

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2010 - 11

District HORSEHEADS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 07-09-01-06-0000 Superintendent RALPH MARINO Telephone (607) 739-5601 Grades PK-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District 2 Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011-12 accountability status.



Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 07-09-01-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Pre-K	144	136	133
Kindergarten	318	308	278
Grade 1	295	334	314
Grade 2	323	305	336
Grade 3	278	341	298
Grade 4	329	293	352
Grade 5	308	342	284
Grade 6	299	320	344
Ungraded Elementary	3	0	0
Grade 7	325	322	325
Grade 8	324	328	318
Grade 9	359	343	342
Grade 10	366	341	322
Grade 11	333	364	324
Grade 12	338	331	356
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	4198	4272	4193

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	20	21	21
Grade 8			
English	22	21	20
Mathematics	21	21	20
Science	21	22	20
Social Studies	21	21	21
Grade 10			
English	23	23	25
Mathematics	25	22	22
Science	23	22	21
Social Studies	23	20	21

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	8-09	200	9–10	201	0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	661	16%	749	18%	696	17%
Reduced-Price Lunch	297	7%	390	9%	295	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	18	0%	17	0%	20	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	0%	13	0%	13	0%
Black or African American	96	2%	103	2%	100	2%
Hispanic or Latino	47	1%	62	1%	63	2%
Asian or Native	133	3%	136	3%	131	3%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3890	93%	3913	92%	3839	92%
Multiracial	25	1%	45	1%	47	1%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2007	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		96%		95%	
Student Suspensions	137	3%	174	4%	149	3%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	330	328	308
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	5%	3%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	22%	23%	23%
Total Number of Core Classes	630	622	584
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	853	864	819
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	21%	21%	18%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	11%	14%

Staff Counts

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	42	45	32
Total Paraprofessionals*	105	106	82
Assistant Principals	5	5	5
Principals	7	7	7

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District ID 07-09-01-06-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.**

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.**

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	n identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District HORSEHEADS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 07-09-01-06-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)	∧ Improvement (Year 1)					
	ELA	∧ Improvement (Year 1)	Science	▲ Good Standing		
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received Ti	tle I Part A Funding			
	2009-	-10 201	0-11	2011-12		
	YES	YES		YES		

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	 	v	 Image: A start of the start of	 ✓ 	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	-			
Black or African American	✓	<		–	–	••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	✓	 	••••	–	–	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	v	 		-	-			
White	~	V	••••	~	~	••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial	_	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	•••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	X		X	X			
Limited English Proficient	-	–		–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	 	 ✓ 	••••	 ✓ 	 ✓ 	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 6 of 7	X 6 of 7	🖌 1 of 1	X 3 of 4	X 3 of 4	✔ 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	6 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	on	Test Perfor	mance	Performance Objectives		;
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1927:1882)	 	V	100%	V	148	119		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (44:44)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	100%	~	127	107		
Hispanic or Latino (48:43)	✓	 	100%	 ✓ 	149	107		••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (64:64)	~	 	100%	~	163	110		
White (1751:1714)	✓	 ✓ 	100%	 ✓ 	148	119	•••••••••••••••	••••
Multiracial (15:12)	–	-	-	–	-	–		-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (327:366)	x	~	100%	X	82	116	99	94
Limited English Proficient (8:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (510:487)	<	 	100%	✓	119	117		
Final AYP Determination	🗙 6 of 7	7						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (960:936)			100%		156	118		
Male (967:946)	•••••••	•••••••	100%	••••••	140	118		••••
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participatio		on Test Perfo		mance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011-12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1927:1880)	~	v	100%	V	165	134		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (44:44)	~	~	100%	~	150	122		
Hispanic or Latino (48:43)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	167	122	•••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (64:64)	~	 	100%	~	181	125		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
White (1751:1712)	<	✓	100%	 ✓ 	164	134	•••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (15:12)	–	–	-	–	-	-	••••••••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (327:364)	x	v	99%	X	112	131	121	121
Limited English Proficient (8:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (510:486)	~	•	100%	✓	141	132		
Final AYP Determination	X 6 of 7	7						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (960:935)			100%		166	133		
Male (967:945)	• ••••	••••••	100%	•••••		133	••••••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	• •••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participatio	on	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State		s Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2010-11	2011-12
Accountability Groups									
All Students (665:651)	V	Qualified	 ✓ 	99%	v	186	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (13:13)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (18:18)		–	–	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (29:28)		-	_	-	-	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • •	-
White (600:587)		Qualified	✓	100%	 	186	100		
Multiracial (4:4)		_	_	-	-	-	-	•••••	-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (112:125)		Qualified	~	99%	~	154	100		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged (172:167)		Qualified	~	99%	~	176	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	if 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (345:336)				99%		189	100		
Male (320:315)				100%		184	100		
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • •		• •••••		•••••				

