

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10 District YONKERS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 66-23-00-01-0000 Superintendent BERNARD PIERORAZIO Telephone (914) 376-8100 Grades PK-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.



Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 66-23-00-01-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Pre-K	1417	1559	1580
Kindergarten	1804	1900	1974
Grade 1	1866	1880	1924
Grade 2	1806	1860	1895
Grade 3	1762	1764	1905
Grade 4	1675	1722	1796
Grade 5	1676	1690	1759
Grade 6	1658	1689	1741
Ungraded Elementary	100	107	92
Grade 7	1762	1699	1743
Grade 8	1736	1721	1685
Grade 9	2294	2063	1973
Grade 10	1757	1976	1953
Grade 11	1517	1349	1608
Grade 12	1214	1361	1226
Ungraded Secondary	109	113	107
Total K–12	22736	22894	23381

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Common Branch	23	23	24
Grade 8			
English	25	23	24
Mathematics	25	25	27
Science	26	25	26
Social Studies	26	24	26
Grade 10			
English	23	24	22
Mathematics	25	23	22
Science	21	23	25
Social Studies	23	24	21

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2007-08		200	8-09	2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	14646	64%	15066	66%	15199	65%
Reduced-Price Lunch	2129	9%	1907	8%	1784	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	3388	15%	3367	15%	3274	14%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	41	0%	38	0%	49	0%
Black or African American	6064	27%	5907	26%	5789	25%
Hispanic or Latino	11252	49%	11572	51%	12047	52%
Asian or Native	1380	6%	1378	6%	1375	6%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3999	18%	3999	17%	4121	18%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		91%		92%		93%
Student Suspensions	1514	6%	1440	6%	2318	10%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Number of Teachers	1777	1801	1757
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	4%	3%	2%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	56%	59%	61%
Total Number of Core Classes	4885	5061	4702
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	6116	6258	5996
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	0%	2%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	22%	18%	20%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	14%	13%	13%

Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Total Other Professional Staff	221	228	212
Total Paraprofessionals*	660	661	682
Assistant Principals	55	59	62
Principals	41	41	40

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009–10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District YONKERS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 66-23-00-01-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)	▲ Good Standing					
	ELA A Good Standing		Science	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Ra	ate 🔥 Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fundir	ng		
	2008-	-09	2009–10	2010-11		
	YES		YES	YES		

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	~	v	v	×	X	×	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_		
Black or African American	X	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	X	X	••••	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	X	X	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	v	 		✓	 		
White	~	V	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	~	V	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	~		X	X		
Limited English Proficient	X	 	••••	X	X	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 ✓ 	••••	X	X	••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 5 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1	X 2 of 8	X 2 of 8	X 0 of 1	



