



The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2010 – 11

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**
District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**
Superintendent **JOSEPH HOCHREITER**
Telephone **(607) 735-3010**
Grades **PK-12**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

- 1 Get District Profile information.**
This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.
- 2 Review District Accountability Status.**
This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.
- 3 View School Accountability Status.**
This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.
- 4 Review an Overview of District Performance.**
This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Pre-K	337	339	346
Kindergarten	595	535	570
Grade 1	540	577	526
Grade 2	549	524	552
Grade 3	547	545	514
Grade 4	530	553	542
Grade 5	498	528	545
Grade 6	480	500	521
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	480	475	512
Grade 8	498	472	483
Grade 9	508	501	495
Grade 10	525	510	501
Grade 11	551	498	495
Grade 12	549	584	542
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	6850	6802	6798

Average Class Size

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	19	19	19
Grade 8			
English	20	19	19
Mathematics	18	19	20
Science	19	21	21
Social Studies	19	20	19
Grade 10			
English	21	21	24
Mathematics	16	16	20
Science			
Social Studies	17	19	24

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

Demographic Factors

	2008-09		2009-10		2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	2747	40%	3334	49%	3240	48%
Reduced-Price Lunch	687	10%	589	9%	545	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	13	0%	17	0%	22	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	19	0%	13	0%	9	0%
Black or African American	1218	18%	1293	19%	1318	19%
Hispanic or Latino	170	2%	166	2%	187	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	44	1%	47	1%	49	1%
White	5381	79%	5274	78%	5235	77%
Multiracial	18	0%	9	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		93%		92%
Student Suspensions	702	10%	733	11%	752	11%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 07-06-00-01-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	594	603	582
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	13%	9%	7%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	34%	33%	34%
Total Number of Core Classes	1360	1314	1233
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	1%	2%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1828	1842	1791
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	2%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	16%	15%	16%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	16%	9%	13%

Staff Counts

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	90	136	52
Total Paraprofessionals*	223	231	251
Assistant Principals	19	20	20
Principals	13	13	13

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment (“Total” or “12th Graders”) shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the “Percentage Tested” shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

Science: The current year’s Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year’s Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year’s graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = $[(80 - \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}) \times 0.20] + \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}$.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:
2009–10 PI + (200 – the 2009–10 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show “Qualified.” If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show “Did not qualify.” A “*” symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a “—” in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

■ A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)

Improvement (Year 1)

ELA	Improvement (Year 1)	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓ ^{SH}	✓ ^{SH}	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	✗	✗	—	✓ ^{SH}	✓ ^{SH}	—
Hispanic or Latino	✗	✗	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—	—	—	—	—
White	✓	✓	—	✗	✗	—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✗	✗	—	✗	✗	—
Limited English Proficient	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	✗	✗	—	✓ ^{SH}	✓ ^{SH}	—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✗ 2 of 6	✗ 2 of 6	✓ 1 of 1	✗ 3 of 5	✗ 3 of 5	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status


- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts




















Accountability Status  Improvement (Year 1)
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 2 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP




Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12
Accountability Groups							
All Students (3142:3031)			100%		125	120	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (601:586)			100%		104	117	117 114
Hispanic or Latino (94:91)			100%		102	112	112 112
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (20:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (2389:2310)			100%		132	120	
Multiracial (31:23)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (453:481)			99%		58	117	81 72
Limited English Proficient (10:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (1913:1824)			100%		107	119	119 116
Final AYP Determination	 2 of 6						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (1492:1445)			100%		136	119	
Male (1650:1586)			100%		116	119	
Migrant (0:0)							


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics




















Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 2 of 6 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP




Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12
Accountability Groups							
All Students (3141:3028)			100%		137	135	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (598:581)			99%		115	132	131 124
Hispanic or Latino (94:91)			100%		107	127	126 116
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (20:15)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (2391:2312)			100%		143	135	
Multiracial (31:23)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (454:480)			99%		76	132	99 88
Limited English Proficient (10:9)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (1912:1822)			100%		119	134	134 127
Final AYP Determination	 2 of 6						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (1491:1446)			100%		141	134	
Male (1650:1582)			99%		133	134	
Migrant (0:0)							

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.













Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	AYP Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11	2011–12
Accountability Groups									
All Students (1032:924)		Qualified		93%		163	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:1)		—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Black or African American (201:182)		Qualified		93%		139	100		
Hispanic or Latino (35:27)		—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:4)		—	—	—	—	—	—		—
White (780:705)		Qualified		93%		170	100		
Multiracial (8:5)		—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (161:147)		Qualified		86%		107	100		
Limited English Proficient (5:4)		—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Economically Disadvantaged (620:542)		Qualified		92%		147	100		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (471:418)				92%		161	100		
Male (561:506)				94%		164	100		
Migrant (0:0)									


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

















Accountability Status  Improvement (Year 1)
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 3 of 5 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP




Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12	
Accountability Groups								
All Students (471:435)			96%		165	178	164	169
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (83:58)			99%		153	170	144	158
Hispanic or Latino (9:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (374:366)			96%		169	177	168‡	172
Multiracial (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (113:63)			94%		86	171	109	97
Limited English Proficient (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (149:131)			96%		163	174	160	167
Final AYP Determination		3 of 5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (240:221)			95%		164	176		
Male (231:214)			97%		166	175		
Migrant (0:0)								


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

















Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 3 of 5 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP




Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12	
Accountability Groups								
All Students (471:435)			99%		169	175	167	172
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (83:58)			99%		160	167	142	164
Hispanic or Latino (9:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (374:366)			99%		171	174	171‡	174
Multiracial (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (49:63)			98%		86	168	114	97
Limited English Proficient (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (149:131)			99%		160	171	158	164
Final AYP Determination		3 of 5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (240:221)			98%		166	173		
Male (231:214)			100%		171	172		
Migrant (0:0)								


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.








Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)  Good Standing



Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation			Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11
Accountability Groups					
All Students (567)			68%	80%	68%
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		—	—	—	
Black or African American (87)			55%	80%	50%
Hispanic or Latino (18)		—	—	—	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)		—	—	—	
White (458)			71%	80%	72%
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (105)			44%	80%	39%
Limited English Proficient (1)		—	—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged (213)			67%	80%	64%
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1				
Non-Accountability Groups					
Female (286)			71%	80%	
Male (281)			64%	80%	
Migrant (0)					

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **72%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

In Good Standing

8 schools identified 62% of total

BROADWAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
FASSETT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
HENDY AVENUE SCHOOL
PARLEY COBURN SCHOOL
PINE CITY SCHOOL
RIVERSIDE SCHOOL
SOUTHSIDE HIGH SCHOOL
THOMAS K BEECHER SCHOOL

Improvement (year 1) Focused

2 schools identified 15% of total

BROADWAY MIDDLE SCHOOL
ERNIE DAVIS MIDDLE SCHOOL

Corrective Action (year 1) Comprehensive

2 schools identified 15% of total

ELMIRA ALTERNATIVE HIGH SCHOOL AT WASHINGTON SCHOOL
ELMIRA FREE ACADEMY

Corrective Action (year 2) Comprehensive

1 school identified 8% of total

DIVEN SCHOOL

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	48%			517
Grade 4	40%			536
Grade 5	41%			548
Grade 6	46%			530
Grade 7	34%			500
Grade 8	33%			481

Mathematics	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	50%			517
Grade 4	50%			539
Grade 5	53%			546
Grade 6	44%			528
Grade 7	50%			499
Grade 8	40%			481

Science	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 4	77%			522
Grade 8	60%			407

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2007 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	70%			529
Mathematics	70%			529

