

## The New York State District Report Card

District NORTH BABYLON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 58-01-03-03-0000 Superintendent ROBERT ALOISE Telephone (631) 321-3226 Grades PK-12

Comprehensive Information Report 2009 – 10

### **Regents Exams**

Regents Exams		All Stu	dents			Genera	al-Educati	ion Stud	ents	Students with Disabilities				
		Total	Percentag	e of studer	nts	Total	Percentag	e of studer	nts	Total	Percentage of students			
		Tested	scoring at	or above:		Tested	scoring at	or above:		Tested	scoring at	or above:		
			55	65	85		55	65	85		55	65	85	
Comprehensive English	2009–10	389	94%	88%	35%	309	98%	95%	42%	80	79%	58%	5%	
	2008–09	426	96%	93%	38%	341	99%	97%	47%	85	87%	76%	5%	
	2007-08	371	95%	89%	43%	305	97%	95%	52%	66	85%	62%	5%	
Mathematics B	2009–10	148	65%	49%	5%	144	-	-	-	4	-	_	-	
	2008–09	207	80%	62%	11%	201	80%	63%	10%	6	67%	33%	17%	
	2007-08	194	88%	78%	10%	189	88%	78%	11%	5	100%	80%	0%	
Integrated Algebra	2009–10	494	86%	73%	10%	387	88%	79%	13%	107	78%	50%	0%	
	2008–09	443	90%	81%	12%	362	90%	82%	14%	81	89%	75%	0%	
	2007-08	300	90%	80%	2%	249	93%	83%	3%	51	75%	65%	0%	
Geometry	2009–10	383	81%	60%	7%	338	82%	63%	8%	45	73%	42%	0%	
	2008–09	260	81%	61%	3%	229	83%	65%	4%	31	68%	29%	0%	
	2007-08	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Trigonometry	2009–10	206	50%	35%	5%	193	53%	38%	6%	13	8%	0%	0%	
	2008–09	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	2007-08	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Global History	2009–10	448	85%	69%	25%	345	90%	78%	31%	103	68%	38%	6%	
and Geography	2008–09	450	86%	77%	20%	340	94%	88%	24%	110	65%	45%	8%	
	2007-08	513	86%	71%	23%	401	91%	81%	29%	112	66%	36%	2%	
U.S. History	2009–10	384	96%	90%	49%	299	98%	95%	58%	85	87%	73%	19%	
and Government	2008–09	425	95%	88%	45%	345	99%	96%	54%	80	81%	53%	8%	
	2007–08	392	96%	91%	51%	310	98%	97%	61%	82	85%	67%	10%	
Living Environment	2009–10	456	95%	87%	30%	336	97%	91%	39%	120	88%	75%	8%	
	2008–09	418	95%	89%	27%	334	99%	96%	33%	84	81%	61%	5%	
	2007-08	399	91%	83%	21%	311	95%	91%	26%	88	78%	57%	2%	
Physical Setting/	2009–10	377	88%	74%	23%	319	89%	77%	27%	58	83%	57%	3%	
Earth Science	2008–09	367	84%	69%	21%	323	85%	72%	23%	44	75%	52%	7%	
	2007-08	351	85%	73%	21%	309	86%	76%	23%	42	74%	45%	2%	
Physical Setting/Chemistry	2009–10	261	93%	72%	5%	247	93%	72%	5%	14	100%	64%	7%	
	2008–09	264	91%	61%	6%	256	92%	63%	7%	8	63%	13%	0%	
	2007-08	224	96%	78%	8%	222	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Physical Setting/Physics	2009–10	94	83%	70%	22%	93	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
	2008–09	81	69%	48%	11%	80	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
	2007-08	73	79%	55%	11%	72	_	-	_	1	-	_	_	

