

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2010 – 11

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000 Superintendent DANIEL KATHMAN Telephone (716) 483-4420 Grades PK-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District
Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataguest@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
241	237	240
404	406	390
416	393	403
398	412	384
379	390	418
389	375	388
377	386	403
361	383	376
15	27	20
356	357	376
362	358	360
417	388	379
352	346	354
353	344	315
342	330	343
56	68	68
4977	4963	4977
	241 404 416 398 379 389 377 361 15 356 362 417 352 353 342 56	241 237 404 406 416 393 398 412 379 390 389 375 377 386 361 383 15 27 356 357 362 358 417 388 352 346 353 344 342 330 56 68

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
18	18	19
18	16	19
18	19	20
16	20	20
16	20	20
20	18	20
23	18	8
20	19	
19	21	21
	18 18 18 18 16 16 20 23 20	18 18 18 16 18 19 16 20 16 20 20 18 23 18 20 19

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2008-09		200	9-10	2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	2388	48%	2687	54%	2704	54%
Reduced-Price Lunch	392	8%	476	10%	417	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A	,	N/A
Limited English Proficient	180	4%	165	3%	205	4%
Racial/Ethnic Origin					'	
American Indian or Alaska Native	64	1%	58	1%	38	1%
Black or African American	463	9%	550	11%	287	6%
Hispanic or Latino	582	12%	587	12%	766	15%
Asian or Native	33	1%	34	1%	27	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3830	77%	3725	75%	3506	70%
Multiracial	5	0%	9	0%	353	7%

^{*} Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2007	2007-08		B - 09	200	9-10
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	377	8%	301	6%	353	7%

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	472	476	450
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	10%	8%	2%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	6%	6%	6%
Total Number of Core Classes	1011	1042	958
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	2%	1%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1505	1463	1461
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	2%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	15%	9%	25%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	13%	7%	15%

Staff Counts

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	57	56	30
Total Paraprofessionals*	275	146	131
Assistant Principals	6	6	5
Principals	10	10	10

^{*} Not available at the school level.

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The Percent Teaching Out of Certification is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **06-17-00-01-0000**

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007-08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007-08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the SIRS Manual at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) \times 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB quidance are not included in the count.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)	▲ Good Standing					
	ELA Good Standing		Science	♠ Good Standing		
	Math	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Funding	J		
	2009-	10	2010-11	2011-12		
	YES		YES	YES		

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	~	✓	✓	✓	V	V	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		-	_		
Black or African American	V	X	••••	_	_	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	X	X	•••	<u> </u>	_	•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_	•••••	-	_		
White	~	~		~	~	••••••••	
Multiracial	✓	V			_	•••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	X		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	X	X	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- -		•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	X	X		V	V	•••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 4 of 8	X 3 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 1 of 1	

Accountability Status Levels AYP Status Federal Made AYP Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target Improvement (Year 1) 🔨 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Did not make AYP Improvement (Year 2) 🔨 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) **Insufficient Number of Students** Improvement (Year 3) 🔥 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) to Determine AYP Status Improvement (Year 4) 🔥 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔥 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

Pending - Requires Special Evaluation

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13 [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

AYP	Participation	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010–11	2011–12	
V	V	100%	V	126	120			
_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
✓	✓	99%	~	113	113		••••	
X	/	100%	X	98	116	109	108	
_	_	-	_	_	_	••••••	_	
'	/	100%	V	133	119	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
V	/	100%	V	128	114			
,								
X	~	99%	X	55	116	74	70	
X	V	99%	X	48	111	67	63	
X	V	100%	X	114	119	119	123	
X 4 of 8	 3							
		100%		133	119			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	100%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	119	119	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- -	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Status - X - X X X	Status Met Criterion V X X X X X X X X X X	Met	Met	Met Percentage Tested Met Criterion Index	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index AMO	Met Criterion Percentage Criterion Performance Index AMO Safe Harbs 2010-11	

