Grade 8	99%		120
	_	of students that above Level 3	2006 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English :	100%	,	211
Mathematics	99%		211

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:


Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	226	95%	71%	27%	216	99%	92%	24%
Female	99	94%	74%	27%	105	99%	95%	28%
Male	127	96%	69%	26%	111	98%	89%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	_	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	_	_	1	_	_	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	100%	56%	7	_	_	
White	206	95%	70%	26%	208	99%	92%	23%
Multiracial	6	100%	67%	17%				
Small Group Totals	5	80%	60%	0%	8	100%	100%	50%
General-Education Students	198	99%	77%	29%	185	100%	97%	27%
Students with Disabilities	28	68%	29%	7%	31	90%	65%	3%
English Proficient	226	95%	71%	27%	215	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	•				1	-	-	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	224		_	_	214	_	_	_
Migrant								
Not Migrant	226	95%	71%	27%	216	99%	92%	24%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10 S	chool Yea	r		2008-09 S	ichool Yea	e scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 98% 38% 98% 34%			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	227	98%	85%	43%	216	100%	98%	38%		
Female	100	98%	81%	43%	105	100%	98%	34%		
Male	127	98%	89%	43%	111	100%	98%	42%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	-	_	-						
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	_	-	1	-	_	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	89%	67%	7	-	_	-		
White	207	98%	87%	43%	208	100%	98%	37%		
Multiracial	6	100%	67%	33%						
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	20%	8	100%	100%	75%		
General-Education Students	198	100%	91%	47%	185	100%	99%	43%		
Students with Disabilities	29	86%	48%	14%	31	100%	94%	10%		
English Proficient	226	-	_	_	215	-	_	-		
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	1	-	_	-		
Economically Disadvantaged	2	_	_	_	2	-	_	_		
Not Disadvantaged	225	_	_	_	214	-	-	_		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	227	98%	85%	43%	216	100%	98%	38%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	School Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Total	Number scoring at level(s):					
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10 S	chool Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	218	99%	83%	17%	249	99%	95%	15%			
Female	107	100%	89%	22%	123	100%	95%	20%			
Male	111	97%	77%	12%	126	98%	94%	11%			
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_			
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	1		_				
Hispanic or Latino	1		-	_	6			_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	-	-	-	15	100%	100%	27%			
White	208	99%	82%	16%	225	99%	95%	15%			
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••	••••••	1		_	_			
Small Group Totals	10	100%	100%	30%	9	100%	89%	0%			
General-Education Students	192	100%	88%	19%	217	100%	97%	18%			
Students with Disabilities	26	88%	42%	0%	32	97%	78%	0%			
English Proficient	217	-	_	_	247	-	_	_			
Limited English Proficient	1	-	_	-	2	_	_	_			
Economically Disadvantaged	2			_	5	80%	60%	0%			
Not Disadvantaged	216	-	_	_	244	100%	95%	16%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	218	99%	83%	17%	249	99%	95%	15%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	coring at leve 3–4 – N/A	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	_	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 99% 95% 57%				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	218	98%	87%	49%	250	99%	95%	57%		
Female	107	99%	87%	42%	123	98%	97%	57%		
Male	111	97%	86%	55%	127	99%	94%	57%		
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_		
Black or African American	•••••				1	-	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	_	_	6	-	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	_	_	_	15	100%	100%	80%		
White	208	98%	86%	47%	226	99%	95%	56%		
Multiracial					1	_	_	_		
Small Group Totals	10	100%	100%	80%	9	89%	89%	44%		
General-Education Students	192	100%	93%	54%	218	100%	98%	63%		
Students with Disabilities	26	85%	42%	12%	32	94%	75%	13%		
English Proficient	217	-	_	_	248	-	_	_		
Limited English Proficient	1	-	_	-	2	-	_	_		
Economically Disadvantaged	2				5	100%	100%	0%		
Not Disadvantaged	216	-	_	_	245	99%	95%	58%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	218	98%	87%	49%	250	99%	95%	57%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at						(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by	2009-10 \$	ichool Yea	r		2008-09 S	chool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	218	100%	99%	80%	250	99%	97%	80%
Female	107	100%	100%	86%	123	99%	98%	79%
Male	111	99%	98%	74%	127	99%	96%	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_
Black or African American	••••			•	1	-	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	_	6	_	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	_	_	_	15	100%	100%	93%
White	208	100%	99%	79%	226	100%	97%	80%
Multiracial	•••••		•••••	••••	1	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	10	100%	100%	90%	9	89%	89%	44%
General-Education Students	192	100%	100%	86%	218	100%	99%	85%
Students with Disabilities	26	96%	92%	31%	32	97%	81%	41%
English Proficient	217	-	_	_	248	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	- -
Economically Disadvantaged	2	_	_	_	5	100%	80%	60%
Not Disadvantaged	216	_	-	-	245	99%	97%	80%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	218	100%	99%	80%	250	99%	97%	80%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				1	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1			