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		es
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
Accountability Groups	Status		lesteu		IIIdex	ANO	2009-10	2010-11
All Students (10914:10347)	~		99%		159	154		
Ethnicity			9970		139			
American Indian or Alaska Native (27:26)	-	-	-	_	-	-		_
Black or African American (2672:2501)	X	 	99%	×	150	153	153	121
Hispanic or Latino (5641:5338)	<	~	99%	 ✓ 	156	154	••• •••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (622:595)	✓	 	100%	✓	180	151		
White (1952:1887)	<	 	99%	<	172	152	•••••••••••••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••	••••••	•••	•••••••			•••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (1931:1933)	X	~	98%	x	127	153	130	89
Limited English Proficient (1621:2076)	X	✓	100%	X	140	153	147	105
Economically Disadvantaged (7791:7561)	✓	✓	99%	✓	154	154		
Final AYP Determination	X 5 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (5136:4899)			100%		166	153		
Male (5778:5448)	••••••••	•••••	99%	•••••	153	154	••••••••••••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••	••••••	••• ••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 66-23-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performa	nce Objective	es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (10918:10394)	~	V	99%	V	169	134		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (27:26)	_	_	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (2674:2483)	 	 ✓ 	99%	~	159	133		
Hispanic or Latino (5642:5392)	✓	 ✓ 	99%	~	168	134	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (624:600)	 	v	100%	~	189	131		
White (1951:1893)	<	 	99%	~	181	132	••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (1930:1903)	~	r	96%	v	136	133		
Limited English Proficient (1621:2167)	✓	 	100%	~	159	133		
Economically Disadvantaged (7786:7590)	 	 	99%	✓	166	134		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8	8						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (5142:4930)			99%		172	133		
Male (5776:5464)	•••••••••••••		99%		167	134	••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performa	nce Objectives
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target
Accountability Groups								
All Students (3591:3372)	~	Qualified	 ✓ 	98%	v	163	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (8:7)		-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (848:768)		Qualified	~	96%	~	152	100	
Hispanic or Latino (1863:1772)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	✓	98%	~	162	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (223:209)		Qualified	~	100%	~	176	100	
White (649:616)	••••••	Qualified	<	97%	~	179	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (635:609)		Qualified	~	92%	~	134	100	
Limited English Proficient (525:700)		Qualified	~	99%	~	148	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (2572:2475)		Qualified	~	98%	~	158	100	
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (1677:1599)				99%		166	100	
Male (1914:1773)				97%		161	100	
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1319:1361)	X	V	96%	X	169	174	174‡	172
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (365:394)	X	v	96%	X	168	171	167‡	171
Hispanic or Latino (651:659)	X	<	96%	X	166	173	173‡	169
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (89:85)	✓	•	97%	~	184	166		
White (213:220)	<	 	95%	 ✓ 	177	170	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (258:180)	X	X	71%	x	88	169	96‡	99
Limited English Proficient (76:123)	×	✓	99%	X	125	168	165	133
Economically Disadvantaged (999:1114)	X	•	98%	X	170	174	174‡	173
Final AYP Determination	X 2 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (687:690)			96%		177	173		
Male (632:671)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		95%		162	173	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1319:1361)	X	V	96%	X	166	170	170‡	169
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (365:394)	×	 	95%	X	163	167	164‡	167
Hispanic or Latino (651:659)	X	 	96%	X	165	169	169‡	169
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (89:85)	✓	 	97%	~	182	162		
White (213:220)	<	 	95%	 ✓ 	173	166	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	•••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (258:180)	x	×	77%	x	99	165	100‡	109
Limited English Proficient (76:123)	×	 	95%	X	141	164	164	147
Economically Disadvantaged (999:1114)	X	 	98%	X	168	170	170‡	171
Final AYP Determination	X 2 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (687:690)			95%		169	169		
Male (632:671)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		96%		164	169	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in graduation rate for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [203]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	on		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progr	ess Target
(2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups						
All Students (2067)	X	X	64%	80%	68%	67%
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		_	_	-		
Black or African American (621)		X	57%	80%	62%	62%
Hispanic or Latino (957)		X	62%	80%	66%	66%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (141)		~	84%	80%		
White (347)		X	71%	80%	74%	73%
Multiracial (0)			•••••••••••••••••••	••••••••		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (411)		X	33%	80%	45%	42%
Limited English Proficient (168)		~	56%	80%	47%	61%
Economically Disadvantaged (1512)		X	71%	80%	76%	73%
Final AYP Determination	🗙 0 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (972)			68%	80%		
Male (1095)	••••••		60%	80%		
Migrant (0)	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	••••	

Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

— Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is **67%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