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP	Participation	on	Test Performance		Performan	e Objectives			
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target		
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	et iterion Performance Index Effective AMO Safe Hark 2010–11 143 135 - - 124 128 128 119 131 131 - - - 151 134 138 129 124 131 97 138 127 90	2011–12				
/	V	100%	V	143	135				
_	-	-	-	-	-		-		
X	✓	100%	X	124	128	128	132		
X	V	100%	X	119	131	131	127		
- -	-	-	-	_	_		_		
/	/	100%	/	151	134	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••		
/	/	100%	/	138	129	•••••••			
X	~	100%	X	77	131	97	89		
X	~	99%	X	81	127	90	93		
X	V	100%	X	132	134	134	139		
X 3 of 8	 3								
		100%		142	134				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	100%	***************************************	144	134	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Status - X X - V X X X	Status Met Criterion	Met	Met	Met Percentage Tested Met Criterion Index	Status Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO V V 100% V 143 135 - - - - - - X V 100% X 124 128 X V 100% X 119 131 - - - - - - V 100% V 151 134 V 100% V 138 129 X V 100% X 77 131 X V 99% X 81 127 X V 100% X 132 134 X 3 of 8 100% 142 134	Met Criterion Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO Safe Harbo 2010–11		

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status Good Standing for This Subject (2011–12)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science

Made AYP

Prospective StatusThis district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation	on	Test Performance		Performa	nce Object	ives
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	s Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2010–11	2011–12
Accountability Groups									
All Students (750:714)	/	Qualified	V	100%	V	182	100		,
Ethnicity	'								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (34:33)	••••••	Qualified	-	-	~	167	100	••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (113:106)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	/	99%	/	163	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	••••••	_	<u>-</u>	-	_	-	-	••••••	_
White (532:507)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	/	100%	/	186	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (64:61)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	98%	V	184	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (104:111)		Qualified	~	100%	~	135	100		
Limited English Proficient (31:28)	••••••	_	-	_	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Economically Disadvantaged (521:490)	••••••	Qualified	~	100%	~	175	100	••••••	••••••
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1							
Non-Accountability Groups	1							1	
Female (353:332)				100%		182	100		
Male (397:382)				100%		181	100		
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• ••••••••	•••	•••••		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Symbols



Made AYP

Did not make AYP

 Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment **NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation	on	Test Perfor	mance	Performan	ce Objectives	;
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective Safe Harbor Targe		or Target
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010–11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (327:336)	V	V	100%	V	187	177		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (19:22)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (23:25)	_	_	_	_	_	-		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:3)	_	-	-	_	_	_		_
White (274:280)	/	/	100%	V	189	177		••••
Multiracial (4:3)	_	- -	_	_	-	_		_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (25:29)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (2:3)	_	_	-	_	_	_		_
Economically Disadvantaged (145:161)	•	/	100%	v	178	175	••••••	•••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3	3						'
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (156:159)	,		100%		189	175		
Male (171:177)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	100%	••••	186	175		••••••••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	on	Test Perfor	Test Performance F		ce Objectives	e Objectives Safe Harbor Target			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target			
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010–11	2011–12			
Accountability Groups											
All Students (327:336)	V	/	100%	V	194	174					
Ethnicity								'			
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-			
Black or African American (19:22)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-			
Hispanic or Latino (23:25)		_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:3)	- -	_	-	-	_	_	•••••••	_			
White (274:280)	/	/	100%	V	194	174	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Multiracial (4:3)	- -	_	_	_	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Other Groups											
Students with Disabilities (25:29)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-			
Limited English Proficient (2:3)	_	-	-	-	_	_	••••••	_			
Economically Disadvantaged (145:161)	~	V	100%	V	191	172	••••••••	••••			
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3	3									
Non-Accountability Groups											
Female (156:159)			100%		196	172					
Male (171:177)			100%		192	172					
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

Symbols



ade AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AVD

- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduatio	n		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010–11	
Accountability Groups						
All Students (398)	V	V	74%	80%	74%	
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (7)		_	-	-		
Black or African American (36)	***************************************	✓	81%	80%		
Hispanic or Latino (37)	***************************************	X	57%	80%	62%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)	***************************************	_	-			
White (315)	***************************************	✓	76%	80%	76%	
Multiracial (0)	***************************************	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (64)		X	30%	80%	32%	
Limited English Proficient (4)	***************************************	_	-			
Economically Disadvantaged (206)	***************************************	✓	67%	80%	67%	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (198)			78%	80%		
Male (200)			70%	80%		
Migrant (0)						