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

### **Regents Exams**

		All Stu	udents			Gener	al-Educ	ation St	udents	s Students with Disabilities			
		Total		age of stu		Total		ige of stud		Total	Percenta	<b>,</b>	
		Tested		at or abov		Tested		at or abov		Tested	scoring at		
			55	65	85		55	65	85		55	65	85
Comprehensive French	2009–10	14	93%	86%	64%	14	93%	86%	64%	0			
	2008–09	11	100%	91%	27%	11	100%	91%	27%	0			
	2007-08	32	100%	100%	81%	31	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Comprehensive German	2009–10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
	2007–08	0				0				0			
Comprehensive Hebrew	2009–10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
	2007–08	0				0				0			
Comprehensive Italian	2009–10	37	100%	100%	46%	37	100%	100%	46%	0			
	2008–09	43	100%	100%	51%	43	100%	100%	51%	0			
	2007–08	41	100%	100%	71%	41	100%	100%	71%	0			
Comprehensive Latin	2009–10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
	2007-08	0				0				0			
Comprehensive Spanish	2009–10	138	99%	94%	54%	137	-	-	_	1	-	-	_
	2008–09	142	99%	96%	70%	141	-	_	_	1	_	_	_
	2007–08	123	98%	97%	74%	120	_	_	-	3	-	-	_

#### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

## **Regents Competency Tests**

		All Student	5	General-Ed	ucation Students	Students wi	th Disabilities
		Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:
Mathematics	2009–10	21	43%	1	_	20	-
	2008–09	15	67%	0		15	67%
	2007-08	13	69%	1	-	12	-
Science	2009–10	18	28%	3	-	15	-
	2008–09	8	63%	0		8	63%
	2007-08	10	40%	2	-	8	_
Reading	2009–10	10	50%	1	-	9	-
	2008–09	12	17%	1	-	11	-
	2007-08	18	72%	1	-	17	-
Writing	2009–10	11	64%	0		11	64%
	2008–09	8	50%	0		8	50%
	2007-08	18	83%	1	-	17	-
Global Studies	2009–10	30	20%	3	-	27	-
	2008–09	40	40%	1	-	39	-
	2007-08	46	11%	2	-	44	-
U.S. History	2009–10	11	45%	1	-	10	-
and Government	2008-09	12	33%	0		12	33%
	2007-08	17	18%	1	-	16	-

#### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

## New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

	All St	All Students				Gene	General-Education Students				Students with Disabilities				\$
	Total Tested				5	Total Tested				5	Total Tested				5
		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.
2009–10	44	2%	5%	39%	55%	40	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
2008–09	39	5%	23%	31%	41%	35	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
2007-08	42	0%	5%	40%	55%	36	0%	3%	36%	61%	6	0%	17%	67%	17%
2009–10	44	25%	25%	16%	34%	40	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
2008–09	39	33%	31%	21%	15%	35	-	_	_	-	4	-	_	_	-
2007-08	42	10%	38%	14%	38%	36	11%	33%	11%	44%	6	0%	67%	33%	0%
2009–10	33	9%	3%	15%	73%	17	18%	0%	18%	65%	16	0%	6%	13%	81%
2008–09	38	3%	5%	11%	82%	21	5%	10%	5%	81%	17	0%	0%	18%	82%
2007-08	36	0%	0%	36%	64%	18	0%	0%	28%	72%	18	0%	0%	44%	56%
2009–10	33	24%	21%	36%	18%	17	29%	18%	29%	24%	16	19%	25%	44%	13%
2008–09	38	11%	13%	45%	32%	21	14%	10%	24%	52%	17	6%	18%	71%	6%
2007-08	36	3%	33%	47%	17%	18	0%	33%	50%	17%	18	6%	33%	44%	17%
2009–10	22	5%	5%	41%	50%	11	9%	9%	36%	45%	11	0%	0%	45%	55%
2008–09	23	17%	13%	48%	22%	12	25%	25%	33%	17%	11	9%	0%	64%	27%
2007–08	22	0%	32%	32%	36%	11	0%	36%	18%	45%	11	0%	27%	45%	27%
2009–10	22	9%	14%	55%	23%	11	9%	9%	45%	36%	11	9%	18%	64%	9%
2008–09	23	30%	13%	39%	17%	12	50%	8%	33%	8%	11	9%	18%	45%	27%
2007–08	22	18%	32%	32%	18%	11	9%	45%	18%	27%	11	27%	18%	45%	9%
2009–10	29	3%	21%	28%	48%	17	6%	29%	24%	41%	12	0%	8%	33%	58%
2008–09	26	4%	15%	50%	31%	14	7%	14%	57%	21%	12	0%	17%	42%	42%
2007-08	16	13%	19%	31%	38%	10	10%	20%	30%	40%	6	17%	17%	33%	33%
2009–10	29	21%	31%	34%	14%	17	29%	18%	35%	18%	12	8%	50%	33%	8%
2008–09	26	19%	46%	27%	8%	14	21%	29%	36%	14%	12	17%	67%	17%	0%
2007–08	15	33%	7%	53%	7%	10	30%	0%	60%	10%	5	40%	20%	40%	0%
2009–10	39	3%	18%	23%	56%	30	0%	20%	23%	57%	9	11%	11%	22%	56%
2008–09	27	4%	26%	37%	33%	23	_	_	_	_	4	_	_	_	_
2007–08	25	12%	12%	32%	44%	21	_	_	_	_	4	_	_	_	_
2009-10	39	5%	44%	18%	33%	30	0%	40%	17%	43%	9	22%	56%	22%	0%
2008–09	27	19%	37%	26%	19%	23	_	_	_	_	4	_	_	_	_
2007-08	25	16%	32%	32%	20%	21	_	_	_	_	4	_	_	_	_
	2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09	Total Tested     2009-10   44     2007-08   42     2007-08   42     2009-10   44     2009-10   44     2009-10   43     2009-10   33     2007-08   33     2009-10   33     2009-10   33     2007-08   36     2009-10   33     2007-08   36     2007-08   36     2007-08   32     2007-08   23     2007-08   22     2008-09   23     2007-08   22     2008-09   23     2007-08   22     2008-09   23     2007-08   22     2008-09   26     2007-08   21     2008-09   26     2007-08   21     2008-09   26     2007-08   21     2008-09   27     2007-08   25	TotalPercent Tested2009-104429%2007-08420%2009-104425%2009-104425%2009-104425%2009-104425%2009-10339%2009-10339%2009-10339%2009-103310%2009-103324%2009-103324%2009-103311%2009-103311%2009-102311%2009-10229%2009-10229%2009-10229%2009-10229%2009-102218%2009-102931%2009-102931%2009-102931%2009-102931%2009-102931%2009-102931%2009-102011%2009-102931%2009-103933%2009-103933%2009-103931%2009-103931%2009-103931%2009-103931%2009-103931%2009-103931%2009-103931%2009-103931%2009-103931%2009-103931%2009-103931%2009-1039 <td>Total TestedPercent struct rested2009-104426%5%2007-08420%5%2009-104425%25%2009-104425%25%2009-103331%31%2009-10339%33%2009-10339%3%2009-10339%3%2009-10339%3%2009-103310%13%2009-103324%21%2009-103311%13%2009-103311%33%2009-10225%33%2009-10229%13%2009-10229%13%2009-102213%32%2009-10229%13%2009-102213%13%2009-102213%13%2009-10203%13%2009-10203%13%2009-10203%13%2009-10293%13%2009-10293%13%2009-10203%3%2009-10203%13%2009-10203%13%2009-10203%13%2009-10393%14%2009-11203%14%2009-1221%12%12%2009-1420%3%12%2009-15</td> <td>Total TestedPercent student student in each percent student is each percent s</td> <td>IndiaPercent-Ferrier</td> <td>Total TestedPercent Students succentsTotal TestedZ009-10442%5%39%55%4002008-09395%23%31%41%352007-08420%5%40%55%362009-104425%25%16%34%402008-093933%31%21%35%362007-084210%38%14%38%362007-08339%35%15%73%172008-09383%5%11%82%212007-08360%0%36%46%182009-103324%21%36%14%13%2007-08363%33%47%17182009-10325%5%41%50%112008-092317%13%48%22%122007-082218%32%32%14122007-082218%32%36%11122007-082218%32%32%12122007-082218%32%32%122007-082218%32%32%122007-082218%32%32%122007-082218%32%32%142007-082613%32%32%3</td> <td>Total Percent students source leadsTotal Percent students source leadsTotal Percent students source leadsTotal Percent studentsTotal Percent studentsTotal Percent studentsTotal Percent studentsProf.Percent studentsPercent students<t< td=""><td>Total TestedPercent structureTotal restedPercent structureTotal restedPercent structure2009-10442%5%39%55%4006.76.72007-08420%5%40%55%3680.063682009-104425%25%16%34%4006.76.72007-084210%38%14%38%36511%33%31%2009-10339%3%15%7.817518%36%2007-083210%38%14%38%36611%33%2009-10339%3%15%7.817418%0.62007-08360%0%36%14%38%14%36%14%36%2009-103324%21%36%18%17418%0.616%2007-083611%13%45%18%14%16%36%2007-083631%14%36%14%16%36%36%2007-083631%33%45%16%14%16%36%2007-083631%33%45%16%16%36%36%36%2007-083631%33%45%16%16%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36</td><td>Total   Percent students survers   Total   Percent students survers   Total   Percent students survers     2009-10   44   2%   5%   