

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2010 – 11

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000 Superintendent MARK DAVEY Telephone (585) 247-5050 Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataguest@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
12	0	0
309	291	248
307	313	293
319	304	312
320	324	308
336	326	327
322	338	319
329	317	347
0	12	0
351	327	339
388	342	337
432	417	374
450	420	396
399	437	430
416	379	405
0	0	0
4678	4547	4435
	12 309 307 319 320 336 322 329 0 351 388 432 450 399 416 0	12 0 309 291 307 313 319 304 320 324 336 326 322 338 329 317 0 12 351 327 388 342 432 417 450 420 399 437 416 379 0 0

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	20	22	22
Grade 8			
English	21	21	20
Mathematics	21	20	21
Science	20	22	21
Social Studies	21	21	21
Grade 10			
English	20	23	26
Mathematics	22	25	27
Science	18	21	
Social Studies	22	23	24

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2008-09		2009-10		2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	810	18%	1074	25%	1145	27%
Reduced-Price Lunch	528	12%	517	12%	552	13%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	94	2%	94	2%	101	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	12	0%	11	0%	10	0%
Black or African American	733	16%	714	16%	709	16%
Hispanic or Latino	226	5%	221	5%	277	6%
Asian or Native	200	4%	204	4%	212	5%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3507	75%	3397	75%	3113	70%

0

0%

0%

114

3%

Multiracial

Attendance and Suspensions

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	232	5%	176	4%	164	4%

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

^{*} Available only at the school level.

District Profile

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	391	367	348
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	3%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	16%	15%
Total Number of Core Classes	988	875	795
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	2%	1%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1471	1317	1241
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	18%	44%	42%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	19%	17%	16%

Staff Counts

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	58	1	54
Total Paraprofessionals*	109	76	98
Assistant Principals	7	7	7
Principals	6	6	6

 $^{^{\}star}$ Not available at the school level.

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The Percent Teaching Out of Certification is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007-08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007-08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the SIRS Manual at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) \times 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)	Improvement (Year 1)						
	ELA	Improvement (Year 1)	Science	♠ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received Ti	itle I Part A Funding				
	2009-	10 201	10-11	2011-12			
	YES	YES		YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary /i	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	✓	✓	~	✓	V	✓	
Ethnicity		'		,			
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_					
Black or African American	~	~		/	V		
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	•••••••	_	_	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	~		-	-		
White	~	~	••••••••	~	~	••••	
Multiracial	✓	/	•••••••	_ _		•••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	X		X	X		
Limited English Proficient	X	~	•••••••		_	•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	/	V	•••••••	V	V	•••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 7 of 9	X 8 of 9	✓ 1 of 1	X 4 of 5	X 4 of 5	✓ 1 of 1	



Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status	^	Improvement (Year 1)
for This Subject (2011–12)		
Accountability Measures	7 of 9	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will

be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the

elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

(Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
AllStudents (2025:1955)	V	V	99%	V	150	120		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (335:321)	✓	✓	100%	~	131	116		
Hispanic or Latino (154:144)	/	V	99%	/	135	113	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (101:97)	✓	/	100%	~	151	112		
White (1382:1343)	/	V	99%	V	157	119	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••
Multiracial (47:44)	/	/	100%	V	139	107	•••••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (320:311)	X	~	99%	X	85	116	97	97
Limited English Proficient (40:59)	X	~	100%	X	100	109	109	110
Economically Disadvantaged (895:844)	~	✓	99%	✓	134	118		
Final AYP Determination	X 7 of 9	9						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (987:960)			99%		158	118		
Male (1038:995)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	100%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	143	119	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••	•••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or

secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 9	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation	on	Test Perfor	mance	Performan	i	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
Accountability Groups								
All Students (2025:1963)	<u> </u>	/	100%	<u>/</u>	162	135		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (335:321)	~	✓	100%	~	144	131		
Hispanic or Latino (154:146)	V	/	100%	/	144	128	••••••••	••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (101:97)	✓	✓	100%	✓	172	127	••••••	••••
White (1382:1349)	V	/	100%	V	168	134	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (47:44)	/	V	100%	V	148	122	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (320:314)	X	V	99%	X	106	131	109	115
Limited English Proficient (40:60)	~	/	100%	v	140	125		
Economically Disadvantaged (895:848)	~	/	100%	v	148	133		
Final AYP Determination	X 8 of 9	9				'		
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (987:967)			100%		164	133		
Male (1038:996)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	100%	••••	159	134	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science

✓ Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation	on	Test Perfor	mance	Performa	nce Object	ives
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups									
All Students (686:659)	/	Qualified	V	99%	V	189	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	_	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (116:110)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	98%	~	180	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino (46:46)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	/	100%	/	176	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (34:33)	•	Qualified	_	-	~	188	100	••••••	
White (471:451)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	98%	/	192	100		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (17:17)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	-	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (111:106)		Qualified	~	97%	~	161	100		
Limited English Proficient (17:17)		_	_	-	_	-	_	••••••	-
Economically Disadvantaged (294:271)		Qualified	~	97%	~	182	100	•••••	
Final AYP Determination	1 0	of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups		,							
Female (342:330)				99%		191	100		
Male (344:329)				98%		187	100		
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• •••••••••	•••	••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Symbols



Made AYP

Did not make AYP

 Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment **NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	4 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	on	Test Perfor	st Performance Performan		ce Objectives	Objectives Safe Harbor Target			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target			
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010–11	2011–12			
Accountability Groups											
All Students (400:408)	/	V	100%	V	192	178					
Ethnicity	'										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)											
Black or African American (56:64)	~	✓	100%	✓	178	171		••••••			
Hispanic or Latino (10:10)	_	_	_	_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (18:18)	-	_	_	-	_	-	•••••••	-			
White (315:315)	~	V	100%	V	195	177	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••			
Multiracial (1:1)	_	_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_			
Other Groups	,										
Students with Disabilities (41:63)	X	~	100%	X	156	171	166	160			
Limited English Proficient (6:5)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-			
Economically Disadvantaged (112:121)	~	✓	100%	✓	188	174		•••••••			
Final AYP Determination	X 4 of	5									
Non-Accountability Groups											
Female (187:195)			100%		191	175					
Male (213:213)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	100%	***************************************	192	175	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••			
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••			

Symbols



ade AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or

secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation	on	Test Perfor	mance	Performan	Performance Objectives	
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010–11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (400:408)	V	V	100%	V	193	175		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (56:64)	~	V	100%	~	183	168		
Hispanic or Latino (10:10)	_	_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (18:18)	_	-	-	_	_	_	••••••	_
White (315:315)	/	/	100%	V	195	174	•••	••••
Multiracial (1:1)	_	_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (41:63)	X	✓	100%	X	156	168	160	160
Limited English Proficient (6:5)	_	_	-	_	_	_	••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (112:121)	•	/	100%	~	194	171	••••••••	•••••
Final AYP Determination	X 4 of 5	5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (187:195)			100%		194	172		
Male (213:213)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	100%	***************************************	192	172	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••

Symbols



ade AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	on		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010–11		
Accountability Groups							
All Students (413)	✓	✓	85%	80%			
Ethnicity		,					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (68)	•••••	V	82%	80%			
Hispanic or Latino (14)	••••••	_	-	<u> </u>			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15)	••••••	_	-				
White (316)	••••••	✓	86%	80%			
Multiracial (0)	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (59)		V	73%	80%	58%		
Limited English Proficient (5)	••••••	_	-	<u> </u>			
Economically Disadvantaged (97)	••••••	✓	88%	80%			
Final AYP Determination	✓ 1 of :	1					
Non-Accountability Groups		,					
Female (185)			86%	80%			
Male (228)			84%	80%			
Migrant (0)							