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

April 20, 2012

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (366:351)	~	~	99%	V	186	177		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (11:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-	••••••••••••••	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (339:323)	<	 	99%	 ✓ 	189	177	••••	••••
Multiracial (4:3)	–	_	-	–	-	-	••• •••	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (32:40)	x	-	-	x	115	168	136	124
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (42:42)	~	 	98%	~	188	168	•••••••••••••••	•••••
Final AYP Determination	🗙 3 of 4	1						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (178:170)			100%		192	175		
Male (188:181)			98%		181	175		
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		Test Perfor	mance	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (366:351)	~	v	100%	V	191	174		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	-	-	_	-	-		_
Black or African American (11:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	–	-	–	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (339:323)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	191	174	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (4:3)	-	_	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (32:40)	x	-	-	x	130	165	155	137
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (42:42)	<	 	100%	~	195	165		•••••
Final AYP Determination	🗙 3 of 4	4						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (178:170)			100%		192	172		
Male (188:181)	•••••••••••••		100%	••••••	190	172	•••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Migrant (0:0)								

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District ID 07-09-01-06-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	v	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduatio	on	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010–11	
Accountability Groups						
All Students (353)	~	~	81%	80%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (10)	••••••	–	-	–		
Hispanic or Latino (3)		_	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12)	••••••	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
White (327)	••••••	 	81%	80%		
Multiracial (1)	••••••	_	-	-		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (44)		 ✓ 	55%	80%	47%	
Limited English Proficient (0)	•••••		••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (44)	••••••	~	91%	80%		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (181)			83%	80%		
Male (172)			80%	80%		
Migrant (0)						

Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **84%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

4 schools identified 57% of total	
BIG FLATS SCHOOL	
CENTER STREET SCHOOL	
HORSEHEADS SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL	
RIDGE ROAD SCHOOL	
Improvement (year 1) Basic	
1 school identified 14% of total	
HORSEHEADS MIDDLE SCHOOL	
Improvement (year 1) Focused	
1 school identified 14% of total	
HORSEHEADS INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL	
Improvement (year 2) Basic	
1 school identified 14% of total	
GARDNER ROAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	

Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	ge of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	66%		297
Grade 4	61%		350
Grade 5	45%		291
Grade 6	58%		343
Grade 7	50%		324
Grade 8	57%		316
Mathematics			
Grade 3	67%		297
Grade 4	77%		349
	63%		291
Grade 6	56%		343
Grade 7	78%		325
Grade 8	77%		316
Science			
Grade 4	93%		345
Grade 8	83%		315
		ge of students that or above Level 3	2007 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	87%		376

