

## **The New York State District Report Card**

**Accountability** and Overview Report 2010 - 11

District BROCKPORT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 26-18-01-06-0000 Superintendent GARRY STONE Telephone (585) 637-1810 Grades PK-12

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

**Get District Profile information**.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### **Review District** 2 Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

#### **View School Accountability** Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011-12 accountability status.



#### **Review an Overview** of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 26-18-01-06-0000

## **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Pre-K	122	128	131
Kindergarten	264	245	256
Grade 1	256	265	237
Grade 2	256	274	272
Grade 3	279	254	280
Grade 4	268	291	265
Grade 5	305	263	294
Grade 6	305	315	278
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	321	315	316
Grade 8	337	312	308
Grade 9	356	355	338
Grade 10	365	342	334
Grade 11	346	335	316
Grade 12	361	348	339
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	4019	3914	3833

## Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	21	22	22
Grade 8			
English	24	22	22
Mathematics	22	22	23
Science	24	24	22
Social Studies	21	24	23
Grade 10			
English	23	23	23
Mathematics	21	17	17
Science	23	20	22
Social Studies	22	22	22

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2008-09		200	9–10	2010-1	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	771	19%	858	22%	881	23%
Reduced-Price Lunch	451	11%	439	11%	418	11%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	39	1%	39	1%	45	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	16	0%	16	0%	12	0%
Black or African American	217	5%	191	5%	177	5%
Hispanic or Latino	145	4%	140	4%	183	5%
Asian or Native	50	1%	45	1%	50	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3519	88%	3429	88%	3318	87%
Multiracial	72	2%	93	2%	93	2%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2007-08		2008	-09	2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	119	3%	177	4%	101	3%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	317	322	314
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	5%	4%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	8%	9%	9%
Total Number of Core Classes	768	737	757
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1127	1085	1132
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	1%	0%

## **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	21%	16%	26%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	10%	8%	13%

### **Staff Counts**

2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
44	42	37
106	106	102
8	8	8
5	5	5
	44 106 8	44         42           106         106           8         8

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### **3** Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### 2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

#### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

#### **Participation**

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

#### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ 

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

#### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

#### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

#### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

## **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

## 2 District Accountability

District BROCKPORT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 26-18-01-06-0000

### Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	∧ Improvement (Year 1)						
Status (2011–12)	ELA 🔥 Improvement (Year 1)		Science	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received 1	۲itle I Part A Funding				
	2009-	10 20	)10-11	2011-12			
	YES	YES	5	YES			

#### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	~		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	-			
Black or African American	✓	✓		_	–	••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓		_	–	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	–		_	-			
White	~	<b>V</b>	••••	<b>~</b>	~	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial	_	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	<b>✓</b> SH		X	✔ѕн			
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 5 of 6	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1	X 3 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1		



## **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participatio	on	Test Performance		<b>Performance Objectives</b>		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)		Met s Criterion	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
	Status		Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
AllStudents (1763:1714)	~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	157	119		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (92:86)	~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	137	111		
Hispanic or Latino (86:79)	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<b>~</b>	135	111		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (23:21)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1530:1498)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	159	119	••••••••••••••••	••••
Multiracial (27:25)	–	–	-	-	-	–	•••••••••••••••	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (239:237)	X	<b>v</b>	100%	X	100	115	104	110
Limited English Proficient (12:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (721:692)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<b>~</b>	139	118		
Final AYP Determination	X 5 of 6	5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (854:831)			100%		163	118		
Male (909:883)	• ••••	••••••••••••••••	100%	•••••	152	118	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Migrant (0:0)	• •••••				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 26-18-01-06-0000