2010-11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

In G	ood Standing
31 s	chools identified 82% of total
CASI	MIR PULASKI SCHOOL
	AR PLACE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
ENRI	ICO FERMI SCHOOL FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS
EUG	ENIO MARIA DE HOSTOS MICROSOCIETY SCHOOL
FAM	ILY SCHOOL 32
FOXE	
КАНІ	LIL GIBRAN SCHOOL
LINC	OLN HIGH SCHOOL
MON	ITESSORI SCHOOL 27
MON	ITESSORI SCHOOL 31
PAID	EIA SCHOOL 15
PAID	EIA SCHOOL 24
PALI	SADE PREPARATORY SCHOOL
PATE	RICIA A DICHIARO SCHOOL
PEAF	RLS HAWTHORNE SCHOOL
RIVE	RSIDE HIGH SCHOOL
ROSI	MARIE ANN SIRAGUSA SCHOOL
SAUI	NDERS TRADES & TECHNICAL HIGH SCHOOL
SCH	OLASTIC ACADEMY FOR ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE
SCH	DOL 16
SCH	DOL 17
	OOL 21
	DOL 22
	DOL 23
	DOL 29
	DOL 30
	KERS MIDDLE SCHOOL
	rovement (year 2) Focused
	hool identified 3% of total
	JR HIGH TECH & COMPUTER MAGNET SCHOOL
Impi	rovement (year 2) Comprehensive
3 scl	hools identified 8% of total
GOR	TON HIGH SCHOOL
MUS	EUM SCHOOL 25
ROB	ERT C DODSON SCHOOL
Corr	ective Action (year 1) Comprehensive
• • • • • • • •	hool identified 3% of total
SCH	DOL 13
	tructuring (year 2) Comprehensive
• • • • • • •	
T SCI	hool identified 3% of total

2010–11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District (Continued)

 Restructuring (year 2) Comprehensive (continued)
 EMERSON MIDDLE SCHOOL
 Restructuring (advanced) Comprehensive

ROOSEVELT HIGH SCHOOL

Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	44%		1881
Grade 4	45%		1794
Grade 5	39%		1756
Grade 6	42%		1720
Grade 7	34%		1706
Grade 8	30%		1658
Mathematics			
Grade 3	49%		1897
Grade 4	49%		1824
Grade 5	44%		1782
Grade 6	43%		1747
Grade 7	35%		1735
Grade 8	28%		1686
Science			
Grade 4	83%		1824
Grade 8	56%		1638
	Percentage o	f students that	2006 Total
	scored at or a	ahove Level 3	Cohort

	scored at or a	bove Level 3	Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	67%		1673
Mathematics	62%		1673

District ID 66-23-00-01-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Large Cities

This is one of the large city school districts; Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse, or Yonkers. All these districts have high student needs relative to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This District					NY State Public			
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 662	*Range:	643-780	662-780	694-780					
2009 Mean Score: 664	100%	93% 81%	70%	<u>11%</u> 7%	86% 95%	76%	17% 11%		
Number of Tested Students:		1522 1623	832 1223	215 130					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1881	81%	44%	11%	1744	93%	70%	7%
Female	876	85%	49%	13%	816	96%	78%	9%
Male	1005	77%	40%	10%	928	90%	63%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	427	76%	37%	8%	412	89%	65%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	1010	80%	41%	9%	860	94%	67%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 91	-	-	-	97	-	-	-
White	350	86%	55%	17%	371	95%	78%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	94	96%	72%	30%	101	97%	89%	10%
General-Education Students	1578	87%	50%	13%	1439	98%	78%	9%
Students with Disabilities	303	50%	15%	2%	305	69%	32%	1%
English Proficient	1513	84%	48%	13%	1385	94%	75%	9%
Limited English Proficient	368	68%	28%	5%	359	91%	52%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	1204	78%	38%	8%	1169	92%	67%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	677	86%	55%	17%	575	95%	77%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1881	81%	44%	11%	1744	93%	70%	7%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	ichool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	36	34	30	24	13	11	9	7
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	20	N/A	N/A	N/A	22	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	21	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2–4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 686	*Range:	661-770	684-770	707-770				
2009 Mean Score: 686 ■ 2009-10 ■ 2008-09	100%	98%	89% 49%	18% 22%	91% 99%	93%	24% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:	1	1626 1736	932 1571	349 398				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	1897	86%	49 %	18%	1775	98%	89%	22%
Female	880	88%	50%	20%	828	98%	91%	24%
Male	1017	84%	48%	17%	947	97%	86%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	4	-	_	-
Black or African American	429	82%	38%	14%	411	96%	84%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	1021	85%	47%	15%	879	98%	88%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 91	-	-	–	104	-	-	-
White	353	90%	61%	26%	377	98%	92%	26%
Multiracial				•••••				
Small Group Totals	94	96%	79%	45%	108	99%	97%	47%
General-Education Students	1593	90%	55%	21%	1466	99%	94%	26%
Students with Disabilities	304	61%	20%	4%	309	92%	62%	6%
English Proficient	1516	88%	52%	21%	1391	98%	90%	25%
Limited English Proficient	381	78%	38%	10%	384	97%	84%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	1207	85%	45%	16%	1181	97%	87%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	690	87%	56%	22%	594	99%	92%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1897	86%	49%	18%	1775	98%	89%	22%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	36	35	30	19	13	13	0	6
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	50	30	30	19	15	13	9	0

