

The New York State District Report Card

District LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 66-24-01-06-0000 Superintendent KENNETH CONNOLLY Telephone (914) 245-1700 Grades PK-12, US

Comprehensive Information Report 2009 – 10

Regents Exams

| 5 | | All Stu | dents | | | Genera | al-Educat | tion Stud | ents | Students with Disabilities | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|------------|-------------|-----|--------|------------|--------------|------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----|
| | | Total | Percentag | e of studer | nts | Total | Percentag | ge of studer | nts | Total | Percenta | ge of studer | nts |
| | | Tested | scoring at | or above: | | Tested | scoring at | or above: | | Tested | scoring a | t or above: | |
| | | | 55 | 65 | 85 | | 55 | 65 | 85 | | 55 | 65 | 85 |
| Comprehensive English | 2009–10 | 523 | 98% | 95% | 62% | 437 | 100% | 100% | 71% | 86 | 91% | 71% | 17% |
| | 2008–09 | 517 | 99% | 97% | 61% | 431 | 100% | 99% | 71% | 86 | 98% | 85% | 12% |
| | 2007-08 | 506 | 98% | 93% | 57% | 417 | 100% | 98% | 68% | 89 | 89% | 69% | 6% |
| Mathematics B | 2009–10 | 58 | 78% | 55% | 3% | 50 | 78% | 52% | 4% | 8 | 75% | 75% | 0% |
| | 2008–09 | 326 | 86% | 75% | 21% | 312 | 87% | 75% | 21% | 14 | 71% | 64% | 7% |
| | 2007-08 | 355 | 88% | 81% | 36% | 347 | 88% | 82% | 36% | 8 | 63% | 50% | 13% |
| Integrated Algebra | 2009–10 | 651 | 97% | 92% | 27% | 524 | 99% | 98% | 33% | 127 | 85% | 70% | 4% |
| | 2008–09 | 596 | 98% | 96% | 31% | 501 | 99% | 99% | 35% | 95 | 93% | 82% | 7% |
| | 2007-08 | 347 | 100% | 99% | 51% | 337 | 100% | 99% | 53% | 10 | 100% | 100% | 10% |
| Geometry | 2009–10 | 461 | 98% | 91% | 30% | 437 | 98% | 91% | 31% | 24 | 96% | 83% | 13% |
| | 2008–09 | 353 | 98% | 96% | 41% | 342 | 99% | 97% | 42% | 11 | 91% | 73% | 27% |
| | 2007-08 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Trigonometry | 2009–10 | 340 | 92% | 85% | 32% | 334 | 92% | 85% | 32% | 6 | 100% | 67% | 33% |
| | 2008–09 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 2007-08 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Global History | 2009–10 | 552 | 95% | 89% | 47% | 442 | 98% | 95% | 55% | 110 | 85% | 68% | 16% |
| and Geography | 2008–09 | 519 | 95% | 89% | 43% | 429 | 98% | 95% | 49% | 90 | 82% | 61% | 17% |
| | 2007–08 | 527 | 95% | 89% | 53% | 441 | 98% | 95% | 59% | 86 | 83% | 62% | 20% |
| U.S. History | 2009–10 | 523 | 98% | 94% | 54% | 440 | 98% | 96% | 60% | 83 | 94% | 84% | 20% |
| and Government | 2008–09 | 520 | 97% | 94% | 62% | 436 | 99% | 97% | 68% | 84 | 89% | 77% | 29% |
| | 2007-08 | 494 | 98% | 94% | 64% | 410 | 99% | 97% | 72% | 84 | 94% | 81% | 25% |
| Living Environment | 2009–10 | 511 | 99% | 96% | 52% | 428 | 99% | 99% | 60% | 83 | 96% | 83% | 13% |
| | 2008–09 | 575 | 99% | 97% | 47% | 457 | 100% | 100% | 56% | 118 | 97% | 86% | 12% |
| | 2007–08 | 461 | 99% | 94% | 39% | 380 | 100% | 98% | 45% | 81 | 93% | 75% | 14% |
| Physical Setting/ | 2009–10 | 484 | 96% | 91% | 48% | 440 | 97% | 93% | 51% | 44 | 91% | 77% | 16% |
| Earth Science | 2008–09 | 490 | 97% | 89% | 43% | 457 | 97% | 91% | 44% | 33 | 91% | 70% | 21% |
| | 2007-08 | 485 | 96% | 88% | 39% | 459 | 97% | 88% | 40% | 26 | 92% | 77% | 15% |
| Physical Setting/Chemistry | 2009–10 | 386 | 95% | 74% | 13% | 374 | 95% | 74% | 13% | 12 | 100% | 75% | 17% |
| | 2008–09 | 308 | 96% | 81% | 14% | 296 | 96% | 81% | 15% | 12 | 92% | 75% | 8% |
| | 2007-08 | 350 | 98% | 84% | 17% | 341 | 98% | 84% | 17% | 9 | 100% | 78% | 11% |
| Physical Setting/Physics | 2009–10 | 150 | 85% | 77% | 21% | 145 | 85% | 78% | 22% | 5 | 80% | 60% | 0% |
| | 2008–09 | 195 | 92% | 81% | 30% | 193 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| | 2007–08 | 181 | 85% | 72% | 22% | 178 | - | _ | _ | 3 | _ | - | _ |