Symbols



Made AYP



D:-I -- - + --- - I -- AV/

, L

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **76%** and, therefore, this district *did not* meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

School Accountability Status

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

2011-12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

In Good Standing

7 schools identified 70% of total

ABRAHAM LINCOLN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CARLYLE C RING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLINTON V BUSH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL JAMESTOWN HIGH SCHOOL

MILTON J FLETCHER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ROVILLUS R ROGERS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL SAMUEL G LOVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Improvement (year 1) Basic

1 school identified 10% of total

PERSELL MIDDLE SCHOOL

Improvement (year 1) Focused

1 school identified 10% of total

THOMAS JEFFERSON MIDDLE SCHOOL

Improvement (year 1) Comprehensive

1 school identified 10% of total

GEORGE WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	_	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	46%		417
Grade 4	52%		386
Grade 5	37%		380
Grade 6	41%		373
Grade 7	34%		370
Grade 8	39%		350
Mathematics			
Grade 3	45%		420
Grade 4	60%		389
Grade 5	52%		382
Grade 6	49%		374
Grade 7	60%		376
Grade 8	57%		352
Science			
Grade 4	86%		388
Grade 8	77%		295
	_	of students that above Level 3	2007 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	72%		442
Mathematics	79%		442

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:


High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10 S	chool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	417	78%	46%	4%	395	86%	54%	18%
Female	207	80%	49%	6%	193	90%	57%	21%
Male	210	76%	42%	2%	202	82%	50%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	_	5	-	_	-
Black or African American	28	_	_	-	39	74%	44%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	58	71%	26%	0%	41	76%	37%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Is	slander 1	-	_	-	3	_	_	_
White	294	78%	48%	5%	307	88%	58%	20%
Multiracial	34	88%	44%	3%			•	•••••
Small Group Totals	31	84%	58%	10%	8	100%	38%	0%
General-Education Students	362	87%	52%	5%	352	90%	58%	20%
Students with Disabilities	55	20%	2%	0%	43	51%	21%	0%
English Proficient	407	78%	46%	4%	382	86%	55%	19%
Limited English Proficient	10	60%	10%	0%	13	62%	8%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	301	75%	38%	3%	283	81%	45%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	116	86%	66%	9%	112	97%	75%	30%
Migrant					1	_	_	-
Not Migrant	417	78%	46%	4%	394			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	at level(s): Total Number so				coring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	6	6	5	2		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A		

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10 S	chool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):
otaaciit oroap	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	420	81%	45%	8%	397	87%	52%	19%
Female	210	80%	41%	9%	193	89%	54%	19%
Male	210	81%	49%	8%	204	85%	50%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	_	5	-	_	-
Black or African American	28	_	_	-	39	85%	36%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	62	71%	27%	5%	44	73%	23%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific I	slander 1	_	_	-	3	_	_	_
White	293	84%	50%	9%	306	89%	59%	22%
Multiracial	34	76%	41%	6%			•	•••••
Small Group Totals	31	74%	42%	10%	8	88%	38%	0%
General-Education Students	366	88%	51%	10%	352	90%	56%	22%
Students with Disabilities	54	31%	4%	0%	45	62%	20%	2%
English Proficient	406	81%	46%	9%	381	89%	54%	20%
Limited English Proficient	14	71%	29%	0%	16	44%	6%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	305	77%	36%	5%	285	83%	44%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	115	91%	70%	18%	112	96%	72%	31%
Migrant					1	_	_	_
Not Migrant	420	81%	45%	8%	396	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	<u> </u>

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 S c	School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scori						.(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	5	4	6	6	6	2		
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	6	6	0	3		