About the Performance Level Descriptors

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts


This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	517	83%	48%	4%	545	85%	49%	14%
Female	259	86%	51%	6%	251	88%	53%	17%
Male	258	81%	45%	3%	294	83%	46%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	85	74%	35%	1%	110	80%	44%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	14	64%	36%	0%	18	72%	28%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
White	407	87%	51%	5%	411	87%	51%	15%
Multiracial	8	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	11	55%	27%	9%	6	100%	83%	33%
General-Education Students	464	89%	53%	5%	487	91%	53%	15%
Students with Disabilities	53	38%	2%	0%	58	34%	12%	2%
English Proficient	517	83%	48%	4%	542	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	320	78%	37%	3%	346	80%	39%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	197	91%	64%	8%	199	95%	66%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	517	83%	48%	4%	545	85%	49%	14%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	517	87%	50%	10%	545	90%	55%	23%
Female	260	88%	48%	9%	249	90%	55%	24%
Male	257	87%	52%	11%	296	91%	55%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	84	82%	36%	6%	113	81%	46%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	14	64%	36%	7%	17	82%	29%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
White	408	90%	54%	11%	409	93%	58%	25%
Multiracial	8	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	11	64%	45%	18%	6	100%	100%	50%
General-Education Students	464	92%	55%	11%	485	94%	60%	25%
Students with Disabilities	53	49%	13%	0%	60	58%	15%	3%
English Proficient	517	87%	50%	10%	542	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	319	83%	42%	9%	346	86%	47%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	198	94%	64%	12%	199	98%	69%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	517	87%	50%	10%	545	90%	55%	23%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	536	85%	40%	1%	553	90%	52%	4%
Female	243	88%	47%	2%	269	93%	59%	6%
Male	293	81%	34%	0%	284	87%	44%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	107	79%	31%	0%	114	83%	36%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	21	81%	29%	0%	15	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	399	86%	43%	1%	421	92%	57%	5%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	0%	18	72%	33%	0%
General-Education Students	474	92%	45%	1%	476	96%	59%	5%
Students with Disabilities	62	29%	3%	0%	77	52%	8%	0%
English Proficient	533	-	-	-	551	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	328	80%	27%	0%	338	88%	41%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	208	92%	60%	1%	215	93%	68%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	536	85%	40%	1%	553	90%	52%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	539	88%	50%	14%	554	92%	49%	15%
Female	246	87%	52%	14%	270	95%	51%	15%
Male	293	88%	48%	14%	284	90%	47%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	107	81%	33%	6%	113	88%	33%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	21	76%	29%	10%	15	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	401	90%	55%	16%	423	94%	55%	17%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	10	100%	60%	30%	18	83%	22%	6%
General-Education Students	476	93%	55%	16%	475	97%	55%	17%
Students with Disabilities	63	46%	11%	0%	79	66%	18%	3%
English Proficient	535	-	-	-	552	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	329	82%	34%	7%	337	91%	37%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	210	96%	74%	26%	217	95%	68%	25%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	539	88%	50%	14%	554	92%	49%	15%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	3	3	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	522	94%	77%	30%	544	96%	84%	40%
Female	235	92%	74%	30%	263	97%	87%	40%
Male	287	95%	79%	31%	281	95%	82%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	104	88%	65%	15%	111	92%	71%	25%
Hispanic or Latino	20	95%	60%	5%	15	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	389	95%	81%	36%	415	97%	88%	44%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	33%	18	89%	83%	22%
General-Education Students	466	97%	82%	33%	470	99%	90%	44%
Students with Disabilities	56	70%	38%	7%	74	80%	49%	11%
English Proficient	518	-	-	-	542	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	319	92%	68%	16%	332	95%	81%	30%
Not Disadvantaged	203	98%	92%	53%	212	98%	89%	55%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	522	94%	77%	30%	544	96%	84%	40%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	3	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	548	84%	41%	3%	517	86%	43%	11%
Female	268	90%	50%	4%	254	93%	49%	16%
Male	280	78%	33%	2%	263	79%	36%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	98	72%	32%	2%	114	78%	26%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	15	73%	13%	0%	16	81%	19%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	424	86%	45%	3%	382	88%	48%	13%
Multiracial	8	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	11	91%	27%	0%	5	80%	60%	0%
General-Education Students	472	90%	48%	3%	452	91%	47%	12%
Students with Disabilities	76	41%	1%	0%	65	48%	12%	0%
English Proficient	545	-	-	-	517	86%	43%	11%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	331	78%	29%	1%	321	81%	30%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	217	92%	60%	6%	196	94%	63%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	548	84%	41%	3%	517	86%	43%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	546	93%	53%	13%	520	93%	54%	15%
Female	269	94%	58%	14%	254	97%	59%	16%
Male	277	92%	48%	13%	266	89%	50%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	96	88%	39%	5%	113	90%	42%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	15	80%	27%	0%	17	94%	29%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
White	424	95%	57%	16%	384	94%	59%	17%
Multiracial	8	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	11	100%	45%	0%	6	83%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	471	97%	59%	15%	454	96%	60%	17%
Students with Disabilities	75	65%	12%	0%	66	70%	15%	0%
English Proficient	543	-	-	-	519	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	329	91%	41%	7%	325	90%	45%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	217	95%	70%	24%	195	97%	69%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	546	93%	53%	13%	520	93%	54%	15%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	530	85%	46%	2%	502	87%	47%	3%
Female	263	91%	52%	2%	225	91%	51%	4%
Male	267	78%	41%	2%	277	83%	44%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	107	78%	35%	1%	105	80%	21%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	19	84%	26%	0%	12	92%	50%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	394	87%	51%	3%	380	89%	54%	4%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	10	80%	40%	0%	5	80%	40%	0%
General-Education Students	458	90%	52%	3%	417	95%	54%	3%
Students with Disabilities	72	51%	11%	0%	85	48%	11%	0%
English Proficient	530	85%	46%	2%	501	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	327	79%	33%	2%	317	81%	33%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	203	93%	67%	3%	185	97%	70%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	530	85%	46%	2%	502	87%	47%	3%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				5	5	3	1
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	528	84%	44%	12%	502	91%	56%	16%
Female	262	89%	48%	13%	226	92%	58%	15%
Male	266	80%	39%	11%	276	89%	55%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	105	82%	28%	4%	106	83%	34%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	19	84%	32%	5%	12	92%	42%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	394	86%	49%	15%	379	93%	63%	18%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	10	70%	40%	20%	5	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	457	90%	49%	14%	417	97%	63%	19%
Students with Disabilities	71	51%	13%	1%	85	62%	25%	1%
English Proficient	528	84%	44%	12%	501	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	326	78%	33%	5%	317	87%	44%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	202	95%	61%	24%	185	97%	77%	26%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	528	84%	44%	12%	502	91%	56%	16%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				5	5	5	1