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 665	*Range:	637-775	668-775	720-775				
2009 Mean Score: 660	100%	87% 94%	68% 45%	4% 4%	92% 96%	77%	6% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		1560 1625	810 1163	64 71				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1794	87 %	45%	4%	1722	94%	68%	4%
Female	841	92%	51%	5%	844	96%	72%	5%
Male	953	83%	40%	3%	878	93%	63%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	413	82%	38%	3%	413	92%	62%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	901	87%	40%	2%	852	94%	63%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isla	nder 113	-	-	-	106	-	-	-
White	363	92%	60%	6%	348	97%	79%	9%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••					••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	117	91%	62%	13%	109	97%	86%	14%
General-Education Students	1492	92%	51%	4%	1415	99%	75%	5%
Students with Disabilities	302	60%	15%	0%	307	75%	34%	1%
English Proficient	1459	90%	52%	4%	1426	96%	73%	5%
Limited English Proficient	335	75%	17%	0%	296	88%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	1098	85%	39%	2%	1166	93%	62%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	696	90%	55%	5%	556	97%	78%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1794	87%	45%	4%	1722	94%	68%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	15	14	12	6	23	21	13	12
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	32	N/A	N/A	N/A	17	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	32	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

	Т	This District NY State Public						
	Pe	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2	-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 675	*Range: 6	36-800	676-800	707-800				
2009 Mean Score: 681	100% 91	1% 94%	80%		95% 96%	87% 64%		
2009-10 2008-09				29% 16%		ы.	26%	
Number of Tested Students:	16	55 1631	887 1397	289 498				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	1824	91%	49 %	16%	1743	94%	80%	29%
Female	856	94%	51%	18%	855	94%	80%	29%
Male	968	88%	46%	14%	888	93%	80%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	_	-	2	-	_	-
Black or African American	413	86%	39%	9%	419	90%	73%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	923	91%	44%	12%	868	94%	79%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	119	-	-	–	106	-	-	-
White	365	95%	64%	25%	348	97%	88%	39%
Multiracial	•••••		•••••	••••••		•••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	123	94%	67%	37%	108	98%	91%	62%
General-Education Students	1517	95%	54%	18%	1436	98%	87%	33%
Students with Disabilities	307	69%	21%	4%	307	75%	50%	7%
English Proficient	1464	92%	54%	19%	1430	94%	82%	31%
Limited English Proficient	360	84%	25%	4%	313	91%	70%	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	1110	91%	43%	12%	1178	92%	78%	25%
Not Disadvantaged	714	91%	58%	23%	565	96%	85%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1824	91%	49%	16%	1743	94%	80%	29%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	15	15	12	7	23	23	14	10

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 79	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2009 Mean Score: 79 ■ 2009–10 ■ 2008–09	100%	96% 96%	83% 84%	44% 47%	97% 97%	88% 88%	55% 59%	
Number of Tested Students:		1749 1672	1511 1470	806 829			_	