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Regents Exams

| | | All Stu | ıdents | | | Gener | al-Educ | ation St | udents | Students with Disabilities | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|-----------|------------|-----|--------|-----------|-------------|--------|----------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----|
| | | Total | | age of stu | | Total | | ige of stud | | Total | | ige of stud | |
| | | Tested | scoring a | at or abov | e: | Tested | scoring a | at or above | 5: | Tested | scoring a | at or abov | e: |
| | | | 55 | 65 | 85 | | 55 | 65 | 85 | | 55 | 65 | 85 |
| Comprehensive French | 2009–10 | 0 | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | | | |
| | 2008–09 | 11 | 100% | 91% | 82% | 10 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| | 2007-08 | 18 | 100% | 100% | 61% | 18 | 100% | 100% | 61% | 0 | | | |
| Comprehensive German | 2009–10 | 0 | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | | | |
| | 2008–09 | 0 | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | | | |
| | 2007-08 | 0 | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | | | |
| Comprehensive Hebrew | 2009–10 | 0 | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | | | |
| | 2008–09 | 0 | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | | | |
| | 2007-08 | 0 | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | | | |
| Comprehensive Italian | 2009–10 | 71 | 100% | 100% | 82% | 65 | 100% | 100% | 83% | 6 | 100% | 100% | 67% |
| | 2008–09 | 78 | 100% | 100% | 65% | 78 | 100% | 100% | 65% | 0 | | | |
| | 2007-08 | 72 | 100% | 100% | 81% | 69 | - | _ | - | 3 | - | _ | - |
| Comprehensive Latin | 2009–10 | 0 | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | | | |
| | 2008–09 | 0 | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | | | |
| | 2007–08 | 0 | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | | | |
| Comprehensive Spanish | 2009–10 | 288 | 100% | 100% | 70% | 282 | 100% | 100% | 72% | 6 | 100% | 100% | 0% |
| | 2008–09 | 260 | 100% | 100% | 74% | 250 | 100% | 100% | 74% | 10 | 100% | 100% | 70% |
| | 2007-08 | 221 | 100% | 99% | 71% | 214 | 100% | 99% | 72% | 7 | 100% | 100% | 29% |