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11 \$	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	4 4% 3% 4% 2% 4% 4%			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):			
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	386	88%	52%	2%	385	90%	48%	4%			
Female	188	90%	55%	3%	190	90%	51%	3%			
Male	198	86%	49%	1%	195	89%	44%	4%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	6	-	_	_			
Black or African American	17	_	_	_	49	94%	47%	2%			
Hispanic or Latino	55	76%	31%	2%	48	79%	27%	4%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	er 3	_	_	_	3	-	-	-			
White	278	91%	56%	2%	279	91%	51%	4%			
Multiracial	32	88%	53%	3%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Small Group Totals	21	86%	48%	0%	9	89%	67%	11%			
General-Education Students	343	94%	57%	2%	336	96%	54%	4%			
Students with Disabilities	43	47%	9%	0%	49	49%	4%	0%			
English Proficient	371	90%	54%	2%	373	91%	49%	3%			
Limited English Proficient	15	40%	0%	0%	12	50%	17%	8%			
Economically Disadvantaged	275	85%	45%	1%	276	86%	39%	2%			
Not Disadvantaged	111	97%	68%	5%	109	99%	70%	8%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	386	88%	52%	2%	385	90%	48%	4%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11 S	chool Year		,	2009-10 S C	hool Year	•	
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	389	90%	60%	19%	392	90%	58%	18%
Female	191	88%	62%	20%	191	88%	55%	15%
Male	198	91%	58%	19%	201	92%	60%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_	6	-	_	_
Black or African American	17	_	_	_	50	96%	46%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	59	78%	42%	12%	53	77%	40%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	der 3	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
White	277	91%	65%	22%	280	91%	63%	21%
Multiracial	32	94%	56%	16%		••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	21	95%	48%	14%	9	89%	67%	22%
General-Education Students	346	94%	66%	22%	340	96%	66%	21%
Students with Disabilities	43	53%	14%	0%	52	46%	8%	0%
English Proficient	370	92%	62%	20%	375	91%	59%	19%
Limited English Proficient	19	53%	16%	5%	17	53%	24%	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	278	88%	54%	14%	283	87%	51%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	111	95%	75%	32%	109	98%	77%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	389	90%	60%	19%	392	90%	58%	18%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 S	School Year				
Assessments	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number						scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4				3					

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	388	97%	86%	49%	391	96%	83%	46%
Female	192	97%	88%	53%	189	95%	82%	46%
Male	196	97%	85%	45%	202	96%	85%	47%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	6	-	_	-
Black or African American	17	_	_	_	50	98%	80%	36%
Hispanic or Latino	58	97%	66%	33%	53	87%	68%	28%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	er 3	_	_	_	3	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	_
White	277	98%	90%	55%	279	97%	87%	51%
Multiracial	32	97%	91%	38%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	
Small Group Totals	21	95%	90%	33%	9	100%	89%	67%
General-Education Students	345	99%	91%	55%	341	98%	89%	51%
Students with Disabilities	43	88%	49%	2%	50	78%	46%	12%
English Proficient	370	98%	89%	51%	374	97%	86%	48%
Limited English Proficient	18	89%	17%	0%	17	71%	29%	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	278	96%	82%	41%	282	94%	79%	38%
Not Disadvantaged	110	100%	95%	69%	109	99%	95%	66%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	388	97%	86%	49%	391	96%	83%	46%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 S	chool Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Nu						Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4				3				

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10 S	chool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	380	80%	37%	2%	391	76%	36%	8%
Female	182	83%	42%	1%	197	78%	46%	11%
Male	198	77%	32%	2%	194	74%	27%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	_	-	9	-	_	_
Black or African American	24	_	_	_	43	88%	33%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	55	62%	24%	2%	43	60%	19%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander		••••••		2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
White	263	83%	41%	2%	294	76%	40%	10%
Multiracial	35	94%	40%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	27	74%	15%	4%	11	82%	27%	9%
General-Education Students	329	88%	42%	2%	328	87%	43%	10%
Students with Disabilities	51	29%	0%	0%	63	17%	2%	0%
English Proficient	367	83%	38%	2%	382	77%	37%	8%
Limited English Proficient	13	8%	0%	0%	9	11%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	276	76%	30%	1%	296	71%	29%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	104	90%	55%	4%	95	91%	59%	19%
Migrant					3	_	_	_
Not Migrant	380	80%	37%	2%	388	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 S 0	hool Year		
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	3		ວ	5	J		
New York State English as a Second Language								
Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