Symbols



Made AYP





Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is 86% and, therefore, this district did not meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

School Accountability Status

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

2011-12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

In Good Standing

4 schools identified 67% of total

FLORENCE BRASSER SCHOOL

GATES-CHILI HIGH SCHOOL

NEIL ARMSTRONG SCHOOL

PAUL ROAD SCHOOL

Improvement (year 1) Basic

2 schools identified 33% of total

GATES-CHILI MIDDLE SCHOOL

WALT DISNEY SCHOOL

Mathematics

Overview of District Performance

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2010-11 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o	Total	
	scored at or	Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	56%		309
Grade 4	58%		330
Grade 5	550%		217
	61%		3/17
Grade 7	54%		330
Grade 8	58%		340
Mathematics			
Grade 3	59%		308
Grade 4	67%		332
Grade 5	71%		310
Grade 6	58%		346
	70%		342
Grade 8	68%		343
Science			
Grade 4	89%		329
Grade 8	91%		289
	Percentage of scored at or	2007 Total Cohort	
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	86%		448

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

About the Performance **Level Descriptors**

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.


April 20, 2012 Page 17

448

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11 S	chool Yea	r		2009-10 S	chool Yea	r					
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):						
Stadent Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	309	87%	56%	3%	325	87%	59%	16%				
Female	148	92%	61%	5%	173	90%	62%	21%				
Male	161	83%	52%	1%	152	84%	55%	11%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	39	79%	36%	0%	52	81%	42%	12%				
Hispanic or Latino	25	80%	48%	0%	21	81%	52%	14%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 23	96%	52%	0%	17	····-	·····	_				
White	211	89%	61%	4%	234	90%	64%	18%				
Multiracial	10	_	_	-		••••••						
Small Group Totals	11	91%	64%	0%	18	78%	50%	6%				
General-Education Students	277	93%	61%	3%	285	94%	66%	18%				
Students with Disabilities	32	41%	9%	0%	40	40%	10%	3%				
English Proficient	299	88%	57%	3%	314	88%	59%	17%				
Limited English Proficient	10	80%	20%	0%	11	73%	45%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	137	85%	48%	2%	129	81%	48%	12%				
Not Disadvantaged	172	89%	62%	3%	196	92%	66%	19%				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	309	87%	56%	3%	325	87%	59%	16%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at lev			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	7	7	4	5	5	5	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	'	'	6	4	J			4	
New York State English as a Second Language		N1 /A	N1 /A	N1 /A		N1 /A	N1 /A	N1 /A	
Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	Ü	N/A	N/A	N/A	Ü	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

April 20, 2012

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11 S	chool Yea	r		2009-10	School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	308	93%	59%	11%	327	90%	60%	18%		
Female	148	94%	61%	11%	173	92%	61%	20%		
Male	160	93%	58%	11%	154	88%	59%	16%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_	1	-	_	_		
Black or African American	39	90%	44%	3%	53	81%	38%	8%		
Hispanic or Latino	25	100%	56%	8%	21	95%	38%	5%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	23	96%	65%	4%	17		·····			
White	210	92%	62%	14%	235	92%	66%	20%		
Multiracial	10	_		_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	11	100%	55%	0%	18	78%	72%	28%		
General-Education Students	276	97%	63%	12%	287	97%	66%	20%		
Students with Disabilities	32	63%	22%	3%	40	43%	18%	5%		
English Proficient	298	94%	61%	11%	316	91%	60%	17%		
Limited English Proficient	10	80%	10%	0%	11	73%	55%	27%		
Economically Disadvantaged	136	90%	50%	4%	129	84%	47%	12%		
Not Disadvantaged	172	95%	66%	16%	198	93%	68%	22%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	308	93%	59%	11%	327	90%	60%	18%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year		2009-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	otal Number scoring at level(s): Total Number sco						(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	7	7	1	5	5	5	1
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	ľ	1	ľ	4	3	J	J	1