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Regents Competency Tests

| | | All Student | 5 | General-Ed | ucation Students | Students wi | th Disabilities |
|----------------|---------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | Total Tested | Percent Passing: | Total Tested | Percent Passing: | Total Tested | Percent Passing: |
| Mathematics | 2009–10 | 10 | 50% | 0 | | 10 | 50% |
| | 2008–09 | 4 | - | 0 | | 4 | - |
| | 2007-08 | 16 | 69% | 0 | | 16 | 69% |
| Science | 2009–10 | 6 | 67% | 0 | | 6 | 67% |
| | 2008–09 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| | 2007-08 | 10 | 70% | 0 | | 10 | 70% |
| Reading | 2009–10 | 3 | - | 0 | | 3 | - |
| | 2008–09 | 10 | 90% | 0 | | 10 | 90% |
| | 2007-08 | 28 | 86% | 0 | | 28 | 86% |
| Writing | 2009–10 | 6 | 100% | 0 | | 6 | 100% |
| | 2008–09 | 11 | 100% | 0 | | 11 | 100% |
| | 2007-08 | 25 | 96% | 0 | | 25 | 96% |
| Global Studies | 2009–10 | 19 | 37% | 0 | | 19 | 37% |
| | 2008–09 | 15 | 40% | 0 | | 15 | 40% |
| | 2007-08 | 24 | 54% | 0 | | 24 | 54% |
| U.S. History | 2009–10 | 3 | - | 0 | | 3 | - |
| and Government | 2008–09 | 3 | - | 0 | | 3 | _ |
| | 2007-08 | 15 | 67% | 0 | | 15 | 67% |

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

| | | All Student | 5 | General-Ed | ucation Students | Students wi | th Disabilities |
|---------|---------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | Total Tested | Percent Passing: | Total Tested | Percent Passing: | Total Tested | Percent Passing: |
| French | 2009–10 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| | 2008–09 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| | 2007-08 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| German | 2009–10 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| | 2008–09 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| | 2007-08 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| Italian | 2009–10 | 102 | 99% | 89 | 99% | 13 | 100% |
| | 2008–09 | 131 | 99% | 124 | 99% | 7 | 100% |
| | 2007-08 | 90 | 100% | 78 | 100% | 12 | 100% |
| Latin | 2009–10 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| | 2008–09 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| | 2007–08 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| Spanish | 2009–10 | 367 | 96% | 333 | 98% | 34 | 82% |
| | 2008–09 | 313 | 97% | 283 | 98% | 30 | 90% |
| | 2007-08 | 325 | 100% | 310 | 100% | 15 | 100% |

