

The New York State District Report Card

District DOVER UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 13-05-02-02-0000 Superintendent CRAIG ONOFRY Telephone (845) 832-4500 Grades PK-12, UE

Comprehensive Information Report 2009 – 10

Regents Exams

Regents Exams		All Stu	dents			Genera	al-Educat	tion Stud	ents	Students with Disabilities				
		Total	Percentag	e of stude	nts	Total	Percentag	ge of studer	nts	Total	Percentag	e of studer	its	
		Tested	scoring at	or above:		Tested	scoring at	or above:		Tested	scoring at	or above:		
			55	65	85		55	65	85		55	65	85	
Comprehensive English	2009–10	137	99%	99%	50%	127	100%	100%	53%	10	90%	90%	10%	
	2008–09	114	97%	94%	39%	106	99%	96%	42%	8	75%	63%	0%	
	2007-08	158	94%	87%	35%	134	98%	95%	41%	24	75%	46%	0%	
Mathematics B	2009–10	54	89%	83%	24%	54	89%	83%	24%	0				
	2008–09	74	80%	53%	9%	74	80%	53%	9%	0				
	2007-08	80	86%	71%	11%	79	-	_	-	1	_	_	-	
Integrated Algebra	2009–10	136	96%	87%	7%	120	98%	91%	7%	16	81%	56%	6%	
	2008–09	118	84%	68%	1%	96	86%	74%	1%	22	73%	41%	0%	
	2007-08	64	84%	64%	3%	57	86%	67%	4%	7	71%	43%	0%	
Geometry	2009–10	73	99%	92%	21%	70	-	-	-	3	-	_	-	
	2008–09	30	100%	97%	20%	29	-	_	_	1	-	_	-	
	2007-08	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Trigonometry	2009–10	23	87%	70%	13%	23	87%	70%	13%	0				
	2008–09	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	2007-08	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Global History	2009–10	133	90%	83%	31%	118	92%	87%	34%	15	80%	47%	7%	
and Geography	2008–09	156	92%	83%	36%	135	94%	90%	41%	21	76%	43%	5%	
	2007-08	151	87%	75%	33%	126	92%	83%	40%	25	60%	36%	0%	
U.S. History	2009–10	127	100%	95%	61%	116	100%	96%	64%	11	100%	91%	36%	
and Government	2008–09	130	98%	93%	60%	114	100%	97%	68%	16	81%	63%	0%	
	2007-08	158	94%	90%	53%	139	99%	96%	59%	19	63%	47%	11%	
Living Environment	2009–10	119	99%	91%	43%	106	99%	95%	46%	13	100%	54%	15%	
	2008–09	181	98%	96%	49%	166	99%	97%	52%	15	93%	80%	7%	
	2007-08	199	96%	89%	28%	174	98%	93%	32%	25	84%	64%	0%	
Physical Setting/	2009–10	98	87%	78%	27%	94	-	_	-	4	-	_	-	
Earth Science	2008–09	55	87%	73%	18%	49	86%	71%	20%	6	100%	83%	0%	
	2007–08	76	92%	84%	30%	72	-	_	-	4	-	_	-	
Physical Setting/Chemistry	2009–10	45	93%	84%	9%	45	93%	84%	9%	0				
	2008–09	77	97%	82%	9%	77	97%	82%	9%	0				
	2007-08	101	93%	79%	16%	100	-	-	-	1	_	-	-	
Physical Setting/Physics	2009–10	9	89%	67%	33%	9	89%	67%	33%	0				
	2008–09	26	85%	85%	8%	26	85%	85%	8%	0				
	2007-08	17	82%	82%	6%	17	82%	82%	6%	0				