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participation		on	<b>Test Perfor</b>	mance	Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	170	134		
-	-	-	-	-	-		_
✓	✓	99%	~	152	126		
✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	151	126	•••••••••••	••••
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
<	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	172	134	•••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
–	_	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••	–
<b>✓</b> SH	~	99%	<b>✓</b> SH	112	130	111	121
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
✓	✓	100%	✓	153	133		•••••
🖌 6 of 6	5						
		100%		171	133		
•••••••	••••••	100%		170	133	••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••
••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••••••	••••
	Status	Status     Met Criterion       ✓     ✓       –     –       ✓     ✓       –     –       ✓     ✓       –     –       ✓     ✓       –     –       ✓     ✓       –     –       ✓     ✓       –     –       ✓     ✓       –     –       ✓     ✓       –     –	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       99%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       99%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       99%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       99%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       99%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓	Met Status       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       170         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       170         ✓       ✓       99%       ✓       152         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       151         –       –       –       –       –         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       172         –       –       –       –       –         ✓       Ý       99%       ✓       172         –       –       –       –       –         ✓       Ý       99%       ✓       112         –       –       –       –       –         ✓       Ý       100%       ✓       153         ✓       Í       Í       –       –       –         ✓       Í       Í       –       –       –         ✓       Í       Í       –       –       –         Í       Í       Í       –       –       –         ✓       Í       Í       –       –	Met Status         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           Image: Criterion         Image: C	Met Status       Percentage Criterion       Met rested       Performance Index       Effective AMO       Safe Harbo 2010-11         V       100%       V       170       134         -       -       -       -       -         V       100%       V       170       134         -       -       -       -       -         V       99%       V       152       126         V       100%       V       151       126         -       -       -       -       -         V       100%       V       172       134         -       -       -       -       -         V       100%       V       172       134         -       -       -       -       -         V       100%       V       172       134         -       -       -       -       -         V       99%       V SH       112       130       111         -       -       -       -       -       -         V SH       100%       V       153       133       -         V 6 of 6       -

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	АҮР		Participati	on	<b>Test Perfor</b>	<b>Test Performance</b>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progres		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2010-11	2011-12	
Accountability Groups										
AllStudents (584:561)	~	Qualified	~	99%	V	191	100			
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (33:31)		Qualified	-	-	~	177	100			
Hispanic or Latino (29:28)		_	_	-	-	-	-		–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander ( <b>7:6</b> )		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (506:488)		Qualified	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	192	100			
Multiracial (9:8)	• •••••	_	_	-	-	-	-	• ••• • • • • • • • • • •	-	
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (84:82)		Qualified	~	96%	~	166	100			
Limited English Proficient (2:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (238:225)		Qualified	~	98%	~	185	100			
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 0	f 1								
Non-Accountability Groups										
Female (304:293)				99%		189	100			
Male (280:268)				99%		193	100			
Migrant (0:0)	• •••••		• •••••							

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

Made AYP

- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment

April 20, 2012

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participat		on	<b>Test Performance</b>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	e Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (348:334)	~	<b>v</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	190	177		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (14:16)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (15:13)	-	_	-	-	-	–	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
White (310:297)	<	<b>~</b>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	193	177	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Multiracial (3:2)	- -	-	-	–	-	-	••• •••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (51:54)	x	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	96%	x	150	170	156	155
Limited English Proficient (4:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (106:97)	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	~	174	173	••••	•••••
Final AYP Determination	🗙 3 of 4	1						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (174:169)			100%		193	175		
Male (174:165)	•••••••••••••		99%		187	175	•••••••••••••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••	•••••••••••••••				••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<b>v</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participation		<b>Test Performance</b>		Performance Objectives			
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	193	174		
_	-	-	-	-	-		-
_	-	-	-	-	-		-
_	_	-	_	-	-		-
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	194	174		••••
-	-	-	–	-	-	••• ••••	-
<b>✓</b> SH	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	100%	<b>✓</b> SH	154	167	154	159
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	176	170		••••
🗸 4 of 4	1						
		100%		193	172		
• •••••	••••••	100%		192	172		
• •••••	•••••••••••••••	••••		•••• •••••••	••••••		
	Status	Status     Met Criterion       ✓     ✓       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       ✓     ✓       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       ✓     ✓       -     -       ✓     ✓       -     -	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         ✓       ✓       100%         –       –       –         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       4 of 4       –         IO0%       –       –	Status       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓ 4 of 4       –       –         100%       –       –	Met Status       Percentage Criterion       Met Tested       Performance Criterion         V       V       100%       V       193         Image: Construction of the state	Met Criterion         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           Image: Criterion         Image	Met Status         Met Criterion         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO         Safe Harbo AMO           Image: Ima