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	1824	96%	83%	44%	1749	96%	84%	47%
Female	855	96%	86%	46%	862	95%	84%	48%
Male	969	95%	80%	43%	887	96%	84%	47%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	3	-	_	-
Black or African American	412	92%	77%	36%	420	93%	78%	38%
Hispanic or Latino	926	97%	82%	39%	871	96%	83%	45%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	119	-	-	–	106	-	-	-
White	363	98%	91%	61%	349	97%	91%	57%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				
Small Group Totals	123	95%	87%	60%	109	97%	94%	70%
General-Education Students	1521	98%	87%	48%	1442	98%	89%	53%
Students with Disabilities	303	86%	60%	23%	307	85%	59%	19%
English Proficient	1464	96%	86%	51%	1435	96%	87%	52%
Limited English Proficient	360	94%	72%	18%	314	93%	72%	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	1113	96%	81%	37%	1178	94%	81%	43%
Not Disadvantaged	711	96%	86%	55%	571	98%	91%	56%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1824	96%	83%	44%	1749	96%	84%	47%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	15	15	14	9	23	23	20	13	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public			
		Percentage scorii	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2010 Mean Score: 664	*Range:	647-795	666-795	700-795				
2009 Mean Score: 666	100%	99% 83%	73%		99% 88%	82%		
2009-102008-09			39%	7% 8%			13% 14%	
Number of Tested Students:		1453 1651	678 1213	124 128				

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	1756	83%	39 %	7%	1666	99%	73%	8%
Female	860	87%	43%	10%	814	99%	76%	9%
Male	896	79%	35%	5%	852	99%	69%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	438	80%	30%	5%	412	98%	63%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	878	81%	35%	5%	873	99%	71%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander 97	-	-	-	83	-	-	-
White	339	88%	50%	10%	295	100%	85%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	101	93%	68%	22%	86	100%	92%	21%
General-Education Students	1449	89%	44%	8%	1392	100%	80%	9%
Students with Disabilities	307	54%	13%	2%	274	96%	38%	1%
English Proficient	1517	86%	43%	8%	1431	99%	78%	9%
Limited English Proficient	239	59%	12%	3%	235	97%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	1070	81%	35%	5%	1149	99%	68%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	686	85%	44%	10%	517	100%	83%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1756	83%	39%	7%	1666	99%	73%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009–10 S	chool Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	21	21	17	9	29	29	20	9	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	27	N/A	N/A	N/A	30	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	27	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This D	District		NY State Public				
	Percen	tage scoring at level(s):	·	Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 669	*Range: 640-7	780 674-780	702-780					
2009 Mean Score: 674	100% 85% 9	6% 79% 44%		94% 98%	88% 65%	36%		
2009–10 2008–09			12%			24%		
Number of Tested Students:	1509 1	630 779 1354	213 383					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	1782	85%	44%	12%	1705	96%	79%	22%
Female	873	85%	44%	12%	829	97%	81%	21%
Male	909	84%	43%	12%	876	95%	78%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	3	-	_	-
Black or African American	442	80%	32%	7%	420	94%	70%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	893	84%	42%	9%	894	96%	79%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 99	-	-	–	87	-	-	-
White	344	91%	56%	19%	301	97%	89%	32%
Multiracial							•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	103	92%	69%	39%	90	99%	96%	56%
General-Education Students	1480	89%	49%	14%	1429	98%	86%	26%
Students with Disabilities	302	64%	17%	1%	276	82%	45%	5%
English Proficient	1523	88%	48%	14%	1437	97%	82%	25%
Limited English Proficient	259	68%	18%	3%	268	90%	66%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	1080	83%	40%	9%	1173	95%	77%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	702	87%	50%	17%	532	97%	86%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1782	85%	44%	12%	1705	96%	79%	22%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested 2–4 3–4 4				Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	21	21	18	13	29	29	19	12

