

The New York State District Report Card

District SPENCER-VAN ETTEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 60-08-01-04-0000 Superintendent STEVEN SCHOONMAKER Telephone (607) 589-7100 Grades PK-12

Comprehensive Information Report 2009 – 10

Regents Exams

		All Stu	dents			Genera	al-Educat	ion Stud	ents	Students with Disabilities			
		Total	Percentag	e of studei	nts	Total	Percentag	e of studer	nts	Total	Percentag	e of studer	nts
		Tested	scoring at	or above:		Tested	scoring at	or above:		Tested	scoring at	or above:	
			55	65	85		55	65	85		55	65	85
Comprehensive English	2009–10	86	97%	90%	31%	83	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
	2008–09	74	93%	89%	27%	66	98%	94%	30%	8	50%	50%	0%
	2007-08	83	98%	84%	27%	80	-	_	-	3	-	_	-
Mathematics B	2009–10	41	68%	49%	15%	40	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	2008–09	78	67%	53%	8%	78	67%	53%	8%	0			
	2007-08	76	57%	33%	1%	76	57%	33%	1%	0			
Integrated Algebra	2009–10	109	93%	77%	11%	96	95%	81%	13%	13	77%	46%	0%
	2008–09	104	82%	60%	2%	93	84%	63%	2%	11	64%	27%	0%
	2007-08	67	79%	67%	9%	58	83%	74%	10%	9	56%	22%	0%
Geometry	2009–10	85	71%	33%	1%	82	-	-	-	3	-	_	-
	2008–09	65	75%	52%	14%	62	-	_	-	3	-	_	-
	2007-08	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Trigonometry	2009–10	60	68%	57%	13%	59	-	-	-	1	-	_	-
	2008–09	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2007-08	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Global History	2009–10	93	83%	72%	31%	89	-	_	-	4	-	_	-
and Geography	2008–09	107	88%	80%	36%	96	90%	81%	40%	11	73%	73%	0%
	2007-08	114	78%	68%	26%	100	84%	74%	30%	14	36%	21%	0%
U.S. History	2009–10	83	99%	98%	65%	80	-	_	_	3	-	_	_
and Government	2008–09	72	99%	93%	58%	65	98%	95%	63%	7	100%	71%	14%
	2007-08	85	95%	91%	58%	80	96%	94%	59%	5	80%	40%	40%
Living Environment	2009–10	72	86%	76%	35%	67	88%	81%	36%	5	60%	20%	20%
	2008–09	104	93%	86%	32%	93	95%	89%	34%	11	82%	55%	9%
	2007-08	118	86%	75%	22%	105	90%	81%	25%	13	54%	31%	0%
Physical Setting/	2009–10	0				0				0			
Earth Science	2008–09	0				0				0			
	2007-08	0				0				0			
Physical Setting/Chemistry	2009–10	57	72%	40%	4%	57	72%	40%	4%	0			
	2008–09	62	92%	77%	18%	62	92%	77%	18%	0			
	2007-08	48	81%	65%	2%	47	-	-	_	1	-	-	_
Physical Setting/Physics	2009–10	45	87%	73%	24%	45	87%	73%	24%	0			
	2008–09	36	86%	72%	6%	36	86%	72%	6%	0			
	2007-08	44	91%	77%	23%	44	91%	77%	23%	0			

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Regents Exams

		All Students G				General-Education Studen				s Students with Disabilities			
		Total	Percenta	age of stu	dents	Total	Percenta	ige of stud	dents	Total	Percenta	ge of stuc	lents
		Tested	scoring a	at or abov	e:	Tested	scoring a	at or abov	e:	Tested	scoring a	t or above	9:
			55	65	85		55	65	85		55	65	85
Comprehensive French	2009–10	24	100%	92%	50%	24	100%	92%	50%	0			
	2008–09	14	100%	100%	93%	14	100%	100%	93%	0			
	2007-08	11	100%	100%	64%	11	100%	100%	64%	0			
Comprehensive German	2009–10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
	2007-08	0				0				0			
Comprehensive Hebrew	2009–10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
	2007-08	0				0				0			
Comprehensive Italian	2009–10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
	2007-08	0				0				0			
Comprehensive Latin	2009–10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
	2007-08	0				0				0			
Comprehensive Spanish	2009–10	34	91%	79%	24%	34	91%	79%	24%	0			
	2008–09	48	100%	100%	71%	48	100%	100%	71%	0			
	2007-08	34	100%	97%	38%	34	100%	97%	38%	0			

ΝΟΤΕ

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Regents Competency Tests

		All Students	5	General-Ed	ucation Students	Students wi	th Disabilities
		Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:
Mathematics	2009–10	8	75%	0		8	75%
	2008–09	8	63%	0		8	63%
	2007-08	2	-	0		2	-
Science	2009–10	0		0		0	
	2008–09	1	-	0		1	-
	2007-08	3	-	0		3	-
Reading	2009–10	0		0		0	
	2008–09	3	-	0		3	-
	2007-08	4	-	0		4	-
Writing	2009–10	1	-	0		1	-
	2008–09	3	-	1	-	2	-
	2007-08	4	-	0		4	-
Global Studies	2009–10	1	-	0		1	-
	2008–09	6	33%	0		6	33%
	2007-08	4	-	0		4	-
U.S. History	2009–10	0		0		0	
and Government	2008–09	0		0		0	
	2007-08	0		0		0	