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

| | All Students | | | | | General-Education Students | | | | nts | Students with Disabilities | | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| | Total Tested | | | | 5 | Total Tested | | | | 5 | Total Tested | | | | 5 |
| | | Begin. | Interm. | Adv. | Prof. | | Begin. | Interm. | Adv. | Prof. | | Begin. | Interm. | Adv. | Prof. |
| 2009–10 | 24 | 0% | 4% | 29% | 67% | 24 | 0% | 4% | 29% | 67% | 0 | | | | |
| 2008–09 | 38 | 8% | 11% | 24% | 58% | 36 | - | _ | - | _ | 2 | - | _ | - | - |
| 2007-08 | 36 | 0% | 14% | 58% | 28% | 33 | - | _ | _ | _ | 3 | - | _ | _ | - |
| 2009–10 | 24 | 4% | 42% | 17% | 38% | 24 | 4% | 42% | 17% | 38% | 0 | | | | |
| 2008–09 | 38 | 26% | 29% | 21% | 24% | 36 | - | _ | _ | _ | 2 | - | _ | _ | - |
| 2007-08 | 36 | 22% | 33% | 19% | 25% | 33 | - | _ | _ | _ | 3 | - | _ | _ | - |
| 2009–10 | 32 | 0% | 6% | 16% | 78% | 25 | 0% | 8% | 16% | 76% | 7 | 0% | 0% | 14% | 86% |
| 2008–09 | 19 | 0% | 11% | 21% | 68% | 13 | 0% | 15% | 15% | 69% | 6 | 0% | 0% | 33% | 67% |
| 2007-08 | 15 | 0% | 0% | 7% | 93% | 9 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 100% | 6 | 0% | 0% | 17% | 83% |
| 2009–10 | 32 | 9% | 3% | 41% | 47% | 25 | 8% | 0% | 40% | 52% | 7 | 14% | 14% | 43% | 29% |
| 2008–09 | 19 | 5% | 37% | 58% | 0% | 13 | 8% | 23% | 69% | 0% | 6 | 0% | 67% | 33% | 0% |
| 2007-08 | 15 | 7% | 13% | 27% | 53% | 9 | 0% | 0% | 22% | 78% | 6 | 17% | 33% | 33% | 17% |
| 2009–10 | 4 | - | _ | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | _ | _ |
| 2008–09 | 7 | 0% | 0% | 29% | 71% | 2 | - | _ | _ | _ | 5 | - | _ | _ | _ |
| 2007-08 | 11 | 0% | 18% | 45% | 36% | 6 | 0% | 33% | 17% | 50% | 5 | 0% | 0% | 80% | 20% |
| 2009–10 | 4 | - | _ | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| 2008–09 | 7 | 0% | 0% | 71% | 29% | 2 | - | _ | _ | _ | 5 | - | _ | _ | _ |
| 2007-08 | 11 | 18% | 18% | 55% | 9% | 6 | 33% | 0% | 50% | 17% | 5 | 0% | 40% | 60% | 0% |
| 2009–10 | 8 | 0% | 13% | 0% | 88% | 4 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| 2008–09 | 13 | 8% | 0% | 23% | 69% | 9 | - | _ | _ | _ | 4 | - | _ | _ | _ |
| 2007-08 | 11 | 0% | 0% | 27% | 73% | 7 | - | _ | _ | _ | 4 | - | _ | _ | _ |
| 2009–10 | 8 | 25% | 13% | 25% | 38% | 4 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| 2008–09 | 13 | 8% | 46% | 38% | 8% | 9 | - | _ | _ | _ | 4 | - | _ | _ | _ |
| 2007-08 | 11 | 9% | 64% | 9% | 18% | 7 | - | _ | _ | _ | 4 | - | _ | _ | _ |
| 2009–10 | 20 | 10% | 0% | 25% | 65% | 13 | 8% | 0% | 31% | 62% | 7 | 14% | 0% | 14% | 71% |
| 2008–09 | 15 | 7% | 13% | 33% | 47% | 12 | _ | _ | _ | _ | 3 | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| 2007-08 | 19 | 5% | 32% | 21% | 42% | 17 | _ | _ | _ | _ | 2 | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| 2009-10 | 20 | 15% | 40% | 30% | 15% | 13 | 15% | 23% | 46% | 15% | 7 | 14% | 71% | 0% | 14% |
| 2008–09 | 15 | 0% | 40% | 27% | 33% | 12 | _ | _ | _ | _ | 3 | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| 2007-08 | 19 | 5% | 53% | 21% | 21% | 17 | _ | _ | _ | _ | 2 | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| | 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 2007–08 2009–10 2008–09 | Total Tested 2009-10 24 2007-08 38 2007-08 36 2009-10 24 2009-10 24 2009-10 38 2007-08 36 2009-10 32 2007-08 15 2009-10 32 2007-08 15 2009-10 4 2007-08 15 2007-08 11 2007-08 11 2007-08 11 2007-08 11 2007-08 11 2007-08 11 2007-08 11 2008-09 7 2007-08 11 2008-09 13 2007-08 11 2008-09 13 2007-08 11 2009-10 8 2007-08 11 2007-08 11 2007-08 11 2007-08 11 | TotalPercent TestedTotalPercent TestedBegin.2009-10240%2007-08388%2009-10244%2009-103826%2009-103826%2009-103826%2009-10320%2009-10320%2009-10329%2009-10329%2009-10329%2009-10329%2009-10157%2009-104-2009-104-2009-104-2009-101110%2009-10138%2009-10138%2009-10138%2009-1080%2009-10138%2009-10138%2009-102010%2009-10825%2009-102010%2009-102010%2009-102010%2009-102010%2009-102010%2009-102010%2009-102010%2009-102010%2009-102010%2009-102010%2009-102010%2009-102010%2009-102010%2009-102010%2009-1020%10%2009-1020% </td <td>Total TestedPercent studie in each in each in each in each in</br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></td> <td>Total TestedPercent