39%   5%   400   -   -     2009-10   44   2%   5%   30%   55%   400   -   -   -     2007-08   42   0%   5%   40%   55%   400   -   <t< td=""><td>TetalPercett Jutter Jut</td><td>Intern   Formation in each performance in each p</td><td>Total   Percent students scoring   Total   Percent is students scoring     2009-00   44   25%   5%   14%   14%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%</td><td>Total   Percent J students score   Total   Percent J students score     2009-10   44   2%   5%   39%   55%   40   -</td><td>Total Testel   Percent J students scoling lineach performance levels   Total Ineach performance levels     2009-10   44   25%   5%   40%   5%   36   0%   3%   61%</td></t<></td></t<></td>	Total TestedPercent struct rested2009-104426%5%2007-08420%5%2009-104425%25%2009-104425%25%2009-103331%31%2009-10339%33%2009-10339%3%2009-10339%3%2009-10339%3%2009-103310%13%2009-103324%21%2009-103311%13%2009-103311%33%2009-10225%33%2009-10229%13%2009-10229%13%2009-102213%32%2009-10229%13%2009-102213%13%2009-102213%13%2009-10203%13%2009-10203%13%2009-10203%13%2009-10293%13%2009-10293%13%2009-10203%3%2009-10203%13%2009-10203%13%2009-10203%13%2009-10393%14%2009-11203%14%2009-1221%12%12%2009-1420%3%12%2009-15	Total TestedPercent student student in each percent student is each percent s	IndiaPercent-Ferrier	Total TestedPercent Students succentsTotal TestedZ009-10442%5%39%55%4002008-09395%23%31%41%352007-08420%5%40%55%362009-104425%25%16%34%402008-093933%31%21%35%362007-084210%38%14%38%362007-08339%35%15%73%172008-09383%5%11%82%212007-08360%0%36%46%182009-103324%21%36%14%13%2007-08363%33%47%17182009-10325%5%41%50%112008-092317%13%48%22%122007-082218%32%32%14122007-082218%32%36%11122007-082218%32%32%12122007-082218%32%32%122007-082218%32%32%122007-082218%32%32%122007-082218%32%32%142007-082613%32%32%3	Total Percent students source leadsTotal Percent students source leadsTotal Percent students source leadsTotal Percent studentsTotal Percent studentsTotal Percent studentsTotal Percent studentsProf.Percent studentsPercent students <t< td=""><td>Total TestedPercent structureTotal restedPercent structureTotal restedPercent structure2009-10442%5%39%55%4006.76.72007-08420%5%40%55%3680.063682009-104425%25%16%34%4006.76.72007-084210%38%14%38%36511%33%31%2009-10339%3%15%7.817518%36%2007-083210%38%14%38%36611%33%2009-10339%3%15%7.817418%0.62007-08360%0%36%14%38%14%36%14%36%2009-103324%21%36%18%17418%0.616%2007-083611%13%45%18%14%16%36%2007-083631%14%36%14%16%36%36%2007-083631%33%45%16%14%16%36%2007-083631%33%45%16%16%36%36%36%2007-083631%33%45%16%16%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36</td><td>Total   Percent students survers   Total   Percent students survers   Total   Percent students survers     2009-10   44   2%   5%   39%   5%   400   -   -     2009-10   44   2%   5%   30%   55%   400   -   -   -     2007-08   42   0%   5%   40%   55%   400   -   <t< td=""><td>TetalPercett Jutter Jut</td><td>Intern   Formation in each performance in each p</td><td>Total   Percent students scoring   Total   Percent is students scoring     2009-00   44   25%   5%   14%   14%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%</td><td>Total   Percent J students score   Total   Percent J students score     2009-10   44   2%   5%   39%   55%   40   -</td><td>Total Testel   Percent J students scoling lineach performance levels   Total Ineach performance levels     2009-10   44   25%   5%   40%   5%   36   0%   3%   61%</td></t<></td></t<>	Total TestedPercent structureTotal restedPercent structureTotal restedPercent structure2009-10442%5%39%55%4006.76.72007-08420%5%40%55%3680.063682009-104425%25%16%34%4006.76.72007-084210%38%14%38%36511%33%31%2009-10339%3%15%7.817518%36%2007-083210%38%14%38%36611%33%2009-10339%3%15%7.817418%0.62007-08360%0%36%14%38%14%36%14%36%2009-103324%21%36%18%17418%0.616%2007-083611%13%45%18%14%16%36%2007-083631%14%36%14%16%36%36%2007-083631%33%45%16%14%16%36%2007-083631%33%45%16%16%36%36%36%2007-083631%33%45%16%16%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36%36	Total   Percent students survers   Total   Percent students survers   Total   Percent students survers     2009-10   44   2%   5%   39%   5%   400   -   -     2009-10   44   2%   5%   30%   55%   400   -   -   -     2007-08   42   0%   5%   40%   55%   400   - <t< td=""><td>TetalPercett Jutter Jut</td><td>Intern   Formation in each performance in each p</td><td>Total   Percent students scoring   Total   Percent is students scoring     2009-00   44   25%   5%   14%   14%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%</td><td>Total   Percent J students score   Total   Percent J students score     2009-10   44   2%   5%   39%   55%   40   -</td><td>Total Testel   Percent J students scoling lineach performance levels   Total Ineach performance levels     2009-10   44   25%   5%   40%   5%   36   0%   3%   61%</td></t<>	TetalPercett Jutter Jut	Intern   Formation in each performance in each p	Total   Percent students scoring   Total   Percent is students scoring     2009-00   44   25%   5%   14%   14%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%	Total   Percent J students score     2009-10   44   2%   5%   39%   55%   40   -	Total Testel   Percent J students scoling lineach performance levels   Total Ineach performance levels     2009-10   44   25%   5%   40%   5%   36   0%   3%   61%

#### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

## Statewide 2008–09 Results on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced
Grade 4 Reading	29%	35%	27%	9%
Grade 8 Reading	25%	41%	30%	3%
Grade 4 Mathematics	17%	43%	35%	5%
Grade 8 Mathematics	27%	39%	26%	8%

## Statewide 2008–09 NAEP Participation Rates for LEP Students and Students with Disabilities

	Participation Rate
Grade 4 Reading	
Limited English Proficient	78%
Students with Disabilities	69%
Grade 8 Reading	
Limited English Proficient	53%
Students with Disabilities	61%
Grade 4 Mathematics	
Limited English Proficient	90%
Students with Disabilities	88%
Grade 8 Mathematics	
Limited English Proficient	80%
Students with Disabilities	82%

#### NOTE

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), developed in 1969, is a nationally representative assessment of the performance of United States' students in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Teachers, principals, parents, policymakers, and researchers use NAEP results to assess progress and develop ways to improve education in the United States.

## Elementary/Middle-Level Social Studies 2009–10

	All St	udents				Gener	al-Educ	ation	Stude	nts	Stude	nts wit	h Disa	bilities	i
		Percenta scoring	-				Percenta scoring a	5					age of st at level:		
		1	2	3	4		1	2	3	4		1	2	3	4
Elementary Level	387	1%	2%	41%	57%	314	1%	1%	35%	63%	73	0%	7%	64%	29%
Middle Level	405	1%	10%	46%	43%	311	0%	5%	42%	52%	94	3%	27%	57%	13%