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Regents Exams

		All Stu	All Students				al-Educ	ation St	udents	Students with Disabilities				
		Total	Percenta	age of stu	dents	Total	Percenta	age of stud	lents	Total	Percentag	ge of stuc	lents	
		Tested	scoring a	at or abov	e:	Tested	scoring a	at or abov	e:	Tested scoring at or abo			3:	
			55 65 85			55	65	85		55	65	85		
Comprehensive French	2009–10	11	100%	91%	27%	10	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
	2008–09	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	
	2007-08	11	100%	100%	73%	11	100%	100%	73%	0				
Comprehensive German	2009–10	0				0				0				
	2008–09	0				0				0				
	2007-08	0				0				0				
Comprehensive Hebrew	2009–10	0				0				0				
	2008–09	0				0				0				
	2007-08	0				0				0				
Comprehensive Italian	2009–10	0				0				0				
	2008–09	0				0				0				
	2007-08	0				0				0				
Comprehensive Latin	2009–10	0				0				0				
	2008–09	0				0				0				
	2007–08	0				0				0				
Comprehensive Spanish	2009–10	71	100%	96%	58%	69	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
	2008–09	60	97%	92%	65%	60	97%	92%	65%	0				
	2007–08	88	99%	97%	53%	88	99%	97%	53%	0				

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Regents Competency Tests

		All Students	5	General-Ed	ucation Students	s Students with Disabilitie		
		Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	
Mathematics	2009–10	5	20%	0		5	20%	
	2008–09	8	13%	0		8	13%	
	2007-08	8	63%	1	-	7	_	
Science	2009–10	0		0		0		
	2008–09	4	-	0		4	-	
	2007-08	9	67%	0		9	67%	
Reading	2009–10	2	-	0		2	_	
	2008–09	5	100%	0		5	100%	
	2007-08	8	75%	1	-	7	_	
Writing	2009–10	0		0		0		
	2008–09	2	-	0		2	_	
	2007-08	7	100%	1	-	6	-	
Global Studies	2009–10	5	40%	1	-	4	-	
	2008–09	8	38%	0		8	38%	
	2007-08	12	8%	1	-	11	_	
U.S. History	2009–10	3	-	0		3	-	
and Government	2008–09	1	-	0		1	-	
	2007-08	13	62%	4	-	9	-	

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

		All Students	5	General-Ed	ucation Students	ts Students with Disabilities			
		Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:		
French	2009–10	20	95%	18	-	2	-		
	2008–09	17	100%	17	100%	0			
	2007-08	13	92%	11	-	2	-		
German	2009–10	0		0		0			
	2008–09	0		0		0			
	2007-08	0		0		0			
Italian	2009–10	1	-	1	-	0			
	2008–09	0		0		0			
	2007-08	0		0		0			
Latin	2009–10	0		0		0			
	2008–09	0		0		0			
	2007–08	0		0		0			
Spanish	2009–10	103	95%	92	98%	11	73%		
	2008–09	94	89%	85	92%	9	67%		
	2007–08	99	95%	91	97%	8	75%		

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

| | All St | udents |

 | | | General-Education Students Students with Disabilities | | |

 | | | es | | |
 | |
|---------|---|---
--
--
--|--|--|---|---|--
--
--|--|--|---|---|--
---|---|
| | Total
Tested | |

 | | 5 | Total
Tested | | |

 | 5 | Total
Tested | | | | 5
 | |
| | | Begin. | Interm.

 | Adv. | Prof. | | Begin. | Interm. | Adv.

 | Prof. | | Begin. | Intern | n. Adv. | Pro
 | of. |
| 2009–10 | 14 | 0% | 7%

 | 43% | 50% | 14 | 0% | 7% | 43%

 | 50% | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2008–09 | 21 | 0% | 29%

 | 48% | 24% | 20 | - | _ | _

 | - | 1 | - | - | | _
 | - |
| 2007-08 | 19 | 0% | 0%

 | 68% | 32% | 19 | 0% | 0% | 68%

 | 32% | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2009–10 | 14 | 14% | 57%

 | 7% | 21% | 14 | 14% | 57% | 7%

 | 21% | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2008–09 | 21 | 33% | 5%

 | 14% | 48% | 20 | - | _ | _

 | _ | 1 | - | - | | _
 | - |
| 2007-08 | 19 | 16% | 32%

 | 21% | 32% | 19 | 16% | 32% | 21%

 | 32% | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2009–10 | 14 | 0% | 0%