students is accompany is accompany2009-10240%4%29%2008-09388%11%24%2007-08360%14%58%2009-10244%42%17%2008-093826%29%21%2007-083626%33%19%2009-10320%6%16%2009-10320%11%21%2009-10320%11%21%2009-10329%37%58%2009-10329%37%58%2009-10329%37%58%2009-10310%37%58%2009-10310%37%58%2009-1042009-10340%37%58%2009-1042009-1042009-10340%13%55%2009-1080%13%27%2009-1080%13%27%2009-1080%13%27%2009-1080%13%27%2009-1080%13%33%2007-081116%13%33%2007-081118%13%35%2007-08138%46%38%2007-08138%46%<td>Product SeriesProduct Series2009-10240%4%29%67%2009-00388%11%24%58%2007-08360%14%58%28%2009-10244%42%17%38%2009-10244%29%21%24%2009-103826%29%21%24%2009-103622%33%16%78%2009-10320%11%21%68%2007-08150%13%21%63%2009-10329%37%58%0%2009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1080%13%45%2009-1080%13%25%2009-1080%13%25%2009-10825%13%25%2009-10825%13%25%2009-10138%46%36%2009-10138%46%36%2009-102010%<td>Total TestedPercent students scored in each performance levelTotal Tested2009-102440%4%29%67%242008-09388%11%24%58%332007-08360%14%58%28%332009-10244%42%17%38%242008-093826%29%21%24%332009-10320%6%16%78%252008-09190%11%21%68%132007-08150%0%7%93%92008-09190%13%27%53%92009-10329%37%53%0%132007-08157%13%27%53%92009-104122008-0970%18%45%36%62009-10422007-081118%18%55%9%62009-10825%13%26%38%42008-09138%13%26%38%42008-09138%13%55%9%62007-081118%18%55%38%42008-09138%13%25%38%42008-09138%</td><td>Total Percent students for the set of the set of</td><td>Total TestedPercent structureTotal restPercent structureName rest<td>Total Percent students survers Total Percent students survers Total Percent students State Percent students Percent students</td><td>Interpretation Percent percent</td><td>International problem in each problem i</td><td>Total Tested Percent in each Percent in each Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Percent in each Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Rester rested 2009-10 24 0% 14% 28% 24 4% 42% 17% 38% 0 </td><td>Total Percent of students South in each performance in each periformance in each performance in each performance in each performa</td><td>Total Testel Percent J students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Total is each performance level: 2009-00 24 44% 42% 17% 38% 20 -</td></td></td></td> | Total TestedPercent studie in each in each | Total TestedPercent students is accompany is accompany2009-10240%4%29%2008-09388%11%24%2007-08360%14%58%2009-10244%42%17%2008-093826%29%21%2007-083626%33%19%2009-10320%6%16%2009-10320%11%21%2009-10320%11%21%2009-10329%37%58%2009-10329%37%58%2009-10329%37%58%2009-10310%37%58%2009-10310%37%58%2009-1042009-10340%37%58%2009-1042009-1042009-10340%13%55%2009-1080%13%27%2009-1080%13%27%2009-1080%13%27%2009-1080%13%27%2009-1080%13%33%2007-081116%13%33%2007-081118%13%35%2007-08138%46%38%2007-08138%46% <td>Product SeriesProduct Series2009-10240%4%29%67%2009-00388%11%24%58%2007-08360%14%58%28%2009-10244%42%17%38%2009-10244%29%21%24%2009-103826%29%21%24%2009-103622%33%16%78%2009-10320%11%21%68%2007-08150%13%21%63%2009-10329%37%58%0%2009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1080%13%45%2009-1080%13%25%2009-1080%13%25%2009-10825%13%25%2009-10825%13%25%2009-10138%46%36%2009-10138%46%36%2009-102010%<td>Total TestedPercent students scored in each performance levelTotal Tested2009-102440%4%29%67%242008-09388%11%24%58%332007-08360%14%58%28%332009-10244%42%17%38%242008-093826%29%21%24%332009-10320%6%16%78%252008-09190%11%21%68%132007-08150%0%7%93%92008-09190%13%27%53%92009-10329%37%53%0%132007-08157%13%27%53%92009-104122008-0970%18%45%36%62009-10422007-081118%18%55%9%62009-10825%13%26%38%42008-09138%13%26%38%42008-09138%13%55%9%62007-081118%18%55%38%42008-09138%13%25%38%42008-09138%</td><td>Total Percent students for the set of the set of</td><td>Total TestedPercent structureTotal restPercent structureName rest<td>Total Percent students survers Total Percent students survers Total Percent students State Percent students Percent students</td><td>Interpretation Percent percent</td><td>International problem in each problem i</td><td>Total Tested Percent in each Percent in each Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Percent in each Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Rester