91%

District ID 07-09-01-06-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

376

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 667	*Range:	644-780	663-780	694-780				
2010 Mean Score: 674	100%	89% 92%	66% 69%		87% 86%	56% 55%		
2010-11 2009-10				21% 6%			17% 5%	
Number of Tested Students:		264 310	196 233	19 72				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 S	chool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	297	89%	66%	6%	338	92%	69%	21%
Female	153	92%	72%	7%	172	94%	73%	25%
Male	144	86%	60%	6%	166	89%	64%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	10	90%	30%	0%	6	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	6	-	-	–	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	83%	75%	17%	18	94%	83%	28%
White	265	89%	67%	6%	310	92%	69%	21%
Yultiracial	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	10	90%	60%	10%	10	80%	50%	30%
General-Education Students	244	98%	77%	8%	275	98%	79%	24%
Students with Disabilities	53	49%	17%	0%	63	63%	24%	8%
English Proficient	296	_	_	_	335	-	-	_
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	86	79%	50%	3%	99	84%	54%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	211	93%	73%	8%	239	95%	75%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	297	89%	66%	6%	338	92%	69%	21%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
ASSESSMENTS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	5	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Languag Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	je O	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested o the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	n O	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 689	*Range:	662-770	684-770	707-770				
2010 Mean Score: 693	100%	94% 95%	67% 69%		91% 91%	60% 59%		
2010-11 2009-10				15% 23%	н.	н.	13%	
Number of Tested Students:		278 323	199 236	46 79				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	297	94%	67%	15%	340	95%	69%	23%	
Female	153	94%	67%	13%	173	98%	69%	24%	
Male	144	93%	67%	18%	167	92%	70%	23%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-					
Black or African American	10	90%	40%	10%	6	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	6	-	-	–	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 12	83%	67%	42%	18	100%	100%	72%	
White	265	94%	69%	15%	312	95%	69%	21%	
Multiracial	3	-	-	–	2	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	10	100%	50%	10%	10	90%	40%	0%	
General-Education Students	244	98%	75%	18%	277	99%	78%	27%	
Students with Disabilities	53	74%	32%	2%	63	79%	32%	5%	
English Proficient	296	-	_	_	337	_	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	86	88%	44%	6%	100	91%	51%	9%	
Not Disadvantaged	211	96%	76%	19%	240	97%	77%	29%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	297	94%	67%	15%	340	95%	69%	23%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	·	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 675	*Range:	637-775	671-775	722-775				
2010 Mean Score: 677	100%	93% 93%	61% 68%		92% 92%	57% 57%		
2010-11 2009-10				3% 7%			2% 6%	
Number of Tested Students:		324 266	214 194	9 19				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	350	93%	61%	3%	286	93%	68%	7%	
Female	172	97%	67%	3%	126	94%	68%	9%	
Male	178	89%	56%	2%	160	92%	68%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	7	-	-	-	11	91%	73%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	4	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 17	94%	71%	24%	9	100%	78%	22%	
White	322	93%	62%	2%	259	93%	67%	6%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	2	-	–	-	
Small Group Totals	11	91%	27%	0%	7	100%	86%	14%	
General-Education Students	289	98%	71%	3%	233	98%	79%	8%	
Students with Disabilities	61	67%	15%	0%	53	70%	21%	0%	
English Proficient	350	93%	61%	3%	286	93%	68%	7%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••		••••	•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	100	86%	41%	0%	89	84%	55%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged	250	95%	69%	4%	197	97%	74%	8%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	350	93%	61%	3%	286	93%	68%	7%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010–11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 694	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800			
2010 Mean Score: 691	100%	96% 96%	77% 72%		94% 95%	67% 64%	
2010-11 2009-10				33% 26%			27% 26%
Number of Tested Students:		335 274	268 204	116 74			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	349	96%	77%	33%	285	96%	72%	26%	
Female	172	97%	79%	35%	127	96%	68%	21%	
Male	177	95%	75%	31%	158	96%	75%	30%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	-	
Black or African American	7	-	-	–	11	91%	73%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	5	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 17	100%	100%	82%	9	100%	78%	67%	
White	321	96%	76%	31%	258	96%	71%	26%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	–	1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	11	100%	64%	9%	7	100%	71%	29%	
General-Education Students	289	100%	85%	38%	233	99%	82%	31%	
Students with Disabilities	60	77%	38%	8%	52	85%	25%	2%	
English Proficient	349	96%	77%	33%	285	96%	72%	26%	
Limited English Proficient				•••••			•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	99	91%	62%	9%	89	92%	56%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged	250	98%	83%	43%	196	98%	79%	32%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	349	96%	77%	33%	285	96%	72%	26%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 85	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2010 Mean Score: 84 2010-11 2009-10	100%	98% 100%	93% 94%	66% 60%	98% 97%	88% 88%	52% 55%	