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10 S	chool Yea	r		2008-09	ichool Yea	ercentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 00% 96% 26%				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	239	97%	76%	27%	233	100%	96%	26%			
Female	122	98%	80%	34%	116	100%	97%	29%			
Male	117	97%	72%	19%	117	100%	94%	22%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	-			
Hispanic or Latino	7	_	_	_	6	-	_	_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	92%	85%	46%	9	100%	100%	44%			
White	215	98%	77%	26%	217	100%	96%	25%			
Multiracial	3	_	_	-			••••••				
Small Group Totals	11	91%	45%	18%	7	100%	86%	14%			
General-Education Students	210	100%	82%	30%	203	100%	99%	29%			
Students with Disabilities	29	83%	31%	3%	30	100%	77%	3%			
English Proficient	237	_	_	_	231	-	_	-			
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	2	-	_	_			
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	29%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%			
Not Disadvantaged	232	97%	78%	28%	228	100%	96%	26%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	239	97%	76%	27%	233	100%	96%	26%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008-09 S c	2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09 S	chool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	239	100%	89%	45%	233	100%	99%	72%
Female	122	99%	89%	44%	116	100%	99%	71%
Male	117	100%	89%	45%	117	100%	98%	73%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	7	_	_	_	6	_	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	100%	46%	9	100%	100%	100%
White	215	100%	89%	46%	217	100%	99%	71%
Multiracial	3	_	_	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••
Small Group Totals	11	100%	64%	27%	7	100%	86%	57%
General-Education Students	210	100%	91%	49%	203	100%	100%	78%
Students with Disabilities	29	97%	69%	14%	30	100%	90%	30%
English Proficient	237	_	_	_	231	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	-	2	-	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	71%	14%	5	100%	100%	40%
Not Disadvantaged	232	100%	89%	46%	228	100%	99%	72%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	239	100%	89%	45%	233	100%	99%	72%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1				U				

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	rcentage scoring at level(s): 2-4				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	226	100%	89%	23%	228	100%	98%	35%			
Female	114	100%	93%	27%	111	99%	97%	37%			
Male	112	99%	86%	19%	117	100%	98%	32%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	1	_	_	_	1	-	_	-			
Hispanic or Latino	6	_	_	_	1	_	_	_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	89%	44%	11	-	_	_			
White	209	100%	90%	23%	215	100%	98%	33%			
Multiracial	1	_	_	_							
Small Group Totals	8	100%	75%	0%	13	100%	100%	54%			
General-Education Students	201	100%	93%	25%	199	100%	99%	39%			
Students with Disabilities	25	100%	60%	4%	29	97%	86%	3%			
English Proficient	225	-	_	_	227	-	_	_			
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	1	-	_	-			
Economically Disadvantaged	4		-	_	2	_	-	_			
Not Disadvantaged	222	_	_	-	226	-	_	_			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	226	100%	89%	23%	228	100%	98%	35%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4 Tested 2-4 3 -	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09 S	ichool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	225	100%	91%	61%	228	100%	97%	64%
Female	113	99%	93%	60%	111	99%	98%	62%
Male	112	100%	88%	63%	117	100%	97%	66%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	_	_	1	-	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	6	-	_	_	1	-	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	100%	89%	11	-	_	
White	208	100%	91%	61%	215	100%	98%	64%
Multiracial	1	-	_	_			••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	8	100%	63%	38%	13	100%	92%	62%
General-Education Students	202	100%	96%	67%	199	100%	100%	72%
Students with Disabilities	23	96%	48%	9%	29	97%	79%	7%
English Proficient	223	-	_	_	227	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2	-	_	_	1	-	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	4	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	221		_	_	226	-	_	_
Migrant								
Not Migrant	225	100%	91%	61%	228	100%	97%	64%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	_

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

Page 27

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	centage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 00% 98% 20% 00% 98% 24%			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	226	99%	86%	28%	233	100%	98%	20%		
Female	110	100%	90%	27%	114	100%	98%	24%		
Male	116	98%	82%	29%	119	100%	98%	16%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino					2	-	-	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 12	-	_	-	5	100%	100%	20%		
White	213	99%	86%	27%	223	100%	98%	20%		
Multiracial					1	-	-	-		
Small Group Totals	13	100%	85%	46%	5	100%	100%	0%		
General-Education Students	201	100%	92%	31%	209	100%	100%	22%		
Students with Disabilities	25	92%	40%	8%	24	100%	88%	4%		
English Proficient	225			-	233	100%	98%	20%		
Limited English Proficient	1	-	_	-						
Economically Disadvantaged	2		-	_	2	_		_		
Not Disadvantaged	224	-	_	_	231	-	-	_		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	226	99%	86%	28%	233	100%	98%	20%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	chool Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	_	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008-09	ted 2-4 3-4 4				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	226	100%	96%	68%	233	100%	100%	73%	
Female	110	100%	97%	65%	114	100%	99%	69%	
Male	116	100%	94%	71%	119	100%	100%	76%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	_	2	-	-	_	
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	2	-	-	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	12	_	_	_	5	100%	100%	80%	
White	213	100%	96%	69%	223	100%	100%	73%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	1	-	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	
Small Group Totals	13	100%	92%	62%	5	100%	100%	60%	
General-Education Students	201	100%	99%	75%	209	100%	100%	78%	
Students with Disabilities	25	100%	68%	16%	24	100%	96%	21%	
English Proficient	225	-	_	_	233	100%	100%	73%	
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	-					
Economically Disadvantaged	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
Not Disadvantaged	224	_	_	_	231	_	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	226	100%	96%	68%	233	100%	100%	73%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year	nool Year 2008-09 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_		_	1				
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4				1			_	