rested 2009-10 24 0% 14% 28% 24 4% 42% 17% 38% 0 </td><td>Total Percent of students South in each performance in each periformance in each performance in each performance in each performa</td><td>Total Testel Percent J students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Total is each performance level: 2009-00 24 44% 42% 17% 38% 20 -</td></td></td> | Product SeriesProduct Series2009-10240%4%29%67%2009-00388%11%24%58%2007-08360%14%58%28%2009-10244%42%17%38%2009-10244%29%21%24%2009-103826%29%21%24%2009-103622%33%16%78%2009-10320%11%21%68%2007-08150%13%21%63%2009-10329%37%58%0%2009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1042009-1080%13%45%2009-1080%13%25%2009-1080%13%25%2009-10825%13%25%2009-10825%13%25%2009-10138%46%36%2009-10138%46%36%2009-102010% <td>Total TestedPercent students scored in each performance levelTotal Tested2009-102440%4%29%67%242008-09388%11%24%58%332007-08360%14%58%28%332009-10244%42%17%38%242008-093826%29%21%24%332009-10320%6%16%78%252008-09190%11%21%68%132007-08150%0%7%93%92008-09190%13%27%53%92009-10329%37%53%0%132007-08157%13%27%53%92009-104122008-0970%18%45%36%62009-10422007-081118%18%55%9%62009-10825%13%26%38%42008-09138%13%26%38%42008-09138%13%55%9%62007-081118%18%55%38%42008-09138%13%25%38%42008-09138%</td> <td>Total Percent students for the set of the set of</td> <td>Total TestedPercent structureTotal restPercent structureName rest<td>Total Percent students survers Total Percent students survers Total Percent students State Percent students Percent students</td><td>Interpretation Percent percent</td><td>International problem in each problem i</td><td>Total Tested Percent in each Percent in each Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Percent in each Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Rester rested 2009-10 24 0% 14% 28% 24 4% 42% 17% 38% 0 </td><td>Total Percent of students South in each performance in each periformance in each performance in each performance in each performa</td><td>Total Testel Percent J students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Total is each performance level: 2009-00 24 44% 42% 17% 38% 20 -</td></td> | Total TestedPercent students scored in each performance levelTotal Tested2009-102440%4%29%67%242008-09388%11%24%58%332007-08360%14%58%28%332009-10244%42%17%38%242008-093826%29%21%24%332009-10320%6%16%78%252008-09190%11%21%68%132007-08150%0%7%93%92008-09190%13%27%53%92009-10329%37%53%0%132007-08157%13%27%53%92009-104122008-0970%18%45%36%62009-10422007-081118%18%55%9%62009-10825%13%26%38%42008-09138%13%26%38%42008-09138%13%55%9%62007-081118%18%55%38%42008-09138%13%25%38%42008-09138% | Total Percent students for the set of | Total TestedPercent structureTotal restPercent structureName rest <td>Total Percent students survers Total Percent students survers Total Percent students State Percent students Percent students</td> <td>Interpretation Percent percent</td> <td>International problem in each problem i</td> <td>Total Tested Percent in each Percent in each Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Percent in each Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Rester rested 2009-10 24 0% 14% 28% 24 4% 42% 17% 38% 0 </td> <td>Total Percent of students South in each performance in each periformance in each performance in each performance in each performa</td> <td>Total Testel Percent J students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Total is each performance level: 2009-00 24 44% 42% 17% 38% 20 -</td> | Total Percent students survers Total Percent students survers Total Percent students State Percent students Percent students | Interpretation Percent | International problem in each problem i | Total Tested Percent in each Percent in each Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Percent in each Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Percent rested Total in each Percent rested Rester rested 2009-10 24 0% 14% 28% 24 4% 42% 17% 38% 0 | Total Percent of students South in each performance in each periformance in each performance in each performance in each performa | Total Testel Percent J students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Total is each performance level: 2009-00 24 44% 42% 17% 38% 20 - |