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	500	84%	34%	1%	480	87%	40%	8%
Female	226	89%	37%	1%	217	92%	47%	10%
Male	274	80%	32%	1%	263	82%	33%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	105	74%	15%	0%	101	81%	30%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	12	92%	25%	0%	8	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	374	86%	40%	2%	370	89%	43%	9%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	9	89%	33%	0%	9	67%	33%	0%
General-Education Students	419	93%	40%	1%	388	94%	48%	10%
Students with Disabilities	81	37%	4%	0%	92	54%	3%	1%
English Proficient	498	-	-	-	479	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	308	77%	22%	0%	290	83%	25%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	192	95%	54%	3%	190	93%	62%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	500	84%	34%	1%	480	87%	40%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	4	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	499	91%	50%	18%	480	88%	49%	21%
Female	225	92%	52%	17%	217	90%	56%	24%
Male	274	90%	48%	19%	263	86%	43%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	105	87%	29%	9%	101	82%	39%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	42%	8%	8	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	373	92%	56%	20%	370	90%	52%	24%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	9	89%	67%	33%	9	44%	33%	11%
General-Education Students	418	96%	57%	21%	388	95%	56%	25%
Students with Disabilities	81	65%	12%	4%	92	57%	15%	1%
English Proficient	497	-	-	-	479	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	308	86%	35%	12%	290	84%	36%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	191	98%	73%	27%	190	93%	68%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	499	91%	50%	18%	480	88%	49%	21%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	3	4	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	481	83%	33%	0%	470	90%	52%	6%
Female	222	89%	41%	0%	213	91%	58%	7%
Male	259	78%	26%	0%	257	89%	46%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	92	77%	20%	0%	79	86%	32%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	13	69%	23%	0%	10	90%	70%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	40%
White	369	86%	37%	0%	376	90%	55%	6%
Multiracial	5	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	57%	14%	0%				
General-Education Students	395	91%	39%	0%	389	98%	61%	7%
Students with Disabilities	86	45%	3%	0%	81	49%	5%	0%
English Proficient	480	-	-	-	470	90%	52%	6%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	288	78%	17%	0%	271	85%	37%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	193	90%	56%	0%	199	96%	72%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	481	83%	33%	0%	470	90%	52%	6%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	481	85%	40%	5%	476	89%	41%	10%
Female	221	90%	46%	6%	215	89%	40%	11%
Male	260	81%	36%	4%	261	88%	42%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	92	79%	26%	1%	81	79%	28%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	13	62%	15%	0%	10	80%	60%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	5	100%	60%	20%
White	369	88%	45%	6%	380	91%	43%	11%
Multiracial	5	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	71%	29%	0%				
General-Education Students	394	93%	48%	6%	393	95%	49%	12%
Students with Disabilities	87	47%	3%	0%	83	57%	2%	0%
English Proficient	480	-	-	-	476	89%	41%	10%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	289	80%	27%	2%	275	85%	28%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	192	92%	61%	10%	201	93%	60%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	481	85%	40%	5%	476	89%	41%	10%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2010-11 School Year

Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2009-10 School Year

Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	407	88%	60%	17%	476	92%	66%	20%
Female	182	92%	62%	16%	213	90%	63%	17%
Male	225	85%	59%	18%	263	93%	68%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	79	78%	35%	5%	80	81%	41%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	11	73%	36%	0%	11	82%	64%	55%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	5	100%	100%	60%
White	311	92%	68%	21%	380	94%	71%	21%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	50%	17%	0%	-	-	-	-
General-Education Students	333	93%	69%	20%	391	97%	74%	24%
Students with Disabilities	74	65%	19%	3%	85	66%	26%	1%
English Proficient	406	-	-	-	476	92%	66%	20%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	241	83%	47%	7%	275	89%	52%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	166	95%	78%	31%	201	96%	85%	34%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	407	88%	60%	17%	476	92%	66%	20%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2010-11 School Year

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2009-10 School Year

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4


	2010-11 School Year	2009-10 School Year
	Total Tested	Total Tested
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	4
Regents Science	20	0

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2007 Cohort				2006 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	529	73%	70%	18%	570	75%	68%	16%
Female	266	76%	73%	18%	287	83%	75%	19%
Male	263	70%	66%	17%	283	67%	61%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	74	69%	65%	8%	89	64%	57%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	10	40%	40%	0%	18	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	439	74%	71%	20%	459	77%	71%	18%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	67%	50%	0%	22	73%	59%	5%
General-Education Students	463	79%	76%	20%	478	82%	76%	19%
Students with Disabilities	66	33%	23%	0%	92	36%	26%	0%
English Proficient	526	-	-	-	569	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	150	80%	75%	8%	214	77%	70%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	379	70%	68%	22%	356	74%	67%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	529	73%	70%	18%	570	75%	68%	16%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **ELMIRA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **07-06-00-01-0000**

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2007 Cohort				2006 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	529	80%	70%	13%	570	78%	68%	12%
Female	266	82%	73%	10%	287	84%	72%	15%
Male	263	78%	67%	16%	283	71%	64%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	74	78%	69%	1%	89	64%	53%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	10	50%	50%	0%	18	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	439	81%	71%	15%	459	81%	71%	14%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	67%	33%	17%	22	64%	59%	0%
General-Education Students	463	85%	77%	14%	478	86%	76%	14%
Students with Disabilities	66	44%	17%	2%	92	37%	27%	3%
English Proficient	526	-	-	-	569	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	150	84%	69%	7%	214	79%	65%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	379	79%	70%	15%	356	77%	70%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	529	80%	70%	13%	570	78%	68%	12%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.