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 26-18-01-06-0000

### **Graduation** Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	on	Objectives	Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010–11	
Accountability Groups						
All Students (364)	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	87%	80%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (4)		_	_	-		
Black or African American (20)	•••••••	-	-	–		
Hispanic or Latino (14)		_	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2)	••••••	-	-	-	••••	
White (317)	••••••	✓	87%	80%	••••	
Multiracial (7)	••••••	-	-	-	••••	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (53)		<b>v</b>	60%	80%	59%	
Limited English Proficient (1)	••••••	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (132)	••••••	✓	77%	80%	75%	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of :	1				
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female ( <b>182</b> )			89%	80%		
Male (182)	••••••		84%	80%		
Migrant ( <b>O</b> )	••••••	••••••	••••••••••••••••	•••••••		

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

#### **Aspirational Goal**

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **89%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

## 2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

In Good Standing	
3 schools identified 60% of tota	
A D OLIVER MIDDLE SCHOOL	
BARCLAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
GINTHER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
Improvement (year 1) Basic	
1 school identified 20% of total	
FRED W HILL SCHOOL	
Improvement (year 2) Basic	
1 school identified 20% of total	
BROCKPORT HIGH SCHOOL	

## Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that r above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	62%		273
Grade 4	63%		262
Grade 5	64%		299
Grade 6	50%		277
	60%		312
Grade 8	57%		311
Mathematics			
Grade 3	69%		273
Grade 4	68%		262
	69%		299
Grade 6	74%		276
Grade 7	79%		312
Grade 8	77%		311
Science			
Grade 4	93%		258
Grade 8	86%		252
	-	of students that r above Level 3	2007 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	88%		361

92%

District ID 26-18-01-06-0000

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### **English Language Arts**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### **Mathematics**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