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 659	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785					
2009 Mean Score: 658	100%	100%	65% 42%		100% 89%	81% 54%			
2000 05				4% 4%			7% 9%		
Number of Tested Students:		1437 1654	728 1083	62 64					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	1720	84%	42%	4%	1657	100%	65%	4%
Female	818	87%	48%	4%	794	100%	70%	5%
Male	902	81%	37%	3%	863	100%	61%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	_	-	7	100%	71%	14%
Black or African American	427	77%	35%	3%	459	100%	59%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	900	83%	38%	2%	819	100%	63%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Is	slander 95	-	-	–	103	100%	88%	14%
White	294	90%	57%	7%	269	100%	74%	5%
Multiracial		••••				••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	99	95%	69%	12%				•••••
General-Education Students	1440	90%	49%	4%	1369	100%	73%	5%
Students with Disabilities	280	48%	8%	0%	288	99%	31%	0%
English Proficient	1506	87%	47%	4%	1450	100%	71%	4%
Limited English Proficient	214	58%	9%	0%	207	99%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	1407	82%	38%	2%	1397	100%	62%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	313	90%	63%	9%	260	100%	83%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1720	84%	42%	4%	1657	100%	65%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 9	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	31	30	17	10	19	18	14	10	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	25	N/A	N/A	N/A	27	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	25	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 667	*Range:	640-780	674-780	699-780			
2009 Mean Score: 662 2009–10 2008–09	100%	86% 93%	67% 43%	14% 11%	92% 96%	83%	27% 28%
Number of Tested Students:		1496 1575	749 1124	237 193		_	_

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	1747	86%	43%	14%	1690	93%	67%	11%
Female	827	87%	43%	14%	811	94%	67%	10%
Male	920	84%	43%	13%	879	92%	66%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	7	100%	29%	0%
Black or African American	429	77%	33%	8%	460	92%	58%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	919	86%	38%	9%	839	93%	65%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 96	-	-	–	109	99%	90%	29%
White	299	94%	61%	23%	275	93%	77%	20%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	100	98%	75%	47%				
General-Education Students	1468	92%	49%	16%	1403	97%	73%	13%
Students with Disabilities	279	54%	12%	0%	287	76%	34%	2%
English Proficient	1510	88%	48%	16%	1454	94%	70%	13%
Limited English Proficient	237	71%	12%	0%	236	85%	42%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	1426	85%	39%	11%	1416	93%	64%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	321	91%	60%	24%	274	95%	81%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1747	86%	43%	14%	1690	93%	67%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	31	31	19	7	18	16	13	12

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	olic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2010 Mean Score: 658	*Range:	642-790	664-790	698-790			
2009 Mean Score: 657	100%	99% 83%	64%		90%	80%	
2009-10 2008-09			34%	4% 2%			11% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		1417 1669	575 1080	73 39			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	1706	83%	34%	4%	1678	99%	64%	2%	
Female	822	86%	38%	6%	800	100%	70%	3%	
Male	884	80%	30%	3%	878	99%	59%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	75%	63%	0%	3	-	-	-	
Black or African American	477	80%	28%	3%	435	100%	56%	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	844	81%	27%	3%	874	99%	63%	1%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 105	92%	65%	14%	103	-	-	-	
White	272	92%	51%	8%	263	99%	76%	4%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					106	100%	77%	8%	
General-Education Students	1433	89%	38%	5%	1402	100%	71%	2%	
Students with Disabilities	273	53%	10%	1%	276	97%	32%	1%	
English Proficient	1527	86%	37%	5%	1486	100%	70%	3%	
Limited English Proficient	179	55%	4%	0%	192	98%	21%	1%	
Economically Disadvantaged	1401	81%	29%	3%	1424	100%	62%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	305	91%	54%	9%	254	99%	76%	7%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1706	83%	34%	4%	1678	99%	64%	2%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	23	23	21	19	20	20	18	16
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	33	N/A	N/A	N/A	17	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	33	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This Distri	ct		NY State Pu	ıblic			
	Percentages	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 660	*Range: 639–800	670-800	694-800					
2009 Mean Score: 665	100% 97% 84%	73%		92% 99%	87% 62%			
2009-10 2008-09		35%	11% 14%			29% 30%		
Number of Tested Students:	1450 1636	611 1238	184 232					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	1735	84%	35%	11%	1688	97%	73%	14%	
Female	836	83%	36%	10%	810	97%	76%	15%	
Male	899	84%	35%	11%	878	96%	71%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	100%	38%	13%	3	-	_	-	
Black or African American	474	78%	26%	6%	430	96%	64%	7%	
Hispanic or Latino	868	83%	31%	9%	890	97%	73%	11%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	110	96%	63%	36%	104	-	-	-	
White	275	91%	54%	14%	261	97%	84%	23%	
Multiracial	••••••		•••••	•••••			•••••		
Small Group Totals	••••••		••••••	•••••	107	100%	90%	37%	
General-Education Students	1465	87%	39%	12%	1411	99%	79%	15%	
Students with Disabilities	270	64%	13%	2%	277	86%	43%	6%	
English Proficient	1530	85%	38%	12%	1480	97%	77%	15%	
Limited English Proficient	205	70%	12%	2%	208	93%	50%	3%	
Economically Disadvantaged	1407	83%	32%	9%	1427	97%	72%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged	328	88%	47%	16%	261	97%	82%	29%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1735	84%	35%	11%	1688	97%	73%	14%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