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	2009-10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	330	92%	58%	1%	322	94%	64%	7%		
Female	171	94%	62%	0%	156	97%	69%	10%		
Male	159	90%	53%	1%	166	92%	59%	3%		
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	-		
Black or African American	58	84%	38%	0%	59	90%	44%	5%		
Hispanic or Latino	24	83%	42%	0%	17	100%	53%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	94%	53%	0%	5			_		
White	223	95%	65%	1%	240	95%	69%	7%		
Multiracial	8	88%	75%	0%			••••••			
Small Group Totals	•••••				6	100%	67%	17%		
General-Education Students	285	99%	66%	0%	287	97%	69%	7%		
Students with Disabilities	45	51%	9%	2%	35	71%	23%	0%		
English Proficient	321	92%	59%	1%	316	95%	65%	7%		
Limited English Proficient	9	89%	11%	0%	6	83%	17%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	143	90%	43%	0%	126	90%	49%	3%		
Not Disadvantaged	187	94%	70%	1%	196	97%	73%	9%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	330	92%	58%	1%	322	94%	64%	7%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 S c	2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	_	-	2	_	_	-		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	332	93%	67%	24%	323	95%	66%	26%
Female	173	94%	68%	23%	157	95%	63%	24%
Male	159	92%	67%	26%	166	95%	68%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_
Black or African American	58	83%	50%	12%	59	92%	47%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	25	92%	56%	12%	17	100%	65%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	94%	76%	41%	5			
White	224	95%	73%	28%	241	95%	70%	29%
Multiracial	8	100%	63%	13%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	6	100%	83%	17%
General-Education Students	287	98%	74%	27%	288	98%	70%	28%
Students with Disabilities	45	62%	29%	9%	35	71%	31%	9%
English Proficient	322	93%	67%	25%	317	96%	66%	26%
Limited English Proficient	10	90%	70%	0%	6	67%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	144	90%	58%	15%	126	91%	52%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	188	95%	74%	32%	197	97%	74%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	332	93%	67%	24%	323	95%	66%	26%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 S	School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number						er scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	4	_	_	_		_	_	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4			_	2					

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **26-04-01-06-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by	2010-11 \$	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	ır	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	329	97%	89%	58%	316	98%	94%	60%
Female	172	98%	90%	59%	155	98%	94%	57%
Male	157	96%	89%	58%	161	98%	93%	63%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_
Black or African American	58	93%	76%	40%	56	91%	82%	46%
Hispanic or Latino	25	92%	84%	44%	17	100%	88%	41%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 17	100%	82%	53%	5	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	·····
White	221	98%	94%	65%	237	100%	97%	64%
Multiracial	8	100%	100%	63%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	••••••	••••••	6	100%	100%	67%
General-Education Students	285	99%	94%	64%	282	99%	96%	63%
Students with Disabilities	44	82%	59%	23%	34	91%	74%	32%
English Proficient	319	97%	90%	60%	311	98%	94%	60%
Limited English Proficient	10	100%	70%	10%	5	100%	100%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	142	96%	85%	44%	119	96%	87%	45%
Not Disadvantaged	187	97%	93%	70%	197	99%	98%	69%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	329	97%	89%	58%	316	98%	94%	60%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 S	o School Year				
Assessments	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number sc						ເ(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4				2					