#### This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Average Need Districts**

361

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Mathematics** 

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	olic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 666	*Range:	644-780	663-780	694-780				
2010 Mean Score: 675	100%	92% 95%	62% 67%		87% 86%	56% 55%		
2009-10				22% 7%			17% 5%	
Number of Tested Students:		251 239	169 168	18 55				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	273	92%	62%	7%	252	95%	67%	22%	
Female	133	95%	71%	9%	138	97%	70%	22%	
Male	140	89%	54%	4%	114	92%	62%	22%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	7	-	-	-	11	91%	36%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	11	91%	55%	18%	15	67%	40%	20%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 3	-	-	_	3	-	-	-	
White	243	92%	62%	6%	219	97%	69%	22%	
Multiracial	8	100%	63%	13%	4	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	11	91%	64%	0%	7	100%	86%	43%	
General-Education Students	249	97%	67%	7%	228	98%	71%	24%	
Students with Disabilities	24	38%	4%	4%	24	67%	21%	0%	
English Proficient	270	-	-	-	249	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	110	85%	48%	2%	108	90%	53%	15%	
Not Disadvantaged	163	96%	71%	10%	144	99%	77%	27%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	273	92%	62%	7%	252	95%	67%	22%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 691	*Range:	662-770	684-770	707-770			
2010 Mean Score: 692	100%	94% 94%	69% 60%		91% 91%	60% 59%	
2010-11 2009-10				20% 22%		н.	13%
Number of Tested Students:		256 238	188 152	55 56			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	273	94%	69%	20%	253	94%	60%	22%	
Female	133	96%	74%	16%	138	96%	59%	25%	
Male	140	91%	64%	24%	115	92%	61%	19%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	7	-	-	–	11	100%	55%	18%	
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	45%	27%	15	80%	53%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 3	-	-	–	3	-	-	-	
White	243	93%	71%	20%	220	95%	60%	24%	
Multiracial	8	100%	38%	0%	4	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	11	91%	73%	27%	7	100%	86%	29%	
General-Education Students	249	98%	75%	22%	229	97%	64%	24%	
Students with Disabilities	24	50%	8%	4%	24	71%	21%	4%	
English Proficient	270	-	-	-	250	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	–	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	110	88%	52%	8%	108	89%	42%	9%	
Not Disadvantaged	163	98%	80%	28%	145	98%	74%	32%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	273	94%	69%	20%	253	94%	60%	22%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 676	*Range:	637-775	671-775	722-775			
2010 Mean Score: 677	100%	97% 96%	63% <sup>68%</sup>		92% 92%	57% 57%	
2009-10				2% 6%			<u>2%</u> 6%
Number of Tested Students:		255 284	166 202	4 17			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	262	97%	63%	<b>2</b> %	297	96%	68%	6%
Female	146	97%	68%	1%	133	98%	66%	6%
Male	116	97%	57%	3%	164	94%	70%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native					3	-	-	-
Black or African American	11	91%	55%	0%	14	100%	50%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	18	89%	56%	0%	13	85%	38%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 5	100%	60%	0%	6	-		-
White	222	98%	64%	2%	253	96%	70%	6%
Multiracial	6	100%	67%	0%	8	100%	63%	0%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				9	100%	89%	11%
General-Education Students	236	100%	68%	2%	263	100%	75%	6%
Students with Disabilities	26	77%	23%	0%	34	62%	15%	0%
English Proficient	260	-	-	-	293	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	115	94%	51%	1%	121	93%	55%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	147	100%	73%	2%	176	98%	77%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	262	97%	63%	2%	297	96%	68%	6%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	3	3	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 689	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800				
2010 Mean Score: 686	100%	97% 96%	68% 68%		94% 95%	67% 64%		
2010-11 2009-10				24% 24%			27% 26%	
Number of Tested Students:		254 286	178 201	62 71				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	262	97%	68%	24%	297	96%	68%	24%
Female	146	97%	66%	23%	133	96%	62%	20%
Male	116	97%	70%	25%	164	96%	72%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native					3	-	_	_
Black or African American	11	82%	73%	0%	14	93%	36%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	18	94%	61%	11%	13	85%	46%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 5	100%	100%	20%	6	-	-	
White	222	98%	68%	26%	253	97%	71%	26%
Multiracial	6	100%	50%	17%	8	100%	50%	25%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	9	100%	67%	11%
General-Education Students	236	99%	72%	26%	263	100%	74%	26%
Students with Disabilities	26	77%	35%	4%	34	68%	21%	6%
English Proficient	260	-	-	_	293	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	–	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	115	93%	53%	10%	121	93%	54%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	147	100%	80%	34%	176	98%	77%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	262	97%	68%	24%	297	96%	68%	24%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	4	2	3	_	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 83	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100			
2010 Mean Score: 83 2010-11 2009-10	100%	99% 98%	93% 94%	53% 57%	98% 97%	88% 88%	52% 55%
Number of Tested Students:		256 288	240 276	136 167			

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	258	99%	93%	53%	294	98%	94%	57%
Female	143	99%	90%	52%	132	97%	93%	55%
Male	115	99%	97%	53%	162	99%	94%	59%
American Indian or Alaska Native					3	-	-	-
Black or African American	11	100%	91%	36%	14	86%	64%	21%
Hispanic or Latino	18	94%	89%	39%	12	100%	92%	42%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 5	100%	100%	20%	6	-	-	-
White	218	100%	94%	55%	251	98%	95%	60%
Multiracial	6	100%	83%	67%	8	100%	100%	38%
Small Group Totals				••••••	9	100%	100%	56%
General-Education Students	234	100%	95%	56%	262	100%	97%	63%
Students with Disabilities	24	92%	71%	17%	32	84%	66%	9%
English Proficient	256	-	_	-	290	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	–	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	113	99%	88%	39%	119	95%	88%	43%
Not Disadvantaged	145	99%	97%	63%	175	100%	98%	66%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	258	99%	93%	53%	294	98%	94%	57%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	5	F	2	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	3	_	_	-

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 671	*Range:	648-795	668-795	700-795			
2010 Mean Score: 676	100%	94% 94%	64% 60%		89% 88%	54% 52%	
2010-11 2009-10				4%			4% 13%
Number of Tested Students:		280 247	190 157	12 37			