2009-10 \$	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
23	21	21	12	20	18	17	13
	Total Tested	Total Number sco Tested 2–4	Tested 2–4 3–4	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):Tested2-43-44	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):TotalTested2-43-44	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number score Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This Distri	ct		NY State Pu	ıblic			
	Percentage	scoring at level(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2010 Mean Score: 647	*Range: 627–790	658-790	699-790					
2009 Mean Score: 648	100% 97% 84%	51%		91% 98%	69% 51%			
2009-10 2008-09		30%	2% 1%			8% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:	1394 1605	495 840	33 21					

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	1658	84%	30%	2%	1657	97%	51%	1%	
Female	781	89%	38%	3%	776	97%	56%	2%	
Male	877	79%	22%	1%	881	97%	46%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Black or African American	411	80%	21%	1%	471	97%	44%	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	875	84%	29%	1%	844	96%	49%	1%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	100	-	-	-	98	-	-	-	
White	268	88%	40%	2%	240	99%	62%	2%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	104	95%	50%	12%	102	100%	74%	2%	
General-Education Students	1394	90%	35%	2%	1413	98%	57%	1%	
Students with Disabilities	264	50%	3%	0%	244	89%	14%	0%	
English Proficient	1518	88%	32%	2%	1500	98%	55%	1%	
Limited English Proficient	140	41%	1%	0%	157	83%	10%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	1395	83%	27%	1%	1399	97%	49%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	263	88%	46%	7%	258	97%	61%	4%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1658	84%	30%	2%	1657	97%	51%	1%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 \$	School Year			2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	27	27	23	20	32	32	29	17	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	28	N/A	N/A	N/A	22	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	30	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. February 5, 2011

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2010 Mean Score: 658	*Range:	639-775	673-775	702-775			
2009 Mean Score: 654	100%	92% 77%	54%		91% ^{96%}	80%	
2009-10 2008-09			28%	7% 7%			18% 19%
Number of Tested Students:		1295 1530	470 901	118 113			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	1686	77%	28%	7%	1671	92 %	54%	7%	
Female	797	81%	31%	8%	793	90%	55%	8%	
Male	889	73%	25%	6%	878	93%	53%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Black or African American	408	68%	20%	4%	469	86%	45%	5%	
Hispanic or Latino	904	77%	24%	5%	850	93%	52%	4%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	102	-	–	-	100	-	-	-	
White	268	86%	41%	12%	248	92%	64%	13%	
Multiracial	••••••		••••••		•••••		••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	106	90%	57%	27%	104	99%	84%	24%	
General-Education Students	1418	82%	31%	8%	1421	94%	58%	8%	
Students with Disabilities	268	50%	9%	0%	250	78%	28%	0%	
English Proficient	1523	79%	29%	8%	1491	93%	57%	8%	
Limited English Proficient	163	55%	13%	1%	180	82%	28%	1%	
Economically Disadvantaged	1409	76%	24%	5%	1403	92%	52%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	277	81%	45%	18%	268	91%	65%	16%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1686	77%	28%	7%	1671	92%	54%	 7%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2009-10 \$	School Year		2008–09 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				32	29	26	15