### 2006 Total Cohort Performance on Regents Exams After Four Years

	All S	tudents			G	General-Education Students				 Students with Disabilities				
	Cohort Enrollment	Percentag students s			Cohort	Enrollment	Percentago students so			Cohort Enrollment	Percentag			
		55-64	65-84	85-100			55-64	65-84	85-100		55-64	65-84	85-100	
Global History and Geography	430	8%	53%	28%	3	29	3%	57%	36%	101	27%	40%	2%	
U.S. History and Government	430	5%	41%	42%	3	29	2%	40%	54%	101	17%	45%	4%	
Science	430	5%	57%	30%	3	29	1%	58%	39%	101	18%	52%	4%	

### New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2009–10

All Students									
Total	Number o	fstuden	ts scorin	g					
Tested	at Level:								
	1	2	3	4					
2	-	-	-	-					
3	-	-	-	-					
6	0	0	1	5					
6	0	1	1	4					
6	0	1	1	4					
6	0	0	1	5					
	Tested 2 3 6 6 6 6	Tested at Level:     1     2   -     3   -     6   0     6   0     6   0     6   0     6   0     6   0     6   0     6   0	Tested at Level:   1 2   2 -   3 -   3 -   6 0   6 0   6 0   6 0   2 1	Tested at Level:   1 2 3   2 - -   3 - -   6 0 0 1   6 0 1 1   6 0 1 1   6 0 1 1   6 0 1 1					

The New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) is for students with severe cognitive disabilities. Results for students taking the NYSAA in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels are available in the Accountability and Overview Report part of The New York State Report Card.

#### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## **High School Completers**

		All Students		General-Educ	ation Students	Students with	Disabilities
		Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates
Total Graduates	2009–10	390		320		70	
	2008–09	341		290		51	
	2007-08	344		283		61	
Receiving a Regents Diploma	2009–10	333	85%	301	94%	32	46%
	2008–09	290	85%	271	93%	19	37%
	2007-08	281	82%	261	92%	20	33%
Receiving a Regents Diploma	2009–10	123	32%	123	38%	0	0%
with Advanced Designation	2008–09	120	35%	118	41%	2	4%
	2007-08	132	38%	129	46%	3	5%
Receiving an	2009–10	9	N/A	0		9	N/A
Individualized Education	2008–09	4	N/A	0		4	N/A
Program (IEP) Diploma	2007-08	22	N/A	0		22	N/A

NOTE Students receiving Regents diplomas and Regents diplomas with advanced designation are considered graduates; recipients of IEP diplomas are not.

## **High School Noncompleters**

		All Students		General-Educ	ation Students	Students with	Disabilities
		Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students
Dropped Out	2009–10	18	1%	16	1%	2	0%
	2008–09	16	1%	10	1%	6	1%
	2007-08	26	1%	15	1%	11	2%
Entered Approved High	2009–10	2	0%	2	0%	0	0%
School Equivalency	2008–09	3	0%	0	0%	3	1%
Preparation Program	2007-08	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total Noncompleters	2009–10	20	1%	18	1%	2	0%
	2008–09	19	1%	10	1%	9	2%
	2007–08	26	1%	15	1%	11	2%

## Post-secondary Plans of 2009–10 Completers

	All Students		General-Edu	cation Students	Students with Disabilities			
	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students		
To 4-year College	183	46%	174	54%	9	11%		
To 2-year College	157	39%	115	36%	42	53%		
To Other Post-secondary	11	3%	5	2%	6	8%		
To the Military	7	2%	5	2%	2	3%		
To Employment	13	3%	6	2%	7	9%		
To Adult Services	6	2%	1	0%	5	6%		
To Other Known Plans	3	1%	3	1%	0	0%		
Plan Unknown	19	5%	11	3%	8	10%		

# Career and Technical Education Programs (CTE Programs)

	This District		
	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Statewide Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	54		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	42	78%	76%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or more			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	49	91%	78%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Iontraditional Programs			
Enrolled Members, Regardless of Gender, in 2009–10	78		
Enrolled Members of Historically Underrepresented Gender in 2009–10	35	45%	49%
Completers, Regardless of Gender, as of June 2009	38	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Completers of Historically Underrepresented Gender as of June 2009	14	37%	48%

Enrollment data are for the 2009–10 school year; completer data are as of June 2009.