

## The New York State District Report Card

District GEORGETOWN-SOUTH OTSELIC CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 08-14-01-04-0000 Superintendent LINDA TAYLOR Telephone (315) 653-7218 Grades K-12, US

Comprehensive Information Report 2009 – 10

## **Regents Exams**

		All Stu	dents			Genera	al-Educat	ion Stud	ents	Students with Disabilities			
		Total	Percentag	e of studei	nts	Total	Percentag	e of studer	nts	Total	Percentag	e of studer	nts
		Tested	scoring at	or above:		Tested	Tested scoring at or above:			Tested	scoring at	or above:	
			55	65	85		55	65	85		55	65	85
Comprehensive English	2009–10	28	100%	96%	39%	26	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
	2008–09	22	100%	91%	50%	20	-	_	-	2	-	-	-
	2007-08	48	96%	96%	50%	38	97%	97%	61%	10	90%	90%	10%
Mathematics B	2009–10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	14	79%	57%	0%	13	-	_	-	1	-	_	-
	2007-08	26	73%	62%	8%	24	-	_	_	2	-	_	-
Integrated Algebra	2009–10	50	90%	66%	2%	44	91%	64%	2%	6	83%	83%	0%
	2008–09	70	89%	81%	11%	64	89%	84%	13%	6	83%	50%	0%
	2007-08	32	88%	75%	13%	28	-	_	_	4	-	_	-
Geometry	2009–10	36	97%	89%	22%	35	-	-	-	1	-	_	-
	2008–09	20	90%	80%	15%	20	90%	80%	15%	0			
	2007-08	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Trigonometry	2009–10	15	67%	53%	7%	15	67%	53%	7%	0			
	2008–09	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2007-08	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Global History	2009–10	47	89%	74%	17%	43	-	_	_	4	-	_	-
and Geography	2008–09	75	93%	81%	25%	68	94%	82%	28%	7	86%	71%	0%
	2007-08	36	86%	75%	22%	28	82%	71%	21%	8	100%	88%	25%
U.S. History	2009–10	34	100%	94%	32%	32	-	_	-	2	-	_	-
and Government	2008–09	27	96%	89%	33%	24	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
	2007-08	45	82%	73%	31%	36	83%	78%	36%	9	78%	56%	11%
Living Environment	2009–10	44	100%	95%	30%	40	-	_	-	4	-	_	-
	2008–09	30	100%	97%	37%	27	-	_	_	3	_	_	-
	2007-08	25	92%	76%	16%	19	89%	79%	16%	6	100%	67%	17%
Physical Setting/	2009–10	82	89%	78%	13%	75	88%	77%	12%	7	100%	86%	29%
Earth Science	2008–09	47	98%	83%	21%	44	-	_	-	3	-	_	-
	2007–08	35	77%	66%	14%	31	-	_	-	4	-	_	-
Physical Setting/Chemistry	2009–10	13	92%	77%	0%	13	92%	77%	0%	0			
	2008–09	10	80%	50%	10%	10	80%	50%	10%	0			
	2007-08	0				0				0			
Physical Setting/Physics	2009–10	6	83%	67%	0%	6	83%	67%	0%	0			
	2008–09	10	100%	90%	20%	10	100%	90%	20%	0			
	2007-08	10	40%	20%	10%	10	40%	20%	10%	0			

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

## **Regents Exams**

		All Stu	udents			General-Education Students				Students with Disabilities			
		Total		age of stu		Total		age of stud		Total	Percenta	0	
		Tested	scoring a	oring at or above:		Tested	scoring at or above:			Tested	scoring a	t or abov	e:
			55	65	85		55	65	85		55	65	85
<b>Comprehensive French</b>	2009–10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
	2007-08	0				0				0			
Comprehensive German	2009–10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
	2007–08	0				0				0			
Comprehensive Hebrew	2009–10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
	2007-08	0				0				0			
Comprehensive Italian	2009–10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
	2007-08	0				0				0			
Comprehensive Latin	2009–10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
	2007–08	0				0				0			
Comprehensive Spanish	2009–10	18	100%	94%	67%	18	100%	94%	67%	0			
	2008–09	10	100%	100%	80%	10	100%	100%	80%	0			
	2007-08	18	94%	94%	6%	18	94%	94%	6%	0			

### ΝΟΤΕ

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

## **Regents Competency Tests**

		All Students	5	General-Edu	ucation Students	Students wi	th Disabilities
		Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:
Mathematics	2009–10	0		0		0	
	2008–09	2	-	1	-	1	-
	2007-08	0		0		0	
Science	2009–10	0		0		0	
	2008–09	0		0		0	
	2007-08	0		0		0	
Reading	2009–10	0		0		0	
	2008–09	1	-	0		1	-
	2007-08	1	-	0		1	-
Writing	2009–10	0		0		0	
	2008–09	1	-	0		1	-
	2007-08	0		0		0	
Global Studies	2009–10	1	-	0		1	-
	2008–09	5	40%	3	-	2	-
	2007-08	5	80%	1	-	4	-
U.S. History	2009–10	1	-	1	-	0	
and Government	2008–09	1	-	1	-	0	
	2007-08	1	_	0		1	_

#### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

## **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

		All Students	-	General-Edu	ucation Students	Students with Disabilities		
		Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	
French	2009–10	0		0		0		
	2008–09	0		0		0		
	2007-08	0		0		0		
German	2009–10	0		0		0		
	2008–09	0		0		0		
	2007-08	0		0		0		
Italian	2009–10	0		0		0		
	2008–09	0		0		0		
	2007-08	0		0		0		
Latin	2009–10	0		0		0		
	2008–09	0		0		0		
	2007–08	0		0		0		
Spanish	2009–10	28	89%	25	-	3	-	
	2008–09	41	85%	38	-	3	-	
	2007–08	32	88%	31	-	1	-	

#### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

# Statewide 2008–09 Results on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced
Grade 4 Reading	29%	35%	27%	9%
Grade 8 Reading	25%	41%	30%	3%
Grade 4 Mathematics	17%	43%	35%	5%
Grade 8 Mathematics	27%	39%	26%	8%

# Statewide 2008–09 NAEP Participation Rates for LEP Students and Students with Disabilities

	Participation Rate
Grade 4 Reading	
Limited English Proficient	78%
Students with Disabilities	69%
Grade 8 Reading	
Limited English Proficient	53%
Students with Disabilities	61%
Grade 4 Mathematics	
Limited English Proficient	90%
Students with Disabilities	88%
Grade 8 Mathematics	
Limited English Proficient	80%
Students with Disabilities	82%

### NOTE

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), developed in 1969, is a nationally representative assessment of the performance of United States' students in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Teachers, principals, parents, policymakers, and researchers use NAEP results to assess progress and develop ways to improve education in the United States.

## Elementary/Middle-Level Social Studies 2009–10

	All Students				Gener	General-Education Students					Students with Disabilities					
	Total Percentage of students Tested scoring at level:				Total Percentage of students Tested scoring at level:				Total Percentage of students Tested scoring at level:							
		1	2	3	4		1	2	3	4		1	2	3	4	
Elementary Level	20	0%	15%	80%	5%	19	-	-	_	-	1	-	_	_	-	
Middle Level	32	6%	25%	44%	25%	25	0%	24%	44%	32%	7	29%	29%	43%	0%	

### 2006 Total Cohort Performance on Regents Exams After Four Years

	All S	tudents			Gene	General-Education Students					Students with Disabilities			
	Cohort Enrollment	Percentag students s			Cohort Enrollment	Percentag students s				Cohort Enrollment	Percentag students s			
		55-64	65-84	85-100		55-64	65-84	85-100			55-64	65-84	85-100	
Global History and Geography	23	9%	39%	35%	20	-	-	-	-	3	_	-	-	-
U.S. History and Government	23	0%	43%	39%	20	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
Science	23	0%	48%	35%	20	-	-	-	-	3	-	_	-	-

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2009–10

	All Students	
	Total Number of students scoring Tested at Level:	
	1 2 3	4
Elementary Level		
Social Studies	0	
Middle Level		
Social Studies	0	
Secondary Level		
English Language Arts	1	_
Mathematics	1	_
Social Studies	1	_
Science	1	_

The New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) is for students with severe cognitive disabilities. Results for students taking the NYSAA in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels are available in the Accountability and Overview Report part of The New York State Report Card.

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

NOTE

## **High School Completers**

		All Students		General-Educ	ation Students	Students with Disabilities		
		Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	
Total Graduates	2009–10	19		17		2		
	2008–09	46		39		7		
	2007-08	36		32		4		
Receiving a Regents Diploma	2009–10	17	89%	16	94%	1	50%	
	2008–09	41	89%	37	95%	4	57%	
	2007-08	31	86%	30	94%	1	25%	
Receiving a Regents Diploma	2009–10	4	21%	4	24%	0	0%	
with Advanced Designation	2008–09	12	26%	12	31%	0	0%	
	2007-08	13	36%	13	41%	0	0%	
Receiving an	2009–10	0		0		0		
Individualized Education	2008–09	0		0		0		
Program (IEP) Diploma	2007-08	0		0		0		

NOTE Students receiving Regents diplomas and Regents diplomas with advanced designation are considered graduates; recipients of IEP diplomas are not.

## **High School Noncompleters**

		All Students		General-Educa	ation Students	Students with Disabilities		
		Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	
Dropped Out	2009–10	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	
	2008–09	4	2%	1	1%	3	8%	
	2007-08	3	2%	3	2%	0	0%	
Entered Approved High	2009–10	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	
School Equivalency	2008–09	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Preparation Program	2007-08	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	
Total Noncompleters	2009–10	3	2%	3	2%	0	0%	
	2008–09	4	2%	1	1%	3	8%	
	2007–08	4	2%	4	3%	0	0%	

## Post-secondary Plans of 2009–10 Completers

	All Students		General-Edu	cation Students	<b>Students with Disabilities</b>		
	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	
To 4-year College	6	32%	6	35%	0	0%	
To 2-year College	8	42%	7	41%	1	50%	
To Other Post-secondary	2	11%	2	12%	0	0%	
To the Military	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
To Employment	3	16%	2	12%	1	50%	
To Adult Services	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
To Other Known Plans	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Plan Unknown	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	