April 20, 2012

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10 S	chool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	382	87%	52%	10%	392	84%	51%	14%
Female	184	88%	47%	8%	198	82%	54%	15%
Male	198	87%	56%	12%	194	85%	48%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	9	-	_	-
Black or African American	24	_	_	-	43	86%	47%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	57	72%	32%	0%	45	67%	31%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander			•••••	2	_	_	
White	263	90%	59%	13%	293	86%	55%	17%
Multiracial	35	91%	51%	6%		••••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	27	89%	30%	4%	11	82%	55%	9%
General-Education Students	331	94%	60%	11%	328	91%	60%	17%
Students with Disabilities	51	45%	2%	0%	64	44%	6%	2%
English Proficient	368	89%	54%	10%	381	86%	52%	15%
Limited English Proficient	14	36%	0%	0%	11	18%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	278	84%	45%	6%	297	80%	43%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	104	96%	71%	20%	95	96%	76%	29%
Migrant					3	_	_	-
Not Migrant	382	87%	52%	10%	389			·····

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 S	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scorin					oring at level	(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	E	_	5	5	E	E	5	4		
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	5	5	J	4		

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	373	78%	41%	3%	382	83%	38%	3%			
Female	183	81%	48%	2%	196	86%	43%	5%			
Male	190	75%	35%	3%	186	80%	33%	1%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	-	_	_	7	-	_	_			
Black or African American	21	76%	43%	0%	47	74%	26%	0%			
Hispanic or Latino	55	65%	24%	0%	53	64%	21%	2%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Is	slander 1	-	_	-	2	-	_	-			
White	264	80%	45%	4%	273	89%	44%	4%			
Multiracial	27	85%	41%	0%							
Small Group Totals	6	67%	33%	0%	9	67%	33%	0%			
General-Education Students	313	88%	49%	3%	323	91%	44%	4%			
Students with Disabilities	60	27%	3%	0%	59	41%	7%	0%			
English Proficient	363	80%	42%	3%	372	85%	40%	3%			
Limited English Proficient	10	20%	0%	0%	10	0%	0%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged	274	74%	33%	0%	277	78%	27%	1%			
Not Disadvantaged	99	88%	64%	9%	105	96%	68%	10%			
Migrant	2	-	_	-							
Not Migrant	371	_	_	_	382	83%	38%	3%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11 Se	chool Year			2009-10 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	7	7	6	0					
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	'	'			U					
New York State English as a Second Language	4	N1 /A	N1 /A	N1 / A	2	N1 /A	A1 /A	N 1 / A		
Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A		

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	% 19% 18% 18% 19% 20% - - 19% 4% 19% 9% - - 19% 24% 19% 22% 19% 3% 19% 20% 19% 20%		
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	374	86%	49%	16%	385	91%	51%	19%		
Female	184	88%	49%	18%	196	94%	53%	18%		
Male	190	85%	48%	14%	189	87%	49%	20%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	_	_	_	7	-	_	_		
Black or African American	21	90%	57%	0%	48	88%	25%	4%		
Hispanic or Latino	56	75%	27%	2%	56	84%	34%	9%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander 1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_		
White	264	88%	53%	20%	272	93%	60%	24%		
Multiracial	27	96%	56%	15%			•	•••••		
Small Group Totals	6	83%	17%	17%	9	89%	33%	22%		
General-Education Students	314	94%	55%	19%	324	97%	59%	22%		
Students with Disabilities	60	48%	15%	0%	61	59%	10%	3%		
English Proficient	363	88%	50%	17%	372	92%	52%	20%		
Limited English Proficient	11	36%	0%	0%	13	46%	8%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	275	84%	41%	10%	281	88%	41%	10%		
Not Disadvantaged	99	92%	70%	33%	104	97%	78%	43%		
Migrant	2	_	_	_						
Not Migrant	372	-			385	91%	51%	19%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11 S	chool Year		2009-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	7	7	6				
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	ľ	ľ	ľ	Ü	0			