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	299	94%	64%	4%	262	94%	60%	14%
Female	133	93%	66%	6%	112	93%	60%	14%
Male	166	94%	61%	2%	150	95%	60%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	67%	40%	0%	14	93%	36%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	14	71%	43%	0%	10	100%	30%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	100%	0%	4	-	-	-
White	258	97%	65%	5%	225	94%	62%	15%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	8	100%	75%	25%
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	0%	5	80%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	266	97%	70%	4%	227	98%	65%	16%
Students with Disabilities	33	64%	9%	3%	35	71%	26%	0%
English Proficient	297	-	-	_	258	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	–	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	127	86%	47%	2%	110	89%	40%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	172	99%	76%	6%	152	98%	74%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	299	94%	64%	4%	262	94%	60%	14%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 <b>S</b>	chool Year		,	2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Abbebbillents	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Publ	ic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 686	*Range:	640-780	676-780	707-780			
2010 Mean Score: 683	100%	96% 96%	69% 62%		94% 94%	66% 65%	
2010-11 2009-10				25% <sub>20%</sub>			23% 24%
Number of Tested Students:		286 252	207 162	74 53			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	299	96%	<b>69</b> %	25%	262	96%	62%	20%
Female	133	94%	61%	22%	112	95%	55%	15%
Male	166	97%	76%	27%	150	97%	67%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	87%	40%	7%	14	93%	36%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	14	93%	29%	7%	10	100%	30%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 7	100%	100%	43%	4	-	-	-
White	258	97%	72%	26%	225	96%	66%	21%
Multiracial	2	-	-	–	8	100%	38%	13%
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	40%	5	100%	60%	40%
General-Education Students	266	98%	76%	27%	227	100%	67%	23%
Students with Disabilities	33	76%	15%	6%	35	74%	26%	0%
English Proficient	297	-	-	-	258	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	–	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	127	91%	51%	13%	110	91%	43%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	172	99%	83%	33%	152	100%	76%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	299	96%	69%	25%	262	96%	62%	20%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 665	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785			
2010 Mean Score: 670	100%	95% 95%	59% 68%		88% 89%	56% 54%	
2010-11 2009-10				3% 11%	н.		4% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		262 298	163 215	8 35			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student of oup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	277	95%	59%	3%	314	95%	68%	11%
Female	123	95%	61%	4%	152	96%	74%	13%
Male	154	94%	57%	2%	162	94%	64%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	18	89%	44%	0%	18	94%	67%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	12	92%	42%	0%	15	93%	53%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	236	95%	61%	3%	272	95%	69%	11%
Multiracial	6	100%	83%	0%	8	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	0%	9	89%	67%	11%
General-Education Students	239	98%	65%	3%	269	99%	77%	13%
Students with Disabilities	38	71%	21%	3%	45	69%	18%	0%
English Proficient	274	-	-	-	313	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	121	90%	43%	1%	121	93%	57%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	156	98%	71%	4%	193	96%	76%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	277	95%	59%	3%	314	95%	68%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at lev	ring at level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 691	*Range:	640-780	674-780	700-780				
2010 Mean Score: 688	100%	96% 94%	74% 72%		92% 92%	63% 61%		
2010-11 2009-10				32% 34%			26% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:		266 292	205 225	89 105				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student of oup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	276	96%	74%	32%	312	94%	72%	34%
Female	123	97%	71%	28%	152	95%	73%	34%
Male	153	96%	77%	36%	160	92%	71%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	18	89%	44%	17%	17	100%	59%	24%
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	50%	17%	14	93%	71%	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 4	-	-	–	1	-	-	-
White	235	97%	79%	34%	272	93%	73%	35%
Multiracial	6	100%	50%	33%	8	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	40%	9	89%	67%	22%
General-Education Students	238	100%	82%	37%	269	100%	79%	38%
Students with Disabilities	38	76%	24%	5%	43	56%	28%	9%
English Proficient	273	-	_	_	311	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	–	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	121	93%	57%	17%	119	90%	61%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	155	99%	88%	45%	193	96%	79%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	276	96%	74%	32%	312	94%	72%	34%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11 9	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	_	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 668	*Range:	642-790	665-790	698-790				
2010 Mean Score: 675 2010–11 2009–10	100%	97% 95%	60% 63%	16%	91% 90%	48% 50%	11%	
				3%			4%	
Number of Tested Students:		303 298	186 198	9 51				