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distric	:t		NY State	Public	
	Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s):
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
100 2009-10 2008-09	89%	56%	14%	94%	74%	33%
Number of Tested Students:	1463 -	919 -	237 -			

Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008–09 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1638	89%	56%	14%	1672	90%	54%	9%
Female	781	91%	56%	13%	789	91%	53%	8%
Male	857	87%	56%	16%	883	90%	55%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	392	85%	47%	10%	461	85%	44%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	884	88%	53%	12%	859	91%	52%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 103	-	-	–	101	-	-	-
White	255	95%	71%	23%	247	94%	68%	17%
Multiracial	• •••••			••••••			••••••	
Small Group Totals	107	95%	72%	32%	105	100%	89%	19%
General-Education Students	1396	92%	61%	16%	1421	93%	59%	10%
Students with Disabilities	242	73%	26%	3%	251	75%	25%	1%
English Proficient	1480	91%	60%	16%	1490	92%	58%	10%
Limited English Proficient	158	68%	15%	1%	182	76%	23%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	1374	89%	53%	12%	1394	90%	52%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	264	90%	68%	25%	278	89%	65%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1638	89%	56%	14%	1672	90%	54%	9%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year		2008–09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	27	26	20	19	32	32	30	22
Regents Science	8	7	7	0	2	-	-	-

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pu	blic	
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage se	coring at level	(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
100% 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	71% 66%	67% 63%	19% 18%	82% 81%	79% 77%	32% 32%

Results by	2006 Cohor	t		2005 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1673	71%	67%	19%	2072	66%	63%	18%
Female	827	77%	73%	24%	977	72%	69%	23%
Male	846	65%	61%	14%	1095	60%	56%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	_	_	_	1	_	_	-
Black or African American	512	66%	63%	16%	624	57%	54%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	802	71%	66%	16%	959	66%	62%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	93	-	-	-	140	-	-	-
White	263	72%	70%	29%	348	71%	68%	25%
Multiracial			•••••	•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	96	83%	82%	38%	141	87%	86%	46%
General-Education Students	1377	81%	77%	23%	1666	77%	75%	22%
Students with Disabilities	296	22%	18%	2%	406	17%	13%	1%
English Proficient	1562	72%	69%	20%	1943	66%	63%	19%
Limited English Proficient	111	49%	37%	2%	129	53%	50%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	1268	77%	72%	18%	1515	73%	70%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	405	51%	49%	22%	557	46%	43%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1673	71%	67%	19%	2072	66%	63%	18%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pu	blic	
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	5):	Percentage s	coring at level	(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
100% 2006 Cohort 2005 Cohort	73% 69%	62% 61%	9% 9%	84% 83%	79% 77%	30% 30%

Results by	2006 Coho r	t		2005 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1673	73%	62%	9 %	2072	69%	61%	9%
Female	827	77%	67%	9%	977	73%	64%	9%
Male	846	70%	59%	9%	1095	66%	57%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	_	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	512	69%	57%	7%	624	61%	52%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	802	75%	62%	6%	959	70%	60%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	93	-	-	-	140	-	-	-
White	263	74%	68%	14%	348	74%	66%	16%
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		••••••		••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	96	85%	81%	27%	141	91%	89%	35%
General-Education Students	1377	83%	73%	10%	1666	82%	73%	11%
Students with Disabilities	296	26%	15%	1%	406	18%	11%	1%
English Proficient	1562	74%	64%	9%	1943	70%	61%	10%
Limited English Proficient	111	64%	47%	0%	129	57%	49%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	1268	80%	68%	8%	1515	76%	67%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	405	51%	46%	11%	557	50%	43%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1673	73%	62%	9%	2072	69%	61%	9%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

** 2005 cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.