 | 29% | 71% | 10 | - | - | _

 | _ | 4 | _ | _ | | _
 | - |
| 2008–09 | 12 | 0% | 0%

 | 25% | 75% | 10 | - | _ | -

 | _ | 2 | - | - | | _
 | - |
| 2007-08 | 15 | 0% | 0%

 | 20% | 80% | 13 | - | - | _

 | - | 2 | - | _ | | _
 | - |
| 2009–10 | 14 | 7% | 21%

 | 29% | 43% | 10 | - | - | _

 | - | 4 | - | _ | - | _
 | - |
| 2008–09 | 12 | 0% | 17%

 | 50% | 33% | 10 | - | - | -

 | - | 2 | - | - | | _
 | - |
| 2007–08 | 15 | 7% | 20%

 | 47% | 27% | 13 | - | _ | _

 | _ | 2 | - | - | | _
 | _ |
| 2009–10 | 6 | 17% | 0%

 | 0% | 83% | 6 | 17% | 0% | 0%

 | 83% | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2008–09 | 6 | 17% | 0%

 | 33% | 50% | 4 | _ | _ | _

 | _ | 2 | _ | - | | _
 | _ |
| 2007–08 | 4 | _ | _

 | _ | _ | 2 | - | _ | _

 | _ | 2 | - | - | | _
 | _ |
| 2009–10 | 6 | 17% | 0%

 | 33% | 50% | 6 | 17% | 0% | 33%

 | 50% | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2008–09 | 6 | 17% | 0%

 | 17% | 67% | 4 | - | _ | _

 | _ | 2 | - | - | | _
 | _ |
| 2007–08 | 4 | _ | _

 | _ | _ | 2 | - | _ | _

 | _ | 2 | - | - | | _
 | _ |
| 2009–10 | 2 | - | _

 | _ | _ | 2 | - | _ | _

 | _ | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2008–09 | 4 | _ | _

 | _ | _ | 4 | _ | _ | _

 | _ | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2007-08 | 4 | _ | _

 | _ | _ | 4 | _ | _ | _

 | _ | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2009–10 | 2 | _ | _

 | _ | _ | 2 | _ | _ | _

 | _ | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2008–09 | 4 | _ | _

 | _ | _ | 4 | _ | _ | _

 | _ | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2007–08 | 4 | _ | _

 | _ | _ | 4 | _ | _ | _

 | _ | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2009-10 | 13 | 23% | 15%

 | 23% | 38% | 13 | 23% | 15% | 23%

 | 38% | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2008-09 | 10 | 0% | 20%

 | 20% | 60% | 10 | 0% | 20% | 20%

 | 60% | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2007-08 | 9 | 11% | 22%

 | 44% | 22% | 9 | 11% | 22% | 44%

 | 22% | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2009-10 | 13 | 23% | 23%

 | 38% | 15% | 13 | 23% | 23% | 38%

 | 15% | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2008-09 | 10 | 20% | 20%