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 S	ichool Yea	r		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
emale ale merican Indian or Alaska Native lack or African American ispanic or Latino sian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islan /hite ultiracial	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	312	97%	60%	3%	313	95%	63%	16%	
Female	155	99%	59%	5%	158	99%	68%	22%	
Male	157	95%	60%	1%	155	92%	59%	10%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	18	-	-	-	20	90%	45%	5%	
Hispanic or Latino	20	95%	35%	0%	11	91%	45%	18%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islar	nder 1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	271	97%	62%	3%	274	96%	66%	17%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	–	5	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	21	100%	57%	5%	8	100%	38%	25%	
General-Education Students	270	100%	66%	3%	265	99%	73%	18%	
Students with Disabilities	42	81%	17%	0%	48	73%	8%	4%	
English Proficient	310	-	-	-	309	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	118	96%	43%	1%	121	93%	50%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	194	98%	70%	4%	192	97%	71%	21%	
Migrant					1	_	_	_	
Not Migrant	312	97%	60%	3%	312	-	-	-	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010–11 S	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):
ASSESSMENTS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	5	3	6	6	4	3
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sc		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 683	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800			
2010 Mean Score: 683	100%	97% 96%	79% 75%		92% 92%	65% 62%	
2010-11 2009-10				29% 33%	н.		30% 29%
Number of Tested Students:		304 300	245 235	91 102			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	312	<b>97</b> %	79%	<b>29</b> %	312	96%	75%	33%
Female	155	100%	82%	26%	158	98%	78%	34%
Male	157	95%	75%	32%	154	94%	72%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	17	-	-	–	20	80%	55%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	20	90%	70%	20%	11	100%	55%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r <b>1</b>	-	-		2	-	-	
White	272	98%	79%	29%	273	97%	78%	36%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	20	100%	75%	35%	8	100%	50%	13%
General-Education Students	269	100%	86%	33%	264	100%	86%	38%
Students with Disabilities	43	81%	30%	7%	48	77%	17%	4%
English Proficient	309	-	_	_	308	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	–	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	118	95%	71%	19%	121	93%	64%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	194	99%	83%	36%	191	98%	82%	40%
Migrant					1	_	_	_
Not Migrant	312	97%	79%	29%	311	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11 9	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	5	3	6	6	5	2

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	g at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 660	*Range:	628-790	658-790	699-790				
2010 Mean Score: 658	100%	97% 94%	57% 50%		92% 91%	47% 51%		
2009-10				3% 5%			2% 8%	
Number of Tested Students:		301 293	176 158	8 17				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	311	97%	57%	3%	313	94%	50%	5%	
Female	156	99%	65%	4%	146	97%	61%	8%	
Male	155	94%	48%	1%	167	90%	41%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	21	95%	29%	0%	18	89%	39%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	11	91%	36%	0%	13	100%	31%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 2	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	20%	
White	274	97%	59%	3%	271	93%	52%	6%	
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	0%	6	100%	50%	17%	
General-Education Students	264	99%	64%	3%	260	100%	60%	7%	
Students with Disabilities	47	83%	17%	0%	53	62%	6%	0%	
English Proficient	311	97%	57%	3%	311	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient					2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	121	96%	44%	1%	126	90%	34%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	190	97%	65%	4%	187	96%	61%	7%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	311	97%	57%	3%	313	94%	50%	5%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## **This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics**

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 686	*Range:	639-775	674-775	704-775					
2010 Mean Score: 679	100%	97% 93%	77% 63%		91% 91%	60% <sub>55%</sub>			
2010-11 2009-10				20% 15%	н.	н.	18% 18%		
Number of Tested Students:		302 292	241 199	62 46					