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

Page 27

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	6% 10% .7% 13% .4% 9%		
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	370	86%	34%	2%	363	85%	46%	10%		
Female	188	89%	39%	3%	165	85%	47%	13%		
Male	182	82%	28%	1%	198	85%	44%	9%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	_	3	-	_	_		
Black or African American	22	-	_	-	44	-	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino	63	75%	19%	0%	51	86%	31%	8%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific I	slander		•••••		1	-	-			
White	249	90%	41%	2%	264	85%	48%	12%		
Multiracial	34	82%	21%	0%			••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	24	83%	13%	0%	48	85%	46%	6%		
General-Education Students	317	95%	39%	2%	309	94%	53%	12%		
Students with Disabilities	53	34%	0%	0%	54	37%	4%	0%		
English Proficient	359	88%	35%	2%	351	86%	47%	11%		
Limited English Proficient	11	18%	0%	0%	12	58%	8%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	271	83%	25%	1%	242	80%	32%	5%		
Not Disadvantaged	99	94%	56%	3%	121	96%	73%	21%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	370	86%	34%	2%	363	85%	46%	10%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year		•	2009-10 S c	2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	5	5	5	5		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

April 20, 2012

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	5% 32% 64% 31% 65% 32% - - - - 65% 14% - - 69% 35% 33% 38% 55% 0% 66% 33%		
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	376	91%	60%	25%	364	93%	65%	32%		
Female	192	91%	60%	21%	166	92%	64%	31%		
Male	184	90%	59%	29%	198	93%	65%	32%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	-	3	-	_	_		
Black or African American	23	_	-		45	_		_		
Hispanic or Latino	67	88%	42%	16%	51	94%	55%	14%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific I	slander		•••••	••••••	1			·····		
White	250	93%	69%	31%	264	94%	69%	35%		
Multiracial	34	85%	44%	15%		••••••••	••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	25	80%	36%	8%	49	86%	51%	33%		
General-Education Students	321	97%	67%	30%	309	98%	73%	38%		
Students with Disabilities	55	55%	20%	0%	55	64%	15%	0%		
English Proficient	361	92%	62%	26%	352	93%	66%	33%		
Limited English Proficient	15	60%	7%	7%	12	92%	33%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	276	89%	53%	17%	243	91%	56%	22%		
Not Disadvantaged	100	94%	78%	49%	121	98%	82%	52%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	376	91%	60%	25%	364	93%	65%	32%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year			2009-10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	5	5	5	2
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1			_	3	3	J	3

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10 S	chool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	350	87%	39%	1%	362	84%	36%	5%
Female	155	88%	45%	1%	171	87%	42%	8%
Male	195	87%	35%	1%	191	81%	31%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_	9	67%	0%	0%
Black or African American	16	_	_	_	34	88%	29%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	50	88%	26%	2%	49	63%	16%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islan	nder 2		·····	—	5	80%	60%	20%
White	249	88%	43%	1%	265	88%	42%	6%
Multiracial	32	94%	41%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Small Group Totals	19	74%	32%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••
General-Education Students	298	95%	46%	1%	307	93%	42%	5%
Students with Disabilities	52	42%	2%	0%	55	31%	5%	2%
English Proficient	341	88%	40%	1%	349	86%	38%	5%
Limited English Proficient	9	67%	0%	0%	13	23%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	234	83%	26%	0%	242	79%	26%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	116	97%	66%	3%	120	95%	56%	11%
Migrant					2	_	_	_
Not Migrant	350	87%	39%	1%	360	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total					Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	5	5	2				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent		3		.	3			_	
New York State English as a Second Language		N1 /A	N1 /A	N1 /A	2	N1 /A	A1 /A	N1 /A	
Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10 S	chool Yea	ır	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	352	93%	57%	16%	363	93%	58%	20%
Female	155	94%	54%	15%	170	96%	60%	26%
Male	197	92%	58%	17%	193	91%	57%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	-	_	9	100%	33%	0%
Black or African American	16	-	_	_	34	94%	38%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	52	96%	50%	12%	52	88%	46%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	ler 2	_	_	-	5	100%	100%	80%
White	249	93%	61%	18%	263	94%	63%	23%
Multiracial	32	88%	50%	13%				•••••
Small Group Totals	19	84%	32%	5%	•••••		•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	300	98%	65%	19%	308	98%	67%	23%
Students with Disabilities	52	62%	6%	2%	55	65%	13%	2%
English Proficient	341	93%	57%	17%	347	94%	60%	20%
Limited English Proficient	11	91%	27%	0%	16	88%	25%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	236	89%	47%	8%	243	92%	51%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	116	99%	76%	34%	120	96%	74%	38%
Migrant					2	_	_	_
Not Migrant	352	93%	57%	16%	361		-	·····