 | 40% | 20% | 10 | 20% | 20% | 40%

 | 20% | 0 | | | |
 | |
| 2007-08 | 9 | 11% | 44%

 | 44% | 0% | 9 | 11% | 44% | 44%

 | 0% | 0 | | | |
 | |
| | 2008–09
2007–08
2009–10
2008–09
2007–08
2009–10
2008–09
2007–08
2009–10
2008–09
2007–08
2009–10
2008–09
2007–08
2009–10
2008–09
2007–08
2009–10
2008–09
2007–08
2009–10
2008–09
2007–08
2009–10
2008–09 | Total
Tested 2009-10 14 2007-08 19 2009-10 14 2009-10 14 2009-10 14 2009-10 14 2009-10 14 2009-10 14 2009-10 14 2009-10 14 2009-10 14 2009-10 14 2009-10 14 2009-10 14 2009-10 6 2007-08 15 2009-10 6 2007-08 4 2009-10 6 2007-08 4 2009-10 6 2007-08 4 2009-10 2 2008-09 4 2007-08 4 2007-08 4 2007-08 4 2007-08 4 2007-08 4 2007-08 4 2007-08 4 2007-0 | TotalPercent
Tested2009-10140%2008-09210%2009-101414%2009-101414%2009-10140%2009-10140%2009-10140%2009-10140%2009-10140%2009-10140%2009-10140%2009-10147%2009-101417%2009-101417%2009-10617%2009-10617%2009-10617%2009-10617%2009-10617%2009-10617%2009-10112009-102-2009-102-2009-10122009-10112009-10112009-10112009-10112009-10112009-10112009-10112009-10112009-10112009-10112009-10112009-10112009-10112009-10112009-10123%2009-10123%2009-10123%2009-10123%2009-10123% <td>Tested iscale Regin Interm. 2009-10 14 0% 7% 2007-08 19 0% 29% 2007-08 19 0% 5% 2009-10 14 14% 57% 2009-10 14 14% 5% 2009-10 14 0% 32% 2009-10 14 0% 32% 2009-10 14 0% 0% 2009-10 14 0% 0% 2009-10 14 0% 0% 2009-10 14 7% 21% 2009-10 14 7% 21% 2009-10 14 7% 21% 2007-08 15 7% 20% 2007-08 4 7 - 2009-10 6 17% 0% 2009-10 2 - - 2009-10 2 - - 2009-10</td> <td>Total
TestedPercent student student
in each percent student
is each percent st</td> <td>IndiaPercent-Ferrier</td> <td>Total
TestedPercent of students subject
in each percent
in each perce</td> <td>Total Percent students source in a constraint of text in a constraint of t</td> <td>Total
TestedPercent structure
in each percent structureTotal
in ach percent structureProf.Structure
in ach percent structure2009-10140%7%43%50%140%7%2007-08190%0%68%32%190%0%2009-101414%57%7%21%1414%57%2007-081916%32%11%22%1016%32%2009-10140%0%29%71%100772007-081916%32%21%32%101672007-08120%0%20%75%1001772007-08150%0%20%33%1001772007-08150%0%20%33%1001772007-08157%20%43%1001772007-08157%20%43%1001772007-08157%20%33%50%1416%72007-081617%0%33%50%141772007-08617%0%33%50%141772007-08617%0%33%50%141772007-08617%0%13%<td>Indial
TestedPercent students serviceIndial
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
</td><td>Tetal Percification interview in</td><td>Intel Percent of students scoring
Tested Intern Julents Prote Intern Julents</td><td>Install Percent students scoring Totall Percent is students scoring 2009-00 14 14% 57% 74% 21% 20% 10 - - - - 2 - - 2 - -</td><td>Total Percent J students is services Tabel Percent J students is services Tabel Percent J students is services Tabel Percent J students 2009-10 14 0% 7% 43% 50% 14 0% 7% 43% 50% 10 Percent J students 2009-10 14 0% 2% 43% 50% 14 0% 7% 43% 50% 10 0</td><td>Total
restet Percent of students so
rested Total
