

## The New York State District Report Card

District CARTHAGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 22-22-01-06-0000 Superintendent JOSEPH CATANZARO Telephone (315) 493-5120 Grades PK-12

Comprehensive Information Report 2009 – 10

### **Regents Exams**

		All Stu	dents			Genera	al-Educati	ion Stud	ents	Students with Disabilities				
		Total	Percentag	e of studei	nts	Total	Percentag	e of studer	nts	Total	Percentag	e of studer	its	
		Tested	scoring at	or above:		Tested	scoring at	or above:		Tested	scoring at	or above:		
			55	65	85		55	65	85		55	65	85	
Comprehensive English	2009–10	236	92%	84%	34%	211	97%	92%	38%	25	48%	20%	0%	
	2008–09	206	96%	91%	37%	186	99%	96%	41%	20	60%	50%	0%	
	2007-08	221	93%	88%	40%	197	99%	96%	45%	24	46%	21%	0%	
Mathematics B	2009–10	15	87%	73%	7%	15	87%	73%	7%	0				
	2008–09	101	70%	59%	11%	101	70%	59%	11%	0				
	2007-08	106	92%	76%	26%	106	92%	76%	26%	0				
Integrated Algebra	2009–10	278	81%	67%	2%	238	86%	73%	3%	40	53%	33%	0%	
	2008–09	319	90%	79%	13%	287	92%	84%	14%	32	75%	41%	0%	
	2007-08	136	97%	92%	10%	133	-	_	_	3	-	_	-	
Geometry	2009–10	167	93%	83%	25%	165	-	-	-	2	-	_	-	
	2008–09	213	93%	80%	18%	212	-	-	_	1	-	-	-	
	2007-08	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Trigonometry	2009–10	139	72%	56%	14%	139	72%	56%	14%	0				
	2008–09	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	2007-08	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Global History	2009–10	267	81%	70%	33%	241	84%	75%	37%	26	58%	19%	4%	
and Geography	2008–09	251	92%	80%	35%	232	94%	84%	38%	19	58%	32%	0%	
	2007-08	268	87%	76%	26%	238	90%	80%	30%	30	60%	50%	0%	
U.S. History	2009–10	227	97%	89%	48%	213	97%	90%	51%	14	93%	64%	7%	
and Government	2008–09	214	97%	90%	50%	195	98%	93%	53%	19	79%	58%	11%	
	2007-08	226	98%	91%	55%	208	99%	93%	60%	18	89%	67%	0%	
Living Environment	2009-10	248	96%	91%	42%	227	98%	94%	45%	21	81%	57%	5%	
	2008–09	242	99%	93%	41%	228	99%	96%	43%	14	100%	57%	7%	
	2007-08	204	100%	93%	42%	184	100%	95%	45%	20	95%	70%	10%	
Physical Setting/	2009-10	189	87%	69%	20%	165	90%	72%	21%	24	71%	50%	13%	
Earth Science	2008–09	244	86%	72%	36%	219	90%	77%	40%	25	52%	28%	0%	
	2007-08	192	82%	68%	13%	161	89%	77%	16%	31	45%	19%	0%	
Physical Setting/Chemistry	2009–10	154	95%	76%	10%	153	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
	2008–09	138	92%	64%	10%	136	_	-	_	2	_	-	-	
	2007-08	137	99%	74%	8%	136	-	-	_	1	-	_	_	
Physical Setting/Physics	2009–10	20	95%	85%	35%	20	95%	85%	35%	0				
	2008–09	21	90%	67%	14%	21	90%	67%	14%	0				
	2007-08	33	100%	97%	42%	33	100%	97%	42%	0				

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

### **Regents Exams**

		All Stu	All Students				General-Education Student				Students with Disabilities			
		Total		age of stu		Total	Percenta	ige of stud	lents	Total Percentage of stud			lents	
		Tested	scoring a	at or abov	e:	Tested	scoring a	at or abov	e:	Tested scoring at or above:			9:	
			55	65	85		55	65	85		55	65	85	
Comprehensive French	2009–10	43	100%	100%	79%	43	100%	100%	79%	0				
	2008–09	40	100%	100%	85%	40	100%	100%	85%	0				
	2007-08	47	100%	100%	70%	47	100%	100%	70%	0				
Comprehensive German	2009–10	0				0				0				
	2008–09	0				0				0				
	2007-08	0				0				0				
Comprehensive Hebrew	2009–10	0				0				0				
	2008–09	0				0				0				
	2007-08	0				0				0				
Comprehensive Italian	2009–10	0				0				0				
	2008–09	0				0				0				
	2007-08	0				0				0				
Comprehensive Latin	2009–10	0				0				0				
	2008–09	0				0				0				
	2007-08	0				0				0				
Comprehensive Spanish	2009–10	67	100%	99%	63%	67	100%	99%	63%	0				
	2008–09	70	100%	100%	69%	70	100%	100%	69%	0				
	2007-08	56	100%	96%	50%	56	100%	96%	50%	0				

#### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

## **Regents Competency Tests**

		All Students	5	General-Ed	ucation Students	Students with Disabilities			
		Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:		
Mathematics	2009–10	18	83%	2	-	16	-		
	2008–09	15	73%	0		15	73%		
	2007-08	26	81%	5	80%	21	81%		
Science	2009–10	1	-	0		1	-		
	2008-09	4	-	0		4	-		
	2007-08	30	100%	5	100%	25	100%		
Reading	2009–10	4	-	1	-	3	-		
	2008-09	19	79%	2	-	17	-		
	2007-08	17	100%	0		17	100%		
Writing	2009–10	14	100%	4	-	10	-		
	2008-09	12	100%	2	-	10	-		
	2007-08	0		0		0			
Global Studies	2009–10	13	62%	1	-	12	-		
	2008-09	11	9%	2	-	9	-		
	2007-08	7	71%	0		7	71%		
U.S. History	2009–10	3	-	2	-	1	-		
and Government	2008–09	5	20%	0		5	20%		
	2007-08	0		0		0			

### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

## **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

		All Students	-	General-Ed	ucation Students	Students with Disabilities			
		Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:		
French	2009–10	0		0		0			
	2008–09	30	100%	30	100%	0			
	2007-08	0		0		0			
German	2009–10	0		0		0			
	2008–09	0		0		0			
	2007-08	0		0		0			
Italian	2009–10	0		0		0			
	2008–09	0		0		0			
	2007-08	0		0		0			
Latin	2009–10	0		0		0			
	2008–09	0		0		0			
	2007-08	0		0		0			
Spanish	2009–10	72	89%	68	-	4	-		
	2008–09	26	100%	26	100%	0			
	2007-08	0		0		0			

#### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

## New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

		All St	udents				Gene	General-Education Students Stud					dents with Disabilities				
		Total Tested	Percent in each	of stude perform		5	Total Tested	Percent in each	of stude perform		5	Total Tested			dents so rmance		5
			Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Inter	m. Adv.	Ρ	rof.
Listening	2009–10	7	0%	29%	71%	0%	7	0%	29%	71%	0%	0					
and Speaking	2008–09	6	0%	50%	17%	33%	6	0%	50%	17%	33%	0					
(Grades K-1)	2007-08	4	-	_	_	-	4	-	_	-	_	0					
Reading	2009–10	7	29%	29%	14%	29%	7	29%	29%	14%	29%	0					
and Writing	2008–09	6	50%	33%	0%	17%	6	50%	33%	0%	17%	0					
(Grades K-1)	2007-08	4	-	_	_	_	4	-	_	_	_	0					
Listening	2009–10	2	-	_	-	-	2	-	_	_	-	0					
and Speaking	2008–09	6	17%	17%	50%	17%	6	17%	17%	50%	17%	0					
(Grades 2–4)	2007-08	2	-	_	_	_	2	-	_	_	_	0					
Reading	2009–10	2	-	_	-	_	2	-	_	_	-	0					
and Writing	2008–09	6	17%	33%	50%	0%	6	17%	33%	50%	0%	0					
(Grades 2–4)	2007-08	2	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_	0					
Listening	2009–10	5	0%	60%	20%	20%	3	_	_	_	_	2	_		_	-	-
and Speaking	2008–09	0					0					0					
(Grades 5–6)	2007-08	4	_	_	_	_	3	_	_	_	_	1	_		_	_	-
Reading	2009–10	5	40%	20%	40%	0%	3	_	_	_	_	2	_		_	_	-
and Writing	2008–09	0					0					0					
(Grades 5–6)	2007-08	4	_	_	_	_	3	_	_	_	_	1	_		_	_	-
Listening	2009–10	2	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_	0					
and Speaking	2008–09	2	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_	1	_		_	_	_
(Grades 7-8)	2007-08	4	_	_	_	_	4	_	_	_	_	0					
Reading	2009–10	2	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_	0					
and Writing	2008–09	2	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_	1	_		_	_	_
(Grades 7-8)	2007-08	4	_	_	_	_	4	_	_	_	_	0					
Listening	2009-10	4	-	_	-	_	4	-	_	-	-	0					
and Speaking	2008-09	5	0%	60%	20%	20%	5	0%	60%	20%	20%	0					
(Grades 9-12)	2007-08	0					0					0					
Reading	2009–10	4	-	_	-	_	4	-	_	_	-	0					
and Writing	2008-09	5	20%	20%	40%	20%	5	20%	20%	40%	20%	0					
(Grades 9-12)	2007-08	0					0					0					

#### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

## Statewide 2008–09 Results on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced
Grade 4 Reading	29%	35%	27%	9%
Grade 8 Reading	25%	41%	30%	3%
Grade 4 Mathematics	17%	43%	35%	5%
Grade 8 Mathematics	27%	39%	26%	8%

## Statewide 2008–09 NAEP Participation Rates for LEP Students and Students with Disabilities

	Participation Rate
Grade 4 Reading	
Limited English Proficient	78%
Students with Disabilities	69%
Grade 8 Reading	
Limited English Proficient	53%
Students with Disabilities	61%
Grade 4 Mathematics	
Limited English Proficient	90%
Students with Disabilities	88%
Grade 8 Mathematics	
Limited English Proficient	80%
Students with Disabilities	82%

#### NOTE

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), developed in 1969, is a nationally representative assessment of the performance of United States' students in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Teachers, principals, parents, policymakers, and researchers use NAEP results to assess progress and develop ways to improve education in the United States.