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	311	97%	77%	20%	314	93%	63%	15%	
Female	156	99%	78%	22%	147	96%	67%	18%	
Male	155	95%	77%	18%	167	90%	60%	11%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	-	
Black or African American	21	90%	57%	5%	18	100%	50%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	45%	0%	13	100%	23%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r <b>2</b>	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	60%	
White	274	97%	80%	22%	272	92%	66%	15%	
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	20%	6	100%	67%	0%	
General-Education Students	264	99%	86%	23%	261	99%	72%	18%	
Students with Disabilities	47	87%	30%	2%	53	62%	21%	0%	
English Proficient	311	97%	77%	20%	312	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••			2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	121	95%	69%	11%	126	90%	47%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	190	98%	83%	26%	188	95%	74%	19%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	311	97%	77%	20%	314	93%	63%	15%	

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	5	5	4	3

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	252	99%	86%	35%	264	<b>99</b> %	87%	35%	
Female	123	99%	85%	31%	115	99%	86%	30%	
Male	129	98%	88%	39%	149	99%	88%	39%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	-	
Black or African American	19	95%	68%	0%	18	100%	72%	17%	
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	–	–	12	100%	92%	25%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
White	219	100%	88%	40%	224	99%	88%	37%	
Multiracial	3	-	-	–	4	–	–	-	
Small Group Totals	14	93%	86%	7%	10	100%	90%	30%	
General-Education Students	206	100%	93%	39%	214	100%	95%	42%	
Students with Disabilities	46	93%	57%	17%	50	94%	54%	6%	
English Proficient	252	99%	86%	35%	262	-	-	-	
imited English Proficient	•••••			••••••	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	108	99%	80%	22%	117	98%	83%	19%	
Not Disadvantaged	144	99%	91%	44%	147	99%	90%	48%	
Yigrant									
Not Migrant	252	99%	86%	35%	264	99%	87%	35%	


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s):			l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	6	6	5	5	4	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0	0	0	0	J	J	4	4	
Regents Science	57	57	57	56	45	45	45	45	

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2007 <b>Coho</b> r	t		2006 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	361	90%	88%	43%	366	89%	87%	41%
Female	177	93%	92%	53%	184	91%	89%	46%
Male	184	86%	84%	35%	182	87%	85%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	5	_	_	-
Black or African American	17	82%	76%	35%	20	70%	70%	30%
Hispanic or Latino	13	77%	69%	15%	14	86%	79%	43%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	322	90%	89%	44%	318	91%	89%	43%
Multiracial	3	–	-	–	7	86%	86%	14%
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	67%	7	71%	71%	14%
General-Education Students	303	94%	94%	51%	314	94%	93%	47%
Students with Disabilities	58	67%	55%	3%	52	58%	50%	2%
English Proficient	358	-	-	-	365	-	_	-
imited English Proficient	3	-	-	–	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	111	83%	76%	28%	132	80%	77%	30%
Not Disadvantaged	250	93%	93%	50%	234	94%	93%	47%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	361	90%	88%	43%	366	89%	87%	41%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



<b>Results by</b>	2007 Cohor	t		2006 Cohort**					
Student Group	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	361	92%	92%	31%	366	91%	89%	41%	
Female	177	95%	94%	28%	184	93%	91%	39%	
Male	184	90%	90%	35%	182	90%	87%	43%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	5	-	_	_	
Black or African American	17	76%	76%	12%	20	80%	75%	20%	
Hispanic or Latino	13	85%	85%	15%	14	86%	79%	36%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	322	93%	93%	33%	318	93%	92%	43%	
Multiracial	3	–	-	–	7	86%	86%	57%	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	44%	7	71%	57%	14%	
General-Education Students	303	98%	98%	37%	314	96%	96%	48%	
Students with Disabilities	58	64%	60%	2%	52	62%	48%	0%	
English Proficient	358	-	-	-	365	_	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	111	83%	81%	16%	132	87%	84%	30%	
Not Disadvantaged	250	96%	96%	38%	234	94%	92%	47%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	361	92%	92%	31%	366	91%	89%	41%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.