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	317	94%	55%	3%	344	90%	53%	13%
Female	151	95%	60%	5%	172	90%	56%	13%
Male	166	92%	49%	2%	172	91%	50%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	-
Black or African American	61	89%	43%	0%	63	86%	37%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	22	95%	36%	5%	28	86%	43%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 7	86%	71%	14%	18	-	_	_
White	220	95%	60%	4%	234	92%	58%	15%
Multiracial	6	_	_	-			•	
Small Group Totals	7	86%	14%	0%	19	95%	58%	16%
General-Education Students	279	96%	61%	4%	287	98%	62%	16%
Students with Disabilities	38	74%	8%	0%	57	51%	9%	0%
English Proficient	313	_	_	_	339	91%	54%	14%
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_	-	5	60%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	139	92%	38%	2%	150	87%	39%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	178	95%	67%	4%	194	93%	64%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	317	94%	55%	3%	344	90%	53%	13%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11 Sc	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	4	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent				_	4			_	
New York State English as a Second Language	4	N1 /A	N1 /A	N1 /A		N1 /A	N1 /A	N1 /A	
Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11 S	chool Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r					
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	: level(s):				
Student Or Oup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	319	98%	71%	24%	342	95%	70%	28%				
Female	152	98%	72%	18%	172	94%	62%	27%				
Male	167	98%	70%	30%	170	97%	77%	29%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	61	98%	48%	11%	62	95%	60%	19%				
Hispanic or Latino	23	91%	65%	9%	28	96%	54%	7%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 7	86%	86%	57%	18	_	_	_				
White	221	99%	80%	29%	233	95%	74%	31%				
Multiracial	6	_	_	-			•	•••••				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	14%	0%	19	100%	74%	58%				
General-Education Students	281	99%	76%	27%	287	100%	79%	33%				
Students with Disabilities	38	92%	37%	5%	55	73%	20%	4%				
English Proficient	314	98%	72%	24%	337	95%	70%	28%				
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	20%	5	100%	40%	20%				
Economically Disadvantaged	140	96%	61%	14%	148	94%	62%	16%				
Not Disadvantaged	179	99%	79%	32%	194	96%	75%	38%				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	319	98%	71%	24%	342	95%	70%	28%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 S	-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Numb						er scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_		_	_	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1			_	4					

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	347	89%	61%	4%	324	93%	56%	4%
Female	170	92%	63%	5%	161	97%	61%	4%
Male	177	87%	58%	3%	163	90%	50%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	_	_	_				
Black or African American	55	84%	53%	4%	56	88%	41%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	34	88%	50%	3%	19	84%	42%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	20	90%	75%	5%	14	86%	50%	7%
White	229	91%	63%	4%	235	96%	61%	4%
Multiracial	7	_		_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Small Group Totals	9	89%	56%	0%			•••••	
General-Education Students	286	99%	71%	5%	278	97%	63%	5%
Students with Disabilities	61	46%	11%	0%	46	67%	11%	0%
English Proficient	343	_	_	_	321	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	4			_	3	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	169	83%	44%	2%	116	86%	39%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	178	95%	76%	6%	208	97%	65%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	347	89%	61%	4%	324	93%	56%	4%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 S c	2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	4	_	_	-		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A		

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11 \$	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	centage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	346	94%	58%	19%	330	93%	62%	22%			
Female	170	93%	58%	17%	162	96%	64%	23%			
Male	176	95%	59%	22%	168	90%	61%	21%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	-							
Black or African American	55	96%	44%	16%	57	93%	44%	7%			
Hispanic or Latino	34	88%	44%	12%	20	85%	45%	10%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 20	100%	70%	30%	14	86%	64%	7%			
White	228	94%	63%	21%	239	95%	68%	27%			
Multiracial	7	_	_	-							
Small Group Totals	9	89%	67%	11%							
General-Education Students	286	99%	69%	23%	281	99%	71%	25%			
Students with Disabilities	60	68%	10%	2%	49	63%	12%	4%			
English Proficient	342	_	_	-	326	_	_	_			
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_	-	4	_	_	_			
Economically Disadvantaged	168	92%	46%	10%	120	91%	41%	9%			
Not Disadvantaged	178	96%	70%	28%	210	95%	75%	29%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	346	94%	58%	19%	330	93%	62%	22%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number						r scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	5	1		_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	4			-	