## Elementary/Middle-Level Social Studies 2009–10

	All St	All Students					al-Edu	cation	Stude	nts	Students with Disabilities						
		Percenta scoring	5				Percent scoring	5				Percent scoring	5				
		1	2	3	4		1	2	3	4		1	2	3	4		
Elementary Level	269	13%	12%	55%	20%	234	6%	10%	61%	22%	35	60%	20%	17%	3%		
Middle Level	242	2%	25%	46%	27%	204	0%	18%	51%	31%	38	13%	66%	18%	3%		

### 2006 Total Cohort Performance on Regents Exams After Four Years

	All S	Students				General-Education Students					Students with Disabilities				
	Cohort Enrollment	Percentage of S istudents scoring: 55-64 65-84 85-100				5 2	Percentage students so				Cohort Enrollment	Percentage of students scoring:			
		55-64	65-84	85-100			55-64	65-84	85-100			55-64	65-84	85-100	
Global History and Geography	243	8%	42%	26%	2	213	8%	44%	30%		30	3%	27%	0%	
U.S. History and Government	243	3%	34%	43%	2	213	3%	36%	48%		30	7%	20%	7%	
Science	243	2%	44%	32%	2	213	1%	48%	36%		30	10%	20%	7%	

### New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2009–10

All Students									
Total Tested									
	1	2	3	4					
0									
0									
0									
0									
0									
0									
	Total Tested 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total Number o Tested at Level: 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total Number of studen Tested at Level: 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total Number of students scorin Tested at Level: 1 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					

The New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) is for students with severe cognitive disabilities. Results for students taking the NYSAA in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels are available in the Accountability and Overview Report part of The New York State Report Card.

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

NOTE

## **High School Completers**

		All Students		General-Educ	ation Students	Students with Disabilities		
		Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	
Total Graduates	2009–10	184		172		12		
	2008–09	211		199		12		
	2007-08	192		180		12		
Receiving a Regents Diploma	2009–10	148	80%	144	84%	4	33%	
	2008–09	168	80%	165	83%	3	25%	
	2007-08	155	81%	149	83%	6	50%	
Receiving a Regents Diploma	2009–10	60	33%	60	35%	0	0%	
with Advanced Designation	2008–09	67	32%	67	34%	0	0%	
	2007–08	80	42%	80	44%	0	0%	
Receiving an	2009–10	9	N/A	0		9	N/A	
Individualized Education	2008–09	7	N/A	0		7	N/A	
Program (IEP) Diploma	2007-08	10	N/A	0		10	N/A	

NOTE Students receiving Regents diplomas and Regents diplomas with advanced designation are considered graduates; recipients of IEP diplomas are not.

## **High School Noncompleters**

		All Students		General-Educa	ation Students	Students with Disabilities			
		Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students		
Dropped Out	2009–10	24	2%	17	2%	7	4%		
	2008–09	31	3%	23	2%	8	4%		
	2007-08	19	2%	13	1%	6	3%		
Entered Approved High	2009–10	29	2%	18	2%	11	6%		
School Equivalency	2008–09	19	2%	15	1%	4	2%		
Preparation Program	2007-08	3	0%	2	0%	1	1%		
Total Noncompleters	2009–10	53	4%	35	3%	18	10%		
	2008–09	50	4%	38	4%	12	6%		
	2007–08	22	2%	15	1%	7	4%		

## Post-secondary Plans of 2009–10 Completers

-	All Students		General-Education Students		Students with Disabilities	
	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students
To 4-year College	65	34%	62	36%	3	14%
To 2-year College	87	45%	80	47%	7	33%
To Other Post-secondary	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%
To the Military	10	5%	9	5%	1	5%
To Employment	25	13%	19	11%	6	29%
To Adult Services	1	1%	0	0%	1	5%
To Other Known Plans	3	2%	0	0%	3	14%
Plan Unknown	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%

# Career and Technical Education Programs (CTE Programs)

	This Distric		
	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Statewide Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or more			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known		•	
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Enrolled Members, Regardless of Gender, in 2009–10	34		
Enrolled Members of Historically Underrepresented Gender in 2009–10	19	56%	49%
Completers, Regardless of Gender, as of June 2009	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••
Completers of Historically Underrepresented Gender as of June 2009	••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••

Enrollment data are for the 2009–10 school year; completer data are as of June 2009.