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

		All Student	5	General-Edu	ucation Students	ts Students with Disabilities		
		Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	
French	2009–10	47	96%	40	95%	7	100%	
	2008–09	21	95%	20	-	1	-	
	2007-08	37	95%	34	-	3	-	
German	2009–10	0		0		0		
	2008–09	0		0		0		
	2007–08	0		0		0		
Italian	2009–10	0		0		0		
	2008–09	0		0		0		
	2007-08	0		0		0		
Latin	2009–10	0		0		0		
	2008–09	0		0		0		
	2007-08	0		0		0		
Spanish	2009–10	37	95%	31	97%	6	83%	
	2008–09	53	81%	48	85%	5	40%	
	2007-08	46	98%	44	-	2	-	

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

	All St	udents				General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities					
	Total Tested				5	Total Tested				5	Total Tested			5
		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin. Interr	m. Adv.	Prof.
2009–10	0					0					0			
2008–09	0					0					0			
2007-08	0					0					0			
2009–10	0		· · · · · ·			0					0			
2008–09	0					0					0			
2007-08	0					0					0			
2009–10	0					0					0			
2008–09	0					0					0			
2007-08	2	_	_	_		2	_	_	_	_	0			
2009–10	0					0					0			
2008–09	0					0					0			
2007-08	2	_	_	_		2	_	_	_	_	0			
2009–10	0					0					0			
2008–09	0					0					0			
2007-08	0					0					0			
2009–10	0					0					0			
2008–09	0					0					0			
2007-08	0					0					0			
2009–10	1	-	-	_	· –	1	-	-	_	-	0			
2008–09	1	_	_	_		1	_	_	_	_	0			
2007-08	0					0					0			
2009–10	1	-	-	_	· –	1	-	-	_	-	0			
2008–09	1	_	_	_		1	_	_	_	_	0			
2007-08	0					0					0			
2009–10	0					0					0			
2008-09	0					0					0			
2007-08	0					0					0			
2009-10	0					0					0			
2008-09	0					0					0			
						0					0			
	2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2007–08 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10	Total Tested 2009-10 0 2007-08 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2007-08 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 1 2009-10 1 2009-10 1 2009-10 1 2009-10 1 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10 0 2009-10	Total Percent Tested 2009-10 0 2007-08 0 2009-10 1 2009-10 1 2009-10 1 2009-10 1 2009-10 1 2009-10 1 2009-10 1 2009-10 0 2009-10 0	Tested in each performation2009-10O2003-00O2007-08O2009-10O2009-11O2009-11O2009-11O2009-11O2009-11O2009-11O2009-11O2009-11O2009-11O2009-11O2009-11O2009-11 <tr <tr="">2009-12O<</tr>	Total Percent of students sold in each performance of Begin. Interm. Adv. 2009-10 0	Total Percent students scurpt 1000 Interm. Adv Prof. 2009-10 0 Interm. Adv Prof. 2009-10 0 Interm. Adv Interm. Adv Prof. 2009-10 0 Interm. Adv Interm. Adv	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Begin Interm. Adv. Prof. 2009-10 0	Total rescent of students storing tested Total rescent in each performance level: Total rescent in each in ea	Total Percent of students scoring Total Percent of students scoring 2009-10 0 segin Intern Adv Prof. Begin Intern 2009-10 0	Total Percent of students scoring rested Rested Interm. Adv. Prof. 2009-10 0	Total Percent of students scoring Tested Total Percent of students scoring Tested Total Percent of students scoring Tested Begin Interm. Adv. Prof. Begin Interm. Adv. Prof. Begin Interm. Adv. Prof. 2009-10 O O O O 2007-08 O <	Total Tested Percent of students scoring tested Total in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent in each performance level: Total Tested Percent in each performance level: Total Tested Percent in each performance level: Total Tested Total in each performance level: Total Tested Percent in each performance level: Total Tested Total T	Total Tested Percent of students scoring Begin Total In each performance level: Total In each perfor <t< td=""><td>Total Percent of students scoring Tested Total Total Total Tota</td></t<>	Total Percent of students scoring Tested Total Total Total Tota

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Statewide 2008–09 Results on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced
Grade 4 Reading	29%	35%	27%	9%
Grade 8 Reading	25%	41%	30%	3%
Grade 4 Mathematics	17%	43%	35%	5%
Grade 8 Mathematics	27%	39%	26%	8%

Statewide 2008–09 NAEP Participation Rates for LEP Students and Students with Disabilities

	Participation Rate
Grade 4 Reading	
Limited English Proficient	78%
Students with Disabilities	69%
Grade 8 Reading	
Limited English Proficient	53%
Students with Disabilities	61%
Grade 4 Mathematics	
Limited English Proficient	90%
Students with Disabilities	88%
Grade 8 Mathematics	
Limited English Proficient	80%
Students with Disabilities	82%

NOTE

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), developed in 1969, is a nationally representative assessment of the performance of United States' students in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Teachers, principals, parents, policymakers, and researchers use NAEP results to assess progress and develop ways to improve education in the United States.