Number of Tested Students:		339 285	322 270	227 172				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	345	98%	93%	66%	286	100%	94%	60%	
Female	168	99%	95%	64%	127	99%	94%	53%	
Male	177	97%	92%	67%	159	100%	94%	66%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	7	-	-	–	11	100%	91%	64%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	5	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 17	100%	94%	76%	9	100%	100%	67%	
White	317	98%	93%	66%	259	100%	94%	60%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	–	1	-	-	–	
Small Group Totals	11	100%	91%	45%	7	100%	100%	57%	
General-Education Students	285	100%	97%	73%	234	100%	98%	70%	
Students with Disabilities	60	92%	75%	32%	52	98%	79%	15%	
English Proficient	345	98%	93%	66%	286	100%	94%	60%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••			•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	99	97%	86%	48%	89	100%	91%	46%	
Not Disadvantaged	246	99%	96%	73%	197	99%	96%	66%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	345	98%	93%	66%	286	100%	94%	60%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 663	*Range:	648-795	668-795	700-795					
2010 Mean Score: 669	100%	86% 88%	45% 51%		89% 88%	54% 52%			
2009-10				2% 8%			4% 13%		
Number of Tested Students:		251 298	132 173	5 27					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	291	86%	45%	2%	340	88%	51%	8%
Female	133	89%	49%	2%	160	89%	58%	9%
Male	158	84%	42%	1%	180	86%	44%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	9	100%	44%	0%	7	86%	29%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	-	_	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	88%	63%	13%	12	100%	92%	8%
White	264	85%	45%	2%	311	87%	50%	8%
Multiracial	2	-	–	-	4	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	10	100%	50%	0%	10	90%	30%	10%
General-Education Students	237	97%	54%	2%	282	95%	59%	9%
Students with Disabilities	54	41%	6%	0%	58	53%	14%	2%
English Proficient	291	86%	45%	2%	340	88%	51%	8%
Limited English Proficient				•				
Economically Disadvantaged	76	75%	26%	0%	84	81%	31%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	215	90%	52%	2%	256	90%	57%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	291	86%	45%	2%	340	88%	51%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 682	*Range:	640-780	676-780	707-780				
2010 Mean Score: 684	100%	93% 95%	63% 65%		94% 94%	66% 65%		
2010-11 2009-10				18% 18%	н.		23% 24%	
Number of Tested Students:		270 326	184 222	53 63				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	291	93%	63%	18%	342	95%	65%	18%	
Female	133	92%	62%	17%	160	95%	66%	17%	
Male	158	93%	65%	20%	182	96%	64%	20%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	9	89%	56%	22%	7	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	–	7	100%	57%	29%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	88%	75%	50%	13	100%	92%	38%	
White	263	93%	63%	17%	311	95%	65%	18%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	–	4	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	11	91%	73%	45%	11	100%	27%	0%	
General-Education Students	238	99%	74%	22%	284	99%	73%	22%	
Students with Disabilities	53	66%	15%	0%	58	78%	24%	0%	
English Proficient	290	-	_	_	340	-	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	76	89%	49%	4%	85	87%	48%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	215	94%	68%	23%	257	98%	70%	23%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	291	93%	63%	18%	342	95%	65%	18%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 663	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785				
2010 Mean Score: 665	100%	92% 90%	58% 58%		88% 89%	56% 54%		
2010-11 2009-10				2% 6%	н.		4% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		315 287	198 185	7 20				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	343	92%	58%	2%	319	90%	58%	6%		
Female	163	93%	60%	1%	159	93%	63%	9%		
Male	180	91%	56%	3%	160	87%	53%	3%		
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-		
Black or African American	8	-	-	-	4	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	56%	0%	8	100%	75%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	er 10	90%	60%	0%	7	86%	71%	43%		
White	312	92%	58%	2%	296	91%	57%	6%		
Multiracial	4	-	-	-	2	-	–	-		
Small Group Totals	12	92%	42%	8%	8	63%	50%	0%		
General-Education Students	288	97%	66%	2%	267	97%	68%	7%		
Students with Disabilities	55	64%	15%	0%	52	52%	8%	0%		
English Proficient	341	-	-	-	315	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged	85	85%	36%	1%	94	79%	36%	2%		
Not Disadvantaged	258	94%	65%	2%	225	95%	67%	8%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	343	92%	58%	2%	319	90%	58%	6%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010–11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 677	*Range:	640-780	674-780	700-780			
2010 Mean Score: 670	100%	92% 92%	56% 50%		92% 92%	63% 61%	
2010-11 2009-10				21% 14%			26% 27%
Number of Tested Students:		314 294	193 162	71 46			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	343	92%	56%	21%	321	92%	50%	14%
Female	163	93%	53%	18%	161	94%	48%	14%
Male	180	91%	59%	23%	160	89%	53%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	-
Black or African American	8	-	-	–	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	9	78%	33%	11%	10	90%	40%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 10	100%	90%	50%	7	86%	86%	57%