rest performance level Protect Bergin Interm. Adv. Protect Bergin Interm. Adv. 2009-10 14 40% 7% 43% 50% 14 0% 7% 43% 50% 10 Prote Begin Interm. Adv. 2008-00 21 0% 7% 43% 50% 10 0% 68% 32% 00 0</td><td>Total
restet Percert J Subset Su</td></td> | Tested iscale Regin Interm. 2009-10 14 0% 7% 2007-08 19 0% 29% 2007-08 19 0% 5% 2009-10 14 14% 57% 2009-10 14 14% 5% 2009-10 14 0% 32% 2009-10 14 0% 32% 2009-10 14 0% 0% 2009-10 14 0% 0% 2009-10 14 0% 0% 2009-10 14 7% 21% 2009-10 14 7% 21% 2009-10 14 7% 21% 2007-08 15 7% 20% 2007-08 4 7 - 2009-10 6 17% 0% 2009-10 2 - - 2009-10 2 - - 2009-10 | Total
TestedPercent student student
in each percent student
is each percent st | IndiaPercent-Ferrier | Total
TestedPercent of students subject
in each percent
in each perce | Total Percent students source in a constraint of text in a constraint of t | Total
TestedPercent structure
in each percent structureTotal
in ach percent structureProf.Structure
in ach percent structure2009-10140%7%43%50%140%7%2007-08190%0%68%32%190%0%2009-101414%57%7%21%1414%57%2007-081916%32%11%22%1016%32%2009-10140%0%29%71%100772007-081916%32%21%32%101672007-08120%0%20%75%1001772007-08150%0%20%33%1001772007-08150%0%20%33%1001772007-08157%20%43%1001772007-08157%20%43%1001772007-08157%20%33%50%1416%72007-081617%0%33%50%141772007-08617%0%33%50%141772007-08617%0%33%50%141772007-08617%0%13% <td>Indial
TestedPercent students serviceIndial
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
</td> <td>Tetal Percification interview in</td> <td>Intel Percent of students scoring
Tested Intern Julents Prote Intern Julents</td> <td>Install Percent students scoring Totall Percent is students scoring 2009-00 14 14% 57% 74% 21% 20% 10 - - - - 2 - - 2 - -</td> <td>Total Percent J students is services Tabel Percent J students is services Tabel Percent J students is services Tabel Percent J students 2009-10 14 0% 7% 43% 50% 14 0% 7% 43% 50% 10 Percent J students 2009-10 14 0% 2% 43% 50% 14 0% 7% 43% 50% 10 0</td> <td>Total
restet Percent of students so
rested Total
rest performance level Protect Bergin Interm. Adv. Protect Bergin Interm. Adv. 2009-10 14 40% 7% 43% 50% 14 0% 7% 43% 50% 10 Prote Begin Interm. Adv. 2008-00 21 0% 7% 43% 50% 10 0% 68% 32% 00 0</td> <td>Total
restet Percert J Subset Su</td> | Indial
TestedPercent students serviceIndial
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
iscal
 | Tetal Percification interview in | Intel Percent of students scoring
Tested Intern Julents Prote Intern Julents | Install Percent students scoring Totall Percent is students scoring 2009-00 14 14% 57% 74% 21% 20% 10 - - - - 2 - - 2 - - | Total Percent J students is services Tabel Percent J students is services Tabel Percent J students is services Tabel Percent J students 2009-10 14 0% 7% 43% 50% 14 0% 7% 43% 50% 10 Percent J students 2009-10 14 0% 2% 43% 50% 14 0% 7% 43% 50% 10 0 | Total
restet Percent of students so
rested Total
rest performance level Protect Bergin Interm. Adv. Protect Bergin Interm. Adv. 2009-10 14 40% 7% 43% 50% 14 0% 7% 43% 50% 10 Prote Begin Interm. Adv. 2008-00 21 0% 7% 43% 50% 10 0% 68% 32% 00 0 | Total
restet Percert J Subset Su |