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	11% 16% 7% - 6% 6% - 13% 6% 13% 2% 12%		
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	339	94%	54%	4%	332	95%	51%	11%		
Female	166	99%	61%	4%	163	96%	57%	16%		
Male	173	90%	47%	3%	169	93%	45%	7%		
American Indian or Alaska Native					2			_		
Black or African American	60	87%	35%	0%	53	92%	38%	6%		
Hispanic or Latino	24	92%	46%	0%	18	94%	28%	6%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 16	81%	50%	6%	14	-	_	_		
White	233	98%	61%	5%	245	95%	56%	13%		
Multiracial	6	83%	17%	0%						
Small Group Totals					16	94%	50%	6%		
General-Education Students	283	98%	63%	4%	276	99%	60%	13%		
Students with Disabilities	56	75%	11%	0%	56	75%	7%	2%		
English Proficient	335	_	_	_	326	95%	52%	12%		
Limited English Proficient	4	-	_	_	6	83%	0%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	143	90%	40%	1%	128	88%	34%	5%		
Not Disadvantaged	196	97%	65%	5%	204	99%	62%	15%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	339	94%	54%	4%	332	95%	51%	11%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11 S	chool Year		•	2009-10 S e	2009-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A		

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11 S	chool Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	342	96%	70%	35%	334	98%	71%	28%
Female	168	97%	74%	33%	164	97%	74%	31%
Male	174	94%	65%	36%	170	99%	68%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	_
Black or African American	60	93%	52%	18%	54	93%	59%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	24	92%	38%	13%	19	100%	47%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	75%	38%	14			·····
White	236	97%	78%	41%	245	99%	75%	33%
Multiracial	6	83%	17%	17%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	16	100%	75%	38%
General-Education Students	283	99%	79%	41%	276	100%	80%	34%
Students with Disabilities	59	81%	25%	5%	58	90%	28%	0%
English Proficient	338	_	_	-	327	98%	72%	29%
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_	_	7	100%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	146	94%	55%	22%	129	95%	54%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	196	97%	81%	44%	205	100%	81%	38%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	342	96%	70%	35%	334	98%	71%	28%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number						r scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	5	1		5	5	2	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	J	J	4	3	3	J	2	

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	340	98%	58%	1%	343	96%	58%	8%
Female	162	98%	64%	2%	162	96%	62%	11%
Male	178	97%	52%	1%	181	96%	54%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	56	96%	54%	0%	63	87%	37%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	21	100%	38%	0%	21	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 16	94%	63%	0%	25	100%	80%	20%
White	236	98%	61%	2%	232	98%	62%	9%
Multiracial	9	_	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	11	100%	45%	0%	23	96%	52%	9%
General-Education Students	285	100%	67%	2%	301	99%	65%	9%
Students with Disabilities	55	87%	11%	0%	42	71%	7%	0%
English Proficient	333	98%	59%	2%	338	96%	59%	8%
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	0%	0%	5	100%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	146	95%	45%	0%	124	94%	47%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	194	99%	68%	3%	219	97%	64%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	340	98%	58%	1%	343	96%	58%	8%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	7	7	5	6	6	6	5	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent		'		J		0	0	J	
New York State English as a Second Language	•	N1 /A	N1 /A	N1 /A	•	N1 /A	N1 /A	N1 /A	
Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	Ü	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r					
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	: level(s):				
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	343	97%	68%	14%	345	94%	58%	16%				
Female	164	98%	75%	15%	162	94%	61%	20%				
Male	179	96%	61%	13%	183	93%	55%	11%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	-	2	-	_	_				
Black or African American	56	95%	55%	2%	64	84%	39%	3%				
Hispanic or Latino	21	100%	33%	5%	22	_	_	_				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	16	100%	88%	50%	25	100%	88%	36%				
White	239	97%	72%	15%	232	95%	61%	18%				
Multiracial	9	_	_	_								
Small Group Totals	11	91%	73%	9%	24	96%	42%	8%				
General-Education Students	288	99%	78%	17%	301	99%	64%	18%				
Students with Disabilities	55	84%	15%	0%	44	57%	16%	0%				
English Proficient	336	97%	68%	14%	340	94%	58%	16%				
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	43%	29%	5	100%	40%	20%				
Economically Disadvantaged	147	95%	54%	8%	126	90%	43%	9%				
Not Disadvantaged	196	98%	79%	18%	219	96%	66%	20%				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	343	97%	68%	14%	345	94%	58%	16%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number						scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	7	7	1	6	6	6	2	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	ľ	,	ľ	4	0	0	U	3	