White	312	92%	55%	20%	296	92%	51%	14%
Multiracial	4	-	-	–	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	12	92%	67%	25%	8	75%	25%	13%
General-Education Students	288	98%	64%	24%	270	96%	57%	17%
Students with Disabilities	55	60%	15%	4%	51	71%	16%	2%
English Proficient	341	-	_	-	314	92%	51%	14%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	–	7	86%	43%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	85	82%	32%	11%	94	81%	32%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	258	95%	64%	24%	227	96%	58%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	343	92%	56%	21%	321	92%	50%	14%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 663	*Range:	642-790	665-790	698-790			
2010 Mean Score: 672	100%	91% 92%	50% 59%		91% 90%	48% 50%	
2010-11 2009-10				14% 1%			4% 11%
Number of Tested Students:		296 295	162 187	3 45			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	324	91 %	50%	1%	319	92%	59%	14%	
Female	160	93%	58%	2%	175	95%	69%	20%	
Male	164	90%	43%	0%	144	90%	47%	7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	7	86%	43%	14%	
Hispanic or Latino	7	71%	57%	0%	10	100%	60%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 5	100%	60%	20%	13	100%	92%	15%	
White	305	92%	50%	1%	282	92%	57%	14%	
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	7	86%	29%	0%	7	86%	71%	29%	
General-Education Students	274	97%	57%	1%	265	97%	69%	17%	
Students with Disabilities	50	60%	10%	0%	54	70%	9%	0%	
English Proficient	320	-	-	-	319	92%	59%	14%	
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged	90	80%	27%	0%	74	85%	43%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	234	96%	59%	1%	245	95%	63%	17%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	324	91%	50%	1%	319	92%	59%	14%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 685	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800			
2010 Mean Score: 684	100%	96% 97%	78% 75%	38% 38%	92% 92%	65% 62%	
2009-10							30% 29%
Number of Tested Students:		313 309	253 240	122 121			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	325	96%	78%	38%	319	97%	75%	38%	
Female	161	97%	76%	36%	175	98%	79%	41%	
Male	164	96%	80%	39%	144	95%	71%	34%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	7	86%	71%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	7	100%	71%	57%	10	100%	90%	40%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	40%	13	100%	92%	77%	
White	306	96%	78%	37%	282	97%	74%	37%	
Multiracial	1	-	-	–	6	-	–	-	
Small Group Totals	7	86%	71%	29%	7	100%	71%	57%	
General-Education Students	275	99%	85%	43%	265	100%	84%	43%	
Students with Disabilities	50	80%	40%	10%	54	83%	33%	11%	
English Proficient	321	-	_	-	319	97%	75%	38%	
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged	90	91%	57%	20%	74	93%	57%	19%	
Not Disadvantaged	235	98%	86%	44%	245	98%	81%	44%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	325	96%	78%	38%	319	97%	75%	38%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	olic	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 660	*Range:	628-790	658-790	699-790			
2010 Mean Score: 666	100%	94% 95%	57% 63%		92% 91%	47% 51%	
2010-11 2009-10				2% 11%			2% 8%
Number of Tested Students:		298 309	181 206	7 35			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	316	94%	57%	2%	326	95%	63%	11%	
Female	174	97%	66%	4%	174	95%	72%	16%	
Male	142	91%	46%	0%	152	94%	53%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	6	-	-	-	6	83%	67%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	16	94%	63%	6%	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 12	100%	83%	0%	6	100%	83%	50%	
White	279	94%	55%	2%	306	95%	63%	10%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	–	4	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	11%	8	88%	38%	0%	
General-Education Students	265	98%	66%	3%	271	100%	74%	13%	
Students with Disabilities	51	76%	10%	0%	55	69%	11%	0%	
English Proficient	316	94%	57%	2%	326	95%	63%	11%	
Limited English Proficient								•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	72	86%	38%	0%	79	90%	39%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	244	97%	63%	3%	247	96%	71%	12%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	316	94%	57%	2%	326	95%	63%	11%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 685	*Range:	639-775	674-775	704-775					
2010 Mean Score: 683	100%	96% 95%	77% 69%		91% 91%	60% _{55%}			
2010-11 2009-10				19% 21%	н.	н.	18% 18%		
Number of Tested Students:		303 311	243 224	61 70					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	316	96%	77%	19%	326	95%	69%	21%	
Female	174	97%	79%	22%	174	97%	72%	22%	
Male	142	94%	74%	16%	152	93%	65%	20%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	6	-	-	-	6	83%	33%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	16	100%	81%	0%	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 12	100%	92%	67%	6	100%	83%	33%	
White	279	96%	76%	18%	306	95%	70%	22%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	–	4	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	9	89%	89%	22%	8	100%	50%	13%	
General-Education Students	265	100%	83%	22%	271	100%	79%	25%	
Students with Disabilities	51	76%	43%	4%	55	73%	16%	4%	
English Proficient	316	96%	77%	19%	326	95%	69%	21%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				•••••	••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	72	94%	67%	6%	79	90%	52%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	244	96%	80%	23%	247	97%	74%	27%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	316	96%	77%	19%	326	95%	69%	21%	