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	2	2	2		_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	3	3	3	3			_	

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2010-11 S	chool Yea	r		2009-10 S 0	hool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	295	97%	77%	28%	301	92%	73%	25%
Female	134	96%	77%	22%	135	93%	73%	21%
Male	161	97%	77%	34%	166	92%	73%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	8	-	_	-
Black or African American	15	-	_	_	29	90%	72%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	47	91%	66%	15%	50	78%	48%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 2	-	_	_	2	_	_	_
White	204	98%	81%	35%	212	96%	79%	30%
Multiracial	26	100%	77%	23%				
Small Group Totals	18	94%	56%	0%	10	90%	70%	0%
General-Education Students	243	99%	86%	34%	249	96%	81%	28%
Students with Disabilities	52	87%	33%	4%	52	71%	33%	8%
English Proficient	284	98%	79%	30%	285	95%	76%	26%
Limited English Proficient	11	73%	27%	0%	16	44%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	218	95%	70%	22%	225	90%	68%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	77	100%	96%	48%	76	97%	88%	38%
Migrant					2	_	_	_
Not Migrant	295	97%	77%	28%	299	_		_

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010–11 School Year				2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	5	5	2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent		3	J	J	3		_	_
Regents Science	56	56	56	53	58	58	58	44

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group	2007 Cohor	2006 Cohort**						
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	442	74%	72%	30%	398	77%	73%	35%
Female	196	79%	77%	33%	198	81%	77%	42%
Male	246	71%	68%	28%	200	74%	69%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	_	_	_	7	_	_	_
Black or African American	26	77%	69%	12%	36	89%	86%	31%
Hispanic or Latino	41	54%	51%	7%	37	54%	54%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
White	363	77%	74%	34%	315	80%	75%	38%
Multiracial	4	_	_	-				••••••
Small Group Totals	12	75%	75%	25%	10	30%	30%	10%
General-Education Students	370	82%	81%	35%	339	85%	84%	41%
Students with Disabilities	72	35%	24%	3%	59	32%	12%	2%
English Proficient	433	76%	73%	31%	394	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	9	22%	22%	0%	4	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	214	71%	67%	16%	206	73%	67%	22%
Not Disadvantaged	228	78%	77%	43%	192	82%	80%	48%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	442	74%	72%	30%	398	77%	73%	35%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 $^{^{**}}$ 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

District JAMESTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 06-17-00-01-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group	2007 Cohor	2006 Cohort**						
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	442	82%	79%	28%	398	81%	78%	36%
Female	196	88%	85%	26%	198	85%	81%	41%
Male	246	77%	75%	30%	200	77%	76%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	_	_	7	-	_	-
Black or African American	26	85%	81%	12%	36	86%	86%	25%
Hispanic or Latino	41	66%	61%	10%	37	68%	65%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
White	363	84%	81%	31%	315	83%	80%	41%
Multiracial	4	_		_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	12	75%	75%	17%	10	40%	40%	20%
General-Education Students	370	90%	89%	33%	339	89%	88%	43%
Students with Disabilities	72	42%	28%	1%	59	32%	24%	0%
English Proficient	433	83%	80%	28%	394	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	9	44%	33%	0%	4	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	214	82%	78%	14%	206	78%	74%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	228	82%	80%	41%	192	84%	83%	53%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	442	82%	79%	28%	398	81%	78%	36%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

^{** 2006} cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.