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09					
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	233	100%	93%	30%	215	100%	97%	27%		
Female	113	100%	92%	29%	113	100%	98%	27%		
Male	120	100%	93%	30%	102	100%	95%	27%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	_	_	-	1	_	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_	7	100%	100%	29%		
White	225	100%	93%	30%	203	100%	97%	27%		
Multiracial	1	_	_	-	2	_	_	_		
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	13%	5	100%	100%	20%		
General-Education Students	208	100%	96%	33%	192	100%	99%	30%		
Students with Disabilities	25	100%	64%	4%	23	100%	78%	0%		
English Proficient	233	100%	93%	30%	215	100%	97%	27%		
Limited English Proficient	•									
Economically Disadvantaged	2			_						
Not Disadvantaged	231		_	_	215	100%	97%	27%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	233	100%	93%	30%	215	100%	97%	27%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008-09 S c			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	_	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		214 100% 99% 57% 113 100% 100% 55%				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	233	100%	97%	54%	214	100%	99%	57%	
Female	113	100%	96%	50%	113	100%	100%	55%	
Male	120	100%	98%	57%	101	99%	98%	58%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	-	_	_	1	_	_	-	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	_	_	2	_	_	<u> </u>	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_	7	100%	100%	86%	
White	225	100%	96%	54%	202	100%	99%	56%	
Multiracial	1	-	_	_	2	_	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	50%	5	100%	100%	40%	
General-Education Students	208	100%	99%	60%	191	100%	100%	61%	
Students with Disabilities	25	100%	80%	4%	23	96%	91%	22%	
English Proficient	233	100%	97%	54%	214	100%	99%	57%	
Limited English Proficient	•			•					
Economically Disadvantaged	2	_	_	_					
Not Disadvantaged	231		_	_	214	100%	99%	57%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	233	100%	97%	54%	214	100%	99%	57%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s):			(s):
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **66-12-01-06-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2009-10 School Year				2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	120	100%	99%	73%	108	99%	99%	69%
Female	60	100%	98%	65%	63	100%	100%	68%
Male	60	100%	100%	80%	45	98%	98%	69%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	_	_	1	-	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	_	_				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	_	_	1	-	_	_
White	114	100%	99%	72%	104	_	_	_
Multiracial					2	-	_	-
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	83%	108	99%	99%	69%
General-Education Students	97	100%	100%	80%	86	100%	100%	71%
Students with Disabilities	23	100%	96%	39%	22	95%	95%	59%
English Proficient	120	100%	99%	73%	108	99%	99%	69%
Limited English Proficient	•••••							•
Economically Disadvantaged	2							
Not Disadvantaged	118	_	-	_	108	99%	99%	69%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	120	100%	99%	73%	108	99%	99%	69%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				1	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent					1			
Regents Science	113	113	113	113	102	102	102	102

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 Cohor	t			2005 Cohort**			
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	211	100%	100%	81%	216	99%	99%	83%
Female	98	100%	100%	82%	124	98%	98%	87%
Male	113	99%	99%	81%	92	99%	99%	78%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_				
Black or African American	2	_		<u> </u>	1	_	·····	
Hispanic or Latino	1	_		<u> </u>	2	_	_	- -
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	100%	90%	12	_	_	-
White	196	99%	99%	82%	201	99%	99%	83%
Multiracial	1		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	20%	15	100%	100%	93%
General-Education Students	191	100%	100%	87%	196	100%	100%	89%
Students with Disabilities	20	95%	95%	25%	20	85%	85%	25%
English Proficient	211	100%	100%	81%	216	99%	99%	83%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	211	100%	100%	81%	216	99%	99%	83%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	211	100%	100%	81%	216	99%	99%	83%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

^{** 2005} cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

District BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-12-01-06-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 Cohor	2005 Cohort**						
Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	211	99%	99%	86%	216	99%	99%	86%
Female	98	100%	99%	89%	124	100%	100%	84%
Male	113	98%	98%	84%	92	98%	98%	88%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_				
Black or African American	2	_	_	-	1	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	100%	100%	12	-	_	_
White	196	99%	99%	87%	201	99%	99%	85%
Multiracial	1	_	·····	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	20%	15	100%	100%	100%
General-Education Students	191	100%	100%	91%	196	100%	100%	91%
Students with Disabilities	20	90%	85%	40%	20	90%	90%	30%
English Proficient	211	99%	99%	86%	216	99%	99%	86%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	211	99%	99%	86%	216	99%	99%	86%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	211	99%	99%	86%	216	99%	99%	86%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

^{** 2005} cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.