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Statewide 2008–09 Results on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced
Grade 4 Reading	29%	35%	27%	9%
Grade 8 Reading	25%	41%	30%	3%
Grade 4 Mathematics	17%	43%	35%	5%
Grade 8 Mathematics	27%	39%	26%	8%

Statewide 2008–09 NAEP Participation Rates for LEP Students and Students with Disabilities

	Participation Rate
Grade 4 Reading	
Limited English Proficient	78%
Students with Disabilities	69%
Grade 8 Reading	
Limited English Proficient	53%
Students with Disabilities	61%
Grade 4 Mathematics	
Limited English Proficient	90%
Students with Disabilities	88%
Grade 8 Mathematics	
Limited English Proficient	80%
Students with Disabilities	82%

NOTE

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), developed in 1969, is a nationally representative assessment of the performance of United States' students in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Teachers, principals, parents, policymakers, and researchers use NAEP results to assess progress and develop ways to improve education in the United States.

Elementary/Middle-Level Social Studies 2009–10

	All St	All Students					al-Edu	nts	Students with Disabilities							
		Percenta scoring	5				Total Percentage of students Tested scoring at level:				Total Percentage of students Tested scoring at level:					
		1	2	3	4		1	2	3	4		1	2	3	4	
Elementary Level	106	8%	5%	59%	28%	95	1%	4%	63%	32%	11	64%	9%	27%	0%	
Middle Level	128	1%	19%	58%	23%	109	1%	12%	62%	25%	19	0%	58%	32%	11%	

2006 Total Cohort Performance on Regents Exams After Four Years

	All S	tudents				Gene	ral-Educa	al-Education Students			Students with Disabilities					
	Cohort Enrollment	Percentage of Substructures scoring:					ع الع الع O O O O S tudents scoring:					لت وم وم وم في ع الا الا الا الا الا الا الا الا الا ا				
		55-64	65-84	85-100			55-64	65-84	85-100			55-64	65-84	85-100		
Global History and Geography	146	3%	45%	33%		128	2%	49%	38%		18	11%	17%	0%		
U.S. History and Government	146	2%	28%	53%		128	2%	27%	60%		18	6%	33%	0%		
Science	146	3%	50%	35%		128	2%	53%	39%		18	11%	28%	6%		

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2009–10

	All Students									
	Total Tested	Number o at Level:	f studen	ts scorin	g					
		1	2	3	4					
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	2	-	-	-	-					
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0									
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	3	-	-	-	-					
Mathematics	3	-	-	-	-					
Social Studies	2	-	-	-	-					
Science	3	-	-	-	-					

The New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) is for students with severe cognitive disabilities. Results for students taking the NYSAA in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels are available in the Accountability and Overview Report part of The New York State Report Card.

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

NOTE

High School Completers

		All Students		General-Educ	ation Students	nts Students with Disabilities		
		Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	
Total Graduates	2009–10	119		110		9		
	2008–09	137		122		15		
	2007-08	115		107		8		
Receiving a Regents Diploma	2009–10	104	87%	103	94%	1	11%	
	2008–09	115	84%	111	91%	4	27%	
	2007-08	100	87%	98	92%	2	25%	
Receiving a Regents Diploma	2009–10	51	43%	51	46%	0	0%	
with Advanced Designation	2008–09	53	39%	53	43%	0	0%	
	2007–08	48	42%	48	45%	0	0%	
Receiving an	2009–10	1	N/A	0		1	N/A	
Individualized Education	2008–09	4	N/A	0		4	N/A	
Program (IEP) Diploma	2007-08	3	N/A	0		3	N/A	

NOTE Students receiving Regents diplomas and Regents diplomas with advanced designation are considered graduates; recipients of IEP diplomas are not.

High School Noncompleters

		All Students		General-Educ	ation Students	Students with Disabilities			
		Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students		
Dropped Out	2009–10	20	3%	15	3%	5	5%		
	2008–09	23	3%	17	3%	6	6%		
	2007-08	14	2%	11	2%	3	3%		
Entered Approved High	2009–10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
School Equivalency	2008–09	3	0%	3	0%	0	0%		
Preparation Program	2007-08	2	0%	1	0%	1	1%		
Total Noncompleters	2009–10	20	3%	15	3%	5	5%		
	2008–09	26	4%	20	3%	6	6%		
	2007-08	16	2%	12	2%	4	4%		

Post-secondary Plans of 2009–10 Completers

	All Students		General-Education Students		Students with Disabilities	
	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students
To 4-year College	49	41%	48	44%	1	10%
To 2-year College	61	51%	54	49%	7	70%
To Other Post-secondary	1	1%	0	0%	1	10%
To the Military	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
To Employment	8	7%	8	7%	0	0%
To Adult Services	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
To Other Known Plans	1	1%	0	0%	1	10%
Plan Unknown	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Career and Technical Education Programs (CTE Programs)

	This Distric	This District		
	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Statewide Average	
All CTE Programs				
Completed the CTE Program	20			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	11	55%	76%	
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or more				
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	15	75%	78%	
Completed and Whose Status is Known				
Completed and Were Successfully Placed				
Nontraditional Programs				
Enrolled Members, Regardless of Gender, in 2009–10	15			
Enrolled Members of Historically Underrepresented Gender in 2009–10	8	53%	49%	
Completers, Regardless of Gender, as of June 2009	10	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Completers of Historically Underrepresented Gender as of June 2009	7	70%	48%	

Enrollment data are for the 2009–10 school year; completer data are as of June 2009.