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s): Total		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	315	97%	83%	39%	318	97%	86%	47%	
Female	173	98%	83%	42%	169	98%	87%	46%	
Male	142	95%	82%	36%	149	97%	86%	48%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	6	-	-	-	6	100%	67%	17%	
Hispanic or Latino	16	100%	75%	19%	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	100%	82%	6	100%	100%	67%	
White	279	97%	82%	39%	298	97%	87%	47%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	–	4	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	9	89%	89%	33%	8	100%	75%	38%	
General-Education Students	265	99%	90%	46%	265	100%	94%	54%	
Students with Disabilities	50	84%	42%	6%	53	85%	47%	13%	
English Proficient	315	97%	83%	39%	318	97%	86%	47%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••								
Economically Disadvantaged	72	93%	69%	19%	75	93%	71%	31%	
Not Disadvantaged	243	98%	86%	45%	243	98%	91%	52%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	315	97%	83%	39%	318	97%	86%	47%	


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	T	_	_	_	0				
Regents Science	0				0				

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2007 Coho i	t		2006 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	376	90%	87%	48%	353	84%	81%	42%
Female	180	95%	91%	56%	181	86%	83%	49%
Male	196	86%	84%	40%	172	82%	79%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	-				
Black or African American	14	79%	71%	21%	10	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	_	-	-	3	-	–	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	75%	75%	25%	12	92%	92%	75%
White	346	91%	88%	50%	327	83%	81%	41%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-	1	-	_	-
Small Group Totals	8	88%	75%	25%	14	86%	86%	29%
General-Education Students	336	94%	94%	53%	312	89%	88%	47%
Students with Disabilities	40	58%	30%	0%	41	41%	32%	2%
English Proficient	374	-	_	-	353	84%	81%	42%
imited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	47	94%	85%	23%	44	91%	86%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	329	90%	88%	51%	309	83%	81%	44%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	376	90%	87%	48%	353	84%	81%	42%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Resultsby	2007 Coho r	t		2006 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	376	93%	91%	41%	353	92%	89%	38%
Female	180	95%	94%	42%	181	92%	88%	36%
Male	196	91%	88%	40%	172	92%	89%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	14	86%	86%	14%	10	_	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	100%	25%	12	100%	100%	67%
White	346	93%	91%	42%	327	92%	88%	37%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-	1		-	-
Small Group Totals	8	75%	75%	38%	14	93%	86%	36%
General-Education Students	336	97%	96%	46%	312	96%	94%	42%
Students with Disabilities	40	60%	43%	0%	41	66%	51%	5%
English Proficient	374	-	-	-	353	92%	89%	38%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-		•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	47	96%	91%	28%	44	98%	93%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	329	92%	91%	43%	309	92%	88%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	376	93%	91%	41%	353	92%	89%	38%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.