Elementary/Middle-Level Social Studies 2009–10

	All St	udents				Gener	al-Edu	cation	Stude	nts	Students with Disabilities					
		Tested scoring at level:				Total Percentage of students Tested scoring at level:					Total Percentage of students Tested scoring at level:					
		1	2	3	4		1	2	3	4		1	2	3	4	
Elementary Level	68	9%	3%	63%	25%	57	5%	0%	65%	30%	11	27%	18%	55%	0%	
Middle Level	83	0%	18%	61%	20%	70	0%	11%	64%	24%	13	0%	54%	46%	0%	

2006 Total Cohort Performance on Regents Exams After Four Years

	All S	ll Students				General-Education Students				St	Students with Disabilities				
	Cohort Enrollment	Percentage of students scoring:				T T T T T T T T T T T T T T					Enrollment	Percentage of students scoring:			
		55-64	65-84	85-100			55-64	65-84	85-100			55-64	65-84	85-100	
Global History and Geography	82	2%	44%	34%		69	1%	46%	41%		13	8%	31%	0%	
U.S. History and Government	82	5%	29%	50%		69	3%	29%	58%		13	15%	31%	8%	
Science	82	2%	62%	20%		69	0%	70%	23%		13	15%	23%	0%	

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2009–10

	All St	All Students									
	Total	Number o	of studen	ts scorin	g						
	Tested	Tested at Level:									
		1	2	3	4						
Elementary Level											
Social Studies	1	-	-	-	_						
Middle Level											
Social Studies	1	-	-	-	_						
Secondary Level											
English Language Arts	3	-	-	-	-						
Mathematics	3	-	-	-	-						
Social Studies	3	-	-	-	-						
Science	3	-	-	-	-						

The New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) is for students with severe cognitive disabilities. Results for students taking the NYSAA in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels are available in the Accountability and Overview Report part of The New York State Report Card.

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

High School Completers

		All Students		General-Educ	ation Students	Students with	Disabilities
		Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates
Total Graduates	2009–10	67		62		5	
	2008–09	71		68		3	
	2007-08	67		64		3	
Receiving a Regents Diploma	2009–10	60	90%	59	95%	1	20%
	2008–09	60	85%	58	85%	2	67%
	2007-08	54	81%	54	84%	0	0%
Receiving a Regents Diploma	2009–10	18	27%	18	29%	0	0%
with Advanced Designation	2008–09	19	27%	19	28%	0	0%
	2007-08	20	30%	20	31%	0	0%
Receiving an	2009–10	4	N/A	0		4	N/A
Individualized Education	2008–09	6	N/A	0		6	N/A
Program (IEP) Diploma	2007-08	3	N/A	0		3	N/A

NOTE Students receiving Regents diplomas and Regents diplomas with advanced designation are considered graduates; recipients of IEP diplomas are not.

High School Noncompleters

		All Students		General-Educa	ation Students	Students with	Disabilities
		Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students
Dropped Out	2009–10	7	2%	5	1%	2	3%
	2008–09	14	3%	8	2%	6	11%
	2007-08	14	3%	10	3%	4	8%
Entered Approved High	2009–10	1	0%	0	0%	1	2%
School Equivalency	2008–09	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Preparation Program	2007-08	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total Noncompleters	2009–10	8	2%	5	1%	3	5%
	2008–09	15	4%	9	2%	6	11%
	2007–08	14	3%	10	3%	4	8%

Post-secondary Plans of 2009–10 Completers

	All Students		General-Education Students		Students with Disabilities	
	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students
To 4-year College	18	25%	18	29%	0	0%
To 2-year College	43	61%	40	65%	3	33%
To Other Post-secondary	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
To the Military	1	1%	1	2%	0	0%
To Employment	9	13%	3	5%	6	67%
To Adult Services	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
To Other Known Plans	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Plan Unknown	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Career and Technical Education Programs (CTE Programs)

	This Distric	This District		
	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Statewide Average	
All CTE Programs				
Completed the CTE Program	35			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	25	71%	76%	
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or more				
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	18	51%	78%	
Completed and Whose Status is Known			•	
Completed and Were Successfully Placed				
Nontraditional Programs				
Enrolled Members, Regardless of Gender, in 2009–10	23			
Enrolled Members of Historically Underrepresented Gender in 2009–10	8	35%	49%	
Completers, Regardless of Gender, as of June 2009	19	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Completers of Historically Underrepresented Gender as of June 2009	7	37%	48%	

Enrollment data are for the 2009–10 school year; completer data are as of June 2009.