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Statewide 2008–09 Results on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

| | % Below Basic | % Basic | % Proficient | % Advanced |
|---------------------|---------------|---------|--------------|------------|
| Grade 4 Reading | 29% | 35% | 27% | 9% |
| Grade 8 Reading | 25% | 41% | 30% | 3% |
| Grade 4 Mathematics | 17% | 43% | 35% | 5% |
| Grade 8 Mathematics | 27% | 39% | 26% | 8% |

Statewide 2008–09 NAEP Participation Rates for LEP Students and Students with Disabilities

| | Participation Rate |
|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Grade 4 Reading | |
| Limited English Proficient | 78% |
| Students with Disabilities | 69% |
| Grade 8 Reading | |
| Limited English Proficient | 53% |
| Students with Disabilities | 61% |
| Grade 4 Mathematics | |
| Limited English Proficient | 90% |
| Students with Disabilities | 88% |
| Grade 8 Mathematics | |
| Limited English Proficient | 80% |
| Students with Disabilities | 82% |

NOTE

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), developed in 1969, is a nationally representative assessment of the performance of United States' students in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Teachers, principals, parents, policymakers, and researchers use NAEP results to assess progress and develop ways to improve education in the United States.

Elementary/Middle-Level Social Studies 2009–10

| | All Stu | udents | | | | Gene | ral-Educ | cation | Stude | nts | Stude | Students with Disabilities | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|--------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|--|--------|-------|-----|-------|--|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| | | Tested scoring at level: | | | | Total Tested | Total Percentage of students Tested scoring at level: | | | | | Total Percentage of students Tested scoring at level: | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | |
| Elementary Level | 462 | 1% | 1% | 42% | 55% | 384 | 1% | 0% | 36% | 64% | 78 | 3% | 8% | 74% | 15% | | | |
| Middle Level | 512 | 0% | 13% | 51% | 36% | 428 | 0% | 5% | 54% | 41% | 84 | 1% | 51% | 37% | 11% | | | |

2006 Total Cohort Performance on Regents Exams After Four Years

| | All Students | | | | Gen | eral-Educ | udents | Stud | Students with Disabilities | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-------|-------|--------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|--------|--|
| | Percentage of Substantiation Students scoring: | | | | Cohort Enrollment | Percentag students s | | | Cohort Enrollment | Percentage of students scoring: | | | |
| | | 55-64 | 65-84 | 85-100 | | 55-64 | 65-84 | 85-100 | | 55-64 | 65-84 | 85-100 | |
| Global History and Geography | 525 | 4% | 38% | 52% | 436 | 2% | 36% | 59% | 89 | 17% | 48% | 17% | |
| U.S. History and Government | 525 | 2% | 32% | 60% | 436 | 1% | 29% | 68% | 89 | 6% | 47% | 24% | |
| Science | 525 | 2% | 47% | 46% | 436 | 0% | 44% | 54% | 89 | 12% | 63% | 10% | |

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2009–10

| All Students | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Total Tested | Number o at Level: | fstuden | ts scorir | g | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | |
| Elementary Level | | | | | | | | | | |
| Social Studies | 2 | - | - | _ | _ | | | | | |
| Middle Level | | | | | | | | | | |
| Social Studies | 4 | - | - | - | - | | | | | |
| Secondary Level | | | | | | | | | | |
| English Language Arts | 4 | - | - | - | _ | | | | | |
| Mathematics | 4 | - | - | - | - | | | | | |
| Social Studies | 4 | - | - | - | - | | | | | |
| Science | 4 | - | - | - | - | | | | | |

All Studente

The New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) is for students with severe cognitive disabilities. Results for students taking the NYSAA in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels are available in the Accountability and Overview Report part of The New York State Report Card.