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **26-04-01-06-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2010-11 S	chool Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Or Oup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	289	99%	91%	43%	285	96%	84%	32%
Female	136	99%	93%	38%	129	96%	83%	25%
Male	153	99%	90%	47%	156	97%	85%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_	2	-	_	-
Black or African American	53	98%	92%	25%	63	92%	67%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	21	100%	76%	29%	20	95%	75%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 12	100%	92%	42%	14	-	_	
White	193	98%	92%	49%	186	98%	90%	38%
Multiracial	9	-	_	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	•••••
Small Group Totals	10	100%	100%	40%	16	100%	88%	56%
General-Education Students	236	100%	94%	49%	242	100%	91%	36%
Students with Disabilities	53	92%	81%	15%	43	79%	44%	7%
English Proficient	282	99%	93%	44%	280	96%	84%	33%
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	29%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	129	97%	84%	29%	113	95%	75%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	160	100%	97%	54%	172	98%	90%	42%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	289	99%	91%	43%	285	96%	84%	32%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010–11 School Year				2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	7	5	4	6	6	5	2
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	ı	'						
Regents Science	47	47	47	44	59	59	59	55

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group	2007 Cohor	2006 Cohort**						
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	448	90%	86%	24%	414	91%	88%	35%
Female	204	94%	91%	32%	186	92%	89%	44%
Male	244	86%	82%	17%	228	90%	87%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	72	81%	72%	8%	68	88%	82%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	12	_	_	_	14	71%	64%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	75%	75%	15%	15	93%	87%	20%
White	341	93%	90%	29%	317	92%	90%	41%
Multiracial	2	_	_	-	•••••			•••••
Small Group Totals	15	80%	80%	0%				
General-Education Students	384	93%	93%	28%	365	93%	92%	39%
Students with Disabilities	64	66%	45%	2%	49	73%	55%	6%
English Proficient	443	90%	86%	24%	409	92%	89%	36%
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	80%	0%	5	40%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	130	92%	85%	11%	98	93%	87%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	318	88%	86%	29%	316	91%	88%	42%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	448	90%	86%	24%	414	91%	88%	35%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 $^{^{**}}$ 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

District GATES-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-04-01-06-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group	2007 Cohor	2006 Cohort**						
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	448	91%	89%	37%	414	91%	89%	39%
Female	204	96%	93%	43%	186	91%	88%	37%
Male	244	88%	85%	32%	228	91%	91%	41%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	-				
Black or African American	72	85%	78%	14%	68	84%	79%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	12	_	_	_	14	71%	64%	29%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	85%	85%	55%	15	93%	93%	33%
White	341	94%	91%	41%	317	94%	92%	44%
Multiracial	2	_	_	_				•••••
Small Group Totals	15	80%	80%	20%				
General-Education Students	384	96%	95%	42%	365	94%	94%	44%
Students with Disabilities	64	66%	48%	2%	49	69%	55%	2%
English Proficient	443	91%	88%	36%	409	92%	90%	40%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	100%	60%	5	60%	60%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	130	95%	90%	22%	98	94%	90%	28%
Not Disadvantaged	318	90%	88%	42%	316	91%	89%	43%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	448	91%	89%	37%	414	91%	89%	39%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 $^{^{**}}$ 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.