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

High School Completers

| | | All Students | | General-Educ | ation Students | Students with | Disabilities |
|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| | | Number of Students | Percentage of Graduates | Number of Students | Percentage of Graduates | Number of Students | Percentage of Graduates |
| Total Graduates | 2009–10 | 514 | | 430 | | 84 | |
| | 2008–09 | 475 | | 390 | | 85 | |
| | 2007-08 | 512 | | 422 | | 90 | |
| Receiving a Regents Diploma | 2009–10 | 471 | 92% | 417 | 97% | 54 | 64% |
| | 2008–09 | 420 | 88% | 379 | 97% | 41 | 48% |
| | 2007-08 | 449 | 88% | 409 | 97% | 40 | 44% |
| Receiving a Regents Diploma | 2009–10 | 305 | 59% | 290 | 67% | 15 | 18% |
| with Advanced Designation | 2008–09 | 266 | 56% | 261 | 67% | 5 | 6% |
| | 2007–08 | 269 | 53% | 262 | 62% | 7 | 8% |
| Receiving an | 2009–10 | 7 | N/A | 0 | | 7 | N/A |
| Individualized Education | 2008–09 | 9 | N/A | 0 | | 9 | N/A |
| Program (IEP) Diploma | 2007-08 | 3 | N/A | 0 | | 3 | N/A |

NOTE Students receiving Regents diplomas and Regents diplomas with advanced designation are considered graduates; recipients of IEP diplomas are not.

High School Noncompleters

| | | All Students | | General-Educa | ation Students | Students with | n Disabilities | |
|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | Number of Students | Percentage of Students | Number of Students | Percentage of Students | Number of Students | Percentage of Students | |
| Dropped Out | 2009–10 | 12 | 0% | 5 | 0% | 7 | 1% | |
| | 2008–09 | 10 | 0% | 7 | 0% | 3 | 1% | |
| | 2007-08 | 18 | 1% | 15 | 1% | 3 | 1% | |
| Entered Approved High | 2009–10 | 9 | 0% | 5 | 0% | 4 | 1% | |
| School Equivalency | 2008–09 | 5 | 0% | 5 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Preparation Program | 2007-08 | 5 | 0% | 3 | 0% | 2 | 0% | |
| Total Noncompleters | 2009–10 | 21 | 1% | 10 | 0% | 11 | 2% | |
| | 2008–09 | 15 | 1% | 12 | 1% | 3 | 1% | |
| | 2007–08 | 23 | 1% | 18 | 1% | 5 | 1% | |

Post-secondary Plans of 2009–10 Completers

| | All Students | | General-Education Students | | Students with Disabilities | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Number of Students | Percentage of Students | Number of Students | Percentage of Students | Number of Students | Percentage of Students |
| To 4-year College | 355 | 68% | 334 | 78% | 21 | 23% |
| To 2-year College | 121 | 23% | 81 | 19% | 40 | 44% |
| To Other Post-secondary | 1 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| To the Military | 5 | 1% | 2 | 0% | 3 | 3% |
| To Employment | 18 | 3% | 7 | 2% | 11 | 12% |
| To Adult Services | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| To Other Known Plans | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Plan Unknown | 21 | 4% | 5 | 1% | 16 | 18% |

Career and Technical Education Programs (CTE Programs)

| | This Distric | This District | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Number of Students | Percentage of Students | Statewide Average | |
| All CTE Programs | | | | |
| Completed the CTE Program | 28 | | | |
| Completed and Passed Regents Exams | 18 | 64% | 76% | |
| Completed and had Course Average of 75% or more | | | | |
| Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent | 21 | 75% | 78% | |
| Completed and Whose Status is Known | ••••• | | | |
| Completed and Were Successfully Placed | | | | |
| Nontraditional Programs | | | | |
| Enrolled Members, Regardless of Gender, in 2009–10 | 86 | | | |
| Enrolled Members of Historically Underrepresented Gender in 2009–10 | 44 | 51% | 49% | |
| Completers, Regardless of Gender, as of June 2009 | 17 | •••••••••••••••••••••• | •••••••••••••••••• | |
| Completers of Historically Underrepresented Gender as of June 2009 | 9 | 53% | 48% | |

Enrollment data are for the 2009–10 school